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Abstract

Adapting health promotion interventions to meet the needs of ethnic minority groups: mixed-methods evidence synthesis

JJP Liu,1 E Davidson,1 RS Bhopal,1 M White,2 MRD Johnson,3 G Netto,4 M Deverill2 and A Sheikh1*
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2Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
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*Corresponding author

Background: There is now a considerable body of evidence revealing that a number of ethnic minority groups in the UK and other economically developed countries experience disproportionate levels of morbidity and mortality compared with the majority white European-origin population. Across these countries, health-promoting approaches are increasingly viewed as the long-term strategies most likely to prove clinically effective and cost-effective for preventing disease and improving health outcomes in those with established disease.

Objectives: To identify, appraise and interpret research on the approaches employed to maximise the cross-cultural appropriateness and effectiveness of health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations.

Data sources: Two national conferences; seven databases of UK guidelines and international systematic reviews of health promotion interventions aimed at the general population, including the Clinical Evidence, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence and Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network databases (1950–2009); 11 databases of research on adapted health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations, including BIOSIS, EMBASE and MEDLINE (1950–2009); and in-depth qualitative interviews with a purposive sample of researchers and health promoters.

Review methods: Theoretically based, mixed-methods, phased programme of research that involved user engagement, systematic reviews and qualitative interviews, which were integrated through a realist synthesis. Following a launch conference, two reviewers independently identified and extracted data from guidelines and systematic reviews on the effectiveness of interventions for the general population and any guidance offered in relation to how to interpret this evidence for ethnic minority populations. Data were thematically analysed. Reviewers then independently identified and critically appraised studies of adapted interventions and summarised data to assess feasibility, acceptability, equity, clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness. Interviews were transcribed, coded and thematically analysed. The quantitative and qualitative data were then synthesised using a realist framework to understand better how adapted interventions work and to assess implementation considerations and prioritise future research. Our preliminary findings were refined through discussion and debate at an end-of-study national user engagement conference.
**Results:** Initial user engagement emphasised the importance of extending this work beyond individual-centred behavioural interventions to also include examination of community- and ecological-level interventions; however, individual-centred behavioural approaches dominated the 15 relevant guidelines and 111 systematic reviews we identified. The most consistent evidence of effectiveness was for pharmacological interventions for smoking cessation. This body of work, however, provided scant evidence on the effectiveness of these interventions for ethnic minority groups. We identified 173 reports of adapted health promotion interventions, the majority of which focused on US-based African Americans. This body of evidence was used to develop a 46-item Typology of Adaptation and a Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions. Only nine empirical studies directly compared the effectiveness of culturally adapted interventions with standard health promotion interventions, these failing to yield any consistent evidence; no studies reported on cost-effectiveness. The 26 qualitative interviews highlighted the need to extend thinking on ethnicity from conventional dimensions to more contextual considerations. The realist synthesis enabled the production of a decision-making tool (RESET) to support future research.

**Limitations:** The lack of robust evidence of effectiveness for physical activity and healthy-eating interventions in the general population identified at the outset limited the comparative synthesis work we could undertake in the latter phases. Furthermore, the majority of studies undertaking an adapted intervention were conducted within African American populations; this raises important questions about the generalisability of findings to, for example, a UK context and other ethnic minority groups. Lastly, given our focus on three health areas and three populations, we have inevitably excluded many studies of adapted interventions for other health topics and other ethnic minority populations.

**Conclusions:** There is currently a lack of evidence on how best to deliver smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating-related health promotion interventions to ethnic minority populations. Although culturally adapting interventions can increase salience, acceptability and uptake, there is as yet insufficient evidence on the clinical effectiveness or cost-effectiveness of these adapted approaches. More head-to-head comparisons of adapted compared with standard interventions are warranted. The Typology of Adaptation, Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions and RESET tool should help researchers to develop more considered approaches to adapting interventions than has hitherto been the case.

**Funding:** The National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment programme.
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Executive summary

Background

There is now a considerable body of evidence revealing that a number of ethnic minority groups in the UK experience disproportionate levels of morbidity and mortality compared with the majority white European-origin population. These patterns have also been documented in most economically developed countries and are particularly pronounced for a range of long-term conditions. Across these countries, health-promoting approaches are increasingly viewed as the long-term strategies most likely to prove clinically effective and cost-effective for preventing disease and improving health outcomes in those with established disease. For many of the key chronic diseases, the main preventable risk factors are amenable behaviours such as smoking, physical inactivity and unhealthy eating. Tackling these behaviours therefore represents the focus of the majority of health promotion interventions internationally. In this conceptual and methodological work we focused on the issue of adapting interventions for promoting smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating in the three main UK ethnic minority groups, namely people of African, Chinese and South Asian origin. Our approach was built on the assumption that health promotion interventions found to be effective in the general (white European-origin) population are, if appropriately adapted, also likely to prove effective in ethnic minority populations.

Objectives

We sought to identify:

- evidence-based guidance on health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating that are recommended for the general population and which may thus be suitable for adaptation for ethnic minority populations
- smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating health promotion interventions that have already been adapted to meet the needs of African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin ethnic minority populations, the adaptations utilised, and to assess the feasibility, acceptability, equity, clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of these modified interventions
- the adapted interventions that are ready for widespread implementation and those that require further research.

Methods

We undertook a phased programme of work that drew on a range of data sources yielding both quantitative and qualitative data.

Data sources

User engagement

Two national launch and dissemination events for researchers and health-care users, with expert facilitated discussion groups.
UK guidelines and international systematic reviews
Guidelines on health promotion interventions for the general population were identified from the Clinical Evidence, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence and Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network databases for the period 1950–2009. In addition, The Campbell Collaboration, The Cochrane Library, the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects and the National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment database were searched for systematic reviews.

Studies of adapted interventions
The following databases were searched from 1950 to 2009: Applied Social Sciences Index and Abstracts, BIOSIS, The Campbell Collaboration, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, The Cochrane Library, EMBASE, ISI Web of Science, Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences Literature, MEDLINE, the NHS Evidence Specialist Collection for Ethnicity and Health and PsycINFO.

Qualitative interviews
Semi-structured, in-depth interviews were conducted with an international sample of researchers and health promoters involved in developing, delivering and evaluating adapted health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations.

Study selection

UK guidelines and international systematic reviews
Two reviewers independently screened all titles and abstracts. Full papers of potentially eligible guidelines and reviews were retrieved and further assessed if they pertained to children and adults from the general population; focused on providing guidance on health promotion interventions (including individual, community, population and policy-level intervention) including for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating; and studied any outcomes relating to smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating.

Studies of adapted interventions
Two reviewers independently identified conceptual/theoretical reports, systematic reviews and primary studies (experimental, observational and qualitative) of adapted health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating in children and adults of African, Chinese and South Asian origin. Theoretical reports were selected if they explicitly aimed to develop theories, conceptual frameworks and/or models; systematic reviews were selected if at least 50% of the included studies were of adapted interventions; and primary studies were selected if they employed any study design that assessed the impact of adapted interventions on relevant primary, secondary or tertiary outcomes. Primary outcomes were smoking cessation (e.g. quit attempts, quit rates, abstinence, number of cigarettes smoked), increased physical activity (e.g. cardiorespiratory fitness, time spent in physical activity, number of steps taken) and improved healthy eating (e.g. calories consumed, fruit and vegetable intake). Secondary outcomes were body weight, body mass index and waist-to-hip ratio. Tertiary outcomes were changes in knowledge, beliefs and attitudes.

Qualitative interview participants
We used four interview recruitment waves corresponding to four different sampling goals, namely piloting, generating interest, maximising diversity and ensuring representativeness, to recruit participants with relevant experiences.
Data extraction and quality assessment

UK guidelines and international systematic reviews
Guidance statements pertaining to interventions on smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating recommended for the general population were extracted onto a customised data extraction form. We reported on the quality of the evidence and the quality assessment tool used (when reported). The full text of these reports was then further searched using a set of predefined key terms relating to the ethnic groups of interest. Two reviewers independently extracted statements pertaining to ethnicity.

Studies of adapted interventions
Two reviewers independently extracted data on feasibility, acceptability, equity, clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness using a tailored data extraction form. Study quality was assessed using the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme and Effective Public Health Practice Project tools.

Qualitative interviews
Two researchers conducted semi-structured, in-depth interviews either by telephone or face to face. Interviews were recorded, transcribed and independently coded using NVivo 8 (QSR International, Doncaster, Australia). Interview transcripts were checked by the researchers.

Data analysis and synthesis

UK guidelines and international systematic reviews
Guidance statements were organised according to the health promotion topic that they addressed (health behaviour in general, smoking cessation, physical activity, healthy eating) and the ‘strategies and activities’ used. Statements pertaining to ethnicity were grouped according to shared meaning and/or concept and thematically analysed.

Studies of adapted interventions
Study findings were descriptively summarised and then thematically synthesised. Because of study and data heterogeneity it was not possible to undertake meta-analyses.

Qualitative interviews
Analysis was comparative and iterative. A coding frame, which drew on both the theoretical concepts and emerging insights, was applied to the corpus of data for thematic extraction. We interviewed until we reached saturation, which became apparent as no new codes were generated from the last few interviews.

Realist synthesis
Data from each of the preceding phases of work contributed to a set of context–mechanism–outcome configurations used to inform deliberations on how adaptations may exert their effects.

Results

Feedback from the launch user conferences emphasised the need to broaden the focus of this work beyond the individual-centred behavioural interventions to also encompass examination of community- and ecological-level interventions.

We were able to characterise a range of individual- and more population-level ‘strategies and activities’ for health promotion. The guidance on effective interventions in the population as a
whole identified from 15 UK guidelines and 111 international systematic reviews was, however,
dominated by individual-centred behavioural approaches. The strongest and most consistent
evidence-based guidance was for smoking cessation interventions. Much of this evidence tended
to be individual-level strategies, such as better resource provision and improved interactions
with health-care providers, aiming to increase uptake of and compliance with pharmacological
interventions. In contrast, guidance for activities and strategies associated with promotion of
physical activity and healthy eating was more likely to draw on population-level strategies, such
as environmental changes and settings; these, however, lacked robust evidence of effectiveness,
particularly in effecting clinically important changes that could be sustained over time. From this
literature, 12 out of 15 guidelines and 41 out of 111 systematic reviews were noted to comment
on ethnicity-related considerations. The statements made on ethnicity, however, tended to be
rather cursory, providing little or no comprehensive guidance on how best to adapt the health
promotion interventions being recommended.

Our systematic review of adapted interventions identified a total of 173 relevant reports
comprising 12 relevant theoretical studies, seven systematic reviews and 107 primary studies
(reporting in 154 papers).

The theoretical studies prioritised women as both targets and agents of behavioural change and
suggested a greater need to consider gender influences when planning health promotion for
ethnic minority populations. Goals underpinning or motivating behaviour change in ethnic
minority populations should consider the role of the individual in the context of families and
communities. The development of communication strategies should match the needs and
preferences of ethnic minority populations.

Six (of seven) systematic reviews identified focused on African American populations. Findings
from these systematic reviews were inconclusive. Two reviews suggested that adaptation of
interventions increased the effectiveness of health promotion interventions, but were unable
to identify which specific adaptations were associated with these improved outcomes. Another
review suggested that adaptation increased short-term effectiveness but that these benefits
were not sustained. The remaining four reviews did not report on whether or not adapted
interventions increased effectiveness, attributing this to the lack of available primary research and
the preliminary nature of some of the included studies. Furthermore, there was no clear evidence
on which adaptations are most important to undertake for promoting the health of ethnic
minority populations.

Overall, the corpus of 107 adapted studies showed positive outcomes, but for most of these
studies it was not possible to link effectiveness to adaptations. Only 9/107 primary adapted
intervention studies identified were designed to detect the effectiveness of adaptation, this being
studied through direct comparisons of adapted with standard interventions; the results were
similarly inconclusive. No studies reported on cost-effectiveness considerations.

From the 107 primary adapted interventions we identified a broad spectrum of approaches used
for adaptation, which was catalogued to develop a novel 46-item Typology of Adaptations. These
adaptations were then mapped on to different stages of the research cycle to produce an eight-
stage Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions.

Thematic analysis of the 26 qualitative interviews generated three overarching themes relating to
the need to broaden thinking on ethnicity when adapting health promotion interventions from
the more fixed dimensions (e.g. country of birth, language, religion, ethnic group) to encompass
more contextual dimensions (e.g. participants’ health-care/research exposure, their social
environment and heterogeneity within the participant groups); confirmation of the adaptations
identified in our Typology of Adaptations; and considerations of the practicalities of adapting health promotion interventions. This last theme, for example, highlighted how UK researchers and health promoters would benefit from a greater opportunity to share experiences, this reflecting their sense of isolation as they grappled with the necessary underpinning evidence base in a complex area of activity.

Synthesising this body of diverse evidence highlighted that no adapted intervention was judged to be suitable for widespread implementation. Although all adapted intervention approaches needed further evidence of effect, the use of adaptations was documented to have increased process outcomes such as acceptability, uptake, satisfaction and retention. Adapted approaches to enhance awareness, knowledge and use of and trust in smoking cessation therapies are most likely to generate clinically important returns in the short to medium term. Furthermore, these approaches are likely to prove cost-effective and should, therefore, be prioritised. Adapted approaches for promoting clinically relevant changes in diet and exercise are, however, likely to prove much more challenging, reflecting the need for intensive behavioural approaches and/or more environmental interventions. Candidate environmental approaches include the creation of accessible and safe opportunities for exercise and active lifestyles for individuals, families and communities within the built inner-city environments in which the majority of ethnic minorities live (e.g. walking routes and opportunities for social group-based exercise). Interventions should also test changing the availability of foods served at community organisations and religious institutions, as well as ensuring that any dietary modification or recommendation should prioritise substitution rather than avoidance of commonly consumed and perhaps culturally relevant foods. We developed the RESET (i.e. relevancy, evidence base, stages of intervention, ethnicity and trends) decision-making tool to support future studies in this area.

Conclusions

There is as yet insufficient evidence from available guidelines, systematic reviews and empirical studies to make conclusions about the clinical effectiveness or cost-effectiveness of unadapted compared with adapted health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating. However, the overall body of adapted interventions suggests that careful consideration of target group needs and contextual factors can enhance planning, engagement, implementation and retention. Despite increasing ethnic diversity in the UK and Europe, it is salutary to note that most of the empirical work reported to date has been based in the USA, overwhelmingly undertaken among African American populations. This research is inherently difficult to generalise to a UK context as, for example, the majority of African Americans share the same language and religion and many aspects of diet as the majority population, which is less likely to be the case among UK ethnic minority groups. Generating transferable lessons is further complicated by the poor theorising and reporting of adaptation approaches in many of these studies. The 46-item Typology of Adaptations, the eight-stage Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions and the RESET tool we have developed will, we hope, facilitate the improved design and reporting of future studies, which need to focus on high-quality head-to-head trials comparing the effectiveness of adapted and standard approaches to promoting health.

Implications for future research

- Guideline developers and systematic reviewers of health promotion interventions should be encouraged to make clearer the extent to which the evidence relates to ethnic minority populations.
There is a lack of evidence-based models and tools to guide researchers and practitioners on how to best adapt health promotion interventions, which contributes to the poor design and reporting of much of this body of work. The models and tools we have developed during this study should now be tested and, if necessary, refined before more widespread use.

A key research recommendation is the need for more high-quality research comparing carefully adapted interventions with more standard approaches to facilitate assessment of effectiveness of the adaptation(s). There is a need for the cost-effectiveness of these adapted interventions to be established.

The development and testing of approaches enhancing the use of smoking cessation therapies is supported by strong evidence and guidance, and therefore needs to be prioritised; such approaches, if found to be effective, are also very likely to prove cost-effective.

Our qualitative work revealed that there are many researchers and practitioners breaking new ground and that they would, in view of the uncertainties in the evidence base and challenges inherent in undertaking this work, value peer support of the kind that is now more routinely available in the USA. A UK-based academic network could readily meet this need for UK workers and, indeed, possibly the needs of many European academics and health promoters working in ethnic minority health.

Finally, given that the prevalence of long-term conditions is projected to soar and populations are likely to become increasingly ethnically diverse, it is important that this evidence synthesis is periodically updated in order to inform deliberations and planning on how best to deliver equitable health services and outcomes for all.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

Background and overview

There is now a considerable body of evidence revealing that some ethnic minority groups in the UK experience disproportionate levels of morbidity and mortality compared with the majority white European-origin population. These patterns have also been documented in most economically developed countries and are particularly pronounced for a range of long-term conditions. In an attempt to better understand the reasons underlying these ethnic variations in incidence and outcomes, attention has turned to describing the prevalence of underlying risk factors for these conditions, and also the access to and quality of care. Although relative social and economic disadvantages, which are legacies of long-standing social, structural and historical factors, are major contributors to these marked and persistent health inequalities, behavioural patterns also affect the day-to-day health experiences for individuals and communities and these are potentially more amenable to change.

Reducing health inequalities is a declared national priority in many economically developed countries. Across these countries, health promotion approaches are increasingly seen as the long-term strategies most likely to prove clinically effective and cost-effective for preventing disease and improving health outcomes in those with established disease. For many of the key chronic diseases, such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease (CVD) and cancers, the main preventable risk factors are behaviours such as smoking, physical inactivity and unhealthy eating, and tackling these behaviours therefore represents the focus of the majority of health promotion interventions internationally. There is hence a considerable body of completed research and work in progress seeking to identify the ways in which to tackle these risk factors, whether individually or collectively.

A key assumption underpinning the work in this report is that health promotion interventions found to be effective in the general population are also, if appropriately adapted, likely to prove effective in ethnic minority populations. Building on this premise we began our research by first identifying health promotion interventions that have been shown to be effective in promoting smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating in the general population, and in turn identifying what evidence, if any, was available in high-level evidence syntheses (guidelines and systematic reviews) on the effectiveness of these interventions for ethnic minority populations and, in particular, for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations, which constitute the populations of interest in our enquiry. Adding to this foundation we then systematically identified, critically reviewed, and interpreted the evidence on adapted interventions targeting these same modifiable risk factors in the three target populations. In-depth qualitative interviews with researchers and health promoters with experience of developing, delivering and evaluating adapted interventions for our target populations further supplemented this systematic review of adapted interventions. This body of quantitative and qualitative evidence was then synthesised in order to distil overarching findings for future research. Our work and approach was informed throughout by ongoing engagement with likely end users of this research.
Introduction

Concepts and terminology

Ethnicity

Ethnicity is a complex and contested concept. Definitions of ethnicity vary, for example, across nations, as does the nomenclature that is used. For example, in the USA, ‘race’ and ‘ethnicity’ are often used interchangeably, whereas in much of Europe, ‘migrant’ is the preferred term, this term encompassing a diverse group of people such as students, labourers and asylum seekers. In the UK, ethnicity often encompasses ‘race’, whereas in other countries ethnicity is a concept of cultural affiliation and is therefore distinct from race. Despite these important differences, there is emerging scientific consensus on the preferential usage of the term ‘ethnicity’. A recent analysis of MEDLINE indexed articles, for example, showed that the use of ‘ethnicity’ has now surpassed the use of ‘race’, both in the USA and worldwide. Given this movement and indeed our own substantial contributions to this debate, we preferentially use the term ‘ethnicity’ in this report.

Furthermore, the particular terminology used to describe broader ethnic groups may also differ between countries. In the UK, for example, the label ‘Asian’ is often used to refer to Bangladeshi-, Indian- and Pakistani-origin populations. Conversely, in the USA, ‘Asian’ is more commonly used to describe people of Chinese, Korean and Japanese origin. In other countries, nationality, citizenship, name, country of birth, parents’/grandparents’ country of birth and religion are used either alone or in combination as proxies for ethnicity. A definition of the term ‘ethnicity’ as used in public health is found in Box 1 along with the ethnic categories and definitions, we employed when conducting this work.

Although we have chosen a particular approach to dealing with nomenclature-related issues, we recognise that the use of the term ‘ethnicity’ is contingent on history, politics and geography. Who belongs and who does not and how someone identifies himself or herself or how he or she is identified are context and situation dependent. As public health and its related fields, such as epidemiology and the social sciences, strive to detect and address patterns of disease within populations, ‘ethnicity’ (usefully) remains a contested term as an outcome of its location amid the tension between the search for similarities and differences. Consequently, ethnicity and its derivatives, such as ‘ethnic groups’, have, we appreciate, been conceptualised in many ways.

Overwhelmingly, the contemporary literature gives primacy to self-identified ethnicity, and, although this is ethically appealing and likely to best approximate ‘ethnicity’, it is worth bearing in mind that this seemingly personal ‘choice’ is a balance of preference, identification (by others) and (self-)identity, as we rarely classify ourselves in a vacuum. Therefore, in the present study, ‘ethnicity’ is emphasised as an intersubjective activity that is contingent on a range of contextual considerations. Furthermore, conventions and self-identified ethnicity can change over time. For example, in the UK, ‘black and minority ethnic’ is a relatively new term used to include all visible and invisible minorities (including the Irish, for example). However, there have been more recent moves away from using ‘ethnic minority’ and its derivatives altogether, opting instead for terms such as ‘multicultural’, ‘pluralistic’ and ‘diverse’. This can be contrasted with the use of a more ‘racialised ethnicity’ in the USA, where demarcation is more likely to be based on ‘white’ versus ‘non-white’, and ‘people of colour’ is an institutionally acceptable term. Similarly, colour parameters to define populations are used in Canada, where ‘visible minorities’ is a widely employed substitute for ‘race’. The fact that groups of people can be moved in and out of these categories gives credence to the highly contextual nature of ‘ethnicity’; for example, Aboriginal people were once included among Canada’s ‘visible minorities’ whereas now the term refers only to non-white immigrants.
Using the term ‘ethnicity’ without reference to the context in which it was conceptualised or the method by which the term was assigned is thus problematic and limits its analytical value in research. Often related to or synonymous with ethnicity is ‘culture’, which has, to an extent, now replaced ‘race’ to describe sets of seemingly innate and immutable characteristics, or is otherwise differentiated from ‘race’ by rather indistinct criteria. Irrespective of the terminology used, there is furthermore a tendency towards ‘groupism’, in which ‘ethnically, racially and nationally named populations continue to be construed as entities and cast as actors’. Groupism is evident in health-care research given how commonplace it is to compare the health of ethnic minority groups and/or describe their raised incidence or prevalence of a
particular disease. This tendency towards groupism, when compounded by an equal propensity to locate ill-health in individuals and their bodies and their cultures, suggests that we have not moved far from ‘race’ in the entrenchment of concepts and ideas associated with particular ethnic minority populations.

In this study we hoped to progress the concept of ‘ethnicity’ to one that shifts the focus from defining what an ethnic group is to defining how ethnicity is enacted, or, in other words, moving away from characterising ethnic groups as is towards describing ethnicity-mediated effects in different contexts, which requires an active engagement with more process-focused definitions. Furthermore, we sought to encourage thinking on culture away from it being either a liability or an asset, as this view maintains the concept of culture within the framework of immutable traits, akin to previous conceptions of race. Instead, we hoped to situate culture as one dimension alongside, for example, politics and economy, environment and context, to explain observed advantages and disadvantages. Such approaches will, we hope, contribute to advancing ethnicity as a useful analytical concept in health.

In this study we use the term ‘ethnicity’ in a generic sense to encompass a few, some, or all of the following dimensions: ancestry, culture, language, physical features and religion. Figure 1 provides examples for each of the more traditional dimensions of ethnicity and illustrates how these five dimensions of ethnicity overlap to constitute ‘ethnicity’.

**Health promotion interventions**

The goal of health promotion is to enhance positive health and reduce the risk of ill health by encouraging the pursuit of a number of actions; these actions are commonly classified as health education or prevention. An inclusive definition of disease prevention was used in this study to encompass strategies that seek to limit the manifestation of disease through risk reduction, but also to manage its consequences and/or improve prognosis when disease is present. Similarly, a broad definition of education can include communication strategies to improve health literacy: knowledge, attitudes and beliefs and skills relating to health. Health promotion interventions are therefore conscious and planned actions towards achieving these goals. Health promotion interventions may encompass initiatives at various levels, ranging from individual-, organisational- and institutional-level initiatives to environmental- and policy-based initiatives. Although we were open to any approaches to health promotion interventions, the

**FIGURE 1** Dimensions contributing to the definition of ethnicity.
body of evidence we uncovered focused on individual-centred health education and prevention approaches as it is in relation to these approaches that the evidence is currently best developed. We acknowledge that, in the UK at least, the term ‘health promotion’ has, over recent years, largely been replaced by the term ‘health improvement’ as one of the three main activities in the public health domain.

**Adaptation of health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations**

Adapting an intervention for ethnic minority populations should involve an explicit set of methods and processes that can be used to increase its appropriateness or salience. Adaptation can take place at any step along the intervention pathway and is aimed at improving access to, engagement in, participation with and sustainability of an intervention. Adaptation of interventions is, for example, important to improve access to health services or promote health-enhancing behaviours for ethnic minority populations when there are major language barriers. However, a more fundamental rationale for undertaking adaptation is that the body of work underpinning the entire field of health promotion has been undertaken primarily in white European-origin populations, with ethnic minority populations rarely being studied or considered. Aspects of health promotion interventions may not therefore be optimised, suitable or even appropriate for ethnic minority populations. The fact that there exist substantial and persistent inequalities in health outcomes and status represents an additional important argument for the need to adapt interventions.

Adaptation is referred to by a wide variety of other terms, including ‘culturally appropriate’, ‘culturally sensitive’, ‘culturally tailored’, ‘culturally targeted’ or ‘modified’. The degree to which an intervention is determined to be adapted or culturally sensitive is measured by ‘the extent to which ethnic/cultural characteristics, experiences, norms, values, behavioural patterns and beliefs of a target population as well as relevant historical, environmental and social forces are incorporated in the design, delivery, and evaluation of targeted health promotion materials and program’.

In this study we opted for the terms ‘adapted’ or ‘modified’, as opposed to using a term prefixed by ‘culturally’, as we have defined culture as being a part of ethnicity, but not its only constituent. We were thereby seeking to recognise that ethnicity can influence health through aspects other than culture. In addition, terms such as ‘targeted’ and ‘tailored’ are also sometimes used to convey the purpose of increasing appropriateness. Definitions have been proposed to clearly differentiate between these two terms, with ‘targeted’ reserved for adaptations made for a ‘defined population subgroup that takes into account characteristics shared by the subgroup’s members’, and ‘tailored’ being ‘intended to reach one specific person, based on characteristics … derived from an individual assessment’. Although we were interested only in targeted interventions, we recognised that there may be unclear and inconsistent usage in terminology and we therefore gave consideration to interventions that may have been ‘tailored’ to an individual. Furthermore, although adaptation usually excludes interventions developed from scratch, for example through community-based participatory research, we included these interventions when there was support from UK guidelines and international systematic reviews for the underlying intervention. Therefore, adaptation can refer to an adaptation of a specific or generic intervention programme. Adapted interventions in this study can refer to a range of interventions as well, from individual to community based.

**Increasing ethnic diversity**

Ethnic diversity is now a feature of urban centres in most economically developed societies and this demographic trend is expected to continue. The 2001 UK census (including England, Northern Ireland, Wales and Scotland) reported 4.6 million people (7.9% of the population) who
identified themselves as belonging to a black or other ethnic minority group. Around half of the ethnic minority population were South Asians of Bangladeshi, Indian, Pakistani or other Asian origin; a further quarter were black of black Caribbean, black African or other black origin; 15% were from the mixed ethnic group; and < 1% were of Chinese origin. The number of people identifying with an ethnic minority group increased by 53% between 1991 and 2001. The UK has recently completed the 2011 census, which will provide more contemporary data on the ethnic composition of the nation. It is projected that, by 2051, 20% of the population will identify with an ethnic minority group.

Parts of Western Europe share similar degrees of ethnic diversity to the UK; for example, in 2005, Europe was the destination for 34% of the global migrant population. There are, however, some difficulties in compiling ethnicity data on populations in Europe for multiple reasons, including the heterogeneity in terminology used and the availability of census data. Data collected can contain combinations of different parameters, such as country of birth of parents, country of birth, citizenship, nationality, ethnicity, language and religion. The importance of collecting reliable and valid ethnicity data for health-care research that can be comparable between European countries has been recognised by projects such as the Migrant and Ethnic minority Health Observatory project (MEHO).

In the USA, the census and the American Community Survey provide population demographics. Data from the American Community Survey indicate that approximately 26% of the US population are from non-white ethnic groups. Similarly, the 2000 US census reported that 22.9% of the population identified themselves as 'non-white', this group comprised of 12.9% black or African Americans, 4.2% Asians, 1.5% American Indians or Alaskan Natives, 0.3% Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders and 6.6% from other 'races' or a mixture of races.

The 2006 Canadian census documented over 200 minority populations within the country. 'Visible minorities' accounted for 16.2% of Canada’s total population in 2006, up from 13.4% in 2001 and 11.2% in 1996. Between 2001 and 2006, Canada's visible minority population increased by 27.2%, a rate five times faster than the 5.4% growth rate of the total population. South Asians became Canada's largest visible minority group in 2006, surpassing the Chinese-origin population for the first time in history. Filipino (8.1%), Latin American (6.0%), Arab (5.2%), South-East Asian (4.7%), West Asian (3.1%), Korean (2.8%) and Japanese (1.6%) people represented some of the other visible minority groups. There was also a small proportion (4.0%) of individuals who belonged to more than one visible minority group or to other visible minorities such as Pacific Islanders.

In New Zealand the largest ethnic minority population is the indigenous New Zealand Maori population (14.6%). However, Asian (Chinese, Korean, Indian, Filipino, Japanese, Sri Lankan and Cambodian) and Pacific populations increased by almost 50% and 14.7%, respectively, from the 2001 census to the 2006 census. Middle Eastern, Latin American and African groups totalled 0.9% of the population.

In Australia the census data do not capture self-identified ethnicity per se, but cover a range of proxy indicators including parents’ country of birth, country of birth, ancestry, Australian citizenship, indigenous status, language spoken at home, proficiency in spoken English and religious affiliation. In the 2006 census, 30% of Australian residents were born outside of Australia, this representing a slight increase from 2001. Of people born overseas, the most common countries of birth were England, New Zealand, China, Italy and Vietnam. In the same census, 2.3% of the population identified themselves as being of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander origin.
These data demonstrate the effects of globalisation on population demographics: 'never before in history has the movement of diverse racial, cultural, ethnic, religious, and linguistic groups within and across nation states been so extensive, so rapid'.

Although each of these (and other) nations have their own unique history of diversity that may include indigenous populations, colonisation and unique pathways of migration, they share a common challenge of responding to the changing needs of their populations in a just and equitable manner. A key first step in this process is to reliably capture data on the ethnic composition of the population in order to assess population needs and identify inequalities. These data can then be used to develop approaches and monitor progress with respect to achieving delivery of appropriate and equitable health services for all sections of the population.

**Justification for focusing on African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations**

Our focus on these three broad ethnic groupings was a conscious decision supported by the demographics presented in the preceding section. African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin people account for the majority of the diversity documented within the UK, and also documented in other economically developed countries – albeit in differing proportions in different countries. Given the differences noted above in terminology used across economically developed countries to describe their ethnic populations, we opted for terminology that would capture a broad range of ethnicities. For example, 'African origin' can refer to an Afro-Caribbean population in the UK, an African American population in the USA and also an African population in New Zealand, as different migratory histories have dictated the varying representation of these ethnic groups in their respective countries. For 'Chinese-origin' populations the definition is used more or less consistently across countries, describing a population with origins in mainland China or Hong Kong. Sometimes Chinese-origin people are grouped along with other 'Asian' populations, although this is more commonly observed in the USA than elsewhere. For this reason we have a distinct 'South Asian'-origin term, which encompasses people with origins in Bangladesh, India, Pakistan and Sri Lanka (see Box 1).

**Inequalities in health**

**Chronic disease in economically developed societies**

Chronic or non-communicable disease represents the largest disease burden worldwide. These long-term conditions prominently include diabetes, CVD [including ischaemic heart disease (IHD)], respiratory diseases and cancers, and they have come to surpass communicable disease as the leading causes of death. Chronic disease is estimated to account for 35 million deaths per year, representing 60% of all deaths worldwide, while 20% of chronic disease deaths occur in high-income countries. Chronic disease, therefore, presents one of the biggest health challenges in these economically developed nations.

Although the prevalence of and deaths from chronic disease appear overall to be increasing in low-income nations, and in many contexts surpassing the rates of communicable disease such as human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immune deficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS), tuberculosis and malaria, the trend is less consistent in economically developed societies. Sharp decreases in age-standardised mortality rates from IHD from a high in the 1960s, for example, have been documented across countries such as Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the UK and the USA. Within countries, for example in the USA, the age-adjusted incidence of cancer at all sites has also significantly declined in recent years (1999–2006).

The numbers of people diagnosed with diabetes has been seen to increase. The USA, for example, has experienced an upward trend from 5.6 to 18.1 million cases from 1980 to 2008.
with projections of the number of people diagnosed or undiagnosed with diabetes by 2030
being between 37.7 and 47.3 million; however, these estimates differ significantly depending
on the model used.55 In the UK there were 2.6 million people with diagnosed diabetes in 2009,
representing an average prevalence of 4%.56 We know that chronic disease increases the risk of
premature death and decreases quality of life. Furthermore, having one chronic disease increases
susceptibility to and risk of another, for example CVD is the main cause of mortality and
morbidity for people with diagnosed diabetes, accounting for 52% of deaths among people with
diagnosed type 2 diabetes.59 The cost of chronic disease extends beyond health outcomes as it has
implications for health-care systems and for families and communities.51

Overweight and obesity, defined as having a body mass index (BMI) of 25–29.9 kg/m² and
≥ 30 kg/m² in white European-origin populations, respectively, is inextricably linked with the
above-mentioned chronic diseases and will therefore be considered alongside these key long-
term conditions. Furthermore, there is debate about whether or not these BMI cut-offs should be
lowered for people of South Asian origin, given their increased risk of disease at lower BMI cut-
points.57 The prevalence of adult obesity has been on the rise in many countries, albeit at different
rates. In England, the prevalence of obesity is 24.5% in adults aged ≥ 16 years.58 In the USA, the
age-adjusted prevalence of obesity was found to be 33.8% in adults aged ≥ 20 years.59 Although
the prevalence of obesity is lower in Canada than in the USA, obesity prevalence has nonetheless
increased on average 10 percentage points over the past 20 years.60

Ethnic variations in rates of chronic diseases and underlying risk factors
Within economically developed nations with a large proportion of ethnic minority populations,
it is recognised that members of some ethnic groups experience an elevated risk of disease in
comparison with the general population and relative to other ethnic groups. For example, 10-year
CVD61 and type 2 diabetes62 risks were estimated using the QRISK2 and QDScore prediction
algorithms, respectively. Both algorithms were developed and validated using routinely collected
data from 531 general practices across England and Wales.61,62 QRISK2 identified risk of CVD
among South Asian men and women after adjusting for deprivation, diabetes and family
history,61 whereas QDScore found a significant elevated risk of type 2 diabetes by ethnicity after
adjusting for factors such as age, BMI, deprivation and family history of diabetes.62 Significant
heterogeneity was observed, with Bangladeshi-origin men and women at the greatest risk for
diabetes, with an adjusted hazard ratio of 4.07 [95% confidence interval (CI) 3.24 to 5.11] for
women and 4.53 (95% CI 3.67 to 5.59) for men. Pakistani-origin women and men had adjusted
hazard ratios of 2.15 (95% CI 1.84 to 2.52) and 2.54 (95% CI 2.20 to 2.83), respectively,62 and both
Bangladeshi- and Pakistani-origin men had a significantly higher risk than Indian-origin men.
Black African-origin men and Chinese-origin women were also reported to be at increased risk
compared with the white reference group.62 Lower risk was also observed: black African-origin
women had an adjusted hazard ratio of 0.81 (95% CI 0.66 to 0.98) and black Caribbean-origin
women an adjusted hazard ratio of 0.80 (95% CI 0.70 to 0.92).62

Different levels of risk are therefore apparent when ethnic groups are examined independently.
Identifying or belonging to an ethnic group can be associated with both better and worse health,
depending on the outcome considered, and this has been highlighted by health departments and
government organisations around the world.

In the UK, the Health survey for England 2004: the health of minority ethnic groups6 (HSE)
represents a key document outlining the existing health inequalities for the major health
outcomes and their modifiable factors. As the HSE provides survey data, the information is
self-reported and actual disease rates may vary. In the USA, the Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention (CDCP) Health disparities and inequalities report – United States, 20115 represents
a similar effort to capture differences in health outcomes for the main chronic diseases. These documents, along with others, have captured overall trends, including the relative disadvantage of the black population in the USA. For example, black people have been noted to have the highest rate of new cases of all-cause cancer compared with white, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Hispanic and Asian/Pacific Islander populations (1992–2006), despite an observed overall decrease in new cases of cancer.53,63

In the UK, a different distribution of cancers emerges among ethnic minority populations. Overall, ethnic minority populations are reported to have a lower risk of all-cause cancer, with increased risk for certain types of cancers, for example prostate cancer for black men; liver cancer for Asian men and women; oral and cervical cancer for Asian women aged ≥ 65 years of age; cancers of the stomach, liver and myeloma for both black men and women; and cervical cancer for black women aged ≥ 65 years.64 Although overall rates of cancer were reported to be lower for ethnic minority populations in England, health promotion and services must acknowledge the cancer types and risk factors that are most relevant to ethnic minority as well as mainstream populations in order to deliver a more equitable health-care system.

In the USA, the age-adjusted mortality rate for coronary heart disease (CHD) was higher for black men and women than for men and women from other ethnic groups (white, Asian/Pacific Islander, American Indian/Alaskan Native). In the UK, a different trend was observed. For any CVD (including IHD/CHD/coronary artery disease and stroke), black African men had the lowest prevalence and Irish men the highest; for women, those from the general population had the highest prevalence and Bangladeshi women had the lowest. For IHD only, South Asian (Bangladeshi-, Indian- and Pakistani-origin) people had higher mortality rates than the general population, and black Caribbean- and black African-origin people had lower mortality rates than the general population. Within the South Asian-origin population, further differences and disparities were observed.

For obesity, black females aged ≥ 5 years consistently have had the highest prevalence of obesity in the USA from 1988 to 2008. Furthermore, higher obesity rates persisted across income classes for black females whereas an inverse relationship between obesity rates and family income was observed for white and Mexican populations (2005–8). In the UK, black Caribbean- and Irish-origin men were more likely to be obese and Bangladeshi- and Chinese-origin men were less likely to be obese than men in the general population. Black Caribbean-, black African- and Pakistani-origin women had a higher prevalence of obesity than the general population, whereas Chinese-origin people had a much lower prevalence.

In the USA, differences in the prevalence of diabetes between black and Hispanic populations and the white population were observed, with black females having the highest age-specific prevalence of diabetes. In the UK, similar health disparities were observed, with diabetes prevalence higher in Bangladeshi- and Pakistani-origin men than in general population men. Similarly, Pakistani-origin women had a higher prevalence of diabetes than general population women.

From the above summary of ethnic differences in health outcomes and risk factors it is clear that ethnic health disparities have been documented and compared in many economically developed countries. Documenting and comparing trends represents an initial essential step towards the goal of improving the health of the entire population. In striving to reduce these disparities it is vital to capitalise on the opportunities presented by preventive health approaches to chronic disease, which have the potential to greatly improve health outcomes and reduce health inequalities for present and future generations.
Responding to ethnic health inequalities in chronic disease

Justification for focusing on smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating

Chronic diseases can to a large extent be prevented and once present managed through the modification of shared risk factors, in particular unhealthy diet, physical inactivity, tobacco use and alcohol abuse. These are commonly referred to as individual 'lifestyle factors'. Controlling for these risk factors, for example, has the potential to eliminate 80% of CVD and type 2 diabetes and 40% of cancers. The potential health gains of a preventive approach provide the justification to focus on smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating in our study. Alcohol abuse was not within the scope of this study (see Study scope).

These lifestyle risk factors, however, operate within the complexity of everyday life, in which food choices, living conditions, educational achievement and access to health services may not be entirely within the control of the ‘at-risk’ individual. Where an individual or group finds themselves in relation to these social determinants, among other determinants such as income, social status, gender and culture, can increase their susceptibility or enhance their resiliency to these modifiable risk factors. One significant factor is ‘ethnicity’, which can, as noted above, encompass dimensions of ancestry, culture, language, physical features and religion (see Figure 1). The broad reach of ethnicity and its intersection with social and contextual factors that can enable or constrain behaviour needs to be taken into consideration when planning health promotion interventions aiming to prevent the onset of or minimise the impact of chronic diseases.

Prioritising preventive health care

A preventive health approach is warranted given that the underlying causes of chronic disease are to a large extent avoidable through the elimination of shared risk factors such as smoking, physical inactivity and unhealthy eating. Consequently, international, national and local responses have been initiated to support a preventive health-care approach. The World Health Organization (WHO), for example, produced the 2008–2013 Action plan for the global strategy for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases, along with the creation of the NCDnet (global Noncommunicable Disease Network), a voluntary collaboration comprising United Nations agencies, intergovernmental organisations, academic institutions, research centres, non-governmental organisations and the private sector working towards the prevention and control of long-term conditions.

At a national level, countries have responded to the WHO agenda and created strategies to combat chronic disease. The CDCP describes chronic disease as the ‘public health challenge of the 21st Century’ and highlights the huge demand that chronic disease places on the US economy, for example estimated annual expenditures of US$313.8B (2009), US$89.0B (2007) and US$116B (2007) for CVD, cancer and diabetes, respectively. The CDCP actively promotes the preventive approach, with a focus on obesity (physical activity and healthy eating), smoking and alcohol as the most effective and cost-effective methods to tackle chronic disease.

Similarly, in England (2002), the direct cost of treating obesity was estimated to be between £45.8M and £49.0M, and between £945M and £1075M for treating the consequences of obesity, which included CVD and diabetes. For the Scottish population, the equivalent costs were estimated as £175M to NHS Scotland, the majority of the costs being associated with the consequences of obesity, most notably type 2 diabetes (£48M) and hypertension (£38M). Primary prevention is thus rightly a priority strategy for the tackling of chronic disease in the UK, given that almost a quarter of adults in England aged ≥ 16 years have been classified as obese,
with only one-quarter of men and women reporting meeting the government’s ‘5-a-day’ guideline of consuming five or more portions of fruit and vegetables a day.70 Other economically developed countries, including Canada,71 New Zealand72–74 and Australia,75 are similarly making the prevention of chronic disease a health policy priority. In Canada, an investment of C$300M over 5 years has been made towards disease prevention.71 Equally, in European countries, recognition of the health and economic burden of chronic disease and the importance of a co-ordinated preventive health approach76 has led to the establishment of the European Chronic Disease Alliance77 to influence the policies of European member states towards a unified prevention approach to chronic disease. A corresponding alliance was formed in Australia to monitor and advocate for a national preventive health strategy.78

The majority of these strategies, in reflection of the broader literature, also recognise the contribution of chronic disease to inequalities in health.77,79–83 The CDCP, for example, underscores how chronic disease disproportionately affects ethnic minority populations and has called for equity in the provision of health promotion programmes that are accessible and target the social determinants of health.67 Creating effective, appropriate preventive health/health promotion programmes for ethnic minority groups is thus now seen as a key strategy in reducing ethnic disparities in health.

**Evidence of effective preventive health care for ethnic minority populations**

The preventive health approach includes health promotion campaigns, interventions and services to decrease tobacco use, increase physical activity and improve diet to reduce and control chronic diseases and the approaches adopted are often steered by international guidelines and national health policies. General recommendations for type, dose and duration of physical activity to improve health are usually made without specific recommendations for gender, ethnicity or income group. Evidence-based UK guidelines, for example, include four methods to increase physical activity for the population,79 although a new framework has been established to drive local physical activity activities84 (see Chapter 4). Despite these strategies there is low adherence to recommended levels of physical activity for health85 in most ethnic minority groups compared with adherence in the general population, except for the black Caribbean-origin population.6 Low prevalence of physical activity has most strikingly been noted in Bangladeshi- and Pakistani-origin women compared with the general population.6 Given that a higher prevalence of obesity and diabetes clusters within these South Asian-origin groups, a more concerted effort is required to determine the differential needs of, and identify approaches to increasing physical activity in, these population groups.85

A review of the effectiveness of interventions to promote healthy eating in people from ethnic minority groups80 found limited research in the UK; the majority of evidence came from the USA. A more recent review of the healthy eating of UK South Asians81 reported that there had been an increase in research that could help develop effective interventions, but with little attempt to synthesise this evidence for use by health practitioners. The Welsh Assembly Government undertook a review of the health promotion evidence base for ethnic minority groups and, regarding healthy eating, concluded that the messages may not be appropriate or understood by a high percentage of the population.82 Recent evidence-based UK guidelines on preventing type 2 diabetes, including increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating in high-risk groups, have demonstrated some consideration for ethnic minority populations.83

In the USA, a main driving force directing preventive strategies is the *Healthy people 2010* initiative, now superseded by *Healthy people 2020*.85 Although emphasising that additional effort is needed to reach subgroups according to age, gender, socioeconomic position and ethnicity, there is also acknowledgement that the evidence is lacking to inform more definitive recommendations for what works in these subpopulations. Federal initiatives such as a National
Tobacco Quitline network and public–private initiatives such as insurance coverage for tobacco treatment have been proposed to promote smoking cessation, while evidence-based guidance provides key recommendations for the treatment of tobacco dependence. There remain, however, health disparities in tobacco-related health outcomes, as indicated by the differential prevalence of current smokers. Although prevalence is comparable between white (non-Hispanic) and black (non-Hispanic) populations, prevalence is low among the Asian (non-Hispanic) population. For those reporting multiple ‘races’, however, the prevalence is higher than in the white (non-Hispanic) population. Beyond disparities in prevalence, there are other pronounced differences in usage, environmental contact, commencement, treatment and health outcomes related to tobacco use.

In the UK, the NHS Stop Smoking Service is the primary vehicle for delivering cessation services. There is, however, documented evidence of lower use of the Stop Smoking Service by ethnic minority groups, which may be a consequence of these services not being linguistically or culturally appropriate or sensitive. Guidance that highlights best practice in tobacco prevention and cessation for ethnic minority communities can help to support Stop Smoking Service managers working at the front line within their catchments, as it is commonly believed that the services need to be made more accessible, rather than tailored per se. These additional efforts are needed to address smoking health disparities, particularly among Bangladeshi-origin men who have the highest prevalence of cigarette smoking in England, followed by white Irish-origin men and women and Pakistani-origin men and black Caribbean-origin women. All of these groups have higher smoking prevalence than the general population. Among Bangladeshi-origin women, chewing tobacco is much more prevalent than cigarette smoking and potentially represents a gap in the current services as smokeless tobacco falls outside the official scope of stop smoking programmes. Therefore, in the case of smokeless tobacco cessation, targeted services may be appropriate based on a local needs assessment, as there may be potential difficulties with access.

When determining whether adapted mainstream services or specially developed, culturally sensitive services would be most appropriate, needs assessments are essential to draw out local knowledge and attitudes towards health, as well as draw on local assets and resources. In particular, how ethnicity relates to eating practices, physical activity and smoking is contingent on many inter-related factors such as age, gender, culture, education, income, family structure and immigration status, in the context of the local environment. Insight into these issues and its application to preventive services is likely to increase successful uptake and implementation of health promotion activities and strategies.

Furthermore, even if services were believed to be culturally sensitive, the reasons for their underuse are multifaceted and may include aspects such as location and available hours of services; appropriateness to language, gender, culture and religious norms; and preferences for and linkages with other community services and institutions. Overall, research suggests that, if services demonstrated appreciation for the importance of diversity without reverting to stereotypes, provided respectful and supportive staff, increased accessibility to information on services and created a safe environment without discrimination, they were reported as being acceptable by ethnic minority people.

**Study rationale**

In view of the burden of long-term conditions experienced by ethnic minority populations in the UK (and indeed in many other economically developed countries) and the associated lack of evidence on how best to provide preventive health care to these large and increasing subsections
of the population, there is a pressing need to identify optimal approaches to health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations. This evidence should ideally be pursued through primary research, but evidence shows that ethnic minority populations tend to be marginalised from much of this research, let alone its focus. This body of evidence is therefore likely to take many years if not decades to accrue. In the meantime, it is, we believe, important to undertake parallel work on identifying approaches to promoting health that have proven effectiveness in the general population. We hypothesise that, when these effective approaches are appropriately adapted and made culturally relevant, they too can be effective for ethnic minority populations.

Our study builds and expands on the work by Netto et al., which extracted five common principles of adaptation for CHD-reducing health promotion interventions for Chinese-, Indian- and Pakistani-origin populations studied in relation to smoking cessation, physical activity and healthier diets, through the addition of an additional ethnic group (African origin). The five principles include (1) drawing on community resources for promotion and increasing accessibility; (2) identifying barriers to access and participation; (3) developing language- and format-appropriate strategies; (4) utilising cultural and/or religious values strategies that promote change; and (5) accommodating for different levels of cultural identification. These principles elaborate on how cultural communities should be engaged. We also drew on a substantially larger body of theoretical and empirical evidence. For example, secondary health promotion interventions, using physical activity and dietary activities to improve outcomes for diabetes, as well as community-wide intervention studies for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating have been studied. Given the lack of direct evidence and the pressing need to improve the health of all populations, including the reduction of health disparities, we cast a wide net to capture all of the available evidence on adapted interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating in order to determine the effectiveness of adapted health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations.

**Study scope**

The field of ethnicity and health is broad and far-reaching, as has been illustrated by the above introductory note. In the context of this report, we will henceforth be focusing on the three main populations of interest to this study, that is, African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations, along with the three areas of health promotion important for the prevention and/or reduction of chronic diseases, namely, smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating. Literature on other ethnic populations, such as the Hispanic population in the USA and the indigenous populations in the USA, Canada, New Zealand and Australia, although important and potentially very useful in informing learning about adapting interventions, was not within the remit of this particular piece of work. Furthermore, as noted above, although alcohol is another modifiable risk factor salient for chronic disease prevention and control, it was purposefully excluded, as there is a lack of consensus across countries and communities as to what constitutes an acceptable/unacceptable alcohol intake and how this differs from high-risk alcohol use. On the other hand, the recommendations for smoking, physical activity and healthy eating and their associated health outcomes are relatively clear-cut and agreed upon.

Furthermore, the primary purpose of this report and its research components is to support a conceptual and methodological study of the issue of adapting interventions to meet the needs of ethnic minority populations. This purpose is in direct response to an Health Technology Assessment (HTA) commissioning brief (see Appendix 1) that sought research on how to adapt interventions to meet the needs of ethnic minority groups. The brief works with the assumption that adaptation should be pursued as the best way forward to reduce the observed inequities for some health conditions among particular ethnic minority populations.
Structure of the remainder of this report

Chapter 2 summarises the aims and objectives of this work and describes how the various research components complemented and inter-related with each other. Chapter 3 provides a description of the two user engagement conferences held to launch the study and discuss our preliminary findings. The four major components of this study each have their own dedicated chapter (Chapters 4–7). Chapter 4 provides evidence on effective smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating health promotion interventions from UK guidelines and international systematic reviews for the general population; Chapter 5 considers the description of ethnicity in UK guidelines and international systematic reviews; Chapter 6 reports on our systematic review of adapted health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations; and Chapter 7 presents the findings from the qualitative interviews with researchers and health promoters who have adapted health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations. Chapters 4–7 can be read as self-contained studies, each with their own abstract, background context, research questions, methods, results and discussion sections. Chapter 8 synthesises the results from the preceding chapters using a realist framework derived from principles outlined by Pawson and Tilley. In the final chapter (see Chapter 9) we critically reflect on the strengths and limitations of this research and seek to place our findings within the broader body of work; we conclude by offering recommendations for future research.

In view of the recognition that some readers may read only certain chapters, we spell out abbreviations in full at first mention in each chapter.
Chapter 2

Aims, objectives and overview of research methods

Aims

We aimed to identify, describe, appraise and interpret research on the processes and procedures employed to maximise the cross-cultural validity, utility and effectiveness of health promotion interventions. As discussed in the previous chapter, we focused on health behaviours known to be associated with considerable morbidity and mortality. Specifically, we undertook a phased programme of research to study the cultural adaptation of three key health promotion areas – smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating – that are potentially applicable to African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations. These groups represent the major ethnic minority groupings in the UK and the USA and feature prominently in the diverse populations of, for example, Canada, New Zealand and Australia (discussed in Chapter 1).

Research objectives

This programme of work included three phases, described in the following sections.

Phase 1 objectives

A. To identify and summarise information on health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating that are of proven effectiveness for use in populations at large.
B. To assess to what degree ethnic minority populations are considered within the evidence base for smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating health promotion interventions known to be effective in populations at large.
C. To identify health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity, and improving healthy eating that have been adapted to meet the needs of African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin ethnic minority populations, describe the adaptation approaches used and assess the clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, feasibility, acceptability and equity of these adapted approaches.

Phase 2 objective

D. To understand the rationale for and processes and experiences of adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations and to summarise lessons learned.

Phase 3 objective

E. To identify which adapted interventions are ready for implementation and establish which interventions require further research.

The research questions arising from these objectives are discussed in subsequent chapters (see Chapters 4–8). Figure 2 outlines the relationship between the three phases, five objectives (A–E) and 10 questions and maps them onto the chapter(s) in which they are discussed in more detail.
The original scientific rationale for this study is included in Appendix 2. The relatively few amendments made to aspects of work outlined in the original scientific rationale are detailed in Appendix 3. These in the main reflected our responses to the unexpectedly large volume of literature identified.
Overview of methods

A mixed-methods approach was employed to collate and synthesise data from multiple sources. Our methods included a review of UK guidelines and international systematic reviews to identify evidence on health promotion interventions for the general population; a systematic review of interventions adapted for ethnic minority populations; qualitative interviews with researchers and health promoters developing, delivering and evaluating adapted interventions; and, finally, a realist synthesis of the results from each of the preceding components. We provide below a brief overview of the methods used for orientation purposes; more detailed information is contained in subsequent chapters (see Chapters 3–8). Figure 3 summarises the relationships linking the methods used in the study.

User engagement

User engagement was undertaken throughout our project through the inclusion of lay members on our Independent Project Steering Committee; in addition, we held two user conferences, one to launch the study and one to share and discuss our preliminary findings. The details of our user engagement approach and conferences are found in Chapter 3.

UK guidelines and international systematic reviews

Our review of UK guidelines and international systematic reviews was designed to examine what health promotion interventions have proven effectiveness in the general population for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating. Details of effectiveness for the general population were extracted from guidelines and systematic reviews and a summary framework of effective interventions was created for behaviour change in general and for each of the health promotion topics of interest (see Chapter 4). These frameworks were further compared through a review of adapted interventions that lack a strong underlying evidence base, supplemented with contextual, real world insights from interviews. Mixed methods were synthesised through a realist synthesis. Figure 3 provides an overview of the study methods and the relationships linking the methods.
with the adapted interventions to identify where there was overlap and to identify research gaps in which promising evidence-based interventions for the general population have not yet been adapted for ethnic minority populations (see Chapter 8).

This same body of literature was also searched to determine whether or not recommendations and interventions made by UK guidelines and international systematic reviews were equally applicable to ethnic minority populations (see Chapter 5).

**Systematic review of adapted interventions**

We then conducted a systematic review of health promotion interventions to identify smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating interventions that have been adapted for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations. From the included studies we identified the adaptation approaches utilised in these interventions (see Chapter 6). The included studies were also assessed for clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness in relation to health behaviour change and associated outcomes.

**Qualitative interviews**

In parallel with the systematic review we carried out qualitative interviews with key researchers and health promoters who had been involved in developing, delivering and evaluating adapted health promotion interventions to supplement the systematic review of published literature. In-depth semi-structured interviews were conducted either by telephone or face-to-face and were recorded and transcribed. Transcripts were coded and thematically analysed to identify overarching themes (see Chapter 7).

**Realist synthesis**

Finally, we synthesised the findings from the above phases of work – that is, the user engagement conferences, the review of UK guidelines and international systematic reviews, the systematic review of adapted interventions and qualitative interviews with researchers and health promoters – using the explanatory framework offered by realistic evaluation\(^\text{102}\) to understand better how adapted interventions work and to identify interventions ready for implementation and prioritise future research (see Chapter 8).

**Ethics**

Ethical considerations were outlined in the original scientific rationale (see Appendix 2) and an application was submitted to the School of Health in Social Science Research Ethics Committee for the qualitative interviews (see Appendix 4).
Chapter 3
User engagement

Approaches to user engagement

The value and importance of involving service users in research was explicitly recognised from the outset of this study and a commitment was made to the approaches advocated by INVOLVE, a UK public engagement in health research advisory group funded by the National Institute for Health Research. The term ‘service users’ carried a dual meaning in this study as it was used to represent both users of health services and users of health research. It was felt important to involve research users such as academics and health service providers (at governmental, non-governmental and community level) and bridge the gap between research and the delivery of interventions from the outset. Several approaches were therefore employed to integrate public perspectives into the research study phases. Particular attention was paid to ensuring the representation of the views of diverse ethnic minority communities while recognising the risk of exploiting or exhausting their ability to contribute. Our approaches to user involvement thus included:

- representation of the Expert Patient Panel of the Leicester Centre for Ethnic Health Research on the Independent Project Steering Committee
- an Ethnicity and Health launch conference designed to engage users and obtain feedback on the research proposal and methods
- a second Ethnicity and Health dissemination conference to present and discuss preliminary findings from our work
- a project website (www.chs.med.ed.ac.uk/ethnicity-and-health/) to facilitate interaction with service users that made available contact details of the project team, relevant study materials and the presentations from the two study conferences discussed below.

We consider the two project conferences in more detail in the following sections.

Launch conference

This conference was held in London, England in June 2009. Speakers included members of the project team and international experts in ethnicity and health research from the UK, the USA and Europe. A total of 81 delegates attended the conference from a wide variety of stakeholder organisations. Interactive workshops allowed smaller group discussion of topics pertinent to the research methods, including approaches to multiple behaviour change; the role of culture/acculturation in interventions for ethnic minority communities; reflecting on community-adapted programmes; and adaptation in non-health contexts. The programme for this conference is available in Appendix 5. This conference was reported in the British Medical Journal (www.bmj.com/content/338/bmj.b2326.extract).

Conference findings and recommendations

Overall the conference was very well evaluated (see Appendix 6). The main feedback from this conference emphasised the importance of incorporating ecological models of health promotion and not limiting the study focus to individual health behaviours. Delegates also suggested that there should be a greater consideration of a variety of intervention contexts, for example those outside of traditional health-care delivery, to include health promotion delivered by commercial...
organisations and social marketing strategies. There was also a shared feeling that greater linkages were required between people working in ethnic minority health to better facilitate the exchange of research findings. This concern stemmed from the observation that, although there appeared to be substantial work accumulating internationally, there was little integration of this work, which could potentially result in an unnecessary duplication of effort.

**Actions**

We undertook the following actions in response to these user recommendations:

- active consideration of all health promotion interventions including community-level and ecological interventions and also health promotion programmes delivered by commercial organisations and through social marketing strategies (see Chapters 4, 6 and 8)
- development of an Ethnicity and Health website on the University of Edinburgh’s server as a platform for ongoing user engagement (www.chs.med.ed.ac.uk/ethnicity-and-health/)
- dissemination of a list of attendees to facilitate collaborative working and communication
- liaison with researchers and others who attended the conference to share relevant papers.

**Dissemination conference**

Our second user engagement event was a project dissemination conference held in Edinburgh, Scotland in February 2011. This event was held towards the end of the project and provided us with the opportunity to share, discuss and debate our preliminary conclusions, and refine these in the light of participant feedback. A total of 71 delegates attended the conference from a wide variety of stakeholder organisations – some of the participants were attendees at the first conference. In addition to the presentation of project findings, interactive workshops allowed smaller group discussion and feedback on the project outputs including methodological reflections on complex systematic reviews; theoretical considerations on the principles of adaptation; translation of study research findings into practice; and identifying key future research themes. The programme for the conference can be found in Appendix 7.

**Conference findings and recommendations**

This conference was also very well evaluated (see Appendix 8). The main recommendations from the conference were for a greater consideration of the effects of socioeconomic status (SES) in the identified adapted intervention literature and greater recognition that SES may be confounding the findings attributed to ethnicity. It was noted that adaptations made for culture may consciously or inadvertently also address SES and the effects of each need to be more clearly delineated. It was recommended that SES should be recorded when possible and independently considered in relation to intervention outcomes.

It was also noted that other factors that contribute to the heterogeneity of the population should be captured; these included intergenerational issues, age, gender and education. These factors should, it was suggested, be considered when interpreting the studies and they should also be taken into account, as well as SES, in any statistical analyses.

There was considerable discussion of theoretical considerations, including the possibility of developing a theory of adaptation. Suggestions for theory development were proposed, along with preliminary discussion on how to differentiate between theories of behaviour change and theories of adaptation, and perhaps reframing adaptations by their function rather than categorising them by types. Six suggested functions (i.e. accessibility, comprehension, identification, engagement, motivation and activation) and the five principles generated by Netto et al.13 (i.e. community resources, barriers, sensitive communication strategies, cultural or religious values, and cultural identification) were raised as potential candidates to begin generating a theory for adaptation (Table 1).
Finally, it was suggested that we should apply and test the principles/adaptations that are conceptualised in practice with ethnic minority communities as it was considered to be equally important to build experience-based practice as well as evidence-based practice.

**Actions**
The following actions were taken to incorporate these recommendations into this body of work:

- we extracted and recorded details relating to the heterogeneity of the populations (SES, age, education, gender) (see Appendix 15)
- we undertook further analyses and theory generation, which are being pursued through planned publications; in addition, testing the principles/adaptations in practice will, we anticipate, be pursued through follow-on studies (see Chapter 9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functions</th>
<th>Community resources</th>
<th>Barriers</th>
<th>Sensitive communication strategies</th>
<th>Cultural or religious values</th>
<th>Cultural identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1** Dimensions of form and function suggested as potential candidates to begin generating a theory for adaptation
Chapter 4

Summarising evidence on effective health promotion interventions from guidelines and systematic reviews

Abstract

Background
A key assumption underpinning this project is that health promotion interventions found to be effective in the general population are, if appropriately adapted, likely to also prove effective in ethnic minority populations. We therefore began our work by seeking evidence-based recommendations for health promotion interventions found to be effective in the general population.

Objectives
We sought to identify and summarise information on health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating that are recommended for use in populations at large.

Methods
We undertook a systematic review of the evidence for health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating in UK guidelines and these searches were supplemented by additional scrutiny of international systematic reviews. Relevant guidelines were identified from the Clinical Evidence, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) and Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) databases. We also searched for international systematic reviews from established databases of evidence, namely The Campbell Collaboration, The Cochrane Library, the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE) and the National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment (NIHR HTA) databases, in order to identify additional and more recent evidence that had not yet been incorporated into the guidelines. Two reviewers independently searched for and identified the literature and extracted data on recommended interventions for the general population. We created summary tables of the evidence identified according to health promotion topic and also a table for behaviour change interventions in general (not specific to any topic). These tables were then further categorised by six Tannahill-based intervention ‘strategies and activities’ (i.e. environment, policy, setting based, techniques, provider and resource).

Results
We identified 15 relevant guidelines and 111 systematic reviews. Most of the evidence we identified was targeted at individuals. There were considerably stronger recommendations for smoking cessation than for interventions aiming to increase physical activity and/or improve healthy eating. The strongest evidence and guidance in relation to smoking cessation was for pharmacological treatments and approaches aiming to promote the use of these pharmacological therapies. In contrast, there was relatively little in the way of strong or consistent guidance in relation to interventions that were successful for promoting changes in diet and exercise patterns that were sustained over time, or those directed at societal level-mediated change.
Conclusions

We have identified a number of guidelines and systematic reviews that have summarised and sought to provide guidance on how best to promote smoking cessation, increase physical activity and improve healthy eating. This evidence is strongest and most consistent in relation to pharmacological treatments for smokers and approaches aimed at increasing use of these products. Based on this work we suggest the need for improved communication between guideline developers and research commissioners with a view to catalysing research into finding effective and sustainable interventions for promoting physical activity and improving healthy eating. There is, in particular, a need for more evidence on the providers and resources that can meaningfully increase physical activity and improve healthy eating, and, more generally, for policy- and environmental-based interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating.

Introduction

Health promotion is fundamental in the drive to reduce the growing burden of chronic disease worldwide and to address the considerable and persistent health inequalities now seen in many economically developed countries (see Chapter 1). Inequalities in morbidity and mortality attributable to, for example, CVD and diabetes are observed among a number of ethnic minority populations. It is therefore widely accepted that increasing access to and participation in health promotion interventions represents an important component of strategies aiming to reduce health inequalities with respect to ethnicity (see Chapter 1). Foremost among these preventive efforts are finding effective and cost-effective ways of promoting smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating – the key modifiable risk factors for the majority of chronic diseases.

As with any intervention, the recommendations for these health promotion interventions should be based on the most rigorous evidence available and on studies that are at low risk of bias. Ideally, this evidence would be derived from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews of RCTs because of their unique ability to control for known and unknown confounders. For public health practice, technical issues may, however, limit investigators’ ability to undertake the simpler RCT designs, as interventions aimed at promoting behavioural change are more often than not ‘complex’ – that is, they have multiple interacting components. Interventions aimed at the level of the household, school/workplace, community or indeed any other grouping of individuals should ideally be studied using cluster RCT designs to reduce contamination, but this may not prove possible, in which case other experimental designs may need to be employed. Given the ethical and methodological challenges that are inherent to developing a rigorous and comprehensive evidence base for public health interventions, it is perhaps unsurprising that there are at times differing and/or conflicting conclusions drawn with regards to the most effective health promotion interventions, including interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating. There is therefore a need to develop a detailed overview of the current state of evidence for promoting sustainable changes in relation to these key risk factors.

A key assumption underpinning the call for research (see Appendix 1) and our approach was that health promotion interventions of proven effectiveness for use in the general population are also, if appropriately adapted, likely to be effective in ethnic minority populations (see Chapter 1). Our formative work therefore sought to develop a detailed, evidence-based and up-to-date overview of the evidence for health promotion interventions aimed at promoting smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating in the general population.
Research question

The research question we addressed in this chapter arose from Phase 1A of our study (see Chapter 2), namely:

■ What health interventions are recommended for the general population for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating?

Methods

Overview of methods

The UK has some of the most well-established and respected guideline development procedures in the world. These guidelines therefore represented the principal source of evidence that we sought to identify and scrutinise. Because of their lengthy and rigorous development processes, guidelines, however, inevitably remain a step behind the evidence and are thus less likely to contain as up-to-date information as is contained within the systematic review literature on which they predominantly draw. We therefore supplemented our guideline-based searches with data derived from international systematic reviews of evidence.

Searches for guidelines and systematic reviews

We searched for and retrieved any pertinent guidelines on interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating in the Clinical Evidence, NICE and SIGN databases using the following broad key search terms:

■ diet
■ exercise
■ healthy eating
■ obesity
■ physical activity
■ smoking
■ tobacco.

We also conducted searches in The Campbell Collaboration (Campbell), The Cochrane Library (Cochrane), the DARE databases and the NIHR HTA database for systematic reviews and health technology assessments (HTAs) of interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating from January 1950 to April 2009 using the same broad search terms detailed above. HTA reports use systematic methods\textsuperscript{110} to provide independent research information about the effectiveness, costs and broader impact of health-care treatments and tests for those who plan, provide or receive care in the NHS and henceforth in this report will be referred to under systematic reviews.

Selection of eligible guidelines and systematic reviews

Two researchers (JJL and ED) independently screened and selected guidelines and systematic reviews based on predefined inclusion/exclusion criteria (Table 2), first by scrutinising titles and then, if necessary, the abstracts and full text of manuscripts. Disagreements regarding inclusion/exclusion of papers were resolved through discussion. If consensus could not be reached a third reviewer (AS) was consulted. The full text of all eligible records was retrieved; 12 full-text papers were translated into English from Danish, French, Norwegian, Spanish and Swedish.
Data extraction and synthesis

We extracted relevant evidence from the guidelines and systematic reviews onto a customised data extraction form (see Appendix 9). We extracted statements pertaining to the effectiveness of smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating interventions, the quality of the evidence (when reported) and the quality assessment tool used (when reported). These evidence statements were compiled in two tables (see Appendix 10) where they remain attributed to the guideline(s) or systematic review(s) from which they were derived. The tables of evidence statements therefore incorporated two levels of evidence – guidelines that have been developed through a collaborative scrutiny of the evidence, as in the case of NICE and SIGN guidelines, and systematic reviews, which have been subject to quality control by peer reviewers and journal editors.

Subsequently, the evidence statements were organised in a summary framework of effective interventions according to the health promotion topic: health behaviour in general (not specific to one health promotion topic), smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating (see Tables 3–6). We adapted the revised Tannahill model of health promotion (Box 2)111 to categorise the evidence statements according to six broad categories of ‘strategies and activities’ (Box 3).

Environment applied to interventions that alter the social, economic and physical space (as opposed to place), with the potential to affect a more general population. Policy included organisational, local or national policies. Setting based represented specific places or communities identified as effective locations to deliver an intervention, and which often target ‘captive audiences’, such as children at schools or employees at worksites. Techniques described the wide-ranging types and formats of interventions that have been found to be effective, including education and learning, but it also captured behavioural techniques and other elements that can enhance the effectiveness of a variety of interventions. Provider also included persons singled out as important partners in the intervention delivery process. Resource encompassed the materials or products given to participants as the intervention. Unlike in the Tannahill model, provider represented a specific type of resource, referring to the specialised personnel or services designated for intervention delivery.

### TABLE 2  Inclusion/exclusion criteria for effective health promotion interventions

| Population | General population adults and children (any age), non-clinical (exclude hypertension, diabetes, CVD, obese, hospitalised), non-special (exclude pregnant, menopausal, lower SES, or if focused on any specific ethnicity, religion or gender)  
Aim was not focused on any of the special populations; however, reviews or guidelines were not excluded if they contained individual studies that included special populations |
| Intervention | Any health promotion intervention (individual, community, population, policy level) promoting smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating |
| Outcomes | Any outcomes related to smoking cessation, improving healthy eating and increasing physical activity  
Included: interventions that report evidence of effectiveness. Interventions must measure one or more of the three outcomes. For example, if the intervention is focused on weight loss, it must report an outcome relating to either physical activity or inactivity and/or healthy eating. Reviewers must comment on physical activity or healthy eating if they are secondary outcomes  
Excluded: interventions with no evidence, lack of quality evidence or no evidence of effect; drug and surgical interventions; fall functions; adherence to or maintenance of behaviour change |
| Date | Published between January 1950 and April 2009 |
| Language | No language restriction |
| Study design | Guidelines and systematic reviews |

SES, socioeconomic status.
These categories referred to the ‘strategies and activities’ utilised in health promotion interventions (not to the interventions themselves) and are overlapping and additive in that combinations of ‘strategies and activities’ may be used in any one intervention. These categories facilitated the examination, and cross-comparison, of such a large and diverse body of evidence for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating.

Results

We identified 189 records from NICE, of which 10 were included. We were unable to search SIGN and Clinical Evidence using keywords and instead manually searched these databases using the provided topic headings related to our health topics of interest and identified three and two additional relevant guidelines, respectively. Therefore, from the three guideline databases, 15 guidelines satisfied our inclusion/exclusion criteria (Figure 4). Although these guidelines were the products of different processes of evidence evaluation, from the descriptions of the methods used, they appeared to have undergone a broadly comparable approach to identifying studies and extracting evidence.

From Cochrane, Campbell, DARE and NIHR HTA we identified 2399 potentially relevant systematic reviews. Of these, 111 records satisfied our inclusion criteria and provided data on effective interventions. We included only strong evidence of effectiveness for smoking cessation, but strong/moderate evidence of effectiveness for physical activity and healthy eating (see Figure 4). The reasoning behind including moderate evidence for physical activity and healthy eating was that, in general, this body of evidence was at an earlier stage of development than the literature on smoking cessation.
Summarising evidence on effective health promotion interventions from guidelines and systematic reviews

Summary framework of effective ‘strategies and activities’

The evidence statements extracted from these included guidelines and systematic reviews were compiled in tables (see Appendix 10) and then synthesised into our summary framework according to health topic and the six categories of health promotion ‘strategies and activities’ discussed above. The following four tables represent the summary framework to guide behaviour change in general (see Table 3), smoking cessation (see Table 4), increasing physical activity (see Table 5) and improving healthy eating (see Table 6). Each table will be described in turn.

**Behaviour change in general**

There was minimal information on effective ‘strategies and activities’ for behaviour change in general, which was not specific to any particular health promotion topic and was aimed at individuals and/or populations (Table 3). This evidence was therefore separately examined and summarised. The majority of information in this area was concentrated in the environment category. Evidence of effective environmental approaches included working in partnership and in collaboration to develop programmes; reducing or removing barriers (e.g. social, financial and environmental); holding national and local advertising and mass media campaigns; and developing health-enhancing social, physical and service environments. Policy-wise, fiscal and legislative interventions were reported to be effective for behaviour change. Setting-based evidence highlighted two main sites for interventions: brief counselling held in primary care and longer-term, multicomponent interventions held in schools. Techniques included feedback on individual biomarkers for disease risk, face-to-face interventions and the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3 Effective ‘strategies and activities’ for behaviour change interventions in general (not specific to health promotion topic; individual and/or population level)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Categories of ‘strategies and activities’</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remove barriers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and mass media campaigns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-enhancing environments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
recommendation that interventions should be tailored to individuals.\textsuperscript{112,116} For biomarkers the evidence indicated that this strategy was most effective if multiple biomarkers were used, or if one biomarker was used at more than one time point, as well as if biomarker feedback was delivered in conjunction with other treatments.\textsuperscript{115} Resources included web-based\textsuperscript{117} and computer-generated messages as interventions.\textsuperscript{116}

**Smoking cessation**

Evidence on effective ‘strategies and activities’ for smoking cessation was primarily concentrated in the resource, provider and technique categories, with comparatively fewer ‘strategies and activities’ in the environment, policy and setting-based categories (Table 4). Environment strategies included mass media\textsuperscript{118–121} and other campaigns\textsuperscript{122} to promote mass media. Interventions were, however, felt to be less effective when directed at young people and were highlighted as requiring further research.\textsuperscript{119} Health coalitions,\textsuperscript{122} defined as partnerships among three or more organisations or constituencies, could further enhance the environment for behaviour change. Policy initiatives included workplace smoke-free policies,\textsuperscript{118,120} increases in tobacco prices\textsuperscript{118} and decreases in the cost of cessation therapies.\textsuperscript{118}

Setting based included the workplace\textsuperscript{123–127} to provide information and onsite services as well as to tailor support and treatment to the needs and preferences of employees,\textsuperscript{122} along with primary care to provide advice for nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) and brief structured counselling.\textsuperscript{128} Smoke-free workplaces were reported to show reductions in smoking prevalence of 3.8\% [95\% confidence interval (CI) 2.8\% to 4.7\%] and lower cigarette consumption by those who continued to smoke.\textsuperscript{125}

Techniques included counselling\textsuperscript{129} in a variety of formats: individual based,\textsuperscript{120,130,131} group based\textsuperscript{120,131–134} and telephone counselling\textsuperscript{118,120,131,134–136} as well as counselling alongside pharmacotherapy,\textsuperscript{134} self-help\textsuperscript{134} and support.\textsuperscript{120} Intensive individual counselling was reported to have no significantly greater effect than brief individual counselling.\textsuperscript{130} Advice, whether brief or intensive,\textsuperscript{137,138} was recommended, along with tailored advice,\textsuperscript{120,130,132} that is, advice sensitive to individual’s preferences, needs and circumstances.\textsuperscript{112} There was, for example, some evidence that self-help materials were more effective if tailored for the individual smoker.\textsuperscript{119} Auricular acupuncture\textsuperscript{141} was also recommended, although acupuncture in general was not shown to be effective in another review.\textsuperscript{171}

A range of providers were recommended for delivering smoking cessation interventions – family physicians/general practitioners,\textsuperscript{134,139,143–146} providing brief advice,\textsuperscript{131,147,148} psychologists,\textsuperscript{146,148} nurses,\textsuperscript{120,131,139,131,143,145} dentists,\textsuperscript{120,145} providing counselling,\textsuperscript{151} trained counsellors,\textsuperscript{143} telephone quit-line counsellors,\textsuperscript{120,127} midwives,\textsuperscript{120} pharmacists\textsuperscript{120} – in essence, all health professionals.\textsuperscript{120} Brief advice by physicians delivered in a single routine consultation was reported to have a quit rate of approximately 2\% (95\% CI 1\% to 3\%) with no relapse at 1 year,\textsuperscript{167} and physicians were reported to be the most effective provider of brief advice, although perhaps not the most cost-effective.\textsuperscript{145} Furthermore, community-based clinicians, nurses, dentists, pharmacists, community workers and hospital physicians were recommended to make referrals to more intensive cessation programmes.\textsuperscript{139}

Resources for cessation included pharmacotherapy,\textsuperscript{134} such as NRT,\textsuperscript{118,120,131,134,137,143,148,154,155,157–159} for example patches,\textsuperscript{156,160–162} antidepressants,\textsuperscript{143,165} including bupropion hydrochloride (Zyban\textsuperscript{R}, GSK)\textsuperscript{120,131,134,137,159,164} and nortriptyline (Allegron\textsuperscript{R}, King),\textsuperscript{163} and varenicline (Champix\textsuperscript{R}, Pfizer).\textsuperscript{120,139,166–168} NRT used independently, with no additional support, was reported in one review to increase the rate of quitting by 50–70\%.\textsuperscript{154} A review that specifically looked at long-term follow-up (average 4.3 years) found that the addition of NRT to brief advice or behavioural support contributed to a 70–90\% increase in the cessation rate achieved without
### TABLE 4 Effective ‘strategies and activities’ for smoking cessation interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories of ‘strategies and activities’</th>
<th>Setting based</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Provider</th>
<th>Resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Workplace</td>
<td>Group-based counselling and therapy</td>
<td>Family physicians/GPs</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass media</td>
<td>Smoke-free</td>
<td>Individual counselling</td>
<td>Physician brief advice</td>
<td>NRTs, NRT prior to quitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace smoke-free policy</td>
<td>Campaigns</td>
<td>Telephone counselling</td>
<td>Psychologists</td>
<td>NRT-supported reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health coalitions</td>
<td>Health coalitions</td>
<td>Counselling</td>
<td>Nurses</td>
<td>Antidepressants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace</td>
<td>Increases in tobacco prices</td>
<td>NRT prior to quitting</td>
<td>Dentists</td>
<td>Bupropion hydrochloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased cost of cessation</td>
<td>decreased cost of cessation therapies</td>
<td>NRT supported reduction</td>
<td>Pharmacists</td>
<td>Nortriptyline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>therapies</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brief or intensive advice</td>
<td>All health professionals</td>
<td>Varenicline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Individual tailored advice</td>
<td>All health professionals make referrals</td>
<td>Self-help materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Auricular acupuncture</td>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone quit-lines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GP, general practitioner; NRT, nicotine replacement therapy.
NRT; however, although this appears to be successful, the long-term quit rate without NRT was relatively small and so even with a 70–90% increase, the overall number of participants who managed to quit long term was still just 7% of those attempting to quit.\textsuperscript{155} If quit status was maintained for 3 years post intervention, these effects appear to be sustained.\textsuperscript{155} NRT was usually delivered by health-care providers such as physicians, psychologists and nurses, and these providers can be effective in providing smoking cessation interventions without NRT; however, NRT was again found to result in a twofold increase in the quit rates achieved by most of these providers.\textsuperscript{146} For other pharmacotherapies, varenicline compared with placebo was reported at ≥ 6 months to have a pooled risk ratio for continuous abstinence of 2.33 (95% CI 1.95 to 2.80),\textsuperscript{167} and antidepressants (nortriptyline and bupropion hydrochloride) were reported as having similar efficacy to NRT.\textsuperscript{163} Use of NRT prior to quitting\textsuperscript{156,170} and NRT-supported reduction (e.g. ‘cut down to quit’) are additional strategies;\textsuperscript{142} however, the latter has been recommended only as part of research studies.\textsuperscript{120}

Education was recommended as another effective resource,\textsuperscript{129} which could be given as part of an intervention, as were self-help materials\textsuperscript{120,146,143} and self-help materials given along with pharmacotherapy.\textsuperscript{134} Telephone quit-lines\textsuperscript{126} providing cessation advice\textsuperscript{143} were found to be effective, with some evidence of a dose-dependent response with three or more calls increasing the chances of success.\textsuperscript{126} Mobile telephone texts and calls, sometimes combined with internet/e-mail delivery, were reported to show effectiveness in the short term (results from relatively small literature on four trials).\textsuperscript{169}

Physical activity

Evidence on effective ‘strategies and activities’ for increasing physical activity was reasonably abundant for the categories of resource, environment and technique but relatively sparse for the category of provider compared with the smoking cessation literature (Table 5).

All of the environmental recommendations were made by NICE, which included the provision of public parks and facilities,\textsuperscript{172} national campaigns for children and young people (minimum duration of 5 years),\textsuperscript{172} increased access to walking or cycling routes\textsuperscript{112} and linking these routes to schools and workplaces\textsuperscript{173} and the development and maintenance of space to encourage stair use.\textsuperscript{173} The concerns of local people with regard to the costs, expectations, dangers and misinformation\textsuperscript{174} surrounding physical activity should also be addressed, as well as the prioritisation of pedestrians and cyclists when developing/maintaining infrastructure.\textsuperscript{173} Removing barriers,\textsuperscript{172,174} building partnerships\textsuperscript{172} and maximising opportunities for exercise\textsuperscript{172,174} were also recommended.

The policy recommendations included policies to increase opportunities for physical activities\textsuperscript{174,175} and, more specifically, workplace/organisational policies\textsuperscript{174,176} that would increase the level of physical activity of employees.

Setting-based recommendations included use of schools\textsuperscript{114,172,173,174,177–181,210,214} to promote physical activity and education during school hours,\textsuperscript{172} as well as during school break periods,\textsuperscript{172} and to encourage active transport\textsuperscript{173} to and from school. It was recommended that schools provide a playground that would allow for varied physical activities.\textsuperscript{172,177,179} Multicomponent school-based interventions with family or community involvement\textsuperscript{172,177,201} were also thought to be effective. Even school-based strategies with a minimum of printed educational materials and changes to the school curriculum were found to result in positive changes in activity levels.\textsuperscript{173} It was noted that there was ‘very limited but good evidence’ that children exposed to these settings would go on to lead active adult lives.\textsuperscript{181} Physical activity strategies were also found to be effective when delivered in the home,\textsuperscript{174,178,187–189} at leisure centres,\textsuperscript{182} at nurseries\textsuperscript{174} and childcare facilities\textsuperscript{174} and, for older adults (> 50 years), in health-care settings\textsuperscript{183} such as primary care-based counselling.\textsuperscript{184}
and brief interventions. Finally, worksite interventions\textsuperscript{185} that promoted active travel,\textsuperscript{174} supported incentive schemes and provided education and promotion programmes\textsuperscript{174} and were multicomponent in nature\textsuperscript{174,176} demonstrated effect in increasing physical activity levels.

Techniques was a category for physical activity that contained a wide range of strategies and activities. Counselling, including telephone counselling\textsuperscript{186,187} was recommended for the general population. Counselling\textsuperscript{143,188–191} was recommended to increase the intensity of physical activity for those who are active\textsuperscript{191} and also to increase the activity levels of sedentary people.\textsuperscript{212} Goal setting\textsuperscript{213} exercise on prescription\textsuperscript{199,202} and walking prescriptions\textsuperscript{187,193,194} along with exercise referral schemes\textsuperscript{182,195} and stage-based interventions\textsuperscript{196} were also recommended; however, walking and cycling schemes were only recommended as part of research studies by one NICE guideline.\textsuperscript{79} Walking advice\textsuperscript{193,194} and active transport for adults\textsuperscript{197} and children\textsuperscript{173,198} were recommended, along with the reduction of sedentary behaviour to increase activity levels.\textsuperscript{174,199} It was recommended that sedentary people be targeted to increase walking, along with other behaviours.\textsuperscript{202} Regular follow-ups\textsuperscript{193,194} by telephone\textsuperscript{191} and the provision of ongoing support in person, by telephone,
by mail or via the internet were also recommended. As with smoking cessation there was a dose–response reported with telephone interventions with a longer duration and higher number of calls, characteristics associated with more positive outcomes. Similarly, booster interventions were suggested for longer-term effectiveness. Whether recommended activities were formal or informal and unsupervised and taking place within an occupational role or during leisure time, guidelines suggested enhanced effect if they were tailored to individuals to their needs, characteristics, preferences and circumstances. Furthermore, the recommended intensity of activity ranged from low to moderate to increasing activity levels to high if already active, and these activities could be delivered to individuals, households or groups.

Providers included health-care professionals in general to deliver advice, support and counselling and, more specifically, primary care practitioners to identify, advise and monitor and to consider individuals’ needs, preferences and circumstances. No other providers were recommended in the guidelines or systematic reviews. The remainder of the recommendations suggested involving parents and carers in supportive roles to help plan school-based interventions and for monitoring television/video use, and involving children and young people in the design, planning and delivery of interventions. Finally, a few guidelines encouraged adults (parents and carers) to set an example for their children in terms of being physically active, and encouraged them to be active along with their children.

Resources included education, either through print or through technology, such as the internet or computer-tailored materials. Interventions including written materials were recommended in guidelines and appeared to be associated with increased effectiveness. These written materials, which included follow-up as well as, for example, specific signs that encouraged increased stair use, were reported to have evidence of effectiveness. The provision by local authorities of personalised travel plans for active travel was also recommended, and this was sometimes observed within specific settings, as suggested above. Pedometers were reported to increase users’ physical activity by almost a third over baseline. One guideline, however, advised that pedometers should be recommended only for use as part of research studies. Finally, education and monitoring of television/video use was thought to decrease sedentary behaviour, particularly in children.

Overall, the body of evidence for physical activity promotion was weak in terms of providing evidence for interventions with longer-term effectiveness and maintenance of behaviour changes. In addition, it was unclear if these behaviour changes translated into gains in health status. Finally, for some interventions, the evidence was predominately from the USA and thus the generalisability of the evidence to other countries was unclear.

Improving healthy eating

There were relatively fewer resources and providers recommended for interventions to improve healthy eating compared with smoking cessation and, as was the case for interventions to increase physical activity, the bulk of the evidence comes under setting-based and technique-oriented ‘strategies and activities’ (Table 6). Policies such as workplace and university point-of-purchase policies and other point of sales initiatives were recommended to improve access to healthy foods. Environmental changes of interest included food subsidies to increase general access to healthier foods, as well as working with caterers, shops, supermarkets, restaurants, cafes and voluntary community services to promote healthy choices through signs, posters, pricing and positioning of healthier food products. It was highlighted that, in creating a health-enhancing environment, the concerns of local people, for example costs, expectations, dangers and misinformation, also needed to be addressed.
Setting-based approaches included focusing on nurseries and childcare facilities\textsuperscript{174} as well as on schools\textsuperscript{174,219–224} to promote healthy eating and increase fruit and vegetable intake in children through activities and education; these approaches were found to be particularly effective for young women.\textsuperscript{224} Workplaces were also noted as being settings that could effectively promote fruit and vegetable intake and fat reduction\textsuperscript{222,225,226} as they could accommodate incentive schemes and education and promotion programmes.\textsuperscript{174} Religious settings\textsuperscript{227} such as churches\textsuperscript{226} primary care settings\textsuperscript{222} and health-care settings for older adults (aged > 50 years)\textsuperscript{183} were all recommended as effective sites to deliver interventions. Sites located in the community\textsuperscript{222,228} and within the family\textsuperscript{174,229} were also felt to be effective in making changes to dietary behaviours.

Techniques such as counselling\textsuperscript{129,218,230} whether face-to-face\textsuperscript{228} or by telephone\textsuperscript{186,228,231} were shown to be effective. A dose-dependent effect was observed for healthy eating counselling by telephone.\textsuperscript{186} Counselling contact can be one-to-one\textsuperscript{232} or group based\textsuperscript{211,229,232} and can be intensive, involving more contacts,\textsuperscript{233} representing both social\textsuperscript{229} and ongoing support.\textsuperscript{174} Goal setting\textsuperscript{213} advice,\textsuperscript{234} particularly when tailored to individuals,\textsuperscript{174} was noted as being helpful. Motivational interviewing was recommended, but only alongside education.\textsuperscript{235}

There were few suggestions on who should be the provider of healthy eating interventions. The guidelines did recommend, however, that parents and carers\textsuperscript{174,220,233,236} should be involved in planning school-based interventions\textsuperscript{174} and family-based interventions,\textsuperscript{112} although there was no definitive evidence that this was effective.

In terms of resources, monetary incentives to influence purchases and consumption behaviours,\textsuperscript{237} along with education,\textsuperscript{129,218,228} written material\textsuperscript{211,232} and perhaps computer-tailored interventions,\textsuperscript{207,228} were suggested as being effective for changing behaviours associated with healthy eating. Clear messages,\textsuperscript{234} particularly about people’s risk of chronic disease,\textsuperscript{232} were also thought to be effective. Several reviews suggested that, in general, interventions delivered to those who were at risk of, or diagnosed with, disease were more effective than those delivered to low-risk populations.\textsuperscript{229–232}

As with physical activity interventions there was a lack of long-term evidence for many of the included healthy eating interventions, with few studies examining behaviour change for longer
than a year. Studies of longer duration had difficulties with participants maintaining changes in behaviour. Furthermore, for changes in diet and healthy eating, the actual changes in lifestyle were often quite small, for example one review reported an average increase in fruit and vegetable intake of 0.6 servings a day and another review reported a change from seven effective studies ranging from +0.3 to +1.0 servings a day. Whether or not these changes are sufficient to prevent disease remain unclear.

Discussion

An examination of the summary tables (see Tables 3–6) and evidence statements (see Appendix 10) revealed that there was a difference in the strength of evidence for interventions for smoking cessation in the general population compared with evidence for interventions to increase physical activity or improve healthy eating. Guidance on smoking cessation interventions was on the whole reasonably well developed, described and supported by the systematic review literature (particularly in relation to pharmacological treatments). In contrast, interventions for promoting physical activity and improving healthy eating in the general population were less likely to be underpinned by robust evidence.

Overall, smoking cessation interventions were well represented in the categories of resources, providers and techniques, which suggests that we have strong evidence available on the resources that work and which can be delivered easily, with a range of defined providers of those resources. These three categories reflect individual-oriented ‘strategies and activities’ in which a provider and resource (usually pharmacotherapy) are employed to help an individual achieve cessation. Once successfully smoke free, that is, abstinent for 3 years post intervention, individuals are unlikely to relapse, although they may always be vulnerable to smoking. Therefore, it appears that relatively short-term, didactic and resource-dependent interventions can successfully affect behaviour change for smoking cessation.

On the other hand, evidence of effectiveness for increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating were featured more prominently under the ‘strategies and activities’ categories of setting based, techniques and environment, with less definitive evidence underpinning recommendations on what resources can be delivered easily and who would be best positioned to provide them. These categories, in contrast to individual-oriented ‘strategies and activities’ observed for smoking, are relatively more immersive and interactive, and may reflect the nature of the health issues themselves. Engaging in physical activity and improving healthy eating present lifelong challenges, and may require immersion in health-enhancing settings and environments along with techniques that engage the individual to make and maintain healthy behaviour changes, through educational but also experiential and practical opportunities.

These findings could also be related to the nature of the health issue, whereby smoking cessation represents the reduction of a particular behaviour, and increasing physical activity represents the addition of behaviours. Meanwhile, improving healthy eating can involve both reduction and addition behaviours. These characteristics appear to relate to intervention design, with interventions for a reduction of a behaviour, such as smoking cessation, mapping onto ‘strategies and activities’ generally undertaken at an individual level and, conversely, the ‘strategies and activities’ for promoting the addition of behaviours, such as increased exercise or fruit and vegetable intake, generally undertaken at a community or population level.

The work undertaken in this chapter has allowed us to investigate our underpinning rationale, that is, to focus on adapting those interventions for which we have the strongest evidence of effects in the general population. If we pursued this line of thinking we would predominantly
target individual-level interventions for smoking cessation and, to an extent, setting-based, interactive interventions for increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating. A blind pursuit of this task would, however, be at odds with one of the key recommendations to emerge from our launch user engagement conference (see Chapter 3). Overwhelmingly, our research users highlighted the need to pay more attention to environmental and structural-level interventions.

The work undertaken in this chapter to identify effective interventions has also illuminated how six different categories of ‘strategies and activities’ may have potentially varying scope for adaptation. For example, governmental policy and whole population-level environmental interventions can represent immersive conditions, which may be difficult to adapt for specific population groups. Within these immersive conditions, then, it is essential to consider possible differential impact and, in response, to have a clear commitment to ensuring equality – these then may involve more targeted individual- or community-level modifications. On the other hand, organisational policy, setting-based interventions, and techniques can provide more interactive conditions to catalyse behaviour change. These interventions may be relatively more readily available to modify and cater for specific population groups, therefore providing a much greater scope for adaptation. Providers are also more amenable than policy or environmental conditions. Through matching, for example, or utilising lay health workers and peer providers, an intervention can be made more acceptable for a particular ethnic minority group. Lastly, resources have perhaps the greatest scope for adaptation, as they involve the relatively straightforward (but not always) task of, for example, translating educational materials or piloting the appropriate incentives for a particular population. The exception for the resources category is of course pharmacotherapy, although engagement of the population and the acceptability of drug treatment remain areas for consideration for modification within pharmacotherapy.

Challenged by these initial findings and feedback, we developed a model (Figure 5) to begin thinking about the relationship between intervention ‘strategies and activities’, the levels of health promotion intervention and their relationship to intervention level and scope for adaptation.
promotion interventions best suited to affect behaviour change and the scope for adaptation for ethnic minority populations.

By distinguishing between different health promotion intervention ‘strategies and activities’ according to the six categories, while at the same time recognising their overlapping and additive nature, we were able to demonstrate their distribution across the differing levels of interception – from individual to population level. We were also able to identify where there were gaps in the literature and where, in particular, evidence needs to be pursued. For example, noticeably absent were designated specialist providers to deliver physical activity and healthy eating interventions. Guidelines and systematic reviews may recommend who should be involved, such as parents and carers, but more clarity is needed on who should be delivering these interventions. There was also a lack of long-term studies for physical activity and healthy eating interventions that were able to demonstrate changes in behaviour that are sufficient in magnitude and sustained to the extent that they can meaningfully alter risk factors for disease. Although evidence for effective interventions for increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating is, as yet, wanting, the patterns observed possibly point to the need for different approaches for different health issues.

Strengths and limitations

The four summary tables (see Tables 3–6) succinctly summarise the current evidence available for effective ‘strategies and activities’ for behaviour change in general, smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating. In the systematic reviews we searched for compelling evidence of effectiveness that had not yet found its way into the guideline literature; in contrast, we deliberately excluded interventions that have not yet accumulated a sufficient body of evidence of effect. Thus, for example, we excluded a review of nicotine vaccines239 and cytisine240 for smoking cessation, a review of eHealth interventions for physical activity and/or diet241 and a review of clinical counselling for increasing physical activity.242 The summary tables have therefore captured the most up-to-date and relevant evidence for smoking, physical activity and healthy eating health promotion interventions.

Because of the large volume of evidence identified, and the fact that this was one part of a much larger mixed-methods study (see Chapter 2), we were unable independently to quality appraise each guideline and systematic review and instead relied on the quality control measures in place for producing and publishing guidelines and systematic reviews. When reported we extracted whether or not the quality of the evidence and the recommendations was appraised and the appraisal tool used (see Appendix 10). To an extent this approach represented a limitation. In the case of contradictory evidence statements (e.g. if an intervention was reported as effective in one systematic review and not effective in another), we were unable to investigate the reasons underpinning this difference in evidence. In such cases we opted to describe both evidence statements.

Our review of guidelines was limited to UK-based bodies, which is a further potential limitation. The above described ‘strategies and activities’ thus provide a detailed and comprehensive overview of the UK recommendations; however, as the UK guidelines draw on international evidence and because, in addition, we identified international systematic reviews, the state of the evidence described should also on the whole reflect the international evidence base in relation to these three areas of enquiry. In the absence of also interrogating guidelines from other countries (which we were unable to do because of resource constraints), however, we cannot be sure.

The definition of health promotion adopted for this study111 was adapted to produce the six categories of ‘activities and strategies’; this has a potential limitation in that the categories are overlapping and not mutually exclusive. In addition, not all interventions could easily be assigned directly to a level of health promotion intervention. For example, policies can be implemented
at the organisational or population level and providers could deliver interventions individually or in groups. In support of this approach these categories are placed across a spectrum, from individual- to population-level 'strategies and activities', which loosely corresponds to their scope for adaptation. Despite these potential limitations it was overall still helpful to have an organising framework to describe these strategies and actions to allow the examination, and cross-comparison, of such a large and diverse body of evidence.

Conclusions

In this review of UK guidelines and international systematic reviews we have assessed and aggregated the evidence for interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating, and created a summary framework of effective interventions as a potential basis for those interested in developing adapted health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations. The strength of evidence on interventions for smoking cessation in the general population was greater than that on interventions to increase physical activity or improve healthy eating. Examining these effective interventions according to the six categories (i.e. environment, policy, setting based, technique, provider and resource) has helped to clarify which 'strategies and activities' have accrued more evidence and which areas remain sparsely populated. These categories, although overlapping, reflect levels of health promotion intervention from individual to population level and demonstrate important relationships between the health topic and the level and nature of the intervention.

Overall, there was considerably more evidence of clinically important effects for individual-centred pharmacologically based smoking cessation interventions and approaches aimed at increasing access to and use of these treatments, but only moderately supportive guidance for setting-based immersive and interactive interventions for increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating. In terms of health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations, we have argued that there is perhaps less need and scope for adaptations of individual-focused drug interventions than of the more provider- and setting-based approaches to improve access to these drugs. Similarly, there is considerable scope for adaptation of population-level and setting-based interventions of the kind that are being studied in the context of promoting physical activity and healthy eating. We further explore the extent to which these guidelines and systematic reviews provide clarity on the effectiveness of these interventions for ethnic minority populations in the following chapter (see Chapter 5) and consider in more detail how suited various 'strategies and activities' of health promotion interventions are for adaptation, for ethnic minority populations, and how this may influence prioritisation in terms of implementation and further research in Chapter 8.
Chapter 5

Consideration of ethnicity in guidelines and systematic reviews

Abstract

Background

A number of interventions have been developed to aid smoking cessation, promote physical activity and improve healthy eating for use in the general population. The effectiveness of these interventions in improving health outcomes for ethnic minority populations is, however, unclear.

Objectives

We sought to assess to what degree ethnic minority populations are considered within the evidence base for smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating health promotion interventions known to be effective in populations at large.

Methods

Two reviewers independently searched UK guidelines and international systematic reviews of interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating. Guidelines were identified from the Clinical Evidence, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) and Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) databases. Systematic reviews were identified from The Campbell Collaboration, The Cochrane Library, the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (DARE) and the National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment (NIHR HTA) database. We searched the guidelines and systematic reviews using predefined terms relating to ethnicity. Two reviewers independently extracted the statements made in relation to ethnicity, initially grouping these according to shared meaning and/or concept; these data were then thematically analysed.

Results

We identified 15 guidelines and 111 systematic reviews that fulfilled our eligibility criteria. A total of 12 (87%) guidelines and 66 (59%) systematic reviews had one or more terms relating to ethnicity. All 12 of these guidelines containing key terms made statements relating to ethnicity; however, only 41 of the 66 systematic reviews with key terms made any informative statements relating to ethnicity and none of the systematic reviews conducted subgroup analyses by ethnic group. Five main themes emerged from the thematic analysis of statements: (1) acknowledging diversity; (2) identifying evidence gaps; (3) observing differential effects of interventions; (4) taking action to adapt interventions; and (5) improving research, reporting and analysis.

Conclusions

UK guidelines and international systematic reviews provided little specific guidance with regard to which health promotion interventions are effective (or ineffective) for ethnic minority populations or how interventions with proven effectiveness in the general population can be adapted to be effective for ethnic minority populations. There is a need to consider more explicitly the evidence in relation to ethnic minority populations in the context of guidelines and systematic reviews of key health promotion interventions.
Introduction

A key component of our work was first developing a detailed appreciation of which health promotion interventions are of proven effectiveness for the general population (see Chapter 4). We can in turn build on this understanding to develop a theoretically informed and empirically grounded approach to promoting the health of ethnic minority populations. Our study has focused on identifying and assessing the evidence for effective interventions promoting smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating (see Chapter 1). Evidence from guidelines, and to a lesser extent systematic reviews, usually represents the first point of access for such information on effectiveness, which increasingly underpins key decisions in health-care delivery and prioritisation. As such, it is imperative that guidelines and systematic reviews present not only evidence of effect for the general population, but also evidence of differential effects by age, gender, socioeconomic status (SES), disability and ethnicity, as these other subgroupings are likely to be associated with differential effectiveness of an intervention. In this chapter we report on our evaluation of UK guidelines and international systematic reviews to assess the degree to which ethnic minority populations – specifically African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations – were considered in the evidence.

Research questions

The research question arises from Phase 1B of our study (see Chapter 2):

- To what degree is ethnicity considered within UK guidelines and international systematic reviews on effective health interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating?

Methods

The method for the identification of the guidelines and systematic reviews that we drew on is outlined in Chapter 4 (see Methods). To explore the consideration of ethnicity in UK guidelines and international systematic reviews reporting on effective interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating for the general population we searched the identified guidelines and systematic reviews for any evidence statements specifically addressing ethnicity. In addition, we searched the systematic reviews for subgroup analysis by ethnic group.

We searched the full text either electronically or by eye if electronic versions were unavailable using a set of predefined key terms relating to our ethnic groups of interest, adopting a definition of ethnicity that included race, ethnic origin or nationality. The key terms used were as follows (the asterisk indicates the truncated version to encompass variations of the term, e.g. searching for Cultur* would include culture, cultures and cultural):

- African
- Afro*
- Asian
- Bangladeshi
- Black
- Caribbean
- Chinese
The data extraction process was independently undertaken by two sets of researchers (SK and J JL for guidelines and SK and UY for systematic reviews) who recorded on the customised data extraction form (see Appendix 9) whether a guideline or systematic review included any of the key terms. The text was scrutinised and the details of the population(s) studied were recorded, including the methods used to assess ethnicity, as well as any recommendations made. A third reviewer (ED) checked for accuracy of extracted information. The systematic reviews were subsequently categorised as having either undertaken subgroup analyses or not; if they had, we aimed to record whether or not the intervention was more/equally/less likely to be effective for the ethnic minority population than for the general population considered. Evidence statements pertaining to ethnicity were recorded by ED, J JL, UY and SK. The evidence statements relating to ethnicity extracted from the guidelines were grouped according to shared meaning and/or concept and given a generic statement to illustrate this shared meaning and/or concept. The generic statements were then further categorised under themes. Evidence statements from the systematic reviews were related to these generic statements where applicable.

Results

Through our searches we identified 15 relevant UK guidelines and 2399 international systematic reviews, which were assessed for eligibility. In total, 15 guidelines and 111 systematic reviews met the inclusion criteria (see Table 2).

Figure 6 illustrates the screening process for key terms. The evidence statements from the UK guidelines are summarised in Table 7 while the evidence statements from the international systematic reviews are included in Appendix 11.
Of the 15 guidelines identified, 12 contained one or more key search terms and 12 made recommendations or evidence statements relating to ethnicity (see Table 7). Of the 111 systematic reviews identified, 66 (59%) contained one or more key search terms; however, 41 (62%) of the 66 systematic reviews made evidence statements relating to ethnicity (see Appendix 11). The remaining 25 systematic reviews contained the key term without any further information. None of the 66 systematic reviews conducted subgroup meta-analysis to pool the ethnic-specific results and assess differential effect sizes according to ethnicity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Evidence statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NICE PH1 Brief interventions and referral for smoking cessation in primary care and other settings&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt; 2006</td>
<td>Ethnic*, Minorit* Differences in risk between diverse populations. Despite a reduction in smoking prevalence, some groups such as ethnic minority groups and deprived communities retain a high prevalence Smoking cessation advice and support should be targeted to high-risk population groups including ethnic minorities and focus on their needs There are unique risk behaviours for some ethnic minority groups, such as chewing tobacco, which are not provided for by current service provision and need to be addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICE PH10 Smoking cessation services in primary care, pharmacies, local authorities and workplaces, particularly for manual working groups, pregnant women and hard to reach communities&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt; 2008</td>
<td>Ethnic*, Minorit* NHS Stop Smoking Services should target ethnic minority communities Ensure that smoking cessation interventions are tailored and language appropriate for ethnic minority communities – both for individual services and public education and communications campaigns Ethnic minority and disadvantaged groups should be treated by smoking cessation services at least in proportion to their representation in the local population of tobacco users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICE PH13 Workplace health promotion: how to encourage employees to be physically active&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt; 2008</td>
<td>Ethnic* Queried the differential effect of interventions according to contexts including ethnicity Lack of evidence of how workplace interventions for physical activity are influenced by wider contexts such as ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICE PH6 Behaviour change at population, community and individual levels&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt; 2007</td>
<td>Ethnic*, Minorit*, Cultur* Awareness that different groups (including ethnicity) react differently to health promotion messages Need to target and tailor interventions to the needs of populations to be effective, with the goal of health equity Service user views may be helpful when planning interventions and needs assessment is a method to gather information to inform the appropriate development and tailoring of interventions The cultural acceptability and value of behaviours varies according to contexts, including ethnicity, and it is important not to stereotype or stigmatise groups of people because of these differences; working with communities and addressing prejudice and discrimination in professional practice is recommended Some ‘negative’ health behaviours may provide positive benefits (psychological, social or physical) for individuals in certain social and cultural contexts and these contexts, plus economic considerations, must be taken into consideration to develop effective interventions (account for diversity) Lack of high-quality evidence (RCTs) and cost-effectiveness data for interventions concerning specific subgroups including ethnic groups and a lack of research that considers health inequalities in relation to cultural differences Recommend research always takes ethnic minority groups into consideration and that there is improved reporting on differences in access, recruitment and uptake according to contextual variables including ethnicity Recommend research that takes into account the social and cultural contexts of behaviour and behaviour change, including SES, income levels, environmental characteristics of neighbourhoods and work-related factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGA3 Obesity: guidance on the prevention, identification, assessment and management of overweight and obesity in adults and children</td>
<td>Recommend tailoring of advice for different populations and vulnerable groups, particularly black and minority ethnic groups, and considering barriers (such as cost, personal tastes, availability, time, views of family and community members)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engagement with communities is important to assess barriers to physical activity and healthy eating, and these barriers may vary according to different contexts, including ethnicity, such that specific needs assessment is required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advice, treatment and care should be non-discriminatory, culturally appropriate and accessible to people who do not read or speak English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acknowledge that some ethnic backgrounds may be at greater risk of obesity and have different beliefs and attitudes regarding weight and weight management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information should be delivered in the appropriate language and be conscious of people’s culture and ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of evidence for multicomponent obesity interventions for particular subgroups including ethnic minority groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recommend future research and the collation of data that consider the effectiveness of interventions for different subgroups, including how it varies by ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH8 Promoting and creating built or natural environments that encourage and support physical activity</td>
<td>Shortage of evidence on the differential effectiveness of environmental interventions for physical activity for different groups, including how effectiveness varies with ethnicity and culture, and therefore it is unclear how these interventions affect health inequalities. Must take into account health inequalities when implementing recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cycling interventions in both urban and rural areas can be effective in increasing participation in cycling and could be considered with modification for cultural issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH12 Four commonly used methods to increase physical activity: brief interventions in primary care, exercise referral schemes, pedometers and community-based exercise programmes for walking and cycling</td>
<td>A difference in participation in physical activity between ethnic groups was reported by the health of ethnic minority groups survey – it found that South Asian and Chinese people of both genders were much less likely to participate in physical activity of any kind (whether it was sport and exercise, walking, heavy housework or DIY). Bangladesh men and women were the most inactive, being almost twice as likely as the general population to be classified as sedentary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There are gaps in the evidence for interventions for physical activity for effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, long-term outcomes and the differential effect of interventions according to varying contexts including ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>When developing services and infrastructure for the promotion of physical activity, particular attention needs to be given to the needs of disadvantaged and hard-to-reach communities, including ethnic minority groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical activity is an important factor in a number of government Public Service Agreement targets. These include targets to tackle obesity, increase cultural and sporting opportunities and improve the quality of the built environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active consultation is required to determine the best method of delivery of messages including the appropriate language for different groups (including different ethnic groups, among many other variables)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH17 Promoting physical activity, active play and sport for pre-school and school-aged children and young people in family, pre-school, school and community settings</td>
<td>Levels of physical activity vary according to many contextual factors including ethnicity. There was little reported difference between boys and girls in the main ethnic minority group in terms of participation in sports and exercise. Bigger differences were reported between Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, and Chinese children when compared with the general population in terms of participation in sports and exercise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active consultation is required to determine the best method of delivery of messages including the appropriate language for different groups (including different ethnic groups, among many other variables)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actively involve all children and young people, including those from different socioeconomic and ethnic minority groups, in physical activity initiatives; all groups should have the opportunity to be involved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ensure physical activity facilities are suitable for all children and young people, particularly those from ethnic minority groups with specific cultural requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recognise the need to tailor to individuals, including being sensitive to culture and gender issues, yet also encourage people to explore a variety of options for involvement in physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of evidence on how to engage children and young people in physical activity who are the least likely to be active, including children and young people from certain ethnic minority groups or traveller and refugee communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Need to determine the most effective and cost-effective interventions to increase and maintain increased levels of physical activity in subgroups of children and young people (taking account of age, culture, ethnicity, disability, gender, geographic area, e.g. inner city, urban, rural, and religion or SES, and giving particular attention to disadvantaged groups)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Establish continuing professional development programmes for people involved in carrying out physical activity interventions to assist them in understanding practical issues and barriers to involvement, including cultural appropriateness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Evaluations of physical activity interventions should be undertaken regularly and should measure uptake by different groups, including people from different ethnic backgrounds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 7** Evidence statements extracted from the UK guidelines (continued)
Consideration of ethnicity in guidelines and systematic reviews

TABLE 7 Evidence statements extracted from the UK guidelines (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Evidence statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PH5 Workplace health promotion: how to help employees to stop smoking&lt;sup&gt;227&lt;/sup&gt; 2007</td>
<td>Ethnic*, Minorit*, Cultur* Intervention materials may be aimed at a particular subgroup of the population, including certain ethnic groups A tailored intervention study in the USA reported effectiveness in promoting behaviour change in a working-class multiethnic population There are gaps in the evidence and research is required to uncover what the most effective and cost-effective interventions are for smoking cessation for different sectors of the workforce, including ethnic minority groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGN 69 Management of obesity in children and young people&lt;sup&gt;230&lt;/sup&gt; 2003</td>
<td>Ethnic*, Cultur*, African* Interested in differential risk among ethnic populations in the UK Evidence searched for ‘culture’ only in relation to the UK Studies that included African American or Far Eastern patients perceived not to apply or were not relevant to the Scottish population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGN 8 Obesity in Scotland&lt;sup&gt;238&lt;/sup&gt; 1996</td>
<td>Ethnic*, Indian* Age-specific BMI cut-offs provided in the annex for ethnic-origin UK children Increased likelihood of abdominal fat deposits with weight gain, which increased susceptibility to glucose intolerance, diabetes and CHD over that of Caucasians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGN 97 Risk estimation and the prevention of cardiovascular disease&lt;sup&gt;237&lt;/sup&gt; 2007</td>
<td>Ethnic* There are differences in the baseline population risk for different ethnic groups Ethnicity should be considered as a risk factor in CVD risk assessment Scotland’s ethnic minority population is at present small but increasing (ethnic minorities represent 2% of the 5 million population of Scotland) INTERHEART study reported on nine risk factors for CVD and observed that risk was consistent for men and women by ethnic group Current risk prediction algorithms are unable to accurately predict risk profiles for different ethnic groups and cultures and may underpredict risk in Chinese populations Mentions that risk prediction tools including waist measurement and BP may require different cut off points for different ethnic groups ASSIGN CVD prediction tool includes social deprivation and family history as a proxy for ethnic susceptibility; however, tool not validated and may underestimate risk for South Asians, for example Prevalence of smoking or effectiveness of interventions for ethnic minorities have not been reliably measured in Scotland; therefore, report on research from England No evidence found for relevant interventions with ethnic minority groups A mapping exercise identified some evidence of target smoking cessation materials but, in general, tobacco services were not attracting ethnic minority populations Optimal dose and intensity of physical activity has not been addressed in terms of how it relates to or varies for different populations including ethnic minority groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; RCT, randomised controlled trial.

Themes relating to ethnicity in UK guidelines and international systematic reviews

The evidence statements from the 12 guidelines were grouped under 16 generic statements (Table 8). From the generic statements, five themes were identified and these are summarised in Box 4. No new themes emerged from the evidence statements extracted from the systematic reviews that were not already encompassed under the five themes. Table 8 therefore represents these two sources of evidence.

Theme 1: acknowledging diversity

The guidelines and systematic reviews recognised ethnicity as an important factor in health promotion interventions.<sup>215</sup> There was acknowledgement of the variation in disease patterns and
BOX 4 Five themes relating to ethnicity in UK guidelines and international systematic reviews

Theme 1: Acknowledging diversity
- Importance of ethnicity in health
- Awareness of differential risks for rates of disease and unique health risks
- Awareness of differing health beliefs, values and attitudes

Theme 2: Identifying evidence gaps
- Gap in/lack of evidence on the effectiveness of interventions according to ethnicity/comparing different ethnic groups
- Gap in/lack of evidence on cost-effectiveness of interventions for ethnic minority populations
- Awareness of varying effectiveness of recommendations and interventions according to ethnicity
- Some interventions and materials reach ethnic minority populations and demonstrate some effect or no clear evidence of effect
- Tools to assess risk should consider risk in terms of ethnicity
- Awareness of a need to adapt interventions and services for ethnic minority groups and that adapted interventions may be effective for behaviour change

Theme 3: Observing differential effects of interventions
- Tools to assess risk should consider risk in terms of ethnicity
- Awareness of a need to adapt interventions and services for ethnic minority groups and that adapted interventions may be effective for behaviour change

Theme 4: Taking action to adapt interventions
- Ensure interventions are appropriately adapted for psychological, social, cultural and economic determinants
- Examine how gender, age and SES intersect with ethnicity
- Ensure that interventions are appropriately adapted by working with communities and families
- Address inequalities and avoid discrimination and stereotyping
- Recommend better representation of ethnic minority populations in research, and more research, because of increasing ethnic minority populations

Theme 5: Improving research design, analysis and reporting
- Recommend more syntheses of existing data and trends involving ethnic minority populations
- Recommend better data collection and reporting of ‘differences in access, recruitment and uptake according to ethnicity’

TABLE 8 Generic statements on ethnicity from UK guidelines (encompassing the evidence from the international systematic reviews)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic statements</th>
<th>Themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Importance of ethnicity in health</td>
<td>1. Acknowledging diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of differential risks for rates of disease and unique health risks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of differing health beliefs, values and attitudes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap in/lack of evidence on the effectiveness of interventions according to ethnicity/comparing different ethnic groups</td>
<td>2. Identifying evidence gaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap in/lack of evidence on cost-effectiveness of interventions for ethnic minority populations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of varying effectiveness of recommendations and interventions according to ethnicity</td>
<td>3. Observing differential effects of interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some interventions and materials reach ethnic minority populations and demonstrate some effect or no clear evidence of effect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tools to assess risk should consider risk in terms of ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of a need to adapt interventions and services for ethnic minority groups and that adapted interventions may be effective for behaviour change</td>
<td>4. Taking action to adapt interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure interventions are appropriately adapted for psychological, social, cultural and economic determinants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examine how gender, age and SES intersect with ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure that interventions are appropriately adapted by working with communities and families</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address inequalities and avoid discrimination and stereotyping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend better representation of ethnic minority populations in research, and more research, because of increasing ethnic minority populations</td>
<td>5. Improving research design, analysis and reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend more syntheses of existing data and trends involving ethnic minority populations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommend better data collection and reporting of ‘differences in access, recruitment and uptake according to ethnicity’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

risk factors, for example smoking, stress, physical inactivity and diseases such as obesity and cardiovascular disease, and awareness that some health issues may in fact be unique to certain ethnic groups. Diversity in beliefs, values and attitudes was also identified, for example perceptions of weight and overweight, and consequently the need to involve ethnic groups in formative work for interventions.

Theme 2: identifying evidence gaps
The second theme related to gaps in the evidence base for health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations. These gaps were discussed in terms of a lack of high-quality studies and randomised controlled trials and a lack of studies examining whether or not intervention strategies known to be effective in the general population are also effective for ethnic minority populations (e.g. incentives and workplace settings). There was poor-quality analysis and reporting of studies involving ethnic minority populations. There were also studies that did not report results according to ethnic subgroups or which statistically adjusted
for ethnicity but did not analyse their results according to ethnicity.\textsuperscript{209,219} Not surprisingly, considering the general lack of quality studies, there was also a major gap in cost-effectiveness evidence reported in the guidelines.\textsuperscript{112,170}

**Theme 3: observing differential effects of interventions**

There was awareness of the differential effects of interventions on different ethnic groups and also across ethnic groups in various settings\textsuperscript{112,118,121,172,177,178,181,182,204,211,214,215,223,230,232} and contexts (e.g. different countries\textsuperscript{200}). How recommendations for practice may impact on the health of specific ethnic minority groups and how effective interventions are for the health of ethnic minority groups were generally not known.\textsuperscript{112,172,182,204} Even within those populations for which significant evidence has accrued, such as within the African American population in the USA, there was still a lack of clear evidence despite interventions and/or materials reportedly demonstrating increased reach and better utilisation.\textsuperscript{172} Some guidelines and reviews presented evidence of interventions carried out in ethnic minority populations and there was mixed effectiveness found for these interventions, with no definitive conclusions reported.\textsuperscript{136,172,201,232} It was recommended that, in addition to interventions, there may also be differential effectiveness of the tools used to assess risk of ill-health and that ethnicity should be taken into consideration when assessing risk (e.g. body mass index,\textsuperscript{236} waist circumference\textsuperscript{137} and blood pressure\textsuperscript{137} measurements) to avoid inaccurate predictions.\textsuperscript{137}

**Theme 4: taking action to adapt interventions**

There was also awareness that action needed to be taken to address the gaps in evidence and to adapt interventions\textsuperscript{139,211,229} and services\textsuperscript{120} to be more appropriate for diverse populations and to produce interventions and services that are equally effective for all populations. Adaptations were discussed for ethnic minority groups\textsuperscript{4,211} (e.g. language\textsuperscript{120,172,174}) with the evidence suggesting that adapted interventions may be effective for behaviour change.\textsuperscript{127,136,153,181,189} In addition to adapting for ethnicity, the guidelines and reviews suggested that interventions should examine how other factors such as gender,\textsuperscript{172,179} age\textsuperscript{172} and SES intersect with ethnicity\textsuperscript{112,201} to influence health outcomes and affect health intervention successes. They should also adapt for psychological, social, cultural and economic determinants, this recognising the effects of the wider contexts of health in relation to health promotion for ethnic minority populations.\textsuperscript{112,172,173,176,217,232} Avoiding discrimination and stereotyping\textsuperscript{112,172} were other important considerations that were raised. The guidelines proposed that a way to ensure that interventions were appropriately adapted was by working with communities and families (e.g. conduct initial needs assessments, address barriers).\textsuperscript{79,112,122,172,174,227}

**Theme 5: improving research design, analysis and reporting**

Improved representation of ethnic minority populations in research, along with more well-designed research studies, was recommended as a response to the observed increasing ethnic diversity.\textsuperscript{120,218,221,223,229} It was suggested that research should focus on filling in the gaps in evidence on, for example, effectiveness of physical activity and dietary counselling delivered in health-care settings.\textsuperscript{183} The need for research to address past and current levels of under-representation of, for example, African Americans and Asian Americans\textsuperscript{218} in studies on physical activity\textsuperscript{199} and healthy eating\textsuperscript{223} was also emphasised. It was recommended that ethnic minority groups should be proportionally represented in research in terms of their risk or rate of disease stemming from, for example, tobacco use.\textsuperscript{120} Furthermore, better collection\textsuperscript{182} and reporting\textsuperscript{4,215,223} of interventions in relation to ‘differences in access, recruitment and uptake according to . . . ethnicity’\textsuperscript{112} were recommended. Finally, more syntheses of existing data for ethnic minority health were also recommended,\textsuperscript{274,180} making use of the existing empirical studies and providing stronger evidence for researchers and health practitioners on which to base future interventions.
Discussion

An in-depth analysis of national guidelines and systematic reviews developed for populations in general has not contributed substantially to our knowledge base of which health promotion interventions work for ethnic minority populations for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity or improving healthy eating. Most guidelines (12/15) made statements regarding ethnicity whereas approximately one-third of the systematic reviews (41/111) contained such statements, which suggested that the other two-thirds did not factor ethnicity into their review process.

When ethnic minority groups were mentioned, a lack of reporting and synthesis of data for these groups further contributed to a shortage in evidence of effectiveness for ethnic minority populations, for example there were no subgroup analyses of the effects of the intervention according to ethnicity identified in these high-level syntheses of evidence. We were thus limited in our ability to detect whether there were potential differences in intervention effectiveness attributable to ethnicity or whether adapted interventions were effective.

Summarising the evidence statements provided a starting point to make sense of the guidelines and systematic reviews. The statements acknowledged diversity within populations and differential effects of interventions for different ethnic groups. They also identified gaps in our knowledge base with regard to which interventions are effective for ethnic minority populations and that action should be taken to adapt interventions. Lastly, they recommended improved research design, analysis and reporting as a response to the gaps in the evidence (see Table 8).

A search for evidence pertaining to ethnic minority populations in guidelines and systematic reviews for the general population has demonstrated that there is as yet little clarity from the existing body of evidence to guide those planning and implementing health promotion interventions for diverse populations. We hypothesise that there is a gap in the cycle of evidence in translating empirical evidence, of the health, lifestyle and life circumstances of ethnic minority populations, into national guidelines (Figure 7).

Currently, individual intervention studies, some that may have included ethnic minority groups and others that were specifically designed for ethnic minority groups, may be identified for

---

**FIGURE 7** Cycle of evidence for health promotion interventions.
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inclusion in systematic reviews (Step 1 in Figure 7). However, these studies may be unavailable or are possibly not considered of importance to the review question at hand and are thus excluded (Step 2). Even when data from these studies are analysed, they may be discounted from the systematic review for reasons of study quality or other issues, including, for example, the diversity of ethnic groups, the small number of ethnic minority participants and different outcome measures used, all of which would prevent the pooling of ethnicity-specific data. Meanwhile, guidelines generally draw on systematic reviews as their main source of information and supplement this with expert opinion and consensus (Step 3). The latter process may introduce greater consideration of ethnicity in guidelines than was apparent in the systematic reviews drawn upon. Guidelines, for example, acknowledge diversity and research gaps and propose that these issues be addressed (see themes identified in Box 4). However, because of the lack of systematic review data, there is an absence of a solid evidence base on which to make recommendations as to whether interventions are effective for ethnic minority populations, or how to adapt interventions for ethnic minority populations. Consequently, new empirical studies are conducted with limited guidance and the cycle is perpetuated (Step 4).

**Strengths and limitations**

A key strength was our systematic approach to assessing what consideration has been taken of ethnic minority populations in the high-level evidence and recommendations for health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating. To our knowledge, this is the first time such an endeavour has ever been undertaken with the inclusion of international systematic review.

The 2 years between the literature search (April 2009) and the production of this report (June 2011) mean that additional guidelines and systematic reviews are likely to have been published or updated during this time and this is therefore a potentially important limitation. For example, the two SIGN guidelines (SIGN 821 and 69200) that have been included in this study have since been superseded by a newer guideline (SIGN 115243). Similarly, other reviews, such as the recently published interventions to reduce pre-diabetes risk factors for ethnic minority populations, have been used by NICE to develop Public Health Guidelines. An examination of these recent reviews has not, however, revealed any definitive evidence on how to improve the health of ethnic minority populations that has not already been included in systematic reviews identified in this study.

**Conclusions**

There exists little detailed guidance/summary evidence on how to adapt interventions to best meet the needs of ethnic minority populations. Although some individual studies may report and focus on ethnicity, this information is unlikely to be synthesised in systematic reviews, resulting in a lack of evidence from which guideline developers can develop recommendations. A two-pronged solution is needed: better reporting and synthesis of studies that include ethnic minority participants; and the development of more systematic reviews and guidelines with the specific aim of searching for and synthesising evidence of effective interventions for ethnic minority populations. In the next chapter we report on our systematic review of adapted health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations (i.e. African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations) for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating.
Chapter 6
Systematic review of adapted health promotion interventions

Abstract

Background
Health promotion interventions are potentially important strategies to reduce the morbidity and mortality associated with smoking, physical inactivity and poor diet. Adaptation of interventions with proven effectiveness in the general population may help to increase their acceptability to and effectiveness in ethnic minority populations.

Objectives
We sought to identify health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity, and improving healthy eating that have been adapted to meet the needs of African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin ethnic minority populations; describe the adaptation approaches used; and assess the clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, feasibility, acceptability and equity of these adapted approaches.

Methods
We systematically searched Applied Social Sciences Index and Abstracts (ASSIA), BIOSIS, The Campbell Collaboration, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), The Cochrane Library, EMBASE, ISI Web of Science, Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences Literature (LILACS), MEDLINE, PsycINFO and NHS Evidence Specialist Collection for Ethnicity and Health (SCEH) to identify relevant conceptual work, systematic reviews and empirical investigations of adapted interventions. Study quality was independently assessed by two reviewers using the Critical Appraisal Skills Program (CASP) and Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP) tools. Study findings were descriptively summarised and then thematically synthesised.

Results
Our searches identified a total of 48,740 potentially eligible studies, of which 173 reports satisfied our inclusion criteria. We identified 12 theoretical papers, seven systematic reviews and 107 empirical investigations (reported in 154 papers). The majority of adapted intervention studies took place in the USA and were conducted with African American populations, predominantly women. All studies conducted with Chinese-origin populations took place in the USA while studies with South Asian-origin populations were conducted in Australia, New Zealand and the UK. Multicomponent interventions targeting physical activity and healthy eating were the most common focus of attention, followed by smoking cessation interventions. We were able to describe and classify a spectrum of approaches used to adapt these interventions for ethnic minority populations. Of the 107 experimental studies identified, only nine directly compared an adapted intervention with a standard approach. One of these nine studies found evidence in support of the adapted intervention; five studies reported no differences; one study was in favour of the standard approach; and the remaining two studies reported no difference for some outcomes with other outcomes favouring the standard intervention. No studies reported a
cost-effectiveness analysis. Through studying the adapted approaches we were able to describe the range of methods employed and use this to construct a 46-item Typology of Adaptation. These adaptation approaches were then mapped on to different stages of the research cycle to produce an eight-stage Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions.

**Conclusions**

We identified a large body of studies predominantly from the USA examining adapted interventions in African American populations, but relatively little evidence in relation to other ethnic minority populations. This body of evidence has been used to develop a novel 46-item Typology of Adaptation and eight-stage Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions, which will, we hope, assist researchers in more systematically reflecting on approaches that can be employed to adapt interventions and also support the improved reporting of these studies of adapted interventions. There is at present a small inconclusive body of evidence examining the effectiveness of adapted compared with standard intervention approaches. Further studies of well-theorised adapted compared with standard interventions are needed and it is important that these trials assess long-term outcomes and cost-effectiveness considerations.

**Introduction**

There is increasing recognition that members of a number of ethnic minority groups in the UK and other economically developed countries experience disproportionate levels of risk of disease and mortality associated with a range of long-term conditions (see Chapter 1). Although preventive approaches are increasingly being pursued in the UK and elsewhere in an attempt to reduce the incidence of long-term conditions, there is evidence that these health promotion interventions may be relatively underutilised by ethnic minority groups. The complex and multifaceted reasons for these disparities are summarised in Chapter 1. Culturally adapting interventions to increase their salience and acceptability to ethnic minority populations may increase access to and the effectiveness of health promotion interventions and thereby help reduce these inequalities. Given the substantial risks associated with smoking, physical inactivity and unhealthy diets, we sought to describe the approaches to adapting health promotion interventions tackling these risk factors in African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations and to assess the strength of evidence in support of such adapted interventions.

**Research questions**

We sought to answer the following questions, which relate to Phase 1C of our project (see Chapter 2):

- What health interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating have been adapted for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations?
- What are the underpinning theories and approaches used in these interventions and how have the interventions been adapted?
- What is the evidence for the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, feasibility, acceptability and equity of health interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating that have been adapted for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations?
Methods

Search strategy

We searched the following 11 databases for literature on health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations: ASSIA, BIOSIS, The Campbell Collaboration, CINAHL, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, published in The Cochrane Library, EMBASE, ISI Web of Science, LILACS, MEDLINE, PsycINFO and NHS Evidence SCEH.

We used the search terms and strategy described in Appendix 12; a more detailed specimen search strategy for the MEDLINE database is provided in Appendix 13. The terms and search strategies used were adapted accordingly for each database. There were no restrictions placed on the language of publication. The searches were carried out between March and June 2009 and included literature dating from 1950.

A grey literature search was conducted using Google, Google Scholar and NHS Evidence (the first five pages), along with ClinicalTrials.gov and current controlled trials (www.controlled-trials.com) for ongoing trials. Additional grey literature was referred to us by the experts who we contacted for our qualitative interviews.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Summarised below are the review inclusion and exclusion criteria in relation to the populations, interventions, outcomes and types of studies.

Conceptual/theoretical reports

For the theoretical/conceptual literature identified we applied inclusion/exclusion criteria based on population and health topic (discussed below). Identified studies needed to discuss the populations and health areas of interest. Furthermore, we only included theoretical papers with an explicit stated aim of developing theories, conceptual frameworks and models that were sensitive to the preferences and needs of ethnic minority populations.

Systematic reviews

For the systematic reviews identified we applied inclusion/exclusion criteria based on population, interventions and outcomes to ensure that we captured reviews that synthesised relevant evidence (discussed below). At least 50% of the primary studies included in the reviews had to be health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations that were explicitly adapted for these populations. For the primary studies included in these reviews, if the subject of the review was a disease state such as diabetes or cardiovascular disease (CVD) (either primary or secondary prevention), we required the review to have examined the interventions of interest (smoking cessation, increasing physical activity or improving healthy eating) in at least 50% of the studies included in the review.

Empirical investigations

Populations

The populations ‘at risk’ (targeted by interventions) included children and (non-pregnant) adults of African, Chinese and South Asian origin. The definition of ethnicity used and the dimensions of ethnicity incorporated within this definition are discussed in Chapter 1. Care was taken when interpreting any studies utilising broad classifications such as South Asian when more specific identifiers, that is, Bangladeshi or Indian, were preferable. When the study report permitted it, a
thorough documentation of the description of ethnicity, how this was assessed and any within-group heterogeneity or acculturation was undertaken (see Chapter 1).

We excluded any study that was described as ‘multiethnic’ but did not report the percentage of the specific ethnic groups. We also excluded studies that were ‘multiethnic’ and which reported the percentage of ethnic groups, but in which the ethnic group(s) of interest constituted < 90% of the total study population and reported outcomes were not disaggregated by ethnicity. Exclusion criteria such as these have been applied in other systematic reviews of this nature and were used to minimise the effect of possible confounders and to focus more closely on the effects of ethnicity.

**Interventions**

Studies of any health promotion interventions that aimed to aid smoking cessation, promote physical activity and/or modify healthy eating to improve the health and well-being of ethnic minority people were eligible for inclusion. We considered all types of study designs, including experimental studies (controlled and uncontrolled studies), observational studies (prospective cohort studies) and evaluation studies using qualitative methods. Interventions at any level, ranging from individual to organisational, institutional and environmental, were eligible for inclusion (see Chapter 3). Primary or secondary health promotion interventions were also included, the latter referring to interventions for populations with already diagnosed conditions such as diabetes or heart disease. Secondary interventions were included only if they had at least one intervention component directly intervening in smoking, physical activity or healthy eating behaviours and they reported outcomes related to these components (e.g. a study that reported on increased physical activity as opposed to improved blood glucose). Furthermore, given our focus on identifying adapted interventions (see Chapter 1), we included only studies that clearly described the adaptations that were undertaken, rather than merely stating that an intervention was adapted without any further elaboration. When the nature of ‘adaptation’ was unclear, agreement was reached through discussion among reviewers on whether or not the study yielded important enough insights in relation to adaptation to warrant inclusion.

**Outcome measures**

We included studies that reported on primary, secondary and tertiary outcome measures of interest to the aims of this systematic review. The primary outcomes related to smoking cessation (e.g. quit attempts, quit rates, abstinence, number of cigarettes smoked – both validated and self-reported measures), improved healthy eating (e.g. calories, fat, per cent calories from fat, fruit and vegetable intake) and increased physical activity (e.g. cardiorespiratory fitness, time spent in physical activity, number of steps taken). Secondary outcomes were body weight, body mass index (BMI) and waist-to-hip ratio. The tertiary outcomes were changes in knowledge, beliefs and attitudes.

**Types of studies**

No restrictions were set according to study design. We thus included systematic reviews, experimental studies [e.g. randomised controlled trials (RCTs), clinical controlled trials (CCTs), controlled before-and-after studies, interrupted time series, before-and-after studies and pilot intervention studies] and also qualitative studies. These studies were all eligible for inclusion as long as they reported on one or more of the primary, secondary or tertiary outcomes described in the previous section. As discussed above we also included relevant theoretical literature.

**Data extraction strategy**

Two reviewers (JJL and ED) independently screened all titles and abstracts identified by the search strategy to assess inclusion/exclusion. Full papers of potentially eligible studies were retrieved and assessed by the same two reviewers based on the above criteria. Any differences of
opinion between the two reviewers were resolved by discussion or, when necessary, by a third reviewer (AS). Reasons for exclusion were documented and the list of excluded studies is located in Appendix 14.

Two reviewers (either JJL/ED for physical activity and healthy eating studies or JJL/CW for smoking cessation studies) independently extracted data using a tailored data extraction form (see Appendix 15). Data were extracted on:

- country of study and study setting (urban, rural, community, school)
- study design, inclusion/exclusion criteria
- participant demographics [sample number, age, gender, socioeconomic status (SES)]
- ethnicity and how ethnicity was assessed
- description of intervention – level of intervention (population, group, individual), type of intervention (focus – health risk/disease, duration, setting), selection criteria, sampling, randomisation, characteristics of comparison groups or control groups (as relevant to study design)
- type of adaptation(s) used
- outcome measures (these varied considerably according to the study design and focus)
- analytical techniques (where relevant)
- outcomes
- relevant process evaluations
- conclusions.

The extracted data were summarised in data tables and checked for discrepancies by a third reviewer (SK), and then further checked and edited by the original reviewers (JJL and ED). Discrepancies were resolved through discussion.

Quality assessment strategy

Two authors (either JJL/ED or JJL/CW or ED/SK) independently assessed the methodological quality of included studies using a suite of appropriate assessment tools appropriate to the study design. As recommended by the Cochrane Public Health Group in their Guidelines for Systematic Reviews of Health Promotion and Public Health Interventions,247 we used the CASP checklist for reviews to assess the quality of adapted systematic reviews;248 the quality appraisal tool developed by the EPHPP to assess intervention and observational studies;249 and the CASP checklist for qualitative research for qualitative studies.250 Disagreements were resolved by discussion and, if necessary, with the involvement of a third reviewer (AS).

Methods of analysis and synthesis

Both quantitative and qualitative data were extracted to assess how interventions were designed and adapted, and to assess feasibility, acceptability, clinical effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and equity.

Because of the wide range of health promotion topics, populations, interventions, time frames and outcome measures studied, it was neither desirable nor possible to undertake meta-analyses. Instead, the findings of each study were descriptively summarised and then this body of work was thematically synthesised.

Given the focus of this work, specific attention was given to extracting the behaviour change theories and adaptations employed in the interventions. When studies reported using a specific theory, this information was extracted; however, many papers did not report the use of a theory or, when they did report this, it was unclear how theory had informed the study design, intervention content or study outcomes.
Results

Summary of the literature identified

A total of 48,740 records were identified. A breakdown of the number of records identified from each of the 11 databases is provided in Table 9. The bulk of the records was identified from MEDLINE and EMBASE.

After deduplication, 36,047 records remained. Titles were screened and 35,422 records were subsequently excluded as not relevant. Abstracts and full-text articles were obtained and assessed for the remaining 625 records. A further 452 records, after being assessed for eligibility according to our inclusion/exclusion criteria, were subsequently excluded as they were not relevant to the interventions, populations, health promotion topics or outcomes of interest, or they were not deemed to contain sufficient information on adaptation. A total of 12 conceptual/theoretical papers, 7 systematic reviews and 154 reports of 107 empirical investigations were included in the final review (Figure 8).

Summary of conceptual/theoretical papers

It is suggested that theory-based interventions are in general more effective than interventions that are not underpinned by theory. "Theories" are broadly defined here as hypotheses, models or frameworks to help clarify thinking about situated realities. A theory can help explain and/or predict outcomes by explicating the relationships between variables posited to be important, for example why some people do or do not make health behavioural changes. A theory is characterised by abstraction rather than specificity for any context and should allow for testing in different contexts. A theory can be broadly categorised as either explanatory or change-oriented, posited to either understand or model how change takes place, although these are not mutually exclusive.

Theory in public health interventions has been usefully classified along a continuum, that is, studies that are informed by theory, by applying a theory, by testing theory and by building/creating theory. The use of ‘theory’, however, has been variable, with few studies applying or testing theories, which represents the foundation work before theory building can occur, and this thus reveals a gap in the field. Although theories for behaviour change dominate the health promotion literature, our focus here was more directed as we gave consideration to the ‘theoretical’ literature in the sense that the studies discussed a model, framework or approach to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Database</th>
<th>Records</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEDLINE</td>
<td>22,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMBASE</td>
<td>21,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSIA</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PsycINFO</td>
<td>756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CINAHL</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIOSIS</td>
<td>1005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Cochrane Library</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISI Web of Science</td>
<td>1643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LILACS</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Campbell Collaboration</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCEH</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48,740</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations. Each theory was first
briefly summarised (see Table 10) and the overarching themes are presented in Box 5; in this,
we highlight the different points of emphasis between the health promotion for ethnic minority
populations and that for the general population.

Davis et al.\textsuperscript{254} proposed an Afrocentric approach for therapists to treat obese and overweight
African American women. This approach incorporates cultural strengths with recognition and
address of multiple oppressions (e.g. racism, sexism and stigma of obesity and overweight). The
framework promotes developing trust, treating the client as the expert, taking personal histories,
tailoring treatment and considering cultural components, such as tapping into social support
networks and recognising potential strengths in the clients’ environment. Davis et al.\textsuperscript{254} posit that
African American women are likely to operate outside of mainstream ideals of thinness and, as
such, identification with African American culture may offer protections against, for example,
stigma in African American women who are overweight or obese, while greater acculturation
may be harmful in this respect. When framing weight loss interventions for African American
women, it is thus posited that weight loss for aesthetic reasons should not be emphasised as
the main goal. Furthermore, individual-oriented activities promoted by interventions such as
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healthy eating or lifestyle changes may be perceived to detract from family activities (in which the definition of ‘family’ includes adopted and extended family members, as well as the nuclear family). Furthermore, the type of communication strategy used could influence what is deemed appropriate; for example, a preference for improvisation and oral communication (compared with written) could render interventions with a self-monitoring component unsuccessful. Recognition of the importance of biculturalism, acculturation and degree of black identity and their moderating effects on the enablers and barriers are also acknowledged in this approach.

Airhihenbuwa255 presented empowerment education for a health education and prevention model, with group education, efficacy and action at the core of this theory. Empowerment here goes beyond controlling environmental forces and draws on Freire’s notion256 of empowerment to engage both the interventionist and the target groups in the joint production of meaning, value and knowledge as a central aim of the health promotion intervention. A ‘failure to involve communities in the production of knowledge based on their own cultures, histories, and experiences doomed an otherwise logical health promotion strategy’. For example, utilising storytelling for health promotion draws on African oral tradition; however, applying this technique requires a consideration of both the storyteller(s) and the listener(s), with both groups being equally important to the meaning-making process. The educational and cultural background of both members engaged in the dialogue needs clarification, as the reasons why storytelling is effective may be a function of strong cultural traditions, or a function of social inequalities producing low literacy levels.

Hugo257 proposed a model for grading media appropriateness and cultural sensitivity of communication strategies used in health education for a culturally diverse community. As health education is largely reliant on the success of messages, the message needs to reflect ‘relevancy of information, the particular sociocultural context in which communication takes place, as well as the suitability of media used in message transfer’. It is therefore the method or type of technology used in context that is thought to produce effective communication and generate the appropriate response (i.e. behaviour change). Furthermore, media acculturation, defined as modifying the communication message to increase acceptability and reduce confusion, is another crucial aspect, bearing in mind that ‘appropriateness by nature is not at all a fixed quality’. The proposed method assesses both the appropriateness of the media and sociocultural sensitivity and can contribute to the design of health education materials. The programme outcome, whether the learning concept is abstract or concrete, media preferences of instructor and learners, current level of knowledge, preferred ‘media codes’ (e.g. showing colour, motion) and potential resource limitations are considered when developing communication strategies.

Davis et al.258 described a tool kit for health and resilience in vulnerable environments (THRIVE) to aid communities to identify and increase health-improving factors and reduce ethnic disparities. The tool kit underscores the contribution of oppression and racism and addresses these factors by directing attention to both risk and resilience. Risk describes the ‘characteristics or circumstances that increase the likelihood that people within the community will experience poor health and safety outcomes’, whereas resilience describes the ‘ability to thrive despite the presence of risk factors’. Health promotion has, it is argued, overemphasised risk reduction while neglecting to see that resilience can buffer the negative effects of risk factors. Even when resilience is explored, it is usually considered as an individual quality rather than a potential community attribute. THRIVE assesses risk and resilience at four levels: built environment (e.g. safety, availability of spaces, accessibility to transportation), social capital (e.g. trust, community engagement and behaviour and gender norms), services and institutions (e.g. availability of public and community-based services) and structural factors (e.g. employment and advertising).
Ashley\textsuperscript{259} presented a framework to guide the needs assessment, programme planning and implementation and evaluation of health promotion and disease prevention interventions for African American communities. At the needs assessment stage, the framework recommends building relationships, involving community members throughout the intervention process, collaborating with formal and informal community leaders and working with community organisations such as churches to help access the community and form a planning committee to understand residents’ health needs. Data collection instruments should be pre-tested with a target group, culturally appropriate and matched for both language and literacy level. During planning a detailed account of the historical, economic, political and traditional or culture-specific issues for the community should be collected. Furthermore, it is important to assess whether there are competing priorities or socially sanctioned or acceptable norms, such as bigger body sizes (discussed above). Interventions should be based on scientific theories that have been tested in the target population, such as social learning theory\textsuperscript{260} and theory of planned behaviour change\textsuperscript{261} in African American communities. During implementation interventions should be pilot tested to determine cultural appropriateness and acceptability. Furthermore, given the difficulty of retention, ‘non-traditional sites and captive audiences … [such as] churches, Laundromats, nail shops, beauty salons, barber shops, family and class reunions, social clubs and organisations’ should be considered, as well as the use of a small group discussion format, which has been found to be effective. Evaluation and dissemination should be presented in clear, jargon-free language.

Resnicow \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{35} introduced a framework for developing culturally sensitive interventions using the concepts of surface and deep structures. Surface structures are the ‘observable, “superficial” characteristics of target population’, while deep structures are the cultural, social, historical, environmental and psychological forces. Addressing surface structures is perceived to increase ‘receptivity’ and ‘acceptance’ and is achieved by delivering messages and programmes through appropriate channels and settings, using people, places, language, music, food brands, locations and clothing preferred by or familiar to the target population. On the other hand, addressing deep structures is thought to increase ‘salience’ and efficacy. For African American communities, for example, deep structures can include religion/spiritualism, expressiveness, preference for verbal communication, connection to ancestors and history, centrality of community and family and their potential use as motivators, and intuition and experience over empiricism, as well as stressors and responses to these stressors. Addressing deep structures is likely to require pre-testing and involve directed questions on perceived differences between the target group and the mainstream group and preferences for intervention content and format while being simultaneously aware of within-group differences. For example, for African Americans, promoting the spiritual or psychological benefits of weight loss may be effective. Resnicow \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{35} suggested that an important means of articulating whether surface or deep structures are relevant is to determine individuals’ degree of ethnic identity. Therefore, even Afrocentric programmes may actually be culturally insensitive depending on participants’ ethnic identity, and therefore what constitutes an ‘adapted’ baseline intervention is unknown. Although the framework was developed for African American and Hispanic/Latino populations, it is suggested that this may be equally applicable to other populations.

James\textsuperscript{262} proposed the PEN-3 health education model that places culture as the central focus for both health promotion and disease prevention, such that culture is both an explanatory model and an action model. The PEN-3 model has three central dimensions: a ‘diagnosis’ of who should be targeted for health education and the most appropriate channel to reach that target group; a ‘diagnosis’ of target groups’ perception of health information, barriers and enablers; and the identification of the enabling and constraining culturally appropriate health behaviours. The PEN-3 model utilises findings from a focus group study about food with African Americans to illustrate the application of the model. In this study, women were identified as central targets.
for dietary change. Differing responsibilities among these women (i.e. with or without children) translated into different experiences and relationships with their weight and food. Discussion of dietary changes focused on ‘Soul Food’, a traditional form of African American cuisine. The women concluded that the food items themselves were not unhealthy, rather, it was the methods of food preparation that increased the fat content, for example. Although individuals recognised that Soul Food may be unhealthy, they also articulated the difficulty in changing such a historically and contemporarily significant cultural artefact. Furthermore, Soul Food was sometimes perceived as resistance to mainstream culture and connected with feelings of belonging, comfort and tradition. Mainstream guidance, such as the food guide, was perceived not to be applicable to the African American population. In light of this work, James proposed that health behaviours should be examined according to whether they are ‘long term’, that is, rooted in tradition (e.g. Soul Food), or ‘short term’, that is, more recent manifestations of cultural and/or socioeconomic idiosyncrasies (e.g. consumption of fast food). Making a distinction between eating healthy food as giving up tradition and eating healthy food to reduce fast food consumption was one recommended approach to dietary change. The PEN-3 model emphasised that ‘culture’ is an ever-changing dynamic force in individuals’ lives.

Fitzgibbon and Sánchez-Johnsen described successful strategies for low-income African American women for CVD and cancer risk reduction and the development of culturally competent programmes to address their specific needs. They recommended the stages of change model, a model originally designed to address addictive behaviours such as smoking cessation, but increasingly used for dietary modification and in programmes targeting ethnic minority populations. They suggested that ‘dietary change interventions present unique challenges to researchers because their main focus is replacement and modification, not cessation, as in smoking or drug abuse.’ Their discussions also encompassed logistical considerations for encouraging recruitment: having safe and easily accessible settings in familiar locations and developing relationships with trusted, community and peer leaders, as well as considering the importance of the extended rather than the nuclear family. These strategies were important in the context of multiple recruitment challenges, including fear of exploitation by researchers and various obligations, roles and day-to-day stressors that may render health-care important only when there is presence of symptoms. As programmes are developed and implemented, a range of cultural factors should be considered, including acculturation, ethnic identity and religion. Focus groups should be conducted to determine the preferred intervention format, cultural norms and literacy and educational levels (not just reading level, but also the amount of material that could be read/digested). For dietary programmes ethnic foods should be encouraged. Programme retention presented unique challenges such as transient populations, gang activity and poverty-related stress. To address these challenges, retention strategies such as weekly contact calls, multiple incentives (monetary more effective than vouchers/coupons), the use of peer leaders and tracking participants by obtaining multiple telephone numbers and the contact details of close friends and family can be employed.

Keller et al. used treatment theory to address issues of recruitment and retention of minority women participants in an exercise programme to reduce obesity. They identified the Harvard Matching Model of Recruitment and a model by Levkoff et al., which assessed matching at three levels: macro (community agencies vs academic institutions), mediator (gatekeepers vs research team) and micro (participants vs interviewers). These papers were more focused on recruitment whereas the present paper considered retention, which can be improved by considering critical inputs, mediating processes and substantive participant characteristics in intervention design. Treatment theory looks for the causal mechanism between the intervention and its outcomes. It further highlights the significant variables and proposes a way to measure them and control sources of external influences. The critical inputs for increased retention were identified as convenience, supportive surroundings and cognitive factors such as self-efficacy.
Mediating processes included, for example, recognition that caring for their family was contingent on their own positive health status. Three types of participant characteristics were posited to influence intervention outcomes: personal characteristics, which were the measurable features such as demographics; cognitive processes and health knowledge, attitudes and beliefs – either illness or health related, such as degree of illness and associated symptoms or disabilities; and personal resources, such as social support and coping mechanisms.

Sorensen et al. developed a conceptual model that tackled the interconnected pathways between risk-related behaviours leading to increased cancer morbidity and mortality and the complex sociocontextual factors mediating these behaviours. The model includes sociodemographic characteristics (e.g. social class, ethnicity, gender) as inputs into a larger social context, which includes interpersonal, organisational, neighbourhood/community and societal factors. These sociocontextual factors, which are modifiable, are in turn mediated by sociocontextual mechanisms, such as social norms, social support and organisational environment, as well as by individual factors, such as self-efficacy, intentions to perform behaviour and skills. This model therefore targets interventions at the level of mediating mechanisms that are amenable and actionable. Interventions are thus targeted at multiple risk factors while being sensitive to the sociodemographic characteristics of participants and their broader social contexts. This model attempts to draw out the salient characteristics of these multilayered sociocontextual factors as they relate to ethnicity and integrate them into intervention design. For example, one intervention study sought to target modifying factors salient to low-income, multiethnic populations, such as barriers to physical activity, by, for example, providing free gym passes, working to create walking paths with employers and creating opportunities to access community resources. Social support was further enhanced by working with employers to maintain healthy and safe working environments.

Appel et al. addressed the disproportionate mortality and morbidity burden of African American women and developed a model that takes into account the wide variety of daily contextual factors influencing the health status of this population. The model is structured on ‘the interrelationship between contextual risk factors, rational choice theory, and opportunity cost’. Contextual risk factors were defined as those circumstances outside the control of women and could lead to both social isolation – a lack of access to health-care information and hesitancy to interact with the health-care system – and social incongruence – ‘an inconsistency between individuals’ social, educational, lifestyle, and monetary status they were able to achieve’. Appel et al. argued that contextual factors become risk factors when they conflict with women’s capacity to modify cardiovascular risk factors. This model suggested that rational choice theory is useful for understanding how women make particular choices that can negatively impact on their health outcomes. Rational choice theory has four main factors that impact on African American women’s health choices, including a hierarchy of preferences (lifestyle, beliefs, attitudes, habits that shape behaviour); opportunity costs that encourage unhealthy choices (such as difficult access to healthy foods); and institutional constraints (such as a lack of culturally competent African American physicians). The fourth factor is an aggregation mechanism that proposes the replication of these factors in a given population – when faced with similar experiences and needs there is a tendency to make similar choices. These risk factors then create increased opportunity costs for African American women wanting to engage in healthier behaviours. Advanced practice nurses will, it is envisaged, play important roles in implementing interventions for communities living in this socially isolated environment of scarcity. The central role of the advanced practice nurses is, it is suggested, to work with community leaders to reduce opportunity costs and institutional constraints and provide education. Reducing these costs and constraints can be achieved through projects such as providing childcare, bus passes and car pools. Minimising opportunity costs within a community-based framework is proposed to empower women to make positive health choices.
Burnet et al.\textsuperscript{270} developed a theoretical model focused on preventing diabetes in high-risk minority youth. This model situated behaviour change as constrained by a number of barriers including knowledge and beliefs (e.g. perceived difficulties in modifying cooking to include lower fat methods), attitudes (e.g. enjoyment in eating unhealthy foods), social norms (e.g. acceptance of larger body sizes) and the environment (e.g. a lack of safe recreational areas). Minority populations such as African Americans face difficulty in accessing health care, have little experience with research as few studies are directed at them and may distrust the medical community because of past abuses, which affects participation in health programmes. Taking stock of these factors, Burnet's model is based on an underlying conceptual framework that situates positive behavioural skills as most effectively emerging from experienced-based learning to cultivate self-efficacy. Behaviours are cognitively targeted by social learning theory,\textsuperscript{260} which hypothesises that individuals must believe that their current behaviours are detrimental to their health and that changing them will lead to a positive outcome expectation. In addition, the individual must feel able to make those changes, and value the incentives for changing. The health belief model\textsuperscript{271} contributes to this modelling and suggests that behavioural change must also be considered subjectively acceptable. The broader environmental conditions influencing behaviour are also considered in the form of the theory of planned behaviour\textsuperscript{272} and the ecological model.\textsuperscript{273,274} Within interventions, self-efficacy should be promoted through achievable performance goals and verbal encouragement. Normative beliefs that hinder self-efficacy must be targeted through community members and leaders. Thus, interventions must target both cognitive and environmental conditions of minority populations, within community contexts to increase self-efficacy.

Table 10 summarises the salient features of these explanatory theories and models.

It can be seen from this summary that the theoretical literature on how to modify health promotion interventions to meet the needs and preferences of ethnic minority populations is rather piecemeal, and contains a mixture of both explanatory and predictive theories, featuring some, but not all, of the three ethnic minority populations of interest to our current study. Whether different approaches apply to different ethnic groups or whether there are consistent principles that can apply across ethnic groups is still under investigation. Nonetheless, overall this body of work directs our attention to some overarching themes or messages for practice that may be relevant across ethnic groups, and are summarised in Box 5. Furthermore, as most of these papers do not present theories per se, there is room to develop a more all-encompassing approach, drawing on both these themes identified here and the findings from the critique of systematic reviews and primary studies presented in the remainder of this chapter.

**Summary of systematic reviews**

The seven systematic reviews that met our eligibility criteria covered various health topics including smoking cessation,\textsuperscript{246,275} physical activity/fitness,\textsuperscript{245,276} obesity,\textsuperscript{277} diabetes\textsuperscript{278} and CVD.\textsuperscript{279} Most of the reviews undertook narrative analysis and synthesis of the included studies; however, two reviews had sufficient data to undertake meta-analyses. The studies are summarised in Table 11 and are discussed in this chapter by health topic. More detailed descriptions of these systematic reviews are found in Appendix 16, while quality assessments are included in Appendix 17.

**Smoking cessation**

Chen and Tang\textsuperscript{275} undertook a review of the smoking cessation research in Asian American populations (including South-East Asian, Chinese, Korean and Vietnamese populations). Their search included studies from 1995 to 2005 and identified two clusters of controlled studies and two uncontrolled intervention studies. Cultural adaptations included adapting intervention materials for language and cultural specificity, the use of lay health workers and
utilising community events for health promotion presentations. The authors commented that the interventions had differing degrees of success and they related this in some cases to levels of acculturation within the population. They concluded that further research is needed in this area and also cautioned that, because of the high degree of heterogeneity within the Asian American population, intervention results are not readily generalisable.

Webb conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of the treatment of tobacco dependence among African Americans. This review identified 20 published and unpublished studies. Eleven of these studies had adaptations for cultural specificity, which included tailored print materials and the use of church settings for the delivery of the intervention. When the culturally specific intervention results were pooled, the odds ratio (OR) was 1.47 post treatment [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.12 to 1.91] compared with an OR of 1.34 (95% CI 0.99 to 1.82) for the standard intervention. However, the author reported that the culturally specific interventions were not effective at follow-up whereas the standard interventions retained a

---

**TABLE 10** Summary of theoretical papers on adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Theory/concept</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aitihenbuwa 1994</td>
<td>Empowerment education as a health education and prevention model</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Model promotes group education, efficacy and action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appel et al. 2005</td>
<td>Rational choice theory</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Contextual factors, social incongruity and social isolation lead to increased opportunity costs to engage in protective health behaviours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashley 1999</td>
<td>Framework for health promotion and disease prevention</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Framework to guide the assessment, planning, implementation and evaluation of health promotion and disease prevention interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnett et al. 2002</td>
<td>Conceptual model for prevention of type 2 diabetes in high-risk minority youth</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Model situates behaviour change as constrained by barriers such as knowledge and beliefs, attitudes, social norms and the environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis et al. 1999</td>
<td>Afrocentric systems approach to treat obese/overweight women</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Guideline to integrate cultural strength and supports into the development of interventions for weight management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis et al. 2005</td>
<td>Tool kit for health and resilience in vulnerable environments (THRIVE)</td>
<td>Ethnic minority communities</td>
<td>THRIVE is a community assessment tool to understand and prioritise factors that can help improve health and reduce disparities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzgibbon and Sánchez-Johnsen 2004</td>
<td>Recruitment, retention, development and implementation</td>
<td>African American and Latino</td>
<td>Considerations at recruitment, retention, development and implementation stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hugo 2000</td>
<td>Grading model for health education communication strategies</td>
<td>Ethnic minority groups</td>
<td>Grading model for media appropriateness and cultural sensitivity of communication strategies used in health education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James 2004</td>
<td>PEN-3 model: three dimensions: health education diagnosis, educational diagnosis of health behaviour, cultural appropriateness of health behaviour</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Framework for health promotion with culture at the centre of health promotion and disease prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keller et al. 2005</td>
<td>Treatment theory to address recruitment and retention</td>
<td>Ethnic minority groups, with examples from African American and Latino communities</td>
<td>Theory to address issues of recruitment and retention of ethnic minority women in obesity reduction intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 1999</td>
<td>Deep/surface level</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Framework to guide development of health promotion and disease prevention programmes that are culturally sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorensen et al. 2003</td>
<td>Social ecological conceptual model for behaviours and their sociocontextual moderators and mediators</td>
<td>Working-class, multiethnic populations</td>
<td>Conceptual model that links the pathways between risk-related behaviours and sociocontextual factors and proposes where along the pathway interventions should take place</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
systematic review of adapted health promotion interventions

significant effect. The authors concluded that both culturally adapted and standard interventions are effective, but that adaptation was particularly effective in the short term (perhaps in relation to increasing enrolment and reducing attrition) and the effect then declined with time.

Physical activity
Two reviews focused on physical activity among African Americans. Whitt-Glover and Kumanyika identified 29 physical activity studies in adults and 14 in children. Of the 43 studies, 40 were adapted. Adaptations included utilising African American music and dance, cognisance of cultural, social, historical and environmental perspectives, utilising church settings for interventions and using an interactive format of intervention delivery. Only three studies directly compared an adapted intervention with a standard intervention and none of these showed a statistically significant advantage of the adapted intervention over the same intervention without adaptation. The authors concluded that culturally adapted interventions may not increase effectiveness and that further research is required.

The review by Banks-Wallace and Conn identified 18 studies, of which four focused on physical activity with the remainder also including a dietary component. In total, 14 of these studies mentioned some form of cultural adaptation. The adaptations ranged from community consultations and focus groups contributing to the design of the intervention to the choice of location and the content of the intervention. Only one study examined the effectiveness of adaptation and this suggested that the strategies they included were effective.

Obesity
Hudson reviewed obesity prevention interventions adapted for African Americans. Twenty-eight studies were included; six of these were experimental studies (one of these six was not

**BOX 5 Areas of emphasis in health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations compared with those for the general population**

- Communication strategies used to deliver health messages focus on oral delivery and group format whereas written materials are more commonly used in health messages delivered to the general population. Experiential learning is also emphasised for ethnic minority populations.
- The focus of interventions is at the level of the family, defined to include extended and adopted family members as opposed to nuclear families, or at the level of communities, whereas individuals are usually the target of health promotion interventions for the general population.
- Women are central figures as targets of behaviour change and as agents of change because of their role in the family (e.g. role-modelling, care-taking), whereas this emphasis is less apparent in health promotion interventions in the general population.
- The goals for behaviour change for ethnic minority groups are framed around psychological, community and family gains as benefits of behaviour change compared with more individual and aesthetic-focused goals to motivate behaviour change in the general population – this is particularly apparent for weight loss. Furthermore, many health goals are seen to represent mainstream or dominant discourses and behaviour change may be perceived as assimilation, and this was contrasted with maintaining traditional practices as resistance.
- Day-to-day lived experiences, particularly stressors, and competing priorities are perceived as barriers to change for ethnic minority populations. Although these factors are acknowledged in health promotion interventions for the general population, they appear to be more substantive barriers for ethnic minority populations.
- Culture is constituted as a protective asset rather than a pathology and framed as an important factor in both risk and resilience. Culture is rarely discussed in health promotion interventions for the general population.
- Degrees of acculturation, ethnic identity and religious affiliation should be measured to better adapt interventions for ethnic minority populations; these factors are not considerations that are evident in health promotion interventions for general populations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review</th>
<th>No. of studies and time period</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Health issue</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Synthesis approach</th>
<th>Conclusions/recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Banks-Wallace and Conn 2002</td>
<td>18 studies 1984–2000</td>
<td>African American women</td>
<td>No particular health issue, looking at increasing physical activity for all health reasons</td>
<td>Any intervention increasing physical activity</td>
<td>Narrative</td>
<td>The findings of this study suggest that culturally relevant strategies are effective for promoting physical activity and dietary changes among African American women. Study design and measurement issues meant that it was not possible to draw any firm conclusions on what particular components of adaptation are effective and further, well-designed research is required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen and Tang 2007</td>
<td>4 studies 1995–2005</td>
<td>Asian American</td>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Smoking cessation interventions</td>
<td>Narrative</td>
<td>The existing research is very limited and, although it shows some promise, it was suggested that more research is needed. Care must also be taken not to generalise results across different Asian populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne et al. 2008</td>
<td>11 studies No publication year restrictions</td>
<td>Ethnic minority groups in upper-middle- and high-income countries (4 African American studies and 3 South Asian)</td>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>Culturally appropriate health education</td>
<td>Narrative with meta-analysis when outcome measures were sufficiently similar and subgroup analyses including by ethnicity</td>
<td>Significant effect observed for culturally adapted interventions over the control groups for all ethnic groups. Authors recommended that culturally appropriate interventions should be the ‘gold standard’ for health education programmes for ethnic minority populations (how they were adapted or what features of adaptation may relate to effectiveness was not assessed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson 2008</td>
<td>6 studies 1997–2007</td>
<td>African American children</td>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>Obesity prevention interventions</td>
<td>Narrative</td>
<td>Almost all of the experimental studies identified had culturally tailored interventions; however, several of these studies had only preliminary data and, as limited research exists in this area, further well-designed intervention studies are required to shed light on effective strategies for this population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaya et al. 2006</td>
<td>10 studies 1966–2004</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>Interventions focused on improving CVD-related health behaviours, adherence to treatment and access to health care</td>
<td>Narrative</td>
<td>Cultural adaptations are discussed for the individual studies included; however, there is a lack of evidence, particularly of any long-term effects. More research is needed, along with more sustainable approaches, possibly through community-level initiatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webb 2008</td>
<td>20 studies 1984–2006</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>Cultural specificity of the intervention was one of the factors that moderated the treatment effect, increasing the effectiveness in the short term but having less effect than the culturally non-specific intervention in the long term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitt-Glover and Kumanyika 2009</td>
<td>29 studies in adults and 14 in children 1985–2006</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>No particular health issue, looking at increasing physical activity for all health reasons</td>
<td>Interventions to increase physical activity or fitness</td>
<td>Narrative</td>
<td>Mixed results on effectiveness. Adult studies were more effective than those in children. Studies with cultural adaptations did not appear to result in significantly better outcomes. Further high-quality studies are required to advance understanding in this area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
explicitly adapted, but all six studies included physical activity and healthy eating components). The six experimental studies included preliminary data and therefore the authors were unable to draw any definitive conclusions.

**Cardiovascular disease**

Shaya et al. reviewed community interventions addressing cardiovascular disparities. They identified 10 studies, of which five were conducted in African American populations, focusing on physical activity and/or healthy eating, and described some form of cultural adaptation. Four of these studies were church-based interventions. Churches were identified as a focal point of the African American community, especially for older women, and therefore an acceptable setting for health promotion interventions. Lay health workers and culturally appropriate recruitment strategies were also discussed. Although the adaptations were described, no conclusions were offered on the effectiveness of adaptation for health promotion strategies.

**Diabetes**

A Cochrane review by Hawthorne et al. on culturally appropriate health education for type 2 diabetes mellitus identified 11 studies. Four of these studies focused on culturally adapted interventions for African Americans and another three on culturally adapted interventions for South Asian populations. Subgroup meta-analyses were performed to assess the effect of the culturally adapted interventions for each population group and for a variety of intervention outcomes. Outcomes relevant to this work included healthy eating knowledge, feelings of empowerment to make dietary changes, and BMI. Knowledge increase was significant post intervention at the 3-, 6- and 12-month follow-ups, but no significant changes in health outcomes such as BMI were identified. Despite this the authors concluded that adapted interventions produce better outcomes than those that are unadapted and should be the ‘gold standard’ for ethnic minority populations.

Overall, there were mixed results from systematic reviews on the topic of adapted interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating in African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations. The majority of the authors recommended further research in this area. There was also a recurrent comment with regard to the physical activity- and healthy eating-based interventions that the intervention data were on the whole short term and that longer-term outcomes were unknown and needed further exploration. The lack of definitive evidence also justified the need for a more thorough investigation of adapted empirical studies.

**Summary of empirical studies of adapted interventions**

In total, 107 studies (reported in 154 papers) met our inclusion/exclusion criteria and were fully extracted and quality appraised. A summary of the distribution of these papers across ethnic minority populations and health promotion topics is outlined in Table 12. The bulk of the included studies focused on African-origin populations for physical activity and healthy eating (n = 50) and smoking cessation (n = 19). Detailed one-page summaries of all 107 studies are included in Appendix 18. A summary of the quality scores of the included studies is reported in Appendix 19. We first use the entire corpus of 107 studies to consider the feasibility, acceptability and equity of adapted interventions. In-depth discussion of direct comparison studies, adapted studies with additional components and studies reporting cost information is presented later in this chapter. These studies have the potential to inform deliberations on the effectiveness of adapted interventions.
Typology of adaptation

We extracted the adaptations reported by each study. We then compared and contrasted these adaptations and identified 46 mutually exclusive types of adaptation. Collectively, these 46 adaptations represent the breadth and depth of adaptations undertaken in the 107 included studies, which span all three health promotion topics and three ethnic populations of interest (Table 13). The number of adaptations used within each study varied and different combinations of adaptations were apparent. The main adaptations undertaken in each study are described in the one-page study summaries (see Appendix 18) and are also summarised in Appendix 20 to allow for comparison of adaptations used across studies.

Assessing the feasibility, acceptability and equity of adapted interventions

Explicit discussions of the feasibility, acceptability and equity of adapted interventions were limited in the systematic reviews discussed earlier (see Summary of systematic reviews). In addition, therefore, we searched the primary studies for relevant insights.

Feasibility

The review of UK guidelines and systematic reviews identified that some health promotion topics and levels of health promotion interventions were more feasible to conduct in the adapted form, in terms of having identified evidence of effect and also scope for applying adaptations (see Chapter 4). For example, smoking cessation interventions using pharmacotherapy had less scope for adaptation than settings-based interventions for physical activity or healthy eating.

The main additional insights from this review of empirical studies relate to the practicalities of successfully delivering research interventions and health promotion interventions. We found that the feasibility of interventions was primarily dependent on resources, including both funding and infrastructure (workforce, materials and venues). In some cases the resources were required for the underlying intervention and in other cases the resources were required for adaptation and were related to the degree of adaptation undertaken.

Examples of interventions for which the resource requirements may have affected feasibility include an internet-delivered weight loss intervention in which all participants were provided with part funding for the purchase of home computers.\(^{280-282}\) Other such resource-intensive interventions involved subsidised gym membership\(^{283}\) or children’s camps.\(^{284}\) The feasibility of delivering these interventions in other contexts, or to larger numbers, may be challenged by the cost of these resources. Examples of other costly interventions were the national VERB campaign in the USA\(^{285-287}\) (US$194M) and the Charlotte REACH multicomponent intervention (US$7M for a population of 20,000).\(^{288-289}\) Although resource intensive, these costs need to be weighed up against the possible health gains, but with no high-quality health economic studies available this could not be assessed. The most feasible studies appeared to be those that professed to require few health-care resources and minimal technology.\(^{290,291}\) An example of this was an intervention for African American adults with diabetes conducted through five group meetings delivered by family health advocates in community locations.\(^{290,291}\) Topics of discussion included stress...
### TABLE 13 46-item Typology of Adaptation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1  Exploratory phase with target population (same group as intervention group)</td>
<td>Adoptions based on previous relevant (qualitative) research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  Exploratory phase with target population (different group from intervention group or unknown)</td>
<td>Adaptations based on focus groups from a previous study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  Exploratory phase with community leaders</td>
<td>Needs assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4  Ethnically matched intervention staff or facilitators (with qualifications)</td>
<td>Dietician, exercise instructor, programme staff; knowledge of culture and religion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5  Ethnically matched peer role models or peer education</td>
<td>Lay health advisors with the same background, age, ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6  Ethnically matched facilitators and peer role models who have successfully changed their behaviour (both ethnically and behaviourally matched)</td>
<td>Lay health advisors who themselves are ex-smokers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7  Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals to increase salience of programme goals</td>
<td>Former surgeon general (African American) to deliver a message, spokesperson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8  Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals and community members throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
<td>Steering group all Chinese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9  Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
<td>Principal investigator is South Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Utilise local/respected religious/spiritual leaders</td>
<td>Church pastors, church pastors' wives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Collaboration with ethnic-specific institutions and professional organisations (formal)</td>
<td>For example the National Black Leadership Initiative on Cancer; hold intervention at local tutoring programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Material depicts individuals from target population</td>
<td>Posters with appropriate models, e.g. of same ethnicity and age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Material (video, booklet, skits, handouts, games) in target population's language</td>
<td>Translation, bilingual, interpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Reflect target population’s language (usage – concepts, vocabulary)</td>
<td>Figurative language: “Dear Sister”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Match reading level and literacy</td>
<td>Consider matching text with graphics, or fifth- to sixth-grade reading level for populations with low literacy; assistance with questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Reflect target population’s preferred method of communication</td>
<td>Storytelling, poetry and literature; hands-on/interactive learning; testimonials; face-to-face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Material presents ethnic-specific data</td>
<td>Statistics for heart disease among African Americans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Material depicts appropriate graphics and scenarios (this can be heterogeneous)</td>
<td>Foods, clothing, logos, artwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Material/guidance based on preferences of target population</td>
<td>Foods, recipes, flavourings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Material developed specifically for target population (by project investigators, expert opinion, tools)</td>
<td>KIS II Quit Smoking Guide – 36-page booklet developed for African American light smokers developed by investigators, expert feedback and cultural relevance analysis using the suitability of assessment materials (SAMS); recipe books</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Material created by members of the target population</td>
<td>African American-owned advertising company produced campaign media spots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Intervention content targets population’s social and cultural values</td>
<td>Spiritual themes, prayers, inclusion of kinship, collectivism; cultural traditions; family commitments; connection to history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Intervention goals and outcomes are culturally appropriate</td>
<td>Focus on reducing fat and not on losing weight when weight loss is not a priority for the population; emphasis on personal health improvement as a means of assisting the family or community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Intervention delivered in culturally appropriate or preferred format</td>
<td>Joint counselling sessions for Chinese smoker’s physician and family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Consider target population’s employment situations</td>
<td>Caretaker, night-shift worker, taxi driver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Intervention addresses health behaviour patterns found in target population</td>
<td>Modal smoking patterns of African American smokers (low daily smoking rate, menthol, high tar/nicotine cigarettes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Dietary issues unique to their context</td>
<td>Church meals; food at social gatherings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Utilise resources from target population</td>
<td>Recipes provided by participants used in direct instruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Utilise appropriate incentives and timing of programme</td>
<td>Held in evenings rather than daytime; use of small gifts given at intervals during the study found to enhance retention of rural African Americans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 13 46-item Typology of Adaptation (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30  Utilise and addresses appropriate norms</td>
<td>BMI cut-offs, normative frameworks, body image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Address concerns with medical programmes, procedures and medication</td>
<td>Medicine may be perceived as too strong; giving blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32  Utilise appropriate evaluation instruments and tools</td>
<td>Regionally and culturally specific foods added to Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33  Located in ethnically/culturally appropriate/familiar location</td>
<td>Black-owned commercial gym; community-based clinic that serves predominantly low-income African American patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34  Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate media sources</td>
<td>Chinese-language newspaper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35  Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate formal and informal networks</td>
<td>Community groups, lay health educator networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36  Provide ethnically/culturally appropriate food/activities/music</td>
<td>Serve culturally appropriate foods and dishes; African dance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37  Present a pro-ethnic/race approach</td>
<td>Asian pride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38  Address emotional barriers and stressors</td>
<td>Stress (of inner city life)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39  Address physical/financial (structural) barriers to participation</td>
<td>Access to transport, time restrictions, safe locations, financial constraints addressed (providing compensation/suggesting alternatives); childcare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40  Teach appropriate communication skills</td>
<td>Asian youth learned to communicate their smoking cessation needs to parents and elders while maintaining harmony and respect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41  Encourage/involve social support</td>
<td>Joint or family counselling (contrast this with no. 23, emphasising family in messages, e.g. quit smoking for the kids); invited families and friends to sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42  Purposefully maintaining an exclusive or open intervention environment</td>
<td>African American only (non-African American research members asked to leave); targeted to African American churchgoers, but open to all churchgoers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43  Maintaining cultural significance of food</td>
<td>Encourage different preparation rather than avoidance of food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44  Cross-cultural training for all study personnel</td>
<td>Cultural competency training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45  Address discrimination and mistrust</td>
<td>Address oppressions such as racism and stigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46  Gender considerations</td>
<td>Women-only sessions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reduction, increasing physical activity and encouraging the consumption of fruit and vegetables. This intervention encouraged the participation of family and friends and demonstrated significant improvements in healthy eating practices.290,291

In terms of the adaptation of interventions, feasibility may be limited by the amount of additional work required to adapt. Several adapted interventions developed individualised intervention materials that were tailored on the basis of participants’ survey data; this included tailoring for participants’ cultural perspectives,292,293 levels of cultural affiliation,294,295 ethnic identity,296 health risks297 and stage of readiness for change.298,299 The most sophisticated tailored intervention catered for 16 degrees of ethnic identity.296 This level of adaptation may limit the feasibility in terms of the resources required to both develop the materials and assess each individual’s ethnic identity.

Feasibility appeared to be enhanced when an existing organisation or setting was used for the adapted intervention. Churches, for example, were frequently used as intervention sites300,301 to encourage participation and reduce resource requirements. Reasons for increased feasibility included having a structure and network of people with existing relationships, the support of community leaders302 and access to existing community resources.303–304 One challenge to the feasibility of delivering an adapted intervention in partnership with existing organisations is the necessary negotiations involved on how the intervention is delivered and how to maintain the integrity of the intervention.303–305
Even when the resource demands are low, a lack of funding can limit the feasibility of sustaining or evaluating an adapted intervention, which was apparent in the case of a physical activity intervention aimed at South Asian Muslims. The funding was insufficient to meet the need and demand for the intervention in this population.

**Acceptability**

Increasing acceptability was frequently cited as a rationale for undertaking adaptation. The adapted interventions were often rated by participants as more appropriate and measurements of perceived cultural relevance of the intervention were shown to be associated with programme satisfaction and attendance. However, other elements contributing to acceptability also emerged including study and intervention design, incentives, costs and relationships with the target community.

A randomised study design was, for example, often not perceived to be acceptable, particularly with African American populations, because of a history of research abuse and mistrust of research involvement. However, this is an issue relevant to research rather than delivering health promotion programmes per se. Similarly, when control groups were used in research with an African American population, it was sometimes not acceptable for the control group to receive a minimal intervention. The control groups therefore usually received either an equivalent intervention (attention control) or a condition with some adaptation, and this may have diluted intervention effects.

Study researchers attempting multiple behaviour changes observed reduced acceptability as the complexity (e.g. more than one behaviour targeted) of the intervention increased. High-intensity programmes were also observed to reduce acceptability, for example one programme required classes to be held in a school 5 days a week and it was uncertain whether or not this would be acceptable to teachers if they had to deliver this on an ongoing basis. Gradually introducing intervention components, in terms of the expected behaviour change and behaviour change goals, may have increased acceptability. In contrast, internet-based interventions delivered in the home, for example, required less commitment in terms of attendance and tended to be acceptable in the beginning. The acceptability in this case, however, appeared to relate to the novelty factor and once this wore off, and in light of competing internet content, the participation rates decreased. Even when interventions were not complex, competing priorities reduced the acceptability of participation in an intervention, for example life stresses or even other intervention programmes (e.g. ‘Welfare to Work’ programmes).

Interventions that were designed to allow more freedom and flexibility for participants were found to be acceptable. This flexibility allowed for the intervention to be altered to suit the participants or the participants to choose the degree of participation, or an organisation to decide which components to take up in their setting. One intervention relaxed their requirements for participating in sessions as a result of low attendance; they found that people wanted to exercise without having to attend all of the counselling and educational sessions. The data suggested that interventions required a balance between flexibility/acceptability and effectiveness. One intervention with a strict vegan diet, although perhaps effective for weight loss, was not very acceptable, whereas another intervention that evaluated a decision-making framework without any such structured diet was in retrospect felt by the researchers to be too flexible, thus rendering it ineffective.

Incentives were also used to increase acceptability and featured in many US studies. Incentives were given to either individuals or to partner organisations (e.g. churches) to increase the acceptability for both individual and institutional participants. In one study, incentives were reported to be important for class attendance for half of the participants.
however, in another study, despite offering a number of incentives, including monetary rewards, prizes and gifts, the attendance remained low.\textsuperscript{321,322} It is therefore unclear to what extent incentives increase the acceptability of an intervention; this is likely to vary across different populations and population contexts. Interventions for which participants had to pay to participate, even at a subsidised level, may also have reduced acceptability.\textsuperscript{323} Convenience in terms of transport, particularly for young participants,\textsuperscript{329,330} provision of childcare and low-cost parking\textsuperscript{331} and fitting in with work commitments\textsuperscript{332} increased acceptability of the intervention. Convenience and low cost were motivators for 70\% of participants in another intervention.\textsuperscript{297}

Lastly, a collaborative approach was reported to increase acceptability,\textsuperscript{298} particularly when the target community was involved in developing the intervention materials\textsuperscript{333} and prioritising intervention objectives.\textsuperscript{334} Relationships that were long-standing and felt to respect the cultures, health concerns and needs of the population\textsuperscript{297} increased acceptability. A study of physical activity and healthy eating delivered to an African American population through a day-care centre increased acceptability when a long-term relationship was developed based on culturally appropriate strategies. Participation rose from 26\% in the first year to 73\% once this relationship was established.\textsuperscript{297} Both cultural relevance of an intervention and cultural competence of staff were felt to be key elements for programme satisfaction.\textsuperscript{298,307}

Equity

Specific settings were often used to deliver adapted interventions. In the review of UK guidelines and international systematic reviews (see Chapter 4), we identified setting-based ‘strategies and activities’ as having good scope for adaptation; however, it should to be noted that such adaptations may prove to be restrictive in who they can cater to by the very nature of being more attractive to selected populations. Religious settings were often used to deliver adapted interventions\textsuperscript{292,299,308,325,334,335,336} — the literature we identified focused on US African American churches as either venues for or providers of the interventions. These interventions may be less accessible to or appropriate for non-faith populations or those who are spiritual but do not attend church, particularly if the intervention is also held in or delivered by the church. Churches with a high degree of organisation, such as those having an existing and established health ministry,\textsuperscript{334} were often chosen to deliver health interventions and this may further exacerbate inequalities through non-enrolment of perhaps smaller, less organised churches serving more deprived/marginalised populations.

Schools were another setting where interventions were often delivered; however, some participants may have been disadvantaged if their school was unable to provide the required intervention resources.\textsuperscript{337} Furthermore, within school settings, there were observed gender differences in access to recreational facilities.\textsuperscript{337} Gender differences were observed as the majority of adapted interventions targeted female participants exclusively.

Access to interventions was also limited by study design when children's participation was contingent on parental involvement. Children with less motivated or less interested parents were sometimes unable to participate.\textsuperscript{319}

In addition, interventions that did not have adequate funding were observed to have raised awareness but then denied access to interested people.\textsuperscript{302} In other cases, people allocated to a wait-list control group had to wait a long time for the resources to become available and this negatively affected their results.\textsuperscript{338–340}

The materials used to deliver an intervention also made an impact on access and equity. Interventions that were internet based disadvantaged those without computers or internet access or those who have limited experience with computers.\textsuperscript{313} In one intervention, participants had
to contribute funding for a home computer in order to access the intervention,\textsuperscript{280–282,314} as this was part of the eligibility criteria. Some interventions excluded potential participants if they had no home address or access to a telephone or video cassette player,\textsuperscript{14} which disadvantaged people from lower-income strata. Furthermore, attrition from interventions was often related to difficulties in tracking or maintaining contact\textsuperscript{342–345} as a consequence of participants losing access to their telephone lines. Getting multiple contact numbers from participants’ friends and family members was a suggested method to decrease such attrition.

Some interventions were unable to reach their target groups, for example low education groups\textsuperscript{306,307} or populations with lower literacy or health literacy levels,\textsuperscript{346} or the intervention worked better for groups who were physically fitter and with higher educational attainment,\textsuperscript{312} which suggested that education level was important to monitor in terms of equitable access and participation in interventions.

Finally, it emerged that adapting interventions can positively contribute to equity as the transferability of an intervention developed for one ethnic minority population (Native Americans) was successfully demonstrated for other ethnic minority populations (African American and Latino populations);\textsuperscript{290,291} this was also the case for an intervention originally developed for Latino populations and later adapted for African American populations.\textsuperscript{310}

**Effectiveness**

The vast majority of the 107 studies had effective outcomes. This is including studies that reported effectiveness for any of the outcomes measured, at any time point and sometimes within subgroups of the participants. These findings are encouraging; however, the design of these studies does not allow any assessment of the extent to which the adaptation contributes to their effectiveness. To determine whether or not adapted interventions are effective for ethnic minority populations we therefore identified the studies that directly compared an adapted approach with a non-adapted intervention. These studies were mostly RCTs or CCTs, as a control group was essential to draw conclusions on the comparative effectiveness of adapted interventions. The hypothesis was that interventions that had been adapted would be more effective than interventions that had not been adapted (i.e. standard or usual care).

**Direct comparison of adapted with non-adapted interventions**

Nine studies (reported in eleven papers) were identified that directly compared an adapted intervention group with a non-adapted control group.\textsuperscript{293–295,306,341,347–352} Four of these studies (reported in six papers) were included in the seven systematic reviews that we identified.\textsuperscript{294,295,306,347,350,352} The comparison conditions were near equivalent in terms of duration, intensity and materials/methods used, although slight variations were allowed as long as both reviewers (JJL and ED) felt that the comparison conditions were similar enough. There were four smoking cessation studies, one physical activity study, one healthy eating study and three combined physical activity and healthy eating studies. The study quality was variable; five studies were rated ‘strong’, three were rated ‘moderate’ and one was rated ‘weak’. These studies are summarised in Table 14 and an exemplar study is outlined in Box 6.

**Smoking cessation interventions**

A self-help intervention trial conducted by Orleans et al.\textsuperscript{306,347} for African American adult smokers ($n = 1422$) compared those who received tailored counselling and a targeted guide with those who received standard counselling and a guide. The tailored guide was called Pathways to Freedom: Winning the Fight against Tobacco and was written at a sixth-grade reading level and featured only images of African American smokers and quitters. It presented information matched to the pattern of smoking observed in African American populations (low daily smoking rate, smoking mentholated and/or high tar/nicotine cigarettes) and addressed unique
barriers faced by African American smokers. The control group received standard quit smoking counselling and a guide called *Clearing the Air* (produced by the National Cancer Institute). This guide was written at an eighth-grade reading level and featured images of ethnically diverse smokers and quitters. The one-off counselling offered to the comparison group was standard stage-based counselling, whereas the tailored counselling utilised an interactive style, reflecting a communication preference of African Americans. At 6 months, significantly more quit attempts ($p = 0.007$), a greater reduction in the number of cigarettes smoked ($p = 0.002$), more quit dates set ($p < 0.001$), greater switching to a lower nicotine brand of cigarettes ($p < 0.001$) and greater use of pre-quitting strategies ($p < 0.05$) were observed among participants who received the tailored intervention than among those who received the standard intervention. However, differences in self-reported 1-week abstinence (16.2% tailored vs 14.4% standard) and quit rates (10.1% tailored vs 9.1% standard) were not statistically significant between the two groups. A subset of participants was selected for follow-up at 12 months and those in the tailored group reported higher self-quit rates than those in the comparison group, and this difference was significant (25.0% tailored vs 15.4% standard, $p = 0.034$). This difference, however, was detected only in a much smaller, opportunistic cohort and must therefore be interpreted with caution.

Webb conducted a RCT with African American adult smokers ($n = 260$) comparing a culturally sensitive (adapted) smoking cessation guide compared with a standard guide. The guide was the same *Pathways to Freedom* used in the Orleans et al. trial, which featured statistics specific to African Americans and emphasised collectivism, African American history and religious and spiritual elements. In contrast to the Orleans et al. trial, however, the comparison group received the same guide, but with all of the culturally sensitive elements removed and replaced with more generic messages and images (e.g. cartoon images; race-neutral images instead of African American images; motivational quotations instead of cultural or religious quotations; epidemiological information for the general population rather than specifically addressing African American smoking issues; discussion of light cigarettes instead of menthol cigarettes; and with no emphasis on African American history). Participants completed an African American Acculturation Scale prior to the intervention. At 3 months’ follow-up and following an intention-to-treat analysis, the 24-hour self-reported point prevalence abstinence (PPA) was 13.7% adapted compared with 14.7% standard, and the 7-day PPA was 10.7% adapted compared with 9.4% standard. Surprisingly, those who were less acculturated (i.e. greater ethnic group affiliation or identification) and received the standard, generic intervention were more likely to report 24-hour PPA, whereas those who were less acculturated and received the adapted intervention were less likely to report 24-hour PPA. Despite those who were less acculturated reporting a preference for the adapted guide and greater readiness to quit, this was not reflected in 24-hour PPA at the 3-month follow-up.

The third study conducted by Ma et al. was a small RCT to help Chinese male adolescents (aged 14–19 years, $n = 31$) quit smoking. The trial compared a 10-session (one session per week) culturally modified (adapted) programme with a standard programme. The adapted programme was conducted at a community-based organisation and addressed themes that were thought to be relevant to Asian populations, such as collectivism and harmony, persistence and hard work, Asian pride and social norms. The standard programme also consisted of 10 sessions (one session per week), but it was poorly described. At the 3-month follow-up the quit rate was comparable among participants in the adapted programme and those in the standard programme (18.2% adapted vs 23.1% standard). Among continued smokers there was a difference in the reported number of cigarettes smoked between participants in the adapted programme and those in the standard programme (mean 13.9 cigarettes on weekdays and 20.8 at the weekend for those who received the adapted intervention vs mean 7.4 weekdays and 10 weekend for those who received the standard intervention; $p < 0.05$).
The fourth study was a RCT (n = 500) conducted by Nollen et al. for African American smokers. At randomisation, both groups received 8 weeks of nicotine replacement patches. Intervention participants received a culturally targeted smoking cessation programme with a video (The Harlem Health Connection’s Kick-It!) and print guide (Pathways to Freedom). Both materials were developed by and for African Americans, with an emphasis on communalism, religion/spirituality and African American ancestry and history, along with appropriate graphics and an orientation towards a communication style of experience-based storytelling. Standard care participants received a video tape (How to Quit) produced by the American Medical Association and a print guide (Freedom from Smoking) produced by the American Lung Association. Both included images of African Americans but neither was specifically designed for use with African American smokers. Both groups received reminder telephone calls and postcards. At the 6-month follow-up, intervention participants reported greater usage of the targeted guide (68.8% vs 59.6%, p < 0.05); however, this did not translate into improved outcomes. No significant differences were detected between groups for any smoking outcomes (7-day PPA, smoking reduction and readiness to quit). Although not significant, there was an indication that the adapted intervention material was more effective for African Americans who scored highly on a racial identity scale (higher scores suggesting greater Afrocentricity).

**Physical activity interventions**

Newton and Perri conducted a RCT comparing three home-based exercise promotion interventions for African American adults (n = 90). Participants were first randomised to one of five interventions (four intervention conditions that differed in intensity and frequency of prescribed exercise and one physician advice) and then further randomised to one of three conditions differing on cultural adaptation. The three adaptation conditions were standard behavioural exercise counselling, culturally sensitive exercise counselling and a physician advice group. Standard counselling participants received 10 group sessions over 6 months; group sessions were with predominantly white European (‘Caucasian’) members and ‘Caucasian’ group leaders (this study was part of a larger study) in a hospital setting, utilising standard materials. Culturally sensitive counselling groups received the same programme as the standard counselling with the exception that the group sessions included only African American members, sessions were led by African American counsellors, sessions took place at a site within the African American community and the programme used materials that addressed the sociocultural concerns of African Americans. At the 6-month follow-up, participants in all three streams reported increases in their physical activity; however, no statistically significant differences were detected in improvements in cardiovascular fitness and reported physical activity between the culturally sensitive and the standard conditions. The authors also reported that acculturation, as measured by the African American Acculturation Scale, did not moderate the observed relationship between group assignment and outcomes. They hypothesised that the majority of the participants were ‘bicultural’ and therefore it was likely that both the culturally tailored and the standard information would be salient to them.

**Healthy eating interventions**

Kreuter et al. conducted a cancer prevention RCT (n = 1227) for African American women. The study compared the effectiveness of six health magazines, delivered over an 18-month period, to increase fruit and vegetable intake in women between 18 and 39 years of age. The four approaches were behavioural construct tailoring (BCT), culturally relevant tailoring (CRT), BCT + CRT and control. Each magazine featured 10 tailored stories (six of which were on the health topic). Cultural tailoring was based on four constructs – religiosity, collectivism, racial pride and time orientation – thought to be related to health behaviours in African American women. Behavioural tailoring was based on diet-related knowledge and identified barriers. BCT + CRT magazines featured equal numbers of BCT and CRT stories. The control was a delayed intervention upon completion of the study. At 18 months, the median increase in daily
fruit and vegetable servings for all women was +0.57 servings per day. Increases in daily fruit and vegetable servings were largest for women who received BCT + CRT magazines, compared with those who received BCT, CRT and no magazines (control) (+0.96 vs +0.43 vs +0.25 vs +0.59, respectively). Median changes in daily fruit and vegetable servings were significantly greater for women in the BCT + CRT group than for those in the CRT group (p<0.05), but not significantly greater than for those in the BCT or control group. The study therefore did not find evidence of effectiveness of culturally tailored messages alone, but only when combined with behaviourally tailored messages.

**Physical activity and healthy eating interventions**

Resnicow et al. conducted a three-group cluster RCT (16 churches, 1056 participants) to increase fruit and vegetable consumption and physical activity among black church attendees. Group 1 received standard education material, a health fair at baseline, individualised results feedback, a project newsletter, an aerobic video and health education brochures. Group 2 received culturally targeted self-help healthy eating and physical activity material (including a healthy eating video *Forgotten Miracles*, an *Eat for Life* cookbook, a 20-minute *Healthy Body Healthy Spirit* exercise video and guide and an audio cassette featuring workouts set to gospel music) along with a pedometer to encourage physical activity. Group 3 received the same culturally targeted self-help material and pedometer as group 2 and in addition received four telephone counselling calls based on motivational interviewing principles. Only the comparison between groups 1 and 2 is discussed in this section; comparison between groups 2 and 3 is discussed in the following section (*Comparison of adapted plus an additional component intervention*). At the 1-year follow-up, the authors reported significant changes in both fruit and vegetable intake and physical activity for groups 2 and 3 compared with group 1 (p<0.05). The mean of the three Food Frequency Questionnaires (FFQs) demonstrated a change of 0.44 servings for group 2 and 0.17 servings for group 1 and an increase in total minutes of physical activity. A relatively larger effect was observed for fruit and vegetable than for physical activity. The authors conclude that churches are appropriate settings to deliver health promotion programmes.

The second direct comparison study in this category was by Yanek et al., this being another group RCT aiming to increase physical activity and improve healthy eating for African Americans, with delivery through the church (16 churches). Three interventions were compared. Group 1 received standard group sessions on healthy eating and physical activity for 1 year. Group 2 received the same standard group sessions on healthy eating and physical activity and in addition a spiritual and cultural component. Group 3 received a control programme of non-spiritual self-help intervention that included materials from the American Heart Association on healthy eating and physical activity. At the 1-year follow-up there were no differences in outcomes (dietary nutrient intake, physical activity and BMI) detected between the different approaches. There is a possibility that the group effect may have diluted the effect of the spiritual and cultural components.

The third direct comparison was an observational study (n=377) conducted by Ard et al. as part of a larger weight loss maintenance trial. In this study, African Americans were assigned to either an all-African American weight loss maintenance intervention group or mixed race groups. All of the sessions on weight loss (through calorie reduction, changes in diet and increases in physical activity) were the same except for the racial composition of the group sessions and the ethnicity of the group leader. Only groups (all African American or mixed) led by the same interventionists were compared. Significant weight loss was reported for both groups (mean weight loss 4.2 kg) with no effects (whether for adherence, fruit and vegetable intake, physical activity or weight loss outcomes) attributable to group composition. The authors suggested that the large number of African Americans in the mixed group may have resulted in a critical mass effect.
Summary of adapted compared with standard approaches

In summary, the nine studies that compared an adapted intervention with a non-adapted or standard approach did not show increased effectiveness in favour of the adapted version (see Table 14). Only one study reported increased effectiveness with the use of adapted self-help material compared with standard materials; however, this study was the least equivalent in terms of materials received (the culturally tailored group also received pedometers as cues to walking) and detectable differences in fruit and vegetable intake also differed depending on the FFQ used. Five studies were unable to detect increased effectiveness of a tailored intervention compared with a standard intervention. As many of the outcomes were evaluated through self-reports, the authors have suggested that social desirability may have biased the reported outcomes. Two studies further reported some outcomes for which there was no differential effect and other outcomes for which the increased effect was in the opposite direction of the stated hypothesis. One study reported outcomes that supported the effectiveness of the standard intervention over the culturally adapted intervention. These studies highlight the complexity surrounding adaptation for ethnic minority groups, in which dimensions such as ethnic identity, acculturation and behavioural components appear to moderate the salience of the adaptations undertaken. The relationships are not straightforward as bicultural identity, ethnic identity and level of acculturation appeared to interact with targeted intervention materials in perhaps unexpected ways. There is the possibility that these ethnic identity dimensions may also have been related to income and social class. The same appeared to be true for behavioural components and future studies need to account for these complexities.

Adaptations featured in adapted compared with unadapted/standard interventions

The nine studies comparing adapted with unadapted interventions covered 39 of the 46 adaptations identified from the entire body of 107 studies (Table 15). Although the number of adaptations used is high, seven adaptations were not utilised. These adaptations include ethnically matched leadership (adaptation 9), material in the target population's language (adaptation 13), materials reflect target population's language (adaptation 14), consideration of target population's employment situation (adaptation 25), address concerns with medical programmes, procedures and medication (adaptation 31), maintain cultural significance of food (adaptation 43) and gender considerations (adaptation 46). It is surprising that adapting materials for language was not featured among these studies, as it is probably an adaptation that one would intuitively consider first. More likely, these particular adaptations were perhaps not relevant to the interventions, for example maintaining the cultural significance of food for smoking cessation interventions, or adapting for language when the population consists of second-generation populations. These findings suggest that selecting adaptations may not be an additive process, in which more is better; rather, it requires a careful consideration of the needs of the population, balanced with the needs of the study. A calculation of the mean number of adaptations further illustrates this point. The mean number of adaptations was 11.3 for the 9 head-to-head studies and 9.2 for the other 98 studies. A t-test did not show this difference to be statistically significant.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Health topic</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Intervention and comparison</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ma et al. 2004</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>Chinese males</td>
<td>Compared 10 sessions of a culturally adapted group programme with a standard programme for smoking cessation in Chinese male adolescents (aged 14–19 years)</td>
<td>=/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nollen et al. 2007</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Compared culturally targeted video and guide with standard video and guide. Both groups received nicotine replacement patches and reminder calls and postcards</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans et al. 1998</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Compared culturally tailored counselling and tailored guide with standard counselling and standard guide for smoking cessation</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webb 2008</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Compared a culturally adapted self-help guide for smoking with a standard guide</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton and Perri 2004</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Compared 10 standard group counselling sessions over 6 weeks (mixed ethnicity groups; group leader not African American; located within hospital; standard materials) with 10 culturally sensitive group counselling sessions (all African American groups; African American group leader; located within African American community; material adapted)</td>
<td>=/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kreuter et al. 2005</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Compared intervention of six magazines to increase fruit and vegetable consumption. Four arms: magazine stories were tailored to behaviour (BCT), culture (CRT), behaviour + culture (BCT + CRT) or control (delayed intervention)</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ard et al. 2008</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Compared all African American group sessions with mixed race group sessions for weight loss</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 2005</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Compared three church-delivered interventions: standard education materials vs culturally adapted education materials and four motivational interviewing telephone counselling calls</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yanek et al. 2001</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Compared three church-based interventions: standard group sessions vs standard group sessions and spiritual culturally adapted component vs non-spiritual self-help materials</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The ‘+’ symbol denotes that the difference is in the hypothesised direction; ‘−’ denotes that the difference is not in the hypothesised direction; and ‘=’ denotes no difference between conditions.
Systematic review of adapted health promotion interventions

Kreuter et al. 2005. Cultural tailoring for mammography and fruit and vegetable intake among low-income African-American women in urban public health centers

Study design
This RCT was one of the few studies we identified that directly examined an intervention with and without a component of cultural adaptation. The study population was lower-income African American women (n = 1227) and the health promotion topic was mammography in the older population (aged 40–65 years) and increasing fruit and vegetable intake in the younger population (aged 18–39 years); we focused on the latter group. This was a four-arm study with three intervention groups and a control group. The intervention arms were delivered through a women's health magazine and the magazine was developed with BCT, CRT or both (BCT + CRT). Each magazine contained 10 items focusing on fruit and vegetable intake and four items on general topics that were chosen according to the demographics of the women to increase the magazine's appeal. The control group received no materials during the intervention but were sent a full set of the magazines once the intervention was completed.

Adaptation
The BCT was based on diet-related knowledge, self-efficacy for change, perceived barriers to eating fruit and vegetables, perceived importance of eating fruit and vegetables, levels of interest in starting to eat more fruit and vegetables and actual dietary practice.

The CRT was based on four cultural concepts identified in the formative work as being pertinent to African American women. These concepts were:
- religiosity (a range of dimensions from church attendance and prayer to participation in religious ceremonies, spirituality and beliefs about God as a causal agent)
- collectivism (belief that the basic unit of society is a family or group and not the individual)
- racial pride (holding positive attitudes about one’s race)
- time orientation (related to a person’s tendency to think and act according to consequences that are primarily immediate or primarily distal)

The CRT elements were measured in a baseline questionnaire to determine the tailoring and the magazine was tailored on the two cultural constructs on which the women scored the highest. This approach takes into account the individual in terms of how relevant the cultural concepts are to them, which may alter according to people’s priorities and perceptions and their level of acculturation. This study demonstrates a degree of specificity to the individual’s culture and cultural expression that was not observed in many of the included studies.

In terms of adaptation the magazines also contained artwork from local African American artists and stories of local African American history. Extensive consultation was carried out in the development and formative evaluation of the materials with the population of interest.

Outcomes and insights
The results showed that women who received BCT + CRT magazines were more likely than those in the BCT, CRT and control groups to have greater increases in the number of fruit and vegetable servings consumed daily. This suggests that the CRT required behavioural constructs to be effective and also that messages based on BCT alone were effective for some participants only when placed in certain cultural contexts. The authors suggest that there is an important link between culture, behaviour and communication that needs to be investigated and pursued further.

BOX 6 Exemplar study 1: comparison of adapted and standard interventions

Kreuter et al. 2005. Cultural tailoring for mammography and fruit and vegetable intake among low-income African-American women in urban public health centers

Study design
This RCT was one of the few studies we identified that directly examined an intervention with and without a component of cultural adaptation. The study population was lower-income African American women (n = 1227) and the health promotion topic was mammography in the older population (aged 40–65 years) and increasing fruit and vegetable intake in the younger population (aged 18–39 years); we focused on the latter group. This was a four-arm study with three intervention groups and a control group. The intervention arms were delivered through a women's health magazine and the magazine was developed with BCT, CRT or both (BCT + CRT). Each magazine contained 10 items focusing on fruit and vegetable intake and four items on general topics that were chosen according to the demographics of the women to increase the magazine's appeal. The control group received no materials during the intervention but were sent a full set of the magazines once the intervention was completed.

Adaptation
The CRT was based on four cultural concepts identified in the formative work as being pertinent to African American women. These concepts were:
- religiosity (a range of dimensions from church attendance and prayer to participation in religious ceremonies, spirituality and beliefs about God as a causal agent)
- collectivism (belief that the basic unit of society is a family or group and not the individual)
- racial pride (holding positive attitudes about one’s race)
- time orientation (related to a person’s tendency to think and act according to consequences that are primarily immediate or primarily distal)

The CRT elements were measured in a baseline questionnaire to determine the tailoring and the magazine was tailored on the two cultural constructs on which the women scored the highest. This approach takes into account the individual in terms of how relevant the cultural concepts are to them, which may alter according to people’s priorities and perceptions and their level of acculturation. This study demonstrates a degree of specificity to the individual’s culture and cultural expression that was not observed in many of the included studies.

In terms of adaptation the magazines also contained artwork from local African American artists and stories of local African American history. Extensive consultation was carried out in the development and formative evaluation of the materials with the population of interest.

Outcomes and insights
The results showed that women who received BCT + CRT magazines were more likely than those in the BCT, CRT and control groups to have greater increases in the number of fruit and vegetable servings consumed daily. This suggests that the CRT required behavioural constructs to be effective and also that messages based on BCT alone were effective for some participants only when placed in certain cultural contexts. The authors suggest that there is an important link between culture, behaviour and communication that needs to be investigated and pursued further.
Comparison of adapted with adapted plus an additional component interventions

Examining studies that adapted for both control and intervention as a baseline and included an additional component for the intervention yielded further insights into the effectiveness of adaptation and whether or not the additional component would enhance effectiveness. A total of 16 studies with an ‘additional component’ were identified; one of these studies was included in the systematic reviews that we identified. Studies have been categorised according to the type of component under review and summarised. These components included additional cultural adaptation, additional behavioural modification, additional resources and altered intervention delivery. A summary of the studies is provided in Table 16 and an exemplar study is discussed in Box 7.

Additional cultural adaptation

To increase effectiveness, some studies trialled the addition of a discrete adaptation. For example, a healthy eating intervention conducted by Resnicow et al.296 for an African American population (n = 560) utilised a RCT design to assess the addition of a heightened degree of cultural adaptation to an intervention that was already adapted for this population. Participants were randomised to receive magazines promoting fruit and vegetable intake over a 3-month period. The control group newsletters targeted a general ‘black American’ audience with minimal Afrocentric focus and the graphics were untailored and ethnically neutral (e.g. no people featured). The intervention newsletters were specifically adapted to 16 levels of ethnic identity as assessed by the Black Identity Classification Scale. The intervention group slightly increased their daily mean fruit and vegetable intake compared with those assessed to be the most ‘Afrocentric’ in the control group and this was not statistically significant. However, when participants who were assessed to be the most Afrocentric in the intervention group were compared with the control group, the increase was statistically significant (p < 0.05). The authors concluded that the additional effort of adjusting an adapted intervention for varying degrees of ethnic identity might be an effective strategy for some African American groups.

Several studies examined the addition of a religious or spiritual component to an already culturally adapted intervention.325,353,354 For example, in the Lifestyle Improvement with Food...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Health topic</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Intervention and comparison</th>
<th>Effecta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahluwalia et al. 1998</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted behavioural support programme with the addition of NRT patches in the intervention group and placebo for the control group</td>
<td>+ (short term) The addition of NRT patches was effective in the short term (10 weeks), but not at 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahluwalia et al. 2002</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted counselling and resources with the addition of bupropion slow release for the intervention group and placebo for the control group</td>
<td>+ The addition of bupropion slow release was effective at 7 and 26 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahluwalia et al. 2006</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted motivational interviewing or health education plus nicotine gum for the intervention groups and placebo gum for the control groups</td>
<td>= The addition of nicotine gum had no significant effect on the intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. 1984</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted media intervention with the addition of physician brief advice</td>
<td>+ The addition of physician brief advice significantly increased the effectiveness of the intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipkus et al. 1999</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Health-care provider prompting and tailored print communications plus tailored telephone counselling for the intervention group</td>
<td>= There was no significant effect of the addition of tailored telephone counselling over the effect of the baseline intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell et al. 1999</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>An adapted church bulletin that was further adapted for either spiritual or expert (control) content</td>
<td>= Both the intervention and the control groups increased their fruit and vegetable intake but there was no significant difference in outcomes between the groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 2001</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted self-help nutritional intervention with the addition of telephone counselling based on motivational interviewing</td>
<td>+ The addition of motivational interviewing appeared to increase fruit and vegetable intake significantly over that in the control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 2009</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>An adapted newsletter promoting fruit and vegetable intake further adapted according to levels of participant ethnic identity</td>
<td>+ (subgroup) Further adaptation according to levels of ethnic identity appears to have a significant effect only in some groups of the African American population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Befort et al. 2008</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted weight loss programme with the addition of motivational interviewing (vs health education as an attention control)</td>
<td>= There was no significant effect demonstrated through the addition of motivational interviewing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Health topic</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Intervention and comparison</td>
<td>Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djuric et al.</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted diet and exercise counselling with the addition of spiritual counselling</td>
<td>+ (healthy eating)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzgibbon et al.</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted weight loss intervention with the addition of a faith component</td>
<td>(weight)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothwehr et al.</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted healthy eating and exercise sessions delivered sequentially in one order for one group and in the reverse order for the other group</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al.</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted physical activity and healthy eating intervention with the addition of a high-intensity vs a low-intensity intervention</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al.</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted self-help intervention with the addition of telephone counselling</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson et al.</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted internet intervention with the incentive sequence to be given either immediately or at the end of the programme</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamson</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Culturally adapted internet-based health education with the addition of internet-based counselling for the intervention group</td>
<td>=</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NRT, nicotine replacement therapy.

a The ‘+’ symbol denotes that the difference is in the hypothesised direction; ‘–’ denotes that the difference is not in the hypothesised direction; and ‘=’ denotes no difference between conditions.
and Exercise (LIFE) \(^{149}\) weight loss trial for African American women (\(n = 31\)), all participants (obese breast cancer survivors) received individualised dietary and exercise counselling for an initial 6 months. At 6 months they were then randomised to receive additional spiritual (non-denominational) counselling or to continue with individualised counselling. The added spiritual component had no significant effect on weight loss, but it did appear to significantly improve fruit intake and healthy eating scores (\(p < 0.05\) for both measures).
Similarly, Fitzgibbon et al.\(^\text{33}\) conducted a randomised trial called 'Faith on the Move' for African American overweight/obese women (\(n = 59\)) in which all participants received a culturally tailored weight loss intervention with one group receiving an additional faith component. The baseline intervention emphasised oral communication skills (e.g. sharing 'stories' about unhealthy eating practices using historical figures to increase salience) and offered childcare to alleviate some of the women's family obligations. The additional faith component included the addition of scriptures to the intervention. At 12 weeks' follow-up, both groups lost weight and decreased their dietary fat intake. The average weight loss was 2.6 kg in the faith group and 1.6 kg in the group that did not receive spiritual counselling. However, despite these trends, there were no significant differences between the two groups at 12 weeks \([\text{BMI change}}: p = 0.37; \text{weight change (kg)}: p = 0.34; \text{weight change (\%)}: p = 0.41]\).

The third study with an added spiritual component was conducted by Campbell et al.\(^\text{32}\) with a rural African American population. The study was part of a larger study called Black Churches United for Better Health (BCUBH), which delivered a multilevel, multicomponent healthy eating intervention. A total of 10 counties\(^\text{32}\) (with 50 churches) were pair matched and randomised to receive either the intervention or the delayed intervention. The intervention included computer-tailored bulletins, healthy eating teams and lay health advisors, education sessions and cooking classes. Environmental modifications were also made to increase fruit and vegetable availability within the churches and at point of purchase in the community. For this study, the 25 churches \((n = 2519)\) initially randomised to the intervention group were further randomised to receive a spiritual or pastor-oriented bulletin or an expert-oriented bulletin to test the effect of the spiritual component \((n = 459)\). Both bulletins were designed to promote fruit and vegetable intake and were tailored to participants according to their baseline assessments for factors including stages of change, perceived risk and barriers and social support. Each bulletin was also tailored to the participant's church name and contained a traditional African American fruit and vegetable recipe. The spiritual bulletin included a message from the pastor, a photo of the pastor, a 'five-a-day' grace, spiritual language, biblical references and articles written by church members. The expert bulletin referenced healthy eating research. At follow-up, message recall was good for both groups, but message trust was higher for the spiritual bulletin group \((p < 0.05)\). Both groups increased fruit and vegetable consumption significantly (4.9 daily servings at follow-up for the spiritual group and 4.8 servings for the expert group) compared with the control group \((p < 0.05)\), but there were no significant differences detected in outcomes between the spiritual and the expert groups. The results need to be interpreted with caution as it is difficult to know what changes in behaviour were attributed to the bulletin and what could be a result of the broader interventions in place.

**Additional behavioural modification**

Instead of additional adapted components, some studies trialled the addition of behavioural components to culturally adapted interventions to detect possible enhanced effectiveness. In the adapted Lifestyle Balance programme, Befort et al.\(^\text{31}\) conducted an adapted behavioural weight loss programme for African American women \((n = 44)\). Women were randomised to receive either additional sessions of motivational interviewing or health education delivered as the comparator intervention. Adaptations in the baseline intervention included guidance about food and physical activity relevant to cultural practices, the recognition of preferences for larger body sizes and the use of peer mentors who had been successful in weight loss. After the 16-week programme, both groups were successful in achieving significant dietary changes and weight loss but there were no significant differences between the groups \((p\text{-values not reported})\).

Motivational interviewing was also added to an adapted intervention by Resnicow et al.\(^\text{32,35}\). The Eat 4 Life trial (14 churches, \(n = 1011\)) was a healthy eating intervention for an African American church-attending population. Churches were randomly assigned to receive a culturally adapted...
self-help intervention with one telephone cue call or a culturally adapted self-help intervention with one cue call and three counselling calls based on motivational interviewing. Adaptations included targeted intervention materials, the involvement of an African American advisory board, the use of biblical and spiritual themes and the use of recipes from church members. At 1 year of follow-up, a significantly higher effect was detected for fruit and vegetable intake for the group who received motivational interviewing (net difference between motivational interviewing group and self-help group was 1.14 fruit and vegetable servings per day as measured by a 36-item FFQ; \( p < 0.05 \)). The authors concluded that motivational interviewing was a promising strategy for improving dietary behaviours in this population and setting.

The Healthy Body/Healthy Spirit trial (16 churches, \( n = 1056 \)) by Resnicow et al. assessed the addition of motivational interviewing by telephone counselling to an adapted intervention. This intervention was again focused on an African American church-attending population to address healthy eating and physical activity. Telephone counselling was delivered in addition to a culturally targeted self-help healthy eating and physical activity intervention, and this was compared with the self-help intervention delivered alone. At 1 year of follow-up there was a statistically significant effect observed on fruit and vegetable intake (\( p < 0.05 \)) with the addition of telephone counselling (measured using a composite FFQ), but not for the physical activity outcomes. It should be noted that the statistically significant increase in fruit and vegetable intake varied with the FFQ used, with several versions used in the assessment.

**Additional resources**

Five smoking cessation studies were identified that examined the addition of a resource; the resources were most often, although not exclusively, pharmaceutical adjuncts to smoking cessation. Ahluwalia et al. conducted a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial among an inner-city African American population (\( n = 410 \)). An adapted behavioural support programme for smoking cessation was offered as the baseline intervention with the added component of nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) in the intervention group. The adapted programme utilised a culturally sensitive smoking cessation guide, had flexible session times and catered for differing literacy levels. Both programmes proved effective at follow-up with quit rates of 21.5% and 13.7% at 10 weeks and 17.1% and 11.7% at 6 months in the intervention and control groups, respectively. The addition of the NRT patch was found to significantly increase the effectiveness of the culturally adapted intervention at 10 weeks both before and after adjusting for the baseline differences in age and education (\( p = 0.03 \), adjusted \( p = 0.04 \)), but not at 6 months (\( p = 0.08 \), adjusted \( p = 0.14 \)). The authors concluded that NRT patches were a useful adjunct to a culturally tailored behavioural support programme in the short term.

A later study by Ahluwalia et al. again used a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial to assess the effect of bupropion slow release as an addition to counselling sessions for smoking cessation among an African American population (\( n = 600 \)). The counsellors were all African American and a smoking cessation resource specifically developed for African American populations was provided for all participants. The addition of bupropion slow release to this adapted intervention was found to significantly increase the effectiveness of the intervention both after 7 weeks of treatment (36% vs 19% quit rates; \( p < 0.001 \)) and at 26 weeks’ follow-up (21% vs 14% quit rates; \( p = 0.02 \)) and this was therefore recommended as an effective addition to the culturally adapted counselling.

A third two-by-two factorial RCT conducted by Ahluwalia et al. assessed the effectiveness of nicotine gum as an addition to a culturally adapted intervention for smoking cessation in an African American population of ‘light smokers’ (\( n = 755 \)). All participants were given a culturally sensitive smoking cessation guide developed specifically for African American light smokers and were randomised to either motivational interviewing plus gum or placebo, or health education.
plus gum or placebo. At 6 months there was no significant increase in effectiveness of nicotine gum over placebo in conjunction with either motivational interviewing or health education on 7-day quit rates (14.2% vs 11.1%; \( p = 0.23 \)); however, health education was reported to be significantly more effective than motivational interviewing for this population (16.7% vs 8.5%; \( p < 0.001 \)) at all time points (1, 8 and 26 weeks).

Li et al.\(^{357}\) conducted a smoking cessation trial with African American women (\( n = 1179 \)) set in three family planning waiting rooms. Four conditions were compared: baseline questionnaire; baseline questionnaire and media programme; baseline questionnaire and physician message; and baseline questionnaire and media programme and physician message. The media intervention featured age- and ethnically appropriate models encouraging people to stop smoking. The additional resource delivered to the intervention group was physician brief advice to stop smoking (comparing the second and fourth interventions only). The findings showed that the brief advice increased cessation rates significantly over the culturally appropriate media intervention (quit rates of 12.9% vs 6.4% at 3 months; no \( p \)-values reported).

A smoking cessation randomised trial by Lipkus et al.\(^{358}\) compared a baseline health-care provider prompting intervention for African Americans (\( n = 266 \) enrolled) with the addition of culturally appropriate, individually tailored print communications. A third group received both tailored print communications and tailored telephone counselling. Of the 160 participants who completed the study, 35 (21.8%) had quit smoking at the 16-month follow-up. Participants who received tailored print communications had a statistically significant higher quit rate than those with health-care prompting alone (32.7% vs 13.2%; \( p < 0.05 \)); however, the addition of tailored telephone counselling to this adapted intervention did not increase the effectiveness significantly (19.2% vs 13.2%; no \( p \)-values reported).

**Altered intervention delivery**

The final type of study identified altered the delivery of the intervention. The Health Improvement Program for Teens (HIP Teens) was a RCT conducted by Williamson\(^{280–282}\) investigating an internet-based physical activity and healthy eating intervention delivered to African American adolescent girls (\( n = 57 \)) and their parents (56 mothers and 1 father). Participants were randomised to either a culturally specific website that was interactive with internet-based counselling or a culturally specific website that provided education only (passive learning). All participants received four face-to-face counselling sessions in the first 12 weeks of the programme. The interactive intervention was significantly more effective at decreasing body fat in the adolescent participants and body weight in the parents at the 6-month follow-up (\( p < 0.05 \)); however, in the following 18 months, parents and adolescents in both groups gained weight and at 2 years there were no significant differences between the two groups.

A trial called Go Girls! conducted by Resnicow et al.\(^{324}\) delivered a culturally adapted intervention at either a moderate or a high intensity to African American adolescent girls (\( n = 123 \)). Sessions consisted of half an hour of physical activity and preparation/tasting of healthy foods. The moderate-intensity intervention included six sessions, whereas the high-intensity intervention included 20–26 sessions. The high-intensity group girls also received four to six telephone counselling calls. The girls were recruited through 10 churches and there was extensive formative research within the African American community to develop this intervention and church involvement in intervention development and as a setting for the intervention. Outcomes were measured at 6 months and 1 year and no significant differences in BMI between the two groups were observed at either time point (\( p = 0.2 \) at 6 months, \( p = 0.76 \) at 1 year); however, when examining only high attendees (i.e. attended > 75% of sessions) a trend emerged for weight for the high-intensity intervention at 6 months (\( p = 0.07 \)) and there were significantly better results...
for BMI, hip circumference and percentage body fat. Despite this, the authors conclude that the high-intensity intervention did not have an effect on adiposity.

Nothwehr et al.\(^{312}\) carried out a RCT in which African American women (\(n = 23\)) were randomly assigned to either 10 weekly healthy eating sessions followed by six weekly exercise sessions or the reverse sequence. Sessions consisted of small group discussions and physical activity or food tasting. An African American Young Men's Christian Association employee facilitated the intervention and the healthy eating education included culturally relevant dishes and methods of food preparation. Participants were followed up at 4 months and 1 year. Irrespective of the sequencing, both groups showed a significant increase in physical activity (4 months: \(p = 0.0002\); 1 year: \(p = 0.0001\)) and vegetable intake (1 year: increase of 0.5 servings a day, \(p = 0.02\)) and a significant decrease in the per cent of calories from fat (4 months: \(p = 0.05\)), but with no significant weight loss. There was no significant differences attributable to intervention sequencing.

Thompson et al.\(^{359,360}\) conducted an internet-based intervention for physical activity and healthy eating with 8- to 10-year-old African American girls (\(n = 80\)) at risk of obesity. The only difference between the conditions was the incentives given to participants to encourage logging on. Girls were randomised to receive immediate (weekly) incentives or to receive their incentives at the end of the 8-week programme. Incentives consisted of small amounts of money, t-shirts and pens. The baseline intervention was adapted for the participant population through the use of materials/comics with culturally appropriate images and the format of the intervention as an affiliated activity/club, which was a preferred format among these girls. After the 8-week programme a higher log-on rate was observed in the immediate incentive group (79% vs 70%), but this difference was not significant (\(p = 0.12\)). Irrespective of the incentive schedule, however, statistically significant improvements were observed in self-reported fruit, juice and vegetable consumption (\(p = 0.002\)) and usual physical activity (\(p = 0.001\)).

Overall, there were mixed findings from the addition of components to existing culturally adapted interventions. Half of the studies\(^{312,317,324,326,353,358–364}\) showed no difference in outcomes between the adapted intervention and the adapted intervention with an added component. In three studies the added component demonstrated an effect (the addition of physician brief advice for smoking cessation,\(^{357}\) bupropion for smoking cessation\(^{342–345}\) and motivational interviewing for fruit and vegetable intake\(^{325,335}\)). Two studies showed short-term effects (smoking cessation\(^{355,356}\) and weight loss\(^{280–282,314}\)). Finally, three studies showed some effect of the additional component either for a subgroup of the population\(^{296}\) or for selected outcomes.\(^{294,295,354}\)

**Cost-effectiveness**

Thirteen studies reported on cost data and one study conducted a cost–utility analysis. A summary of these studies is provided in Table 17. The level of detail and degree of formal economic evaluation differed across these studies. Two of these 13 studies reported on the cost of recruitment and the remaining 11 on the cost of intervention materials and delivery. No studies carried out a cost-effectiveness analysis.

**Cost of recruitment**

The RCT conducted by Ahluwalia et al.\(^{342–347}\) compared bupropion slow release with placebo for smoking cessation among an African American population; only the cost of proactive compared with reactive recruitment strategies (US$159 per enrollee vs US$22 per enrollee, respectively) was reported. Proactive strategies included in-person efforts for recruitment. Proactive strategies alone were insufficient to generate enough participants and subsequent reactive strategies, which included disseminating information to call the study hotline. More potential participants were recruited through reactive than proactive strategies, and they were more likely to be eligible
(OR = 4.8) and more likely to be enrolled (OR = 4.2). There were, however, significant differences between the participants recruited, with those recruited through reactive strategies having higher educational attainment, higher income and better health measures than those recruited through proactive strategies. Bupropion slow release was shown to be effective for smoking cessation compared with placebo.

Similarly, the Obesity Reduction Black Intervention Trial (ORBIT) by Stolley et al. reported recruitment costs for their weight loss (diet and physical activity) intervention, as formal economic analysis was not possible. They too compared active with passive recruitment strategies and reported that active, in-person recruitment was the most expensive, followed by placing flyers at appropriate sites in the community; no figures were reported for either method of recruitment. E-mail recruitment was reported to be the least expensive at a cost of US$14 per person; however, e-mail recruitment also produced the highest percentage of participants who

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Health topic</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Cost reporting</th>
<th>Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahluwalia et al. 2002</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Cost of recruitment</td>
<td>Proactive vs reactive recruitment strategies US$159 per enrollee vs US$22 per enrollee, respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stolley et al. 2009</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Cost of recruitment</td>
<td>Active vs passive recruitment; only lowest cost option of e-mail recruitment reported (US$14 per person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipkus et al. 1999</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Cost of recruitment</td>
<td>Cost approximately US$12.50 per tailored print communication, including material development costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll et al. 2002</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>Cost of intervention</td>
<td>Total intervention cost £3000 for a 6-week exercise programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huhman et al. 2007</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>Multi (African American, Chinese)</td>
<td>Cost of intervention</td>
<td>US$194M to develop and implement a mass media campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netto et al. 2007</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>South Asian</td>
<td>Cost of intervention</td>
<td>Total intervention cost £50,000 per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson-Loffin et al. 2005</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Cost of intervention</td>
<td>Intervention cost US$384 per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agurs-Collins et al. 1997</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Cost of intervention</td>
<td>Intervention cost US$150 per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al. 2007</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Cost of intervention</td>
<td>Two-year total direct cost US$292 per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ard et al. 2000</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Cost of intervention</td>
<td>Intervention cost US$106 per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis-Smith et al. 2007</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Cost of intervention</td>
<td>Total cost of materials for a six-session intervention US$1075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrasher et al. 2004</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Cost of intervention</td>
<td>Intervention materials cost US$20 per person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plescia et al. 2008</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation, physical activity + healthy eating</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Cost of intervention</td>
<td>Cost of US$7M over 7 years for a multicomponent intervention for a community population of 20,000 people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McBride et al. 2002</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Smoking cessation</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>Cost–utility</td>
<td>Incremental cost-effectiveness of biomarker feedback US$1629 per QALY saved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QALY, quality-adjusted life-year.
were ineligible for participation in the study. The intervention was successful as 25% of women in the intervention group lost > 5% of their initial weight over 6 months compared with only 5% in the control group.

**Cost of interventions**

One smoking cessation study reported the cost of the intervention. A RCT by Lipkus et al.\(^{358}\) conducted with an African American population at a community health centre reported a cost of approximately US$12.50 per tailored print communication, including the developmental costs. This per item cost would have been reduced with a higher volume of production. The full intervention arm involved a health-care provider prompting intervention with tailored print communications and tailored telephone counselling; however, the costs of these components were not reported.

Three physical activity interventions reported on intervention costs. Carroll et al.\(^{302}\) and Netto et al.\(^{368,370}\) both delivered physical activity interventions for South Asian-origin populations and reported only total intervention cost. Carroll et al.\(^ {302}\) reported the cost to be £3000 for a 6-week exercise programme. The intervention was reported to be effective; however, it was difficult to quantify as only qualitative outcomes were provided. Netto et al.\(^{368,370}\) made reference to supplementary paper\(^{369}\) which reported the costs of their Khush Dil coronary heart disease prevention and control programme to be £50,000 per year. The programme offered healthy eating workshops, healthy cooking workshops, exercise groups and health promotion events and was reported to be effective in reducing mean body weight and BMI.

Huhman et al.\(^ {285-287}\) conducted a prospective, longitudinal, quasi-experimental mass media campaign called VERB to promote physical activity for multiethnic children (3120 parent–child dyads evaluated). The campaign cost US$194M to develop and implement. Professional services were used to produce advertisements for media channels (television, radio and print). The authors concluded that the campaign was successful in raising awareness and that they detected a dose-dependent response whereby more reported views of VERB messages were associated with greater reported engagement in physical activity and positive attitudes towards physical activity at the 2-year follow-up. Children who were aware of VERB reported significantly more physical activity than children who were unaware of VERB.

The only healthy eating study that reported on cost was the Soul Food Light intervention, a longitudinal experimental study with a pre–post test control group conducted by Anderson-Loftin et al.\(^ {371}\) for African Americans with type 2 diabetes (\(n = 97\)). The study included four weekly dietary education classes, five monthly group discussions and weekly follow-up telephone calls. The reported cost of the intervention was US$384 per person. The cost included 12 hours a week for a nurse case manager and a hospital-based dietitian to deliver the intervention, as well as the materials (e.g. African American food models, diabetes food pyramid, standard curriculum, balance scales, telephone calling cards, stove for diabetes centre, food and laboratory costs). The intervention was reported to be successful at reducing BMI and dietary fat behaviours at the 6-month follow-up compared with a usual care group, who received referrals to a standard diabetes education class.

The following five physical activity and healthy eating studies reported on the costs of their respective interventions. The Duke University Rice Diet was modified by Ard et al.\(^ {323}\) and delivered as a randomised weight loss study to an African American population (\(n = 56\)). The 8-week intervention included the provision of food for the first week along with daily counselling on diet and physical activity and group support. The reported cost of the programme was US$106 per person, which was discounted for the participants as they were university employees. The original cost of the programme would have been US$170 per person. At the 8-week follow-up the
intervention was successful in reducing BMI and body weight; however, it was unclear whether or not the caloric restriction strategy could be sustained over the long term.

Agurs-Collins et al.\textsuperscript{372} reported cost estimates of a 6-month weight loss intervention for African Americans diagnosed with non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus to be US$150 (not including research-related costs and laboratory tests). This cost included the salaries for a dietician and an exercise physiologist, as well as support staff, the cost of supplies and approximately US$35 per patient worth of education materials. The authors suggested that there might have been changes in direct health-care costs but this was not reported. Weight reduction was statistically significant for women after 6 months, but not for men.

The Healthy Eating and Lifestyle Program (HELP) weight loss intervention conducted by Anderson et al.\textsuperscript{327,328} reported the 2-year total direct cost to be US$292 per person. This cost included 10 classes led by healthy eating and physical activity behaviour change specialists (inclusive of their preparation and delivery time) and six individual assessment and counselling sessions. Sustained weight loss was reported at the 18-month follow-up; however, the difference between treatment conditions was not statistically significant.

The Wellness for African Americans Through Churches (WATCH) was a 2×2 randomised trial conducted by Thrasher et al.\textsuperscript{292,299} comparing tailored print and video material with lay health advisor support for a physical activity and healthy eating programme. Process evaluation suggested that the personalised newsletters might have had a larger impact than the other materials. Together these materials cost approximately US$20 per person. This figure did not factor in the developmental cost of the materials. The intervention did not show differential effects in the comparison conditions.

The Lifestyle Balance church Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) study was a six-session, volunteer-led feasibility pilot study conducted by Davis-Smith et al.\textsuperscript{334,373} The total cost reported for materials was US$1075, which included paper handouts, food, scales, supplies and postage. The cost did not include the salary for the research assistant. Session leaders volunteered their time and the church donated the space for the sessions. Sustained weight loss was reported at the 12-month follow-up.

The final study that reported on costs was a community-based intervention addressing smoking, physical activity and healthy eating issues with an African American population.\textsuperscript{288,289} A number of activities were undertaken, including a community coalition, a lay health advisor programme, a farmers’ market and advocacy for raising tobacco taxes and smoking bans. The cost of this multicomponent intervention was US$7M over 7 years for a community population of 20,000 people. Four thousand, seven hundred and thirty people were surveyed in total within the intervention population and statistical significant improvements for all three health behaviours were reported compared with state-wide trends (smoking among women: \(p = 0.03\); decreasing physical inactivity among middle-aged adults: \(p = 0.01\); increasing fruit and vegetable intake: \(p < 0.001\)).

Cost–utility

Only one study\textsuperscript{374} provided a cost–utility analysis of the intervention. A RCT for African American smokers provided feedback on susceptibility to lung cancer based on a test for a particular genetic biomarker.\textsuperscript{374} Participants were also given an adapted self-help guide (Pathways to Freedom) along with nicotine patches (where appropriate). This experimental condition was compared with a control of provider advice and referral to a smoking cessation specialist. Genetic feedback of high susceptibility to lung cancer was found to increase smoking cessation rates at 6 months (\(p = 0.03\)), but not at 12 months (\(p = 0.34\)). Using an intention-to-treat effectiveness
measure at 6 months, the average cost of the genetic biomarker feedback was US$1719 (cost per quit). The incremental cost-effectiveness of the genetic biomarker feedback compared with the control (cost per additional quit above usual care) was US$3210. Under the assumption that 1.97 quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs) were saved per quitter, the authors suggested that the incremental cost-effectiveness of biomarker feedback is US$1629 per QALY saved. As the experimental group received both the biomarker feedback and an adapted self-help guide, it was difficult to separate the degree to which they each contributed to the 6-month successful cessation rate.

**Discussion**

Although a substantial body of literature was identified on adapted interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating among African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations, there is little clear evidence on whether or not adaptation is effective. Findings from the 12 theoretical/conceptual reviews suggested that adaptation was important, but the findings from the seven systematic reviews were inconclusive. Although we identified 107 adapted interventions, only nine were designed such that we could directly compare the effectiveness of adaptation as distinct from the effectiveness of the intervention as a whole. The other identified studies ranged from pilot and feasibility studies to pre–post test studies, controlled studies and RCTs with non-equivalent comparison groups.

Only one of the nine studies comparing the effectiveness of an adapted intervention with that of an unadapted/standard intervention showed a greater effect of the adapted intervention in terms of behaviour change. There are many possibilities for this apparent lack of effect of adaptation. For instance, there may be an inability to detect effect when interventions are conducted with a population identifying with one ethnic group, particularly in group sessions, where a critical mass effect may be overwhelmingly positive, creating an adapted environment in itself. In addition, there are many ethical considerations and feedback from communities that prevent trials from using a strict control, particularly when an adapted intervention may have greater likelihood of improving health behaviours. Researchers, in turn, used attention control groups that offer some health-enhancing activities, which were not related to the outcomes of the study, or used delayed intervention strategies; therefore, there are far fewer ‘direct’ comparisons as some comparator conditions may inevitably involve some degree of adaptation. Issues of contamination are another important consideration, particularly in rural and/or tight-knit communities. Another possible reason for not detecting effects is that the adaptation may be quite sophisticated and irreducible to its component parts. Furthermore, adaptation may need targeting to the ethnic group as well as tailoring to the individual. This latter approach is likely to involve more specialised assessment tools to measure the ethnic identity of individuals, the degree of preference and the need for such an adapted approach, and, finally, how ethnic identity and preferences/needs are related. In cases such as these, delivering an adapted intervention for an acculturated group may actually be considered inappropriate.

Findings from the 16 studies with a baseline adapted intervention testing the effects of an additional component were also inconclusive. Eight studies reported an increased effect from the added component; however, two were short-term effects and a further two were effective only for some outcomes, and another study was effective only when a subgroup analysis was conducted. Many studies hypothesised that the reason why they were unable to detect any effect was that available tools may not be sensitive enough to detect further difference. In addition, given that the tailored intervention already produced positive outcomes in many cases, the additional effort may not be able to produce any further gains. The latter can be interpreted as a positive finding of this review – that an effect may be reproducible with minimal resources – for example, in
two studies, neither the intensive intervention with telephone counselling\textsuperscript{358} or the addition of motivational interviewing\textsuperscript{317} produced an additional effect to the baseline adapted intervention. Of the eight studies that detected an effect from the addition of an extra component, five of these studies reported that the effects were either short-lived or for subgroups of the populations or outcomes. It therefore remains to be determined what are the necessary and sufficient conditions under which adaptations can operate optimally, taking into account needs, preferences and resource (time and money) considerations. Finally, only 13 studies reported on the costs of recruitment and intervention materials and personnel, and one study performed a cost–utility analysis. We were therefore unable to draw any definitive conclusions on the effectiveness or cost-effectiveness of adapted interventions compared with standard interventions for ethnic minority populations.

**Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions**

The purpose of this strand of work was first to synthesise the available evidence on whether or not adapted interventions were more effective than non-adapted intervention, and then to assess whether or not adaptation was cost-effective for uptake by health services. Although no definitive evidence supports the assumption that adapted interventions are more effective for ethnic minority populations, this is likely to be because of the infancy of the evidence base. What is clear is that more studies are needed that compare an adapted intervention with a standard intervention of comparable frequency, intensity and materials/methods used. Given that we identified only nine (out of 107) studies that used a comparative design this suggests that we still lack a sufficient body of evidence and we are therefore unable to provide conclusive recommendations for or against adapted interventions for ethnic minority populations. Overall, the body of identified studies suggests that adaptation increases acceptability of the intervention within the targeted population, measured by process outcomes such as trust in the health message, programme satisfaction and increased programme usage. The onus is therefore on researchers and target populations alike to develop more sophisticated health promotion interventions and programmes that are better evaluated so that we are able to discern the effect of the basic interventions from that of the adaptations. For improved evaluation we also need better outcome measurement tools to differentiate between the lack of effect of adaptations compared with the lack of sensitive measures to detect difference.

One way forward in the pursuit of a rigorous evidence base for adapted interventions and programmes and development of the means to be able to detect difference is the adoption of a standardised approach, which would increase comparability between interventions. Given the diverse range of studies we have identified, it is imperative that future studies be reported in such a way that they can be discussed in a systematic and comparative manner. Thus, from the body of literature we have analysed, we propose a generic Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions (Figure 9) as one strategy to facilitate comparison. The theory is in no way prescriptive and it is certainly not intended to be followed without critical reflection; however, it does provide an initial scaffold to support one’s thinking on how to develop and report on adapted health promotion interventions as a primary goal, and also to further the

---

**FIGURE 9** Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions.
undertaking of comparative work. Clearly, not all programmes can incorporate study designs with control groups, but being able to discuss findings in a way that can be compared and contrasted with findings from other intervention conditions, in other studies, can generate learning principles across the spectrum of health promotion topics and ethnic minority groups. This theory represents the health promotion intervention cycle as a generic model, which is likely to capture some (if not all) of the steps usually undertaken in a wide range of health promotion interventions. Given that it has been developed using a specific body of identified literature used for this review, we will restrict our current discussion of the theory to interventions that target smoking, physical activity and healthy eating behaviours, although it is likely to have wider applicability.

In addition to prompting thinking on improving the reporting of studies, this programme theory can also be viewed as an approach to improve the development of interventions. Other work in this area of ethnicity and health has highlighted the need to examine every stage of an intervention pathway for potential intervention-generated inequalities. This present programme theory offers a possible way to address, then, those potential inequalities. From the 46 items outlined in our Typology of Adaptation (see Table 13) we can begin identifying which of these adaptations may be required when developing an intervention for a particular population and health topic and subsequently map these adaptations onto the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions to help with study planning. Figure 10 provides a schematic representation of some of the possible adaptations mapped onto the appropriate stages of the intervention. This method represents both a systematic and a transparent way to plan as well as report on adapted interventions, as many of the studies we reviewed often lacked clarity on whether a particular action represented a conscious effort to adapt or an artefact of working with specific ethnic populations. To be able to detect these differences it is necessary first to identify the adapted techniques and strategies that are effective for health promotion. A more comprehensive mapping of the 46 items onto the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions is offered in Table 18. This is by no means exhaustive or definitive; however, it does draw on the synthesised literature and should be used as a working document that requires further testing. Furthermore, discussion of how to consider adaptations at each stage along the intervention pathway is provided in Chapter 8.

**Strengths and limitations**

A key strength of the systematic review presented in this chapter is its comprehensiveness; we examined a wide range of population groups and health promotion topics to identify the most contemporaneous research on the adaptation of interventions for ethnic minority populations. Our search strategy was not restricted by language and we included interventions from any nation. One limitation observed was the unequal geographical spread of the literature as the vast majority of studies originated from the USA and focused on African American populations. This reflects the state of the research and was thus out of our control; the concern is that this offers little in the way of direct evidence in relation to non-African American populations.

We were also limited by the quality of the studies. Because we did not limit the study type, many interventions were adapted but the studies did not tell us about the relative effectiveness of adapted interventions as there was no comparison group. The inclusion of diverse study designs added to the heterogeneity observed in the study populations, topics and techniques such that we were unable to meta-analyse any results. Furthermore, the quality of reporting of adaptations or adapted components varied dramatically across studies and subsequently, for some studies, it was difficult to extract this information. Information on equity and SES was also not often reported or linked with intervention findings; we were therefore unable to explore these factors further within the scope of our study.
FIGURE 10 Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions with examples of adaptations at each stage.

TABLE 18 Typology of Adaptation mapped onto each stage of the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage in programme theory</th>
<th>Adaptations relevant at each stage of the programme theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Conception/planning       | 1. Exploratory phase with target population (same group as intervention group)  
                            2. Exploratory phase with target population (different group from intervention group)  
                            3. Exploratory phase with community leaders  
                            7. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals to increase salience of programme goals  
                            8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals and community members throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages  
                            9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study  
                            10. Utilise local/respected religious/spiritual leaders  
                            11. Collaboration with ethnic-specific institutions and professional organisations  
                            20. Material developed specifically for target population (by project investigators, expert opinion, tools)  
                            21. Material created by members of the target population  
                            31. Address concerns with medical programmes, procedures and medication  
                            35. Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate formal and informal networks  
                            42. Purposefully maintaining an exclusive or open intervention environment  
                            44. Cross-cultural training for all study personnel  
                            45. Address discrimination and mistrust  
                            46. Gender considerations  
| Promotion                 | 4. Ethnically matched intervention staff or facilitators  
                            7. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals to increase salience of programme goals  
                            8. Ethnically matched high level/respected individuals and community members throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages  
                            9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study  
                            10. Utilise local/respected religious/spiritual leaders  
                            11. Collaboration with ethnic-specific institutions and professional organisations  
                            14. Reflect target population’s language (usage – concepts, vocabulary)  
                            16. Reflect target population’s preferred method of communication  
                            22. Intervention content targets population’s social and cultural values  
                            23. Intervention goals and outcomes for participants are culturally appropriate  
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### TABLE 18 Typology of Adaptation mapped onto each stage of the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage in programme theory</th>
<th>Adaptations relevant at each stage of the programme theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engagement/recruitment</td>
<td>28. Utilise resources from target population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30. Utilise and address appropriate norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33. Located in ethnically/culturally appropriate/familiar location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34. Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate media sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35. Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate informal networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36. Provide ethnically/culturally appropriate food/activities/music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37. Address concerns with medical programmes, procedures and medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38. Reflect target population’s language (usage – concepts, vocabulary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39. Address physical/financial (structural) barriers to participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40. Reflect target population’s preferred method of communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45. Address discrimination and mistrust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46. Gender considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Ethnically matched intervention staff or facilitators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals to increase salience of programme goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals and community members throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. Utilise local/respected religious/spiritual leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11. Collaboration with ethnic-specific institutions and professional organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14. Reflect target population’s language (usage – concepts, vocabulary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16. Reflect target population’s preferred method of communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22. Intervention content targets population’s social and cultural values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25. Consider target population’s employment situations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28. Utilise resources from target population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29. Utilise appropriate incentives and timing of programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30. Utilise and address appropriate norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31. Address concerns with medical programmes, procedures and medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33. Located in ethnically/culturally appropriate/familiar location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34. Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate media sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35. Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate formal and informal networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36. Provide ethnically/culturally appropriate food/activities/music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37. Address concerns with medical programmes, procedures and medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38. Reflect target population’s language (usage – concepts, vocabulary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39. Address physical/financial (structural) barriers to participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40. Reflect target population’s preferred method of communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45. Address discrimination and mistrust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46. Gender considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation/delivery</td>
<td>4. Ethnically matched intervention staff or facilitators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Ethnically matched peer role models or peer education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Ethnically matched facilitators and peer role models who have successfully changed their behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals to increase salience of programme goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals and community members throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. Utilise local/respected religious/spiritual leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11. Collaboration with ethnic-specific institutions and professional organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12. Material depicts individuals from target population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13. Material (video, booklet, skits, handouts, games) in target population’s language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14. Reflect target population’s language (usage – concepts, vocabulary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15. Match reading level and literacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16. Reflect target population’s preferred method of communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17. Material presents ethnic-specific data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18. Material depicts appropriate graphics and scenarios (this can be heterogeneous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19. Material/guidance based on preferences of target population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20. Material developed specifically for target population (by project investigators, expert opinion, tools)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22. Intervention content targets population’s social and cultural values</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stage in programme theory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptations relevant at each stage of the programme theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24. Intervention delivered in culturally appropriate or preferred format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Consider target population’s employment situations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Intervention addresses health behaviour patterns found in target population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Dietary issues unique to their context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Utilise resources from target population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Utilise appropriate incentives and timing of programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Utilise and address appropriate norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Address concerns with medical programmes, procedures and medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Located in ethnically/culturally appropriate/familiar location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate informal networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Provide ethnically/culturally appropriate food/activities/music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Present a pro-ethnic/race approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Address emotional barriers and stressors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Address physical/financial (structural) barriers to participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. Teach appropriate communication skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. Encourage/involve social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Purposefully maintaining an exclusive or open intervention environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. Maintaining cultural significance of food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. Address discrimination and mistrust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. Gender considerations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Retention**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptations relevant at each stage of the programme theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Ethnically matched intervention staff or facilitators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Ethnically matched peer role models or peer education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Ethnically matched facilitators and peer role models who have successfully changed their behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals to increase salience of programme goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals and community members throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Utilise local/respected religious/spiritual leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Collaboration with ethnic-specific institutions and professional organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Reflect target population’s preferred method of communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Intervention content targets population’s social and cultural values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Consider target population’s employment situations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Utilise resources from target population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Utilise appropriate incentives and timing of programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Utilise and address appropriate norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Address emotional barriers and stressors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Address physical/financial (structural) barriers to participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. Encourage/involve social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Purposefully maintaining an exclusive or open intervention environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. Gender considerations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evaluation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptations relevant at each stage of the programme theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals and community members throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Intervention content targets population’s social and cultural values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Intervention goals and outcomes for participants are culturally appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Consider target population’s employment situations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*continued*
TABLE 18 Typology of Adaptation mapped onto each stage of the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage in programme theory</th>
<th>Adaptations relevant at each stage of the programme theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>30. Utilise and address appropriate norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32. Utilise appropriate evaluation instruments and tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination</td>
<td>30. Utilise and address appropriate norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32. Utilise appropriate evaluation instruments and tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was also heterogeneity between health promotion interventions that were related to additive behaviours (e.g. increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating) and those promoting subtractive behaviours (e.g. smoking cessation), which posed difficulties in conceptualising how best to compare and analyse these health promotion interventions. Smoking cessation also differs in that once achieved for a number of years it appears easier to maintain, whereas increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating require more ongoing effort to sustain behaviour change; it is therefore a cause for concern that there is a lack of long-term studies to assess the sustainability of physical activity and healthy eating interventions.

Lastly, the review is limited to the search dates and we recognise that since the search was undertaken further reviews in this area have been published. This more recent literature includes, for example, the review by Netto et al. on principles for adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations and the review from the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence on interventions to reduce risk factors for pre-diabetes among UK adults from black and minority ethnic groups. 

Studying this more recent literature, however, suggests that the evidence has not progressed in any major way and thus we believe that the material presented in this chapter continues to provide a comprehensive overview of the state of the current evidence.

Conclusions

From this systematic review of adapted health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations we identified 12 theoretical papers that provided some preliminary insights into the kinds of adaptations and considerations recommended for working with ethnic minority populations (see Box 5). We further identified seven systematic reviews that yielded mixed evidence regarding whether or not adaptation increases the effectiveness of health promotion interventions. Our own review of the empirical literature identified 107 adapted empirical studies (reported on in 154 papers) focusing on the three populations and three topics of interest. Overall, these studies suggested that adaptation could increase the salience and acceptability of studies, this translating into improved recruitment. However, many studies showing positive outcomes lacked comparable conditions and relied on self-reported measures. Of the 107 studies, only nine were designed to directly compare the effectiveness of adaptations for interventions and these too yielded mixed findings. Equally, there was no direct evidence
found for the cost-effectiveness of adapted interventions with only a handful of studies reporting on cost data and none carrying out formal cost-effectiveness analyses.

Some of our conclusions support those of other research groups; for example, the systematic review by Chen and Tang also found varying degrees of success of adapted interventions related to the degree of acculturation within the population. The overarching themes from the review of the relevant theoretical literature (see Box 5) were observed in the kinds of adaptations undertaken and are reflected in our 46-item Typology of Adaptation. Adaptations such as ‘Intervention goals and outcomes for participants are culturally appropriate’ (number 23) suggest that the goals for behaviour change for ethnic minority groups should be framed around psychological community and family gains, rather than individual personal gains. Adaptations such as ‘Present a pro-ethnic/race approach’ (number 37) and ‘Maintaining cultural significance of food’ (number 43) emphasise that cultural elements are protective assets rather than pathologies.

In this chapter we have proposed a novel approach to facilitate the conduct of studies that would be more conducive to detailed interrogation and synthesis, which if followed should enable the development of a more directly comparable evidence base. Our Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions, if used alongside the 46-item Typology of Adaptation, can, we suggest, help to conceptualise and develop adapted interventions as well as assist in improving the reporting of adapted interventions to facilitate comparative analysis.

Most of the evidence identified originated from the USA and was specifically related to African American populations. Although there is clearly learning to be drawn from these experiences, specific interventions developed for this population are not necessarily, nor easily, generalisable to other ethnic minority populations. We believe, therefore, that there is a need for more primary research in countries such as the UK where ethnic minority populations have their own distinct histories, contexts and experiences, and where there are also major differences (and similarities) between the majority (and indeed African American) population and ethnic minority populations in relation to factors such as religion, language and diet. This issue is discussed further in Chapters 8 and 9.
Chapter 7

Qualitative interviews with researchers and health promoters who have adapted interventions for ethnic minority populations

Abstract

Background
Because of the space limitations of many journal publications and the tendency to report normative accounts of research studies, much of the information on the process of adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations may not be accessible through published reports. This information may, however, be more forthcoming from detailed discussions with researchers and health promoters with particular experience of working with ethnic minority populations. Qualitative research is especially useful to understand processes and experiences and to gather in-depth knowledge to get beneath public accounts. The rationale for this qualitative component was to extend our understanding of how adapted interventions are delivered and why they were successful (or not) for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin ethnic minority populations.

Objectives
To understand the processes and experiences of, as well as the rationale for, adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations and to summarise lessons learned.

Methods
We conducted semi-structured interviews with researchers and health promoters adapting health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating aimed at African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin ethnic minority groups around the world. Interviews were transcribed and two researchers independently coded the interview transcripts using NVivo 8 (QSR International, Doncaster, Australia). Thematic analysis was undertaken, this being informed by the literature reviewed in earlier phases of the work while also remaining open to more emergent issues.

Results
Twenty-six interviews were conducted. Findings both complemented and extended the existing literature on adapting interventions for ethnic minority populations. Participants discussed how adaptations to promote healthy behaviours for ethnic minority populations could occur at every stage of the research process, from conceptualisation to dissemination, but they in particular highlighted the importance of sustainability considerations. Newer insights emerged including the need for a greater recognition of the lived dimensions of ethnicity, these including dynamic relationships with particular spaces and places, intergroup heterogeneity and previous experience with other health interventions that impacted on how new adapted interventions were received. These insights are generally unaccounted for in conventional descriptions of ethnicity. Recognition of what we have framed as the ‘contextual elements of ethnicity’ can facilitate process-oriented thinking on ethnicity and its potential impact on health behaviour. Finally,
Qualitative interviews with researchers and health promoters who have adapted interventions for ethnic minority populations

Interviewees discussed the benefits of informal research networks, which constrained or enabled access to unpublished findings and ‘lessons learned’, these being readily available in the USA but less available elsewhere.

Conclusions

Researchers have employed a broad array of approaches in adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations, these mapping onto the Typology of Adaptation and the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions we have developed. In developing and delivering these interventions, participants emphasised the need to understand and work with a range of relevant contextual considerations, and, given the complexity of this task, the need to be able to draw on the experiences of colleagues is critical. This engagement was more easily achieved in the USA, where critical mass of researchers appeared to exist, in contrast to, for example, Australia, where researchers and health promoters felt more isolated.

Introduction

Within economically developed nations with large proportions of ethnic minority populations it is now well recognised that members of some ethnic groups experience elevated risk of disease in comparison to the general population and relative to other ethnic groups (see Chapter 1); this has, for example, been demonstrated for chronic diseases such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus. A preventive health approach has been adopted in the UK and increasingly elsewhere to address the constellation of underlying causes of these chronic diseases, which are, to a large extent, potentially avoidable through the elimination of a core set of risk factors such as smoking, physical inactivity and unhealthy eating. The preventive health approach is, as discussed at length in earlier chapters (see Chapters 1 and 4–6), increasingly multifaceted, with intervention approaches ranging from those that are individually centred to more population-based approaches. The bulk of the evidence on effective interventions to date, whether for the general population or for ethnic minority communities, relates predominantly to approaches aiming to change individual behaviours or risk factors, rather than changing settings or environments (see Chapters 4–6).

In tackling these shared risk factors, ‘ethnicity’, along with other demographic characteristics including education, income, social status and gender, have all been identified as important in enhancing either susceptibility or resiliency to disease. ‘Ethnicity’ was, in the framework of this project, conceptualised as encompassing the dimensions of ancestry, culture, language, physical features and religion (see Figure 1). These dimensions, however, offer only limited insight into how to operationalise ‘ethnicity’ in preventive health approaches, hence the need for detailed work with experienced investigators. When planning this work we anticipated that there might be little in the way of description in the published accounts of why or how interventions had been adapted, and what lessons had been learned from this process, and this was to a large extent verified from our systematic review (see Chapter 6).

Building on the systematic review (see Chapter 6), in which we identified and analysed the evidence on adapted health promotion interventions for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin groups, we wished to better appreciate some of the experiences and lessons learned in delivering these adapted interventions. We had hypothesised that these accounts were unlikely to be documented in the very public peer-reviewed literature accounts.
Research questions

The following research questions addressed in this chapter relate to Phase 2D of the project proposal (see Chapter 2):

- How and what factors (contextual, theoretical, practical) are considered in the adaptation of health promotion interventions for ethnic minority groups?
- Which factors were found to increase or decrease the effectiveness of adaptations for interventions?

Methods

Ethics

Ethical approval was obtained from the School of Health in Social Science Research Ethics Committee at the University of Edinburgh (see Appendix 4).

Sampling

We employed purposive, maximum diversity sampling aiming to recruit 20–30 participants; based on our previous experience with undertaking a number of related qualitative studies, we anticipated that these numbers of interviews would allow us to achieve saturation on the issues at the heart of our enquiry. We primarily wished to sample researchers delivering and evaluating innovative interventions for smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin groups. We were, in addition, also interested in hearing the perspectives of individuals involved in delivering the intervention, such as counsellors and health educators/promoters. To ensure that we had a maximum variation sample we devised a three-by-three recruitment approach (Figure 11), which was used to inform sampling and recruitment decisions. Participants with different roles in health promotion interventions and programmes were approached for interviews with the explicit aim of capturing a range of opinions and perspectives.

Participant selection and recruitment

Guided by the sampling matrix (see Figure 11) we initiated four interview recruitment waves. Each wave satisfied a different sampling goal, namely piloting, generating interest, maximising diversity and ensuring representativeness. A description of the recruitment waves and the corresponding numbers of participants approached and who participated is presented in Table 19. Recruitment wave two was particularly innovative as participants were recruited from

![Sampling matrix for qualitative interviews.](image_url)
a sampling frame derived from studies included in the systematic review (see Chapter 6). We continued to interview until we reached saturation,374 that is, when additional interview data no longer generated any major new insights. Although no formal assessment for saturation was used, saturation became apparent as we iteratively coded interview transcripts, such that no new NVivo codes were generated with the last few interviews.

We supplied an information sheet explaining the purpose of the study (see Appendix 21) to all potential participants and allowed them sufficient time to consider participation and ask questions. We provided a link to our project website (www.chs.med.ed.ac.uk/ethnicity-and-health/) and our contact details should those approached wish to request more information, and also contact details for someone outside of our project should they have questions they wished to ask an independent person. Written consent (see Appendix 22) was obtained from all consenting participants (both researcher and participant signed the consent form) and all participants completed a demographics questionnaire (see Appendix 23).

**Data generation**

Semi-structured interviews with participants were conducted either by telephone or face-to-face. Semi-structured interviews provided an opportunity to build rapport, elicit detailed accounts and explore new topics as they arose while keeping focus on the topic of interest. The interviews were structured around an interview guide, which was first piloted and refined through expert consultation (see Appendix 24). Interviews were conducted in English by either JJL or ED or jointly by both researchers and were digitally recorded and transcribed by the project secretary (FA). All transcripts were subsequently checked for accuracy and completeness by one of the two researchers (JJL, ED).

**Data analysis**

Analysis was comparative and iterative to synthesise the body of included studies.379,380 A coding frame was developed drawing on both the theoretical concepts and emerging information and applied to the corpus of data for thematic extraction (and the subsequent realist evaluation, discussed in Chapter 8). The identified themes from the theoretical literature (see Box 5) were key concepts framing this analysis. As these themes were mirrored in the empirical literature, we therefore anticipated that they would also be apparent in the interviews and thus they informed the development of some of the deductive codes. Furthermore, the systematic review (see Chapter 6) gave rise to 46 adaptations derived from the empirical studies – these too further informed our deductive code generation. These findings together and along with the discussion of ethnicity in Chapter 1 constituted what we knew on this topic before conducting the interventions. We anticipated being able to locate and follow some of these common threads in the interview data; this was expected given that our sampling frame was in part populated by authors of the empirical studies included in our systematic review (see Chapter 6). We therefore anticipated uncovering some of these earlier discussed ideas. These qualitative findings were further important to contextualise and illustrate how adaptations and intervention stages work under the realist framework introduced and discussed in Chapter 8.

The coding frame (see Appendix 25) included both deductive codes generated through familiarity with the body of literature13,11,16,26,31,254,257–259,262,263,265,268–270 as described above (see Chapters 5 and 6) and inductive codes generated from an initial read-through of interview data. This hybrid approach has the potential to yield a rigorous thematic analysis as new insights and emerging themes are accommodated alongside themes already identified from the literature. The coding frame was independently piloted by two coders (JJL and ED) and disagreements were resolved by discussion or, when necessary, a third coder (AS). The two authors independently coded all transcripts using the NVivo 8 qualitative data analysis software. Multiple independent
coding allowed for discussion of new and emerging insights and, importantly, the transparent documentation of how analysis developed.382

The two coders grouped and mapped the identified codes with attention to how and what factors were considered to be important in the adaptation of health promotion intervention for ethnic minority groups. Thematic analysis383 was used to analyse text: 65 inductive and deductive codes were generated from the five topic areas and were grouped into conceptual clusters (see Appendix 26). These clusters formed 24 basic themes, which were further grouped into 11 organising themes. In light of our overall study question, namely ‘How can interventions be adapted to be more effective for ethnic minority groups?’, these themes were further abstracted into three global themes to answer this central question. The organisation of the codes into this hierarchical thematic network is described more fully in Appendix 26. This systematic method of building up the themes was grounded in the text-linked codes and helped to identify consensus or conflict across themes, as well as the absence of expected themes,384 to explore how adapted interventions worked or were thought to work.

**Reflexive considerations**

Our interest in interviewing researchers and health promoters with considerable experience in delivering adapted interventions meant that we were, in some instances, ‘studying up’. Research, and particularly interviews, usually take place between people of unequal socioeconomic status (SES). Participants in health-care studies, for example, are usually patients or subjects in trials, while those conducting the research are health professionals with institutionally sanctioned degrees. In contrast, ‘studying up’ refers to conducting research with participants who have a higher social/economic status and/or are members of bureaucratic or institutional bodies. ‘Studying up’ can help uncover the logic behind how and why interventions are designed and delivered, as well as help deconstruct the ideologies that both enable and constrain the success of adapted interventions.385

The background of the team, with wide-ranging interests and expertise, aided recruitment as members initially reached out to those in their networks. This multidisciplinary and multiethnic research team provided thoughtful suggestions in grouping the deductive and inductive codes,
cautioning against generalisations, and particularly in illuminating the boundaries of an analysis focused on ethnicity, to suggest considerations of SES, age and education.

The ethnic positionings of the two interviewers (JJL identifies as a Chinese Canadian who has also lived and worked in Asia, Africa and Europe, and ED identifies as Celtic European who has lived and worked in New Zealand for the past 10 years) positively facilitated identification and familiarity with the researchers interviewed. However, as the majority of our interviews were with researchers identifying as African American, although we were able to appreciate the historical situated experiences they were drawing on, we were largely unable to grasp the gravity of such a history that has been compounded by contemporary health-care and structural issues. At times these unfamiliarities widened our social distance and perhaps prevented us from ‘digging deeper’.

In analysis, our collective backgrounds in social sciences and public health framed our approach and likely directed our attention to the influence of social, structural and environmental factors on ethnicity and health over, for example, cognitive factors. Furthermore, there was a tension between two of the researchers (JJL and ED) over the use of the term ‘community’, with one opting for a more critical perspective and the other for a more practical perspective. This tension is likely apparent in our use and disuse of ‘community’ and who it can represent in our research.

**Results**

We approached 37 participants and 27 agreed to be interviewed (see Table 19). The majority of declines were implicit, as the participant did not respond to our request. Those who responded to our e-mail request cited time constraints as the reason why they were unable to participate; some of these people referred us to their colleagues. We therefore conducted 26 semi-structured interviews with researchers and health promoters delivering adapted health promotion interventions to African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin ethnic minority groups around the world. The qualitative data were first independently analysed (this chapter) and then synthesised with findings from the other components of this mixed-methods study using a framework of realist synthesis (see Chapter 8).

Our maximum diversity sampling captured a wide range of participants of varying ages and occupations and working with different populations around the world (Table 20). The largest group of participants (35%) was aged between 46 and 55 years. Participants were located in the USA (n = 14; one interview included two participants), the UK (n = 7), Australia (n = 2), New Zealand (n = 2), Norway (n = 1) and China (n = 1). The participants’ occupations included community outreach workers and consultants (n = 5) and research associates (n = 4), with the majority being university professors (n = 17). Participant 25 did not return a demographics form and thus we have no information to report except to researcher-identify him as ‘male’. It should be noted that a participant’s self-identified ethnicity was not always matched to the ethnicity of the target group with whom the researcher had previous working experiences. This diverse sample allowed us to explore the process of adapting health promotion interventions in a broad range of sociopolitical contexts.

In the following summary we first present an overview of the findings from the qualitative interviews and then, in subsequent sections, we elaborate and provide more detailed descriptions with illustrative quotes as well as a discussion of how these findings add to the existing literature that we reviewed in the previous chapter (see Chapter 6).
Overview of findings

We identified three main ‘global’ themes: constructing ethnicity, adapting interventions and sustaining adapted interventions.

Constructing ethnicity emerged as a new insight in terms of broadening the thinking on ethnicity from its more conventional dimensions (i.e. religion, language, culture, physical features and ancestry) as described in Chapter 1. Furthermore, although demographic variables such as age, gender and SES interact with ethnicity, these distinctions were maintained so as to retain the utility of ‘ethnicity’ as a concept and not render it all encompassing. We found that expanding the concept of ‘ethnicity’ to also include contextual elements (e.g. social environments, physical space, past exposures to health research and services, diverse life experiences including stress) was particularly valuable for consideration on how to adapt interventions for ethnic minority populations.

The theme of adapting interventions discussed the kinds of adaptations undertaken and considerations around implementing the adapted intervention. These adaptations and considerations reinforced the adaptations identified in the systematic review (see Table 13). This was expected as many of the interview participants were, as noted above, recruited from a

---

**TABLE 20** Interview participant demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interview number</th>
<th>Wave</th>
<th>Age range (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Self-identified ethnicity</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46–55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Scottish</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>≤ 25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bangladeshi</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46–55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36–45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Bangladeshi</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>≥ 56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26–35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Bangladeshi</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36–45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>New Zealand European</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46–55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46–55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Pakistani</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46–55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>≥ 56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Black American</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36–45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46–55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46–55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36–45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46–55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>≥ 56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>New Zealand European</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46–55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>≥ 56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Norwegian</td>
<td>Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36–45</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Asian Chinese</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>≥ 56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>≥ 56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>White/Caucasian</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>≥ 56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23b</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>≥ 56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>≥ 56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26–35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, female; M, male.
sampling frame derived from studies included in the systematic review. Many of the identified themes complemented what was already known about working with ethnic minority populations, for example the importance of community engagement and building trusting relationships, providing incentives to individuals or organisations for recruitment and retention, adapting messages and materials, matching personnel and using preferred methods to deliver messages and materials and, finally, building linkages with existing organisations.

The final global theme, namely sustaining adapted interventions, was an unexpected finding as we did not anticipate the degree of in-depth discussion in relation to the practicalities of delivering adapted interventions and sustaining this field of research (e.g. funding, formalising the intervention, recognition of work, staff safety and capacity building).

Global theme 1: constructing ethnicity

The process of constructing ethnicity incorporated commonly used population demographics such as age, gender and socioeconomic factors, which were, generally speaking, acknowledged to intersect with ethnicity in both expected and sometimes surprising ways. Constructing ethnicity also drew on familiar dimensions of ethnicity such as 'culture', food, language, religion/spirituality and ancestry, which were discussed in Chapter 1. Finally, in considering ethnicity in the practice of delivering adapted interventions for ethnic minority groups, constructing ethnicity also stimulated discussions of participants' exposure to health care and research, setting-based considerations in which space and place are prominently featured, participants' social environment and researchers' recognition and acknowledgement of differences. Consequently, population demographics, conventional ethnicity and contextual ethnicity represent the three organising themes contributing to the global theme of constructing ethnicity (Figure 12). Together, these three subthemes have the potential to shed light on ethnicity as a dynamic process rather than a limited set of immutable characteristics.

Population demographics

In the theme of population demographics, age, gender and SES, for example, were reported as common factors considered alongside ethnicity. These factors can intersect with and mediate how ethnicity is experienced.

Age-associated preferences were recognised to interact with ethnicity:

We have the facilitators and the experts. All of them are black women in mid-life. It was just as important to these women in, in the focus groups when we started, they said now don't bring in here as our fitness expert a 20 year old that weighs 110lb and is perky right. They don't understand what we're going through with our back problems, our knee problems.

(P23, general health, USA)

Gender, like age, appeared to intersect with ethnicity as women and men were observed to have different health problems, health concerns, levels of participation and ability to participate in interventions:
Women couldn't get them on their saris, the saris not the best thing for a pedometer so they were trying their knickers and, and things like that. The men of course had no trouble on their belts. And the men would go out for a walk and their wives would be at home cooking dinner.

(P8, healthy eating, UK)

Different approaches to health behaviour change were also apparent:

The Chinese population prefer, the men, the men like to become smoke free and then celebrate it back with their family so they won't lose face if they relapse.

(P7, smoking, New Zealand)

Socioeconomic status was another factor that intersected with ethnicity. In many cases, these interventions took place in lower socioeconomic settings:

Sure em the area in which we did the, em where we had where our offices were located was in a low socio economic em area [okay]. Em most em of our participants were em low em poverty level or below, em most of my clients did not ah have jobs or were between jobs, em, very transient sort of lifestyles. Eh we did have a few that were em you know middle class em that I can remember, eh most did not have any sort of em college experience, a lot of them did not em graduate from high school. Em so you know we were working with a very relatively low educated low income population.

(P26, smoking, USA)
Conventional ethnicity

The theme of conventional ethnicity encompassed the *ethnicity dimensions*, which were presented and discussed in *Chapter 1*.

'Culture', food, language, religion/spirituality and ancestry represent some of the *ethnicity dimensions* already discussed in *Chapter 1*. Broadly speaking, in the interviews 'culture' was discussed with a focus on shared qualities and understandings. 'Culture' was perceived to be particularly significant for dietary behaviours. Researchers felt that, despite their participants' expressed willingness to change, behaviours surrounding food in social situations were quite durable and difficult to alter.

They view within their home that healthy food isn't acceptable, either to themselves or their family, or visitors, they come over and say oh those were horrible cause we know we recommend for instance bake your samosas and the women are like oh wait a minute, I would be considered a horrible hostess, if I did that, if I didn't offer them a [mithai] you know the Indian sweets whenever people were there then I would be a horrible hostess, and the pressure is so strong it would make them feel, you know, in a sense that they were ostracised from their community.

(P8, healthy eating, UK)

Language was another important dimension of ethnicity that was identified and a prime objective of these adapted interventions was to ensure the availability of language-appropriate materials, that is, that translations were accurate and/or that these reflected the literacy level of the target population. Interestingly, in one intervention, English versions of written intervention materials were perceived by the participants as providing an opportunity to learn English. In other interventions, written materials were eschewed in favour of pictorial information, as this was felt to be more accessible.

The role of religion and religious leaders in interventions varied. Interventions delivered for African American populations were more likely to embrace the role of religion and spirituality in assisting behaviour change, whereas interventions delivered to Muslim populations generated a mixed reception – for example some participants advocated behaviour change during Ramadan whereas others felt that it should be reserved for faith-based activities only.

Finally, ancestral ties were observed to affect health practices and behaviours, cutting across the many other aspects of ethnicity:

Where they come from influence what they eat, but not the location and the cities in United States … where they came from, from their original country, is it China but if they, they came from Hong Kong eh they like certain food, and from Taiwan they like a certain different kind of food, so it would be different.

(P21, healthy eating, USA)

We can't do it in groups, again for confidentiality issues because if a community is quite close-knit, so you have to make sure that they don't you know they're not in a group where somebody knows them from Bangladesh back home so there's a lot of village ties, so they don't really want, somebody older wouldn't want somebody younger than them knowing about their personal issues and why they smoke.

(P6, smoking, UK)

Interestingly, discrimination was not mentioned or raised by any of the interview participants, even though it is often cited in the literature to play an important role in determining health
status.258 Historical discrimination was, however, mentioned in the context of discussions on trust when attempting to engage African American populations.

**Contextual ethnicity**
The theme of contextual ethnicity discussed processes related to the concept of ethnicity relevant to the participants in the interventions or programmes. These processes included participants’ health-care/research exposure, considerations of their settings and their social environment and researchers’ acknowledging differences within the target groups.

*Participants’ exposure to and past experiences* with health care or health research affected the way that they perceived the intervention and influenced their level of interest and participation, particularly if their previous experiences with research projects or researchers were negative. Degree of exposure to information and services was identified as potentially hampering or increasing participation. For example, information on smoke-free environments was advertised through mainstream media and thus was perceived to represent a systematic exclusion of a group of Chinese-origin people from accessing the same health information:

P: Back in 2003 New Zealand em brought in the eh smoke-free environments legislation.
I: Yes, yes. So one of the leaders in the world weren’t they?
P: That legislation came in but of course most of the promotion and everything was done in the mainstream profession and what we found is that a lot of the em communities that weren’t accessing mainstream media [mm mhm] weren’t getting those messages across about to take the smoke outside and about the fact that it was now em you know legislated against em smoking in public places, bars, restaurants and things like that, so there was a whole section of the New Zealand population that kind of missed that message.

(P7, smoking, New Zealand)

*Setting based* included researchers’ observations of factors related to space and place and are thought to effect intervention outcomes, for example immigration status, availability of cheap and illegal tobacco products, migration influxes and movements in and out of the city, as well as changes in practice over time, such as increased dining out:

P: Em but it, it, there was a, just a complete lack of understanding from the business owners’ perspective of why they should care less about this.
I: Mm mhm. Em why, why were they suspicious of the pro, of the programme?
P: I think it’s because there’s a lot of suspicion, again I, you know, this is, you’re in Edinburgh and the United States, I mean you have to under, it, it, there’s all kinds of issues around immigration now in the United States [mmm], there’s a lot of ah fear and you know that people are gonna be accused of hiring illegal workers.

(P18, smoking, USA)

Migration, for example, was observed as a salient stressor, particularly in the context of providing for the family and dealing with family concerns ‘back home’ (this was particularly relevant for Bangladeshi-origin populations). Immigration status was another source of stress, which appeared to be prevalent within ethnic communities (more relevant for recent migrant Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations).

We tried to talk of you know discuss issues that were more relevant to men, other race-related issues that might be relevant such as the stress of dealing with you know recent immigration or other immigration-related issues, trying to find a job, trying to be able to support one’s family and things of that nature.

(P20, smoking, USA)
These stressors were widely acknowledged by researchers as inhibitors to intervention success, and therefore stress-reduction strategies featured prominently in smoking cessation interventions, for example for Chinese and African American populations:

The first thing to do for white women, now of course this is a broad generalisation, but in general if you ensure . . . that they are also involved in weight reduction plans, because if young white women, as a group, start to gain weight, when they stop smoking, they’re going to go back to smoking. If you’re trying to stop black women from smoking and you don’t have a stress management programme in place they, are going to go back to smoking when they get stressed.

(P23, general health, USA)

Where the intervention was delivered was another important setting-based consideration. Holding the intervention in a location and physical environment that was perceived to be relevant to the target ethnic group was thought to increase participation and salience. The implicit assumption made by researchers was that there were, in general, stronger spatial ties, particularly for recent immigrants. Community centres, schools, churches, libraries, mosques, homes, cultural centres and ethnic enclaves were all enthusiastically cited as convenient locations, and this was generally accompanied by a wholesale avoidance of academic institutions as sites of intervention.

There was a mixture of settings [mmm]. Em the initial meetings quite often were actually held at the university, em although that tended to, that was in the early days of the intervention, because the participants in terms of transport sometimes had issues with that, not all the women drove and I, I suspected they didn’t feel as comfortable in that setting. We had access to a em community centre and some of the meetings occurred there and particularly once the intervention was rolling, so the initial meetings might be at the university or community centre, I would suggest that the community centre was preferable, em the women from what I can gather appeared to be more comfortable there [mmm] eh but the subsequent meetings say over the 12 weeks of the intervention quite often would end up being at one of the participants em homes and the meeting would occur there.

(P12, physical activity and healthy eating, Australia)

A consideration of physical environment also captured the effect of population density and spread, with less dispersed populations having a greater opportunity for participation in interventions. Therefore, the type of ethnic groups captured by intervention efforts appeared to be tied to the spaces they occupied.

I think the individuals we recruited in our group tended to be ah, some of the Korean American participants ah probably were less underserved than the Chinese American participants, if that makes sense [mm mhm]. Em the, a lot more of the Korean community sites that we recruit from, they tend to ah actually sprawl out into the suburbs, suburban regions. Em whereas the Chinese American communities that we’ve been working with have been a little bit more concentrated around the [city name] area.

(P20, smoking, USA)

Related to physical space, the social environment also shaped participants’ relationships with family and friends, and determined the kinds of social support one could receive, or be expected to receive. For healthy eating and physical activity interventions for women (South Asian and African American), for example, the family was often seen as a pivotal motivator for health improvement.
But then of course as these are women, we always hook it into if you don’t change your behaviour you’re going to see in your grandchildren the statistics of women dying prematurely from preventable deaths. So we really try to do both, I mean we, we focus on expanding their sense of responsibility to themselves in terms of health but we always link it to how, if they change, it can help in terms of their community, in terms of their family and, and the rest of their community.

(P23, general health, USA)

The family was also a strong motivator in smoking cessation interventions for men (of Chinese and South Asian origin):

I think and a lot of it would have to do with coming back to the family . . . we would say you know try to bear in mind that you know your children really want you to stop smoking and you know you, you’ve moved to this country to help your children have a better life and you know they really want you to, to be here with them for a long time and you’re doing this, you’ve done all these other things for your children you can do this one more thing too.

(P20, smoking, USA)

Another aspect of the social environment was social support, which was well documented in the interviews. Overall, the idea of organic social support was thought to be more effective than purposefully formed support groups; however, the use of the term ‘organic’ differed across interviews. In some cases it referred to friends and family as the support provider:

I mean peer support is likely to be successful if it’s done well. Even though we, we didn’t find this an effect, I’m not sure that that really means that that isn’t successful. But yes for sure, I think organic social support would be even better and that’s why we’ve, we’ve created the third study the way it’s designed.

(P14, physical activity and healthy eating, USA)

At other times, organic support referred to the groups that formed in the interventions:

In the intervention group you know changed more initially but then those changes washed out faster, and even reversed in some cases, versus the control group that changed more slowly without all the handholding but you know made use of the social support of you know the other women in their, in their classes, and they were actually able to make a more sustainable change in terms of being able to stabilise their waist circumference.

(P13, physical activity, USA)

Therefore, it remains unclear which kinds of organic support were considered to be effective, as this has been defined or interpreted differently across interviews. Furthermore, social support was also contingent on opportunity; for women who may not have received support at home for physical activity, for instance, an intervention may have acted as the catalyst for these women to form activity groups, which in turn provided support during and well after the end of the formal intervention period.

Lastly, researchers acknowledged differences that exist among supposedly similar intervention group members. In many cases, intervention effectiveness was difficult to determine because of the heterogeneity of participants. This heterogeneity was often related to factors such as
educational attainment, gender and age, as discussed earlier, while degree of acculturation also contributed to heterogeneity:

There are those older people as well they would say ... oh yeah I only eat a baked potato because my granddaughter makes this so I eat that as well, you know eh so before they were never eating but now with time eh there's been a cultural shift and there even if it is pasta or so they could be cooking eh the pasta with eh Asian touch to it so there is, yes acculturation definitely there.

(P3, healthy eating, UK)

Global theme 2: adapting interventions

We classified researchers’ descriptions of implementing adapted interventions for ethnic minority groups (regardless of the ethnic group targeted) into the following six organising themes: development, engagement, materials, methods, description and linkages (Figure 13). Not all researchers provided insights for each theme as some tended to focus on, for example, engagement, whereas others more readily discussed materials and methods. These themes contributed to the global theme of adapting interventions. The interviews interpreted together revealed the additional considerations and frequent negotiations that required attention at different stages of the intervention. These considerations and negotiations shaped and mediated what adaptations and programme components were feasible and acceptable and, therefore, ultimately possible to deliver.

Development

The theme of programme development encompassed both political considerations (research environment) and personal factors (project rationale).
The initial steps of intervention development required a consideration of the research environment. This sometimes meant aligning the intervention with government policies but also ensuring that these policies, for example smoke-free policies, are understood and comprehended by ethnic communities; and sometimes delivering interventions for a group that had been largely overlooked by government health promotion policies. However, funding for these activities was often tied to government-prioritised areas, and when priorities changed, earmarked funding became scarce and determined the kinds of activities that could be undertaken.

We just didn't have the resources to promote it the way we had hoped to promote that one, that, that event and that was partly because of the turnover at the City Health Department and the commissioner who had committed funds to this intervention left and the new commissioner came in and had his own agenda.

(P18, smoking, USA)

The researchers’ own backgrounds were usually the main driving force behind the project rationale for the intervention, sometimes aided by PhD students who had identified hitherto unexplored areas. Their past experiences usually influenced the adaptation rationale, as well as the kinds of intervention theory they were likely to adopt. For many US-based researchers, their research background and experiences allowed them to tap into a network of researchers working in this emerging field, and thus they were able simultaneously to draw on their collective knowledge regarding adaptation rationale and intervention theory in the development of the project.

**Engagement**

The theme of engagement involved two equally important steps: engagement with the targeted ethnic group or community (*community involvement*) and engagement with the characteristics of the targeted community (*knowing the population*).

Approaching the community and assessing their needs and considerations at the outset received near unanimous support from almost all of the interview participants. *Community involvement* was further improved when community advisory or steering groups were convened for the purpose of the intervention to advise on numerous issues, including appropriateness of incentives as well as health messages. Other times, pre-existing and established community organisations or leaders were approached to guide the interventions, and this was particularly evident at the recruitment stage.

The second kind of engagement required the researchers to *know the population* through various methods, including formative work, which encompassed focus groups, interviews, surveys and literature reviews. This was important to understand the community as a shifting, changing entity and to avoid inappropriate characterisation. This appreciation of the community continued throughout the lifecycle of projects through, among other things, participant feedback. For example, a weight loss intervention was developed to be culturally sensitive for an African American population, incorporating appropriate goals and motivators:

This is a journey this is a long process this is not a diet that you go on for a short period of time. A journey, but also for your best body so it, it’s for you to look and feel better but not necessarily to be thin, and we conveyed a lot about how you really can’t be there to take care of your family if you yourself are the one who is disabled or ill because stemming at least partly because of your weight.

(P14, physical activity and healthy eating, USA)
During the actual intervention recruitment period, participants also fed back to researchers, for example one group felt it was discriminatory within the church context to have an intervention for the exclusive use of one ethnicity:

Well I think some women who were not African American wanted to participate and felt excluded. Other women just felt that that was ridiculous that their friends were being excluded purely based on the colour of their skin, I mean it really is racially discriminatory against women who are not African American or black. And so and it was by design because the higher risk group is African American or black women, but within the context of the church that, that health risk is, is really immaterial.

(P14, physical activity and healthy eating, USA)

**Materials**

The theme of materials represented the practical strategies employed for recruitment and retention and included consideration of the resources delivered to participants.

In the USA, incentives were commonly used as motivators to improve recruitment and retention. The types of incentives employed included both direct financial rewards (money and travel costs) and indirect benefits (ranging from free toothbrushes to gym memberships). The value of the incentive was largely dependent on project funding.

There was mixed opinion on whether or not incentives improved individual-level participation. There was more support for providing incentives to organisations to enhance their participation, which was thought, in turn, to facilitate participation by its members. Providing incentives to established organisations was seen as an effective strategy to increase participation.

The resources delivered included materials and messages, which were targeted to the participants for language and message format, for example, and this was not surprising given our topic of enquiry. What was surprising was that some participants mentioned that these resources should be ‘evidence based’ and this was perceived to be an important criterion for those delivering the intervention as well as for some participants receiving the intervention.

So there may be a higher level of sort of sophistication and em and this, people feel that if it’s delivered through the church that’s enough you know but they want the information to be high quality and not necessarily delivered by a pastor anymore, they want it to be delivered by a health professional. So there seems to be a shift taking place, or it may be more of an urban rural shift, we’re not really sure.

(P22, healthy eating, USA)

**Methods**

The theme of methods described how intervention components were delivered (mode of delivery) and important researcher qualities that enhanced or constrained effective delivery of intervention components.

There was great emphasis on researcher qualities, particularly their ability to develop relationships. Relationships, although perceived as time-consuming pursuits, were also described as an absolute necessity.

One of the kind of ways of you know building trust and building partnership really have to do with spending time, going to the services, being there for events, listening.

(P22, healthy eating, US)
Perseverance was felt to be necessary to develop relationships with the target community, but also a necessary quality for researchers to secure resources for the community:

So it took me about 2 years to get permission to open up the swimming pool for these women at eh on the weekly basis, for few hours, which was just women only, and I had to go through many many steps.

(P9, physical activity and healthy eating, Australia)

Researchers also needed to be flexible within their roles and strike a balance between delivering a research project and responding to the target population, such that sometimes research components needed to be modified, for example translation of documents, timing of sessions or even completely dropping some component of the work if necessary:

One of the things that we found was that kids just abhorred having their fingers stuck, these are you know African American and Latino kids and so we just dropped the the serum glucose measure because … we were losing too many of our research participants you know even though they had parental consent and they had originally signed [consent] after the first round of data collection.

(P13, physical activity, USA)

The mode of delivery was often described as more important than the message itself; strategies such as peer educators or peer counsellors were seen to be appropriate for ethnic minority communities, although reasons were not always provided. Often interventions were delivered in group settings, and one motivation for this was that there may have been transferable modelling skills that could be shared with children or other family members who may be present during the intervention, or that could be taken home after the intervention.

So we knew that we had their attention, that was one main reason cause they’re a major target group because of their own health, and that’s what we emphasised. But number two we also know that a woman in that age group, and certainly African American women, we know are the matriarchs of their families, so they are influencing not only their adult children but their grandchildren, their great grandchildren, their churches, their workplaces, they are very influential [mmm]. And so if we can change the lifestyle of one single woman, just one single woman in that age group, we have a major ripple effect that’s going to impact on their entire community.

(P23, general health, USA)

Beyond the family there was thought to be potential peer modelling effects within tight-knit communities:

But, but the, the, the eh the and the higher risk group persons, at least some of them, very successful in eh change their behaviour, started to walk eh in the district every, every evening eh every afternoon or evening, attend the classes eh walking classes which walked in the district and, and eh some of them lose weight so that anyone could, anybody could see that. Eh and they became very important role models for the others.

(P19, physical activity, Norway)

Across the interviews, staff and staff characteristics were reported as contributing to successful uptake and delivery of the intervention. Having someone who was of the same gender, who spoke the same language were some of the practical attributes described:

Of the same culture … South Asian and … struggled with obesity herself.

(P8, healthy eating, UK)
Having an ethnically matched staff member was preferable; however, this was not always possible. It was felt that a person who was not from the same ethnicity (or background) would still be able to deliver the intervention if they were enthusiastic; however, they could be perceived by participants as not understanding their particular situation. It was also seen to be useful if the staff were well known in their community and respected. These were generally more nebulous characterisations, but the justification given was that:

Qualifications for working in those fields you know, we could teach the skills but we couldn't teach the community connectiveness, that's either there or it's not.

(P7, smoking, New Zealand)

Description
The theme of study description encompassed descriptive accounts of the programme or intervention. Across the interviews an eclectic mix of approaches, various settings and multiple activities and actors were reported. Participants were similarly diverse, with a mix of generations, genders and ethnicities reported. Groups ranged from 10 participants in small pilot studies to thousands of participants in a citywide survey. There was no obvious model intervention for adaptation considerations.

Linkages
The theme of linkages described both the limits of collaboration and project scope (research boundaries) as well as the benefits of collaboration with other professionals and institutions (professional collaborations).

Health interventions delivered for ethnic minority populations often drew clear boundaries around health and social issues, which were outside of their remit. Although some projects acted as a link between services, they did not, for example, deal with mental health or abuse cases. In these situations they made referrals to the appropriate services. On the other hand, some interventions were purposefully involved in related services for their population, for example pregnancy services. There did not appear to be a clear pattern in determining research boundaries, as responsibilities were continuously negotiated:

You know again in some ways we were sort of battling [partner organisation] sometimes as well, wanting to do something that wasn't just standard.

(P8, healthy eating, UK)

Overall, professional collaboration with existing institutions, services and professionals was reported to positively enhance the intervention. However, linkages with some organisations required more complex manoeuvring than others, and this feature was largely dependent on the characteristics of the organisation, for example the church and its religious leader:

There's also a lot of differences between churches and denominations in terms of the governance and the structure and some denominations have, like a Baptist denomination, has a consistent pastor that will be there for many years and so if they commit to working with you they're gonna stay with you, whereas other denominations like the AME [African Methodist Episcopal] we found they rotate pastors every couple of years and so you start into it and once the new pastor comes in they may have a different priority eh and may decide not to, that they're not so enthused about working with you [laughs]. So that has been a problem in terms of you know often if, if a church, quits in the middle it's usually because there's a change in leadership [okay] so that was one thing in terms of working with an organization.

(P22, healthy eating, USA)
Global theme 3: sustaining adapted interventions

Sustaining an adapted intervention involved interpreting intervention findings, reflecting on what was learned and what could be taken forward, and fostering the capacity of the workforce as steps towards continuity. The three organising themes of evaluation, insights and strengthening the workforce thus contributed to the global theme of sustaining adapted interventions (Figure 14). Discussions of how to disseminate project findings, sustain the programme of research and manage project personnel demonstrated the constraints and challenges faced by the researchers we interviewed as well as revealing the rewards and motivators for continuing their work.

Evaluation

The theme of evaluation discussed issues relating to intervention cost (research costs) and effectiveness (intervention assessment).

Additional research costs were felt to be necessary, particularly for community engagement, and particularly justified when carrying out work with ethnic minority populations.

The truth is that any time you’re gonna do a, whether we were in the black community, Hispanic community, we, we were gonna have to fund community organisations to do this work because you can’t do this work unless you’re in the community. So I don’t think, I think doing any kind of tailoring or creating you know tailored programmes is a little, adds an expense to the existing programme, but then if you want to be effective in your, if you’re in a city like [city name] you, you don’t really even think about it that way because it’s the cost of doing business, it’s, it’s not a question of should we do this, its, you have to do it you know.

(P18, smoking, USA)

FIGURE 14 Global theme 3: sustaining adapted interventions.
At times, researchers felt that funders had little understanding of these additional research costs (P10), which added to the difficulty of accessing sufficient funding for research or for sustaining interventions beyond the research phase. A lack of funding, as well as the lack of personnel and overlapping project phases, meant that many interventions were not formally evaluated, even though they had been delivered. It was, however, suggested that reporting in the usual peer-reviewed journals might not always be the preferred route for communicating results:

Dissemination in the community can be much more important than producing a peer-reviewed publication as far as being effective goes.

(P17, physical activity and healthy eating, New Zealand)

When the intervention assessment was published, the outcomes and results were not always straightforward and often raised more questions than answers in relation to the components that were likely to be effective in promoting behaviour change:

The structure is, is very critical. But what we, what we don't know is really one site different from another? Another thing we think we know, we haven't documented it, is the facilitator the key person to the success of the outcome?

(P23, general health, USA)

When ‘successes’ were mentioned, this was usually discussed anecdotally, as the evaluation either was carried out informally or had yet to be published:

I: So would you consider this intervention that you ran to be quite successful?
P: Oh without a doubt, yeah. No, it, it, in terms of, we did some informal, I mean, more informal follow-up and that would say for example the fact that the swimming sessions continued on you know a number of the ladies who, we, we got some data on that eh who had participated in the pilot study and so some of them we collected bloods most probably 18 months down the track, after they'd finished and again yeah we most probably had you know in the pilot I think we ended up with nearly 20 women, and of those I would think most probably 50% had actually made a positive change in terms of their lifestyle. And we had, and that, that was with no active intervention since we eh we started the pilot.

(P12, physical activity and healthy eating, Australia)

**Insights**

The theme of insights discussed growth experienced by the researchers (researcher development), confirmation and correction of initial research assumptions and new findings generated in the research (intervention insights), and how these understandings were translated into strategies to sustain health promotion actions (intervention continuity).

**Researcher development** was something that occurred over the intervention period. Researchers reflected on their own experiences by discussing personal growth and reward, and how this incentivised them to continue to work delivering and implementing adapted interventions and programmes. Other researchers used their work as a springboard to study ethnicity and health at a systems level.

**Intervention insights** were often derived from unexpected findings and through reflection on initial assumptions about the target community. Identifying and sharing their own insights on effective strategies and then relaying their lessons learned to other researchers were cited as reasons for participating in this present qualitative study. One participant was adamantly against maintaining anonymity because she wanted everyone to know about her programme:
I don't have any problem if we are identified in your research because the whole thing as I see it is about sharing and caring so it was quite interesting when I went down to my boss and I said oh look I really don't think I'll sign off on this part where it says here, the your point number 6 where it says I understand you won't put anything in your report that could be used to identify me and, and [name] says oh well scrub that out and oh no identify away.

(P7, smoking, New Zealand)

Widely publicising and marketing a programme was a reported method for increasing sustainability and intervention continuity. Formalising the intervention through ‘manualisation’ (developing manuals or guides on how to deliver the intervention) or the development of project logos appeared to coincide with the longevity of the intervention. Furthermore, continuity was also reported to require, on some level, a continued presence or advocacy on the part of the researchers. Developing training centres with set materials and teaching methods to facilitate the consistency of the intervention for participants in a broad geographical area was a model for sustainability reported in one intervention:

We said we're gonna look at [name of a fast food chain] and see how they do it [laughs], a [name of a fast food chain] hamburger in [city name] is the same as the one in Edinburgh. But anyway, so the quality, one of the ways to maintain our quality em because we know it works and, and there are many ways, reasons that we know it works is to really eh be in charge of the training of future facilitators and community health leaders so that’s a real important piece of what we're doing.

(P23, general health, USA)

**Strengthening the workforce**

The theme of strengthening the workforce discussed the management of a positive and safe working environment for these staff members (project management) and the training of intervention staff and recognition of their work (workforce development).

*Project management* largely involved facilitating positive working environments for staff, particularly a group of multiethnic staff working across sectors:

I mean our common goal was to provide an intervention that was going to support the population. Em and you know so we did have some disagreements, or should I say some very, very robust debates, but em getting everybody on the same page, it did take some time but once we did we just steamrolled, we really steamrolled … because of the robust discussions we had we forged such strong alliances out of them em and really paved the way for other tri-party initiatives to be able to happen a lot faster.

(P7, smoking, New Zealand)

Project management also involved the responsibility of ensuring the safety of staff, particularly in the context of delivering interventions in the community and through home visits, which were common for some ethnic minority groups:

Well as a manager of a multi-site project my concerns are safety, as I said we had a homicide last week we were with, we're experiencing a lot of shootings and, and you know violence in the neighbourhoods and to have outsiders come in, especially when we first go in its, it's alarming.

(P16, smoking, USA)
Staff, personnel and principal investigators working on interventions for ethnic minority health tended to originate from the target population. Across interviews the importance of this feature of the workforce was emphasised and that, for example, it was more appropriate to:

[take] lay people and [upskill] them rather than taking people and trying to skill them in the community.

(P7, smoking, New Zealand)

Thus, workforce development involved training in technical health promotion skills, rather than softer social skills already presumed to be held by staff members. Along with skills development, recognition of their work was also cited to strengthen the workforce:

It was a big buzz for the team to realise you know that they were, they were this important, that they should have this priority placed upon them and resource, resources spent on them.

(P5, smoking, UK)

Discussion

By naming the first global theme constructing ethnicity we have deliberately sought to invoke ‘ethnicity’ as a concept that has been built up and deconstructed over decades of discussion and debate, with boundaries around what it can encompass, for example the boundaries explicated by the five dimensions of ethnicity that we considered earlier (see Chapter 1), as well as ‘ethnicity’ as a concept that remains an unfinished process. In turn, the insights drawn from the theme of constructing ethnicity alert one to different kinds of ‘ethnicity’ at work and discussed when adapting interventions. The conventional elements of ethnicity relate to the five dimensions of ethnicity discussed in Chapter 1, which capture, more or less, the common sense usages and the commonly used definitions prevalent in health-care research. The contextual elements of ethnicity, as illustrated by the above data, extend our thinking around ethnicity, in particular by taking into account past health-care and research exposures and experiences, relationships with spaces and places, the significance of social dynamics and the inherent heterogeneity within the target group. These process-focused dimensions of ethnicity can offer a more dynamic conceptualisation of ethnicity beyond descriptive characteristics attributed to persons or groups, which at times appear entrenched and immutable (see Chapter 1).

The contextual elements of ethnicity can further offer a platform from which to consider ethnicity when adapting health promotion interventions. Ethnicity when considered in context can involve a mapping process that captures both conventional elements, such as religion, language, culture, physical features and ancestry, as well as demographic variables, such as age, gender and SES, and also the relationships that members of these ethnic groups have and share with their social groupings and their physical space as well as their diverse experiences. Mapping these processes and relationships has both practical applicability and analytical value for both intervention delivery and analysis. Ethnicity therefore interacts with a number of factors that affect behaviour change and understanding the complex nature of these interactions is useful in advancing the concept of ethnicity itself as well as the extent to which we can adapt health promotion interventions. These elements of conventional and contextual ethnicity represent a preliminary effort to reconceptualise a definition of ethnicity with greater utility for practice and theory.

The second and third global themes of adapting interventions and sustaining adapted interventions suggest that considerations for adapting interventions appear at every step of the intervention
process, from initial thinking about funding and political and personal factors to community involvement, incentives for recruitment and retention, professional and institutional linkages and researchers’ qualities. The third global theme in particular indicates that fostering intervention and programme continuity requires an additional set of considerations, which include conducting formal and informal evaluation, sharing insights, formalising intervention/programme strategies and strengthening the workforce. These findings echo the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions derived from empirical intervention studies (see Chapter 6, Figure 9), while the strategies for adaptation map onto those identified in the 46-item Typology of Adaptation (see Chapter 6, Table 13). These qualitative findings can help to contextualise and illustrate how adaptations and intervention stages work.

Finally, reflecting on this study as a whole, we observed that the majority of the researchers who were available for interview (i.e. identified from the sampling frame) were based in the USA and as such this revealed a geopolitical imbalance in the area of research on ethnicity and health, particularly for adapted interventions. For example, researchers working at institutions along the east coast of the USA identified an informal network that they accessed to share ideas and collaborate on projects. More importantly, this informal network was likely to facilitate the sharing of findings from projects. As we uncovered, projects may go unevaluated and unpublished, leaving those outside of the informal networks without access to this information. In essence, many of the lessons learned from these projects would be invaluable to other researchers in developing future interventions and this ‘lost’ knowledge hampers a sustained growth of evidence in this field.

Internationally, particularly in Australia and New Zealand, there was little connection to this emergent informal network in the USA and the researchers interviewed reported working within smaller groups with less government-financed and collegiate support. In Europe, connections between people and projects from the UK and continental Europe were reported and observed. Conspicuously absent were reports of an organic global network of researchers, as geography appeared to dictate the limits of collaboration and sharing, despite the wide availability of communication resources such as the internet. It was encouraging to hear that identifying effective strategies and relaying lessons learned for other researchers and the potential for generalisability were cited as reasons for participating in the qualitative interviews and this willingness to share insights could contribute to sustained work in this area.

**Strengths and limitations**

This qualitative component was developed alongside an extensive and rigorous systematic review (see Chapter 6). Included studies from the review published after 2005 were used to create a sampling frame to recruit participants for interviews. This integration represents an effort to link up the two separate components; it proved to be particularly fruitful in identifying a maximum diversity sample and therefore represented a particular strength of this component. However, despite the implementation of a cut-off date of 2005, some of the papers reported on studies that were conducted 10 years previously and consequently project recall was understandably poor. In the future, a later cut-off date might be used. The risk of such a strategy, however, is that forthcoming projects may not yet have analysed their data, or researchers may be unwilling to share data before publication, which was the case in one of our interviews.

A further limitation is that the majority of participants were researchers based in academic institutions. Although we made attempts to find projects developed within the community, this was not always possible as they tend not to be evaluated or written up for publication. This introduces a bias in our sampling towards university- and health professional-conceived...
interventions, rather than perhaps de novo community interventions. It is, however, worth bearing in mind that we did interview some community workers and that some academics are very much embedded in the communities they work with, and that the dichotomy between university led and community led may be less apparent. Widening the sampling frame and employing a longer search period for participants may mitigate this bias, but, more importantly, may enrich the accounts of adaptation.

The qualitative interviews themselves provided access to information that was not published or in some cases to information that may never be published. This was particularly important and necessary to learn about the processes associated with adapting interventions, of which there are few papers in the published literature. This access provided unique insights far beyond what a reader (ourselves included) could glean from accessing only peer-reviewed journal articles on the same study.

Another strength of this component of the research was the opportunity to speak to those delivering and implementing programmes; this was because they were ideally positioned to provide practical insights on adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority groups. On the other hand, speaking only to researchers and health educators about adapting health promotion interventions without supplementary interviews with the participants of those interventions was a limitation of this qualitative component. Therefore, we tried to exercise caution when interpreting interview participants’ views on ethnic groups, particularly when presenting the target community’s acceptance and satisfaction with the intervention, as these opinions were filtered through the lens of their own values and thinking.

Overall, the interview participants did not shy away from discussing aspects of their interventions that were ineffective or that simply did not work. Participants were willing to share some of their shortcomings, as well as their successes, with the explicit goal of advancing the field.

Conclusions

Qualitative studies undertaken alongside systematic reviews, and other linked studies of this nature, are important in teasing out the processes and thinking that underpin adapting interventions for ethnic minority populations. Our findings indicate that a process-oriented concept of ‘ethnicity’, encompassing both conventional and contextual elements of ethnicity, may be helpful to understand the factors and interactions that affect behaviour change and therefore to better adapt health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations. Furthermore, our qualitative findings confirm many of the main findings from our systematic review of empirical intervention studies, which led to the development of our Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions (see Chapter 6). The programme theory illustrates that adaptations can take place throughout the entire intervention process, from conception to dissemination. Synthesis of these research components will be discussed in greater depth in the following chapter.
Chapter 8

Realist synthesis: prioritisation for implementation and future research

Abstract

Background

Increasing ethnic diversity and the persistence of health inequalities in the UK and elsewhere challenges health systems to produce evidence-based guidelines for effective health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations. Relevant evidence should ideally come from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of health promotion interventions in the target population, but adaptation of existing health promotion interventions that have been found to be effective in the general population offers a potential short- to medium-term solution to fill the current evidence void.

Objectives

In the context of promoting smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating in African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations, we sought to identify which adapted interventions are ready for implementation and establish which interventions require further research.

Methods

We undertook a realist synthesis of our earlier phases of work, that is, the discussion groups in the two user engagement conferences, the review of guidelines and systematic reviews for health promotion interventions in the general population, the systematic review of adapted interventions and the in-depth interviews with academics and health promoters with experience of working with relevant ethnic minority populations. Explanatory frameworks to interpret and synthesise this body of work were sought by two researchers independently searching for and considering recurring patterns or demi-regularities in the evidence, and then reaching consensus through discussion. In particular, we aimed to describe which intervention contexts moderated adaptation mechanisms to impact on intervention outcomes. We utilised the six categories of ‘strategies and activities’ used in health promotion interventions to compare the findings from our review of UK guidelines and international systematic reviews in relation to the general population with those from our systematic review of adapted interventions. From this comparative work we identified which ‘strategies and activities’ were advocated by both guidelines and international systematic reviews, and which also featured in interventions adapted for ethnic minority populations. Through explicating this evidence base and interpreting it through the construction of context–mechanism–outcome (CMO) configurations, we reflected on interventions that are potentially ready for implementation in ethnic minority populations and those that require further research.

Results

We were able to identify contexts that either enhanced or diminished the effectiveness of adaptations at each stage of the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions. Four exemplary in-depth case studies on group-based interventions, communication strategies, behaviour patterns and pharmacotherapy, and social support were derived to illustrate what
adaptation approaches are likely to be effective for whom and in which circumstances. There was no clear evidence that any adapted intervention approach is ready for rolling out, but the ‘lowest hanging fruit’ in this respect was found to be in relation to pharmacotherapy-based interventions for promoting smoking cessation, which are likely to be effective for ethnic minority populations if the salience and acceptability to target populations can be increased. This effort could, we hypothesised, be achieved through paying close attention to underlying beliefs and values, the potential impact on family members and the provision of appropriate linguistic and peer-to-peer support, emphasising the benefits of relatively short-term drug therapy. There is also the need to create greater opportunities for incorporating exercise and active lifestyles into everyday life through interventions that alter the built inner-city environments in which many ethnic minority populations tend to be most concentrated; this may involve more easily accessible (by walking) amenities and safe locations for individuals, families and communities to increase physical activity. Interventions should also test changing the availability of foods served at community organisations and religious institutions as well as ensuring that any dietary modification or recommendation should prioritise substitution rather than avoidance of commonly consumed and perhaps culturally relevant foods. Furthermore, use of peer and lay advisors/educators and appropriately trained dietitians working with families, and in particular household cooks, has the potential to effect sustained changes in healthy eating habits. The RESET (relevance, evidence base, stages of intervention, ethnicity and trends) decision-making tool developed from this work will, we hope, aid the planning of this future research.

Conclusions

The appropriate adaptation of health promotion interventions is a complex undertaking with an, as yet, inadequate evidence base. This in part stems from the fact that, apart from a few notable exceptions, we still know relatively little about how best to effect clinically important and sustained lifestyle changes in the general population, let alone for ethnic minority populations. Relatively simple adaptations to improve the salience, acceptability, trust and use of smoking cessation therapies are likely to yield important benefits in the short to medium term, but, given the current paucity of evidence in relation to ethnic minority populations, this work needs to proceed in an evaluative context. Effecting sustained changes in exercise and healthy eating is likely to prove challenging, involving, among other things, environmental-level interventions that have been developed through a detailed understanding of the values, practices and aspirations of the target population.

Introduction

Select findings from the earlier phases of work, namely the two national user conferences, the review of UK guidelines and international systematic reviews of relevant health promotion interventions for the general population, our systematic review of adapted interventions and the qualitative interviews with researchers and health promoters who have experience delivering adapted health promotion interventions to the target populations (see Chapters 3–7 and Box 8 for a summary of the main findings) were reanalysed to identify areas for implementation and future research priorities. This was complicated by the limited evidence of effectiveness in relation to physical activity and healthy eating interventions for the general population (see Chapter 4) and the lack of direct evidence comparing adapted with standard health promotion interventions (see Chapter 6). What also became clearer from the qualitative work was the extent to which researchers needed to make sense of, draw on and balance a range of relevant contextual considerations in order to develop interventions that were likely to prove relevant, acceptable and effective, while also allowing rigorous evaluation (see Chapter 7).
Making sense of this large and somewhat heterogeneous body of evidence was thus challenging. This is illustrated, for example, by the range of permutations that can arise through combining the multiple Tannahill-derived 'strategies and activities' of health promotion interventions we identified in Chapter 4 with the 46-item Typology of Adaptations and the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions we proposed in Chapter 6. This combination generates multiple possible adapted interventions for evaluation, with multiple populations targeted and health topics promoted. These combinations are further complicated by the conceptual and practical considerations identified from the interview data presented in Chapter 7. Considering this complexity, there are clearly likely to be many different answers to the question of whether adaptations are effective or not. The challenge was thus to recognise and encompass this complexity, but still be able to generate meaningful insights that could contribute to identifying adapted interventions that were ready for implementation and also prioritising future research into adapted interventions for ethnic minority populations.
Research questions

The following research questions addressed in this chapter related to Phase 3E of the project (see Chapter 2):

- What adapted interventions work for whom in what contexts?
- Which interventions are ready for implementation and which interventions require further research?

Methods

Rationale and overview of the realist approach

We adopted a realist approach to synthesise the large and heterogeneous body of evidence from the preceding phases of work (see Chapters 3–7) in an attempt to understand which adaptation approaches are most likely to be effective in which populations, in what circumstances and why. We sought to develop a rich, multiperspective, contextualised interpretation and synthesis of our mixed-methods data.

Realist evaluation has previously been used in health research as a method for systematically reviewing primary studies (often in the context of studying complex interventions). These reviews have, for example, synthesised large and disparate bodies of evidence on internet-based medical education and provided a parallel analysis to a Cochrane review on the efficacy of school feeding programmes. These reviews accommodated and often sought out heterogeneous studies (in design, population and topic, for example) for analysis. By searching for underlying theory in interventions and focusing on explaining the ‘demi-regularities’ or patterns observed in the body of evidence, it is often possible to generate important new insights into underlying explanatory mechanisms. There is also the potential to elaborate on a body of evidence ‘to create an abstract model of how and why programmes work, which then can be used to provide advice on implementation and targeting of any novel incarnation of the intervention’. Articulating how interventions are thought to work and how they actually work can thus help in contributing to the building of an evidence base that is sensitive to contextual considerations and, therefore, more likely to approximate ‘real-life’ circumstances and generate practical and applicable conclusions for research, practice and policy. Data generated during the earlier stages of this project (see Chapters 3–7) were thus purposefully selected and used to derive a number of CMO configurations (illustrated in Figure 15: the mechanisms were the adapted interventions that we examined in different contexts to consider how their likely effectiveness was enhanced or diminished).

Realist framework

A realist approach to data is not a review technique but a review logic, meaning that it is driven by an underlying framework rather than by set procedures. Each review will therefore require its own specific methods in line with the key steps of identifying and testing key theories and uncovering the likely mechanisms of interventions to hypothesise and test how interventions might work (or not). The key overall steps in this process are outlined in Box 9. A central goal is to maximise learning using the most appropriate methods available. We therefore modified these key steps as the original steps were developed for the synthesis of primary studies and we required steps to synthesise data generated from multiple method sources. Our adapted framework for realist synthesis is outlined in Box 10.
Data extraction and analysis relating to the different methods are discussed more fully in their appropriate chapters (see Chapters 3–7) and only a summary is offered here. In addition to the usual data extraction methods outlined for each specific chapter, two reviewers independently extracted any additional significant or ‘realist insights’. This means, for example, that when the reviewers extracted data from empirical studies for the systematic review (in Chapter 6), traditional information such as population, study type and outcome measures were recorded, as well as additional insights reported by the authors on why their intervention was thought to be successful or not. Synthesis of these data was achieved through repeated discussion among the same two researchers (JJL and ED) who searched, reviewed, interviewed, coded, extracted and analysed the datasets from the preceding chapters.

Relexive considerations

Given the large amount of data collected over the course of the project, we frequently discussed the additional insights, or ‘nuggets’, found in the datasets; this process was facilitated by the close working proximity of the principal researchers and their complementary academic and practical backgrounds (social science and public health) and regular discussions with a third researcher (AS). Early in the project, insights discovered were discrete and fleeting and as such we struggled to detect initial patterns. Towards the end of the systematic review of adapted interventions (see Chapter 6), however, patterns began to emerge from the data. Only after the interview data (see Chapter 7) were coded and discussed did these patterns take on more tangible forms as the interview data helped to direct our attention to what the researchers working in this field perceived as significant to their work. Uncovering realist insights thus involved an iterative process – returning to the same piece of data with a slightly different perspective each time.
When initial CMO configurations (such as the ones presented in the examples, Boxes 11–14) were presented and discussed among the investigators and at the second user conference (see Chapter 3) they were generally well received. The research users felt that the example presented at the conference (see Box 11) offered valuable contextual information that was grounded in the evidence, but without being prescriptive. They did, however, caution an interpretation exclusively focused on ethnicity and suggested that SES might be an important confounder. This feedback broadened our perspective; however, determining whether SES or not was indeed a salient factor, or whether it acted alone or in concordance with ethnicity, was beyond the scope of the study.

**Results**

**What adapted interventions work for whom and in what contexts?**

Our realist synthesis drew on data from 15 UK guidelines and 111 international systematic reviews of relevant health promotion interventions for the population in general; our systematic review of adapted interventions, which comprised 12 theoretical papers, seven reviews and 107 empirical studies of adapted interventions; 26 in-depth qualitative interviews with experts in adapting health promotion interventions; and seven discussion groups with research and health
service users to identify, test and explore how adapted interventions work or not in the form of CMO configurations. A full list of the identified CMO configurations is included in Appendix 27. The findings presented should be considered a ‘work in progress’ of CMO configurations hypothesising which adaptations are likely to be effective for whom and in what circumstances. As evidence emerges we will be better placed to further test and, if necessary, refine these CMO configurations. Exerts from Appendix 27 are included in Tables 21–25 and are discussed below to illustrate some of the configurations identified for each adaptation mechanism at different stages of the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions (see Chapter 6). Some of the eight proposed stages have been combined to facilitate more coherent discussion than might otherwise have been achieved.

1. Refine the purpose of the review and articulate key theories to be explored

To answer the question ‘Does the adapted intervention work and which adaptations work particularly well for which populations, in what settings?’ We identified two key programme theories: the six ‘activities and strategies’ used in health promotion interventions (see Chapter 4, Box 3) and our Typology of Adaptations mapped onto the eight stages of a programme theory for adaptation (see Chapter 6, Figure 9).

2. Search for relevant evidence

We searched for relevant evidence across all of our study data (see Box 8). This incorporated different study types (guidelines, systematic reviews, RCTs, quasi-experimental, pre–post test, qualitative studies and qualitative interviews), study topics (smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating) and study populations (African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations). With progressive focusing we used data to guide the realist synthesis (user consultation), develop our programme theory (UK guidelines, international systematic reviews and empirical studies), identify realist insights of why adapted interventions work or not (empirical studies) and to test and explore new insights (qualitative interviews).

3. Appraise the quality of the evidence and extract the data

Two researchers (JJL and ED) assessed the quality of the 107 empirical studies to see if they were ‘fit for purpose’, that is, whether or not they were relevant to the programme theory being tested. This realist approach also allowed us to draw on some of the studies ‘excluded’ from our traditional systematic review (see Appendix 14), which were, in some instances, useful for providing complementary insights. For the empirical studies, customised data extraction forms were used to record each study component (see below), with a dedicated section for realist insights and comments. The same two researchers (JJL and ED) extracted details on the intervention and adaptations; the study’s context including the population demographics, setting and past experiences with research; any explanations provided by the authors on the success or failure of the intervention; and information on the feasibility, acceptability and equity of the intervention.

For the qualitative interviews, two researchers (JJL and ED) transcribed and independently coded the interview transcripts using NVivo 8. Interview data were revisited to identify realist insights relevant to the programme theory that confirmed or refuted what had been elicited from the empirical studies.

4. Synthesise the evidence

We actively constructed CMO configurations in tables (see Box 8 for relationship of methods to CMO configuration) to examine what factors and settings (context) moderated adapted interventions (mechanism) and to elucidate the configurations underpinning both success and failure (outcomes). These CMO configurations are included in Appendix 27. We presented the findings in the format of, for example, ‘If A, then B’ or ‘In the case of C, D is unlikely to work’ or ‘although E is effective, it may not be acceptable in light of F and G’ to illustrate the nuances of adaptations uncovered through a realist perspective.

5. Framing recommendations and disseminating findings

Preliminary conclusions and recommendations were made in consultation with stakeholders, who reorganised priorities and recognised other important contextual factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOX 10 Framework for realist synthesis – modified from the key steps in a realist review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Refine the purpose of the review and articulate key theories to be explored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To answer the question ‘Does the adapted intervention work and which adaptations work particularly well for which populations, in what settings?’ We identified two key programme theories: the six ‘activities and strategies’ used in health promotion interventions (see Chapter 4, Box 3) and our Typology of Adaptations mapped onto the eight stages of a programme theory for adaptation (see Chapter 6, Figure 9).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Search for relevant evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We searched for relevant evidence across all of our study data (see Box 8). This incorporated different study types (guidelines, systematic reviews, RCTs, quasi-experimental, pre–post test, qualitative studies and qualitative interviews), study topics (smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating) and study populations (African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations). With progressive focusing we used data to guide the realist synthesis (user consultation), develop our programme theory (UK guidelines, international systematic reviews and empirical studies), identify realist insights of why adapted interventions work or not (empirical studies) and to test and explore new insights (qualitative interviews).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Appraise the quality of the evidence and extract the data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two researchers (JJL and ED) assessed the quality of the 107 empirical studies to see if they were ‘fit for purpose’, that is, whether or not they were relevant to the programme theory being tested. This realist approach also allowed us to draw on some of the studies ‘excluded’ from our traditional systematic review (see Appendix 14), which were, in some instances, useful for providing complementary insights. For the empirical studies, customised data extraction forms were used to record each study component (see below), with a dedicated section for realist insights and comments. The same two researchers (JJL and ED) extracted details on the intervention and adaptations; the study’s context including the population demographics, setting and past experiences with research; any explanations provided by the authors on the success or failure of the intervention; and information on the feasibility, acceptability and equity of the intervention. For the qualitative interviews, two researchers (JJL and ED) transcribed and independently coded the interview transcripts using NVivo 8. Interview data were revisited to identify realist insights relevant to the programme theory that confirmed or refuted what had been elicited from the empirical studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Synthesise the evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We actively constructed CMO configurations in tables (see Box 8 for relationship of methods to CMO configuration) to examine what factors and settings (context) moderated adapted interventions (mechanism) and to elucidate the configurations underpinning both success and failure (outcomes). These CMO configurations are included in Appendix 27. We presented the findings in the format of, for example, ‘If A, then B’ or ‘In the case of C, D is unlikely to work’ or ‘although E is effective, it may not be acceptable in light of F and G’ to illustrate the nuances of adaptations uncovered through a realist perspective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Framing recommendations and disseminating findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary conclusions and recommendations were made in consultation with stakeholders, who reorganised priorities and recognised other important contextual factors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conception/planning
Adaptations such as exploratory phases with target populations and community leaders indicated that intervention study designs involving cluster randomisation are often challenging for community-based interventions in ethnic minority populations (Table 21). In church settings, in particular, participants have reiterated the unacceptability of randomisation to a comparison condition with seemingly low benefits. A comparison condition could be perceived as divisive within communities where the maintenance of a collective presence and voice is felt to be important.

When randomisation was perceived to be an absolute necessity by the researchers, a comparison condition with near-equivalent benefits was often negotiated (interview, P22). Similarly, not undertaking any adaptation of the control intervention was sometimes considered unacceptable and so, at times, the control group would also receive some degree of adaptation, thereby diluting comparisons. The opportunity to make meaningful inference of the impact of the adapted intervention compared with the standard approach was therefore limited. These study conditions potentially increased the study sample size required to demonstrate significant differences, thereby compounding the recruitment problems for researchers working with ethnic minority populations.

An exploratory phase with community leaders to increase effectiveness was an often-cited adaptation; however, it was also suggested that speaking to community members was equally important as they are ultimately the participants in interventions and were more often than not only loosely affiliated with the ‘leaders’. These community discussions also revealed that some communities have been inundated with research activities, such that interventions (on various topics) may be in competition with other study invitations. This current concentration of interest could reflect years of being exploited or neglected or both (interview, P13).

Furthermore, it was noted that focus groups or pilot testing undertaken with an ethnic minority population in one part of a country might generate materials inappropriate to a population in another part of a country who were perceived by the researchers to share the same ethnic background. Therefore, although adaptations for conventional dimensions of ethnicity such as language or religion may be applicable, more contextual elements, such as geographic location, may diverge and further influence preferences.

In the development of adapted interventions, the use of specific behavioural models was found to increase intervention effectiveness. Some models were particularly salient; for example, social cognitive theory was appropriate for African American women in terms of their life experiences and their need to deal with life stressors and obstacles.

Promotion and engagement/recruitment
Interventions were promoted through different channels, and many adaptations were used to raise awareness and engage the population (Table 22). Associations between the approach to promotion (methods used) and eligibility were observed, and, at later stages of the programme theory, between promotion channels and recruitment and retention rates. For example, African Americans who learned about a physical activity and healthy eating intervention through family and friends were less likely to be eligible than those who responded to e-mail or promotional material. Similarly, recruitment through personal contact for a smoking cessation intervention for an African American population attracted people who were interested and said they would participate but who then tended to not show up or to drop out. On the other hand, reactive strategies were more successful at recruitment and retention; however, those recruited through reactive strategies tended to be from higher socioeconomic backgrounds.
Recruitment may also depend on the recruitment site chosen for different ethnic groups; for example, churches (81%) and health-care settings (78%) were found to be more successful than housing developments (59%) in a smoking cessation intervention for an African American population, as measured by the number of people who provided their telephone number.400,401

The authors suggested that, in low-income housing developments, more interpersonal contacts would be preferred. 500,401

**TABLE 21** Programme theory conception and planning stage: CMO configurations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation (mechanism)</th>
<th>Context + outcome (systematic review)</th>
<th>Context + outcome (qualitative interviews)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Exploratory phase with target population (different group from intervention group)</td>
<td>Discussions with community for a community-based group healthy eating intervention for African American population revealed that there were many competing community-based research activities, which may limit interest in the present intervention101,106</td>
<td>“So all that’s to say that and, and you know the kind of research that’s valued in the academy tends to be you know working with secondary data sets you know behind your desk or you know doing the more rigorous kinds of studies that you can do in a university context, so, so the type of research that engages these populations is not highly valued, because you have to develop these relationships, many of which have been, have been broken by years of em exploitation or if not active exploitation at least em kind of neglect” (P13, physical activity, USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Exploratory phase with community leaders</td>
<td>Community-based outreach intervention for smoking cessation and physical activity for an African American population noted the importance of speaking to community members about participation, and not just limiting discussion to community advisory groups, as low participation in the health activities was observed104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Material developed specifically for target population (by project investigators, expert opinion, tools)</td>
<td>Targeted material for smoking cessation intervention which was pilot tested with African Americans in New York may not be equally salient to an African American population in Georgia as intervention participants were observed to be more responsive to surface-level targeting (e.g. materials) and less so to deep-structure targeting105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Address concerns with medical programmes, procedures and medication</td>
<td>Exploratory phase indicated that randomisation to a no-treatment control for a physical activity and healthy eating intervention for an African American population was not acceptable to participants and was therefore not utilised in study design103,108,109</td>
<td>P: “Randomisation is, is a hard thing with community groups in general, they don’t understand randomisation, I mean one thing we found in our project that was that, this design where you don’t have a real control group but you give the comparison churches a different intervention that they’re equally happy with [right] actually worked really well, they were very, the community was much happier with that than, you are the control group and you are the intervention group. And em and yet some of the funders don’t like that cause they think there’s gonna be some you know contamination doing anything with the comparison churches so we have to do some education around that [laughter] I think” I: ‘For the funders or for the community?” P: “Yeah for the people that review the grants, I mean this whole idea that you can maintain a sort of peer control groups doesn’t go over very well, when you get out to the community nobody wants to be in the no intervention control group [mm mmh]. Em so there are some of the big challenges” (P22, healthy eating, USA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The background tailoring of control groups may remove the effect of the intervention in terms of assessing the effectiveness of adaptation; however, not tailoring for the control group was often unacceptable to the participants106.
are needed to boost recruitment. A community-based group Tai Chi exercise programme for a Chinese population was popular with the target population because of the recruitment site, which was in the local community and linked in with other activities such as playing mah-jong and buying vegetables. However, even when the recruitment took place in an appropriate location, for example a church, if the recruitment process was perceived to be too time-consuming this could still hinder uptake (interview, P22).

Ethnically matching facilitators was seen to be important in a smoking cessation intervention in which half of the African American smokers contacted were unwilling to speak with the recruiters over the telephone as they were identified over the telephone as not being black (exercised caution in talking with outsiders). The authors suggested that this population was particularly cautious and unwilling to share information with outsiders. On the other hand, a recruiter’s experience and sensitivity may be equally as important as matching on ethnicity. Successful recruitment discussed here appears to coincide less with participants’ preferred communication formats and more with the importance of sites used for recruitment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation (mechanism)</th>
<th>Context + outcome (systematic review)</th>
<th>Context + outcome (qualitative interviews)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Ethnically matched intervention staff or facilitators</td>
<td>Half of the African American smokers contacted were unwilling to speak with the recruiters as they were identified over the telephone as not being black (exercised caution in talking with outsiders)</td>
<td>Although matching of recruitment staff has been suggested in a physical activity and healthy eating intervention for an African American population, the recruiter’s experience and sensitivity was perhaps more important, particularly when demonstrating flexibility for scheduling interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Reflect target population’s preferred method of communication</td>
<td>For a physical activity and healthy eating intervention, the majority of African American participants learned about the intervention through brochures, family/friends and mass e-mails in equal proportions. However, those who responded to e-mail and brochure were more likely to be eligible than those referred by family/friends</td>
<td>Recruitment through personal contact (i.e. active strategy) for a smoking cessation intervention for African Americans tended to attract participants who said that they would participate but then did not or who participated but then dropped out. Reactive strategies, however, were more likely to recruit higher socioeconomic groups of African Americans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Located in ethnically/culturally appropriate/familiar location</td>
<td>Recruitment of African Americans to a smoking cessation intervention was more successful in churches (81%) and health-care settings (78%) than in housing developments (59%), as measured by the number of participants willing to provide a telephone number, which suggests that more interpersonal contacts are needed to persuade people to disclose their telephone numbers in low-income settings</td>
<td>‘Em the second study with the programme, the participants were supposed to be there for healthy eating education but we, after we got into the study we found that the nutritionists were basically depending on the pamphlet and sending them off with their food vouchers [right], so to spend a half an hour doing a DVD was actually a lot more time than they were expecting. So that you know hurt us in terms of recruitment because some, a lot of people didn’t wanna wait and do it’ (P22, healthy eating, USA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implementation/delivery

We found many examples of how context moderated the effectiveness of adaptations at the implementation stage (Table 23). Appropriate timing and location of the intervention were important adaptations to consider; for example, learning to avoid holding interventions during significant holidays as this was when people were travelling or participating in religious observance and were therefore unable to participate fully in an intervention (interview, P6).

Furthermore, holding an intervention in an appropriate location, such as a community centre or church, was generally viewed as a positive adaptation (interview, P12). Although these sites may have positive effects on intervention reach and sustainability, they may not be effectively used if acting only as sites (especially churches). Moreover, although community centres may be convenient, they may disrupt the continuity of the programme if room and space availability present potential barriers. Sometimes the location for an intervention may be preferred because it is felt to be more ‘culturally safe’, for example a group of South Asian-origin women ‘preferred’ to exercise in a poorly lit school field in the evenings rather than walking along the sidewalk during the day. However, this ‘preference’ was in response to their perception that they would attract derogatory comments if they were visible during the day.

Intervention adaptations that encourage social support may be faced with support that is counter to the interventionist’s desired behaviour change for the participant. Social support from the family, for example, was often cited as an important consideration in delivering health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations (interview, P11); however, families were sometimes not supportive of behaviour change that contradicted social norms, such as decreasing body size, or traditions, such as abstaining from certain foods. Furthermore, active family participation as part of an intervention may not have the intended effects, as was the case in a study for increasing physical activity and healthy eating with an African American population in which only female adults were observed to participate despite male members of the family being encouraged to engage.

Delivering interventions in culturally appropriate or preferred formats, such as in group formats, was encouraged and found to be successful, for example when utilised in a walking programme for Pakistani women (interview, P12). Group-based interventions, however, may not be appropriate for every health issue under study for ethnic minority populations. In some cases encouraging group-based programmes may be inappropriate, for example it was observed that men in the Chinese community ‘wanted also the opportunity em to give up outside of the family environment so that when he went back in he had actually managed to succeed and was smoke free and it could be celebrated, but if he relapsed he didn’t wanna lose face’ (interview, P7). Similarly, social support in the form of group sessions and discussions was not always desirable, for example with participants who wished to keep private their diabetes status, which jarred against the openness of some of the intervention formats. Such tensions arose in the context of a diabetes education intervention for a South Asian population, a diabetes management intervention for African Americans and a church-based smoking cessation intervention for African Americans.

Another important mechanism was targeted intervention materials, which were found to be more effective when they matched not only with participants’ identities, but also with their degree of affiliation with their ethnic identities. Conversely, the opposite effect was also observed among participants, with reportedly lower levels of acculturation (more likely to have stronger ethnic affiliation) having better short-term quit rates with a standard guide than with an adapted guide. Matching of intervention staff ethnicity was perceived to be important; however, matching for other characteristics such as having diabetes or gender and age was equally salient.
### TABLE 23 Programme theory implementation/delivery stage: CMO configurations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation (mechanism)</th>
<th>Context + outcome (systematic review)</th>
<th>Context + outcome (qualitative interviews)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Ethnically matched intervention staff or facilitators</td>
<td>Matching intervention staff for ethnicity was important but matching other characteristics, including having intervention staff with diabetes, was also raised as important for a Bangladeshi population with diabetes&lt;sup&gt;409&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>“In the Chinese community especially em if the man was trying to give up smoking [yes] he wanted also the opportunity em to give up outside of the family environment so that when he went back in he had actually managed to succeed and was smoke free and it could be celebrated, but if he relapsed he didn’t wanna lose face” (P7, smoking, New Zealand)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Ethnically matched peer role models or peer education</td>
<td>Church-based group physical activity and healthy eating intervention for African Americans reported for the self-help arm that women wanted the sessions to be led by professional leaders rather than their peers; this was supported by the observed drop in attendance when sessions were taken over by trained lay leaders&lt;sup&gt;352&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>“So their normal situation would have been those that actually drove em would have driven the sch, the, the children to school or they, or the, the children would have been picked up by eh another, another friend and taken to school. Now that actually evolved to the point where they were taking the children to school, very often walking the children to school or if they got into em being part of the, the trial and they would meet other women who were also part of the trial at the school and so instead of, where uh once they were in the trial they would’ve walked the children to or from school em and that would’ve been the only em activity they would have possibly had outside the house [mmm], it evolved, and this was the completely on the participants em doing, they would walk to the school, they would meet other women who were part of the trial, by coincidence, and instead of walking straight back home, some of them actually lived within 500 m of the school for example [mmm], em they would then as a group go for a walk around em the streets in the area. So they would have a circuit set up from what I can gather and the fact that they would walk past one of their houses and one of them would stop there, and the other two or three would continue on home and drop the others off so to speak as they went on their walk” (P12, physical activity and healthy eating, Australia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Material developed specifically for target population (by project investigators, expert opinion, tools)</td>
<td>Smoking cessation intervention for African Americans comparing a culturally sensitive guide with a standard guide found that participants with reported lower levels of acculturation preferred the culturally sensitive guide and displayed a greater readiness to quit; however, they had higher rates of 24-hour abstinence when they received the standard guide. It was hypothesised that mismatched content can encourage greater information processing&lt;sup&gt;351&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Tailored newsletters used in a healthy eating intervention for African Americans had a stronger impact for individuals who were better matched in terms of their ethnic identity and the degree of Afrocentrness of the newsletter&lt;sup&gt;296&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For a smoking cessation intervention delivered to an African American population it may be necessary to match the intervention not only to participants’ apparent racial/ethnic identity but also to their degree of membership to a particular racial/ethnic group&lt;sup&gt;341&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A pictorial one-on-one diabetes education intervention to improve healthy eating for a South Asian population reported participant preference for one-to-one health education at clinics rather than in organised single-sex group sessions. Participants wanted to keep their diabetes status private and felt unable to announce their food choices in public&lt;sup&gt;407&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Churchwide smoking cessation intervention for an African American population reported that some church members were embarrassed by their smoking status and did not want to be identified, whereas others were not interested in programmes delivered by peers&lt;sup&gt;300,301&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Group- and individual-based diabetes management intervention for African Americans held in a rural setting revealed that group-based programmes may not have been appropriate as there were competing cultures of openness (facilitated by churches) and privacy (rural traditions)&lt;sup&gt;406&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptation (mechanism)</td>
<td>Context + outcome (systematic review)</td>
<td>Context + outcome (qualitative interviews)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Utilise appropriate incentives and timing of programme</td>
<td>A group-based diabetes management programme (physical activity and healthy eating) for a Chinese population experienced attrition as participants travelled for Chinese New Year and did not complete the programme, although they returned for follow-up evaluation.</td>
<td>“So they, people will put it off until the first Ramadan to set a quit date, then those will be, then Ramadan is such a busy month, everybody’s focused on the spiritual aspect of fasting, and family life. And then you’ve got Eid and then people will say well we’ll do it after Ramadan, give me the patches” (P6, smoking, UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Located in ethnically/ culturally appropriate/ familiar location</td>
<td>Churches were sites rather than partners in a physical activity intervention for African American women. As such, women did not receive health-related or targeted messages from church officials and therefore it may not have affected deep-level structures.</td>
<td>“Em the oh in the, we tended to, there was a mixture of settings [mmm]. Em the initial meetings quite often were actually held em at the university, em although that tended to, that was in the early days of the intervention, because the participants in terms of transport sometimes had issues with that, not all the women drove and I, I suspected they didn’t feel as comfortable in that setting. We had access to a em community centre and some of the meetings occurred there and particularly once the intervention was rolling, so the initial meetings might be at the university or community centre, I would suggest that the community centre was preferable, em the women from what I can gather appeared to be more comfortable there [mmm] eh but the subsequent meetings say over the 12 weeks of the intervention quite often would end up being at one of the participants em homes and the meeting would occur there” (P12, physical activity and healthy eating, Australia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41. Encourage/involve social support</td>
<td>Diabetes self-care telephone intervention for African American population reported that ‘eating healthfully’ was seen as participants giving up their food heritage, and family members may be quite negative towards participants if they deviate from traditional food.</td>
<td>“Interview showed that it was particularly important to the women that they had a culturally “safe” place to exercise, not just for themselves but also for the integrity of their whole community” (p. 93). Furthermore, in this physical activity intervention delivered for South Asian women, the notion of ‘safe’ space operated under a different logic such that walking and playing sports after dark in an empty field behind a school was perceived to be safe whereas walking on the sidewalk during the daytime was felt to attract derogatory comments as they would be more visible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. Address discrimination and mistrust</td>
<td>“Family members can be a barrier to weight gain prevention interventions for African American girls as their mothers encouraged weight gain.”</td>
<td>“And what we found out was that . . . it, it mattered how much the partner participated, regardless of whether we had assigned participation so, so being together in the groups didn’t make any difference. Which was I mean a clear finding because only one group was together in the groups and being the people who were together in the groups they didn’t lose any more, any more em they didn’t . . . lose more weight than the people who didn’t have their partner in the groups [nnm nnn] and they didn’t maintain any better. But the people whose partners attended more of those personal sessions . . . lost more weight, you know so if, if you partner was interested enough to come in with you to those sessions your weight loss was greater” (P11, physical activity and healthy eating, USA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(interview, P23). In terms of using ethnically matched peer facilitators, it appeared for some that professional leaders were preferred (interview, P22) and an associated drop in attendance was observed when sessions were taken over by trained lay leaders.352

**Retention**

Addressing structural barriers, such as access to transport and financial constraints, was identified as an important adaptation (Table 24). Telephone follow-up strategies appeared to be effective, for example a toll-free telephone number was successfully used in a church-based physical activity and healthy eating intervention for an African American population.303–305 On the other hand, when telephone numbers were difficult to obtain, low implementation of booster calls (31%) to African American participants in a smoking cessation intervention was observed.400,401 In another smoking cessation intervention for African Americans involving genetic biomarker feedback plus telephone and nicotine replacement therapy (NRT), 21% of participants were lost at follow-up and the primary reason cited was that participants no longer had telephone access.374 Therefore, telephone-assisted strategies appeared to be successful for follow-up when there was consistent access to a telephone, otherwise they were observed to hinder retention.

Provision of transportation was another adaptation used to address physical and financial barriers to participation. This was observed to be salient for younger people who have limited access to different modes of transport to reach intervention sites.410 A difference in retention associated with transportation provision was observed in a related study for African American girls. When transportation was provided after school, 70% attended an average of at least 2 days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation (mechanism)</th>
<th>Context + outcome (systematic review)</th>
<th>Context + outcome (qualitative interviews)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33. Located in ethnically/culturally appropriate/familiar location</td>
<td>Community-based group Tai Chi exercise programme for Chinese population reported high adherence as classes were held at a convenient local community centre where other Cantonese-speaking seniors congregate for other activities, such as eating lunch and grocery shopping402,403</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Address physical/financial (structural) barriers to participation</td>
<td>Group physical activity and healthy eating intervention delivered to participants during their regular community group meeting405</td>
<td>Monthly self-addressed stamped postcards and a toll-free telephone number to update contact details may be good retention strategies for a parent-led healthy eating intervention for African Americans303–305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low implementation rates of booster calls to African American participants in a smoking cessation intervention (31%). Telephone-assisted strategies may not be feasible as they depend on the ability to obtain telephone numbers. The intervention was not effective for smoking cessation400,401</td>
<td>Genetic biomarker feedback smoking cessation intervention with telephone counselling and NRT for African Americans lost 21% of participants, with the primary reason being that participants no longer had telephone access374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Genetic biomarker feedback smoking cessation intervention with telephone counselling and NRT for African Americans lost 21% of participants, with the primary reason being that participants no longer had telephone access374</td>
<td>Community-based after-school intervention programmes can reduce barriers to transportation for a physical activity and healthy eating intervention for African American girls410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In a related study of African American girls, when transportation was provided from school to the community centre, 70% attended an average at least 2 days per week. When transportation was not provided, only 33% attended an average at least 2 days per week410</td>
<td>‘If they had to travel we have given them a little bit of taxi vouchers or we have brought them to where they have to go [mmnn] and we have provided them little bit of em [family support?] sort of thing, little bit of em childcare and all these things where woman is free and they felt responsible that, ok, we are eh sharing all the responsibility with them, we are helping them and they want, they really do get help as well, and really do participate’ (P9, physical activity and healthy eating, Australia)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NRT, nicotine replacement therapy.

### TABLE 24 Programme theory retention stage: CMO configurations
per week. In another area where transportation was not provided, only 33% attended an average of at least 2 days per week. Providing vouchers for taxis or childcare was also viewed to alleviate some of the barriers to participation (interview, P9). Holding the intervention at a convenient local community centre, for example where participants would congregate anyway for other activities such as eating and grocery shopping, or delivering the intervention during regular community group meetings were proposed as additional ways of addressing transportation issues.

From the user conferences we were alerted to the possible mediating or moderating effects of income in relation to interventions with ethnic minority populations, as it would appear that structural barriers such as inconsistent telephone ownership and limited access to transportation are likely related more to income than to ethnicity per se.

**Evaluation and outcomes**

Adaptation mechanisms at this stage suggest that participants’ life circumstances and goals should be taken into consideration when undertaking programme evaluation (Table 25). Considerations such as participants’ positive view of smoking in light of other life stressors, and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation (mechanism)</th>
<th>Context + outcome (systematic review)</th>
<th>Context + outcome (qualitative interviews)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23. Intervention goals and outcomes for participants are culturally appropriate</td>
<td>A community-based group education healthy eating intervention for African Americans reported that there were discrepancies between intervention goals and participant goals, for example one incentive for participants was to receive documentation of achieving healthy eating-related education and competencies for potential jobs in the food service industry, as this was a largely unemployed cohort. Group-based weight loss intervention for African Americans observed a difference between participants and investigators in interpreting intervention success. Participants were happy with their 3% weight loss whereas investigators were aiming for 7% (which is considered to be clinically significant by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute). In a group-based healthy eating education intervention for African Americans, weight loss was unlikely to be for aesthetic reasons; health improvements were found to hold more significance as an outcome than weight change.</td>
<td>‘she went back in November, like 3 months later and measured them to see the sustainability of the weight loss and if any of them could keep the weight off (mm mhm) and there was still a significant loss of weight, you know they didn’t lose anything in addition, they sustained their weight losses, so their weight loss was still significant compared to base line, but it wasn’t lower than it had been, so at least they maintained, they sustained over those kind of 3 months, over the feast fast period’ (P8, healthy eating, UK)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TABLE 25** Program evaluation and outcome stage: CMO configurations
participants’ critique of intervention strategies, such as motivational interviewing, that only discussed, rather than reduced or removed, barriers. In a group-based behavioural weight loss programme for an African American population, the addition of motivational interviewing did not increase attendance, change dietary intake or physical activity levels or result in weight loss compared with receiving only health education. Motivational interviewing may not enhance the effectiveness of an already culturally adapted intervention or provide additional motivation for behaviour change among participants who face overwhelming socioenvironmental barriers or life stressors, as motivational interviewing assisted only with the articulation of these barriers, but did not appear to help participants to overcome them.317

Furthermore, participants’ reasons for engagement in interventions can differ from those established by the interventionists, and these outcomes need to be acknowledged. For example, African American women enrolled in a healthy eating intervention to earn qualifications to work in the food industry rather than to improve their healthy eating.315,316 Goals for weight loss and body size, particularly among African American women and girls, for example, differed from the interventionists’ goals and perceptions of success. Women in one study were satisfied with their 3% weight loss, even though this was short of the 7% weight loss goal set by the investigators (and considered to be clinically significant by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute in the USA).412 Similarly, weight maintenance over a period of feasting was perceived to be an acceptable outcome for a group of Muslim women engaged in a healthy eating intervention (interview, P8).

Moreover, African American women were less likely to engage in weight loss for aesthetic reasons; health improvements and psychological benefits were perceived as more meaningful outcomes.323 On a community level, a successful outcome may be increased community cohesion or improved community facilities as opposed to behaviour changes per se.413

Dissemination
Few adaptation mechanisms were proposed for the dissemination stage; however, user engagement discussions suggested that greater linkages were required between people working in ethnic minority health to better facilitate the exchange of research findings. The absence of insights at this stage echoed the concerns raised at the event – although there might be substantial work accumulating internationally, there is little integration and sharing of this work. This concern was best articulated by one of our interview participants:

I don’t have any problem if em we are identified in your research because the whole thing as I see it is about sharing and caring so it was quite interesting when I went down to my boss and I said oh look I really don’t think I’ll sign off on this part where it says here [mm mhm], em the your point number 6 where it says I understand you won’t put anything in your report that could be used to identify me and, and [name] says oh well scrub that out and oh no identify away … Why keep reinventing em what’s been done out there cause it, I mean we piloted this and I mean it’s a bit of blood, sweat and tears when you’re piloting something and then you want to put those learnings into practice and you know it is a lot of work and if you’ve got something that could be adapted for use within your community then why not.

(P7, smoking, New Zealand).

Contextualised ‘real-world’ examples of how adapted interventions work
We developed a few illustrative examples to exemplify the main issues that have emerged from the above analysis, and to offer contextual richness to the main focus of this report.
Discussion of group-based interventions

The first example (Box 11) illustrates the competing priorities and desires at work when participants consider involvement in group-based interventions. This particular example suggests that group-based activities may be more appropriate for physical activity interventions than for healthy eating interventions, particularly in relation to diabetes education, and smoking cessation. Privacy appears to be the main concern raised in the context of group-based healthy eating interventions when providing diabetes education and smoking cessation activities.

Group-based physical activity interventions were supported by both the evidence gathered from the systematic review and the qualitative interviews. A reanalysis of the empirical studies revealed that the health topic being addressed and issues of privacy may moderate the effectiveness of interventions.

Discussion of communication strategies

The second example (Box 12) illustrates that, although adapting the various communication strategies for language is an important consideration when delivering health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations, there are often nuanced considerations of dialect,
BOX 12 Example 2: communication strategies

**Mechanism**

The majority of effective interventions identified from the UK guidelines and international systematic reviews (see Chapter 4) were communication based, whether delivered one-on-one, by telephone, via the internet or using written materials.

The systematic review of adapted interventions (see Chapter 6) revealed that adaptation for language involved either having communication materials in the target population’s language (Typology of Adaptation number 13) or reflecting the target population’s language usage in terms of concepts and vocabulary (Typology of Adaptation number 14).

**Context and related outcome – systematic review**

Realist evaluation of the systematic review of adapted interventions provided additional contextual considerations (see Appendix 27):

- A group-based diabetes management programme (physical activity and healthy eating) for a Chinese-origin population observed that participants more openly discussed and interacted when classes included only Mandarin or only Cantonese speakers, suggesting that, even with similar writing (traditional Chinese), speaking a different dialect may provide an additional barrier to interaction and participation during the intervention. Furthermore, some participants were reluctant to reveal their reading comprehension abilities.

**Context and related outcome – qualitative interviews**

The qualitative interviews (see Chapter 7) contributed further contextual considerations relating to age/generation/acculturation and the mode of delivery that may affect the outcome of adapted communication for the target population:

(a) if it was younger smokers it was usually English, for older smokers I think for older Bengali smokers in particular [mmm] that um they would use um Bengali to converse with them

- (P5, smoking, UK)

(b) They had resources, they had Chinese language operators and they promoted it like crazy [mm mhm] call, and it wasn’t eve, you know, this was really a helpful quit line . . . and they said nobody called the number and that was their experience that people just like the human touch, if they come in you know and they want to meet with someone

- (P18, smoking, USA)

age and preference that can alter the effectiveness of adapted communication strategies. It appears that further stratification, or, taking a term from social marketing, "audience segmentation," may be required to optimise the intervention effect. Although the evidence from the systematic review suggested that adapted communication strategies are crucial, these insights proposed that interventions should work even better when the targeted group share a greater number of characteristics and preferences.

**Discussion of behaviour patterns and pharmacotherapy**

The third example (Box 13) illustrates that, although NRT has been recommended by all UK guidelines and international systematic reviews, findings from the systematic review suggest that interventions should still accommodate for different health behaviour patterns. For example, African Americans are likely to engage in light smoking behaviour and smoke mentholated cigarettes. Interventions targeted to these smoking behaviour patterns in African Americans have been trialled. Despite the overall success rate of NRTs, the lower than expected quit rates observed in ethnic minority populations may be influenced by environmental factors, stressors and targeted advertising – aspects that can decrease quit rates. Furthermore, from the qualitative interviews, NRTs may be perceived to be ‘too strong,’ and this potentially can act as a barrier to NRT uptake rather than, for example, prohibitive pricing.
Discussion of social support

The fourth example (Box 14) illustrates that, although family-/home-based interventions are recommended by guidelines and systematic reviews, the systematic review findings showed the importance of considering the different ways that social support and gender can intersect and interact. The insights generated suggested that home environments may not always be supportive, particularly for women, as they are faced with competing priorities and face barriers to change. Women may find better support systems outside of a home setting to support, for example, physical activity. Furthermore, there appeared to be gender-based preferences according to the type of intervention strategy: women expressed more interest in social support than men, who were more interested in obtaining information. Interestingly, these contextual considerations are set against a background discourse of women as central targets and agents in health promotion intervention. Particularly for ethnic minority women, who were seen as the change agents and role models for their family, it would appear that, for some, securing support in the family was the foremost barrier.
**Mechanism**

The UK guidelines and international systematic reviews (see Chapter 4) recommended the involvement of parents and carers as providers and the family/home as settings for interventions for physical activity and healthy eating.

The systematic review of adapted interventions (see Chapter 6) suggested that adapted interventions should encourage or involve social support (Typology of Adaptation number 41) and that gender is an important consideration (Typology of Adaptation number 46).

The theoretical literature (see Chapter 6) situated women as both targets and agents of change and therefore argued that they played a central part in role modelling change for their family and the wider community.

**Context and related outcome – systematic review**

Realist evaluation of the systematic review of adapted interventions provided additional contextual considerations (see Appendix 27):

- In one intervention for physical activity and healthy eating, African American girls were specifically taught communication skills to help advocate for healthy changes within the home; however, the family involvement was not very successful as parents were not supportive of their daughters in attending sessions or making changes in the home environment.
- Home visitations may be carried out for convenience but they were also important to engage the support of male members of the family and build family support in an intervention for physical activity and healthy eating with an African American population; in these circumstances it was important for the public health educator to be male. Similarly, a concern among South Asian-origin women in a healthy eating intervention was whether or not changes would be approved by spouses and whether or not spouses would prevent them from making changes.
- In a diabetes intervention with Bangladeshi-origin adults, peer discussion and support were valued, but gender differences were observed as women were more interested in the social support and the men were more focused on the information elements.

**Context and related outcome – qualitative interviews**

The qualitative interviews (see Chapter 7) contributed further contextual considerations relating to social support and gender:

- (a) very mixed group there were you know Muslim, Sikh, Hindu em across age ranges as well, but they were all women (mm mhm), em there were no men involved in this, and em basically found things like em you know barriers, predominantly, are always the family demands on the women’s time, lack of childcare or even if a creche is available sometimes their kids don’t wanna go there, they still wanna stay with the parent. Em a lot of family disapproval about being active where they felt where it wasn’t safe or appropriate for this person to go and be active em which means maybe they were supposed to do it at home but there’s really no space in the home to do it em and like I said it came up a lot, the mixed sex environment is just not acceptable for Muslim women, it’s just not gonna happen for them
  - (P8, healthy eating, UK)
- (b) Pakistani ladies or the Muslim women in the, in the area were feeling comfortable enough to go to the pool and exercise, but you had women of various ages, so these ladies were going there with their children, their teenage girls, they felt comfortable and there were other ladies who you know were from you know different ethnic backgrounds you know just felt more comfortable in being able to be in that environment, being able to go for a swim and exercise and, and, and felt comfortable doing that
  - (P12, physical activity and healthy eating, Australia)

**BOX 14 Example 4: social support**
Interventions that are ready for implementation and interventions that need more research

We drew on the six categories of ‘strategies and activities’ derived from the Tannahill definition of health promotion interventions (see Chapter 4) to compare the findings from the review of UK guidelines and international systematic reviews with the findings from our systematic review (see Chapter 6).

Adapted interventions that are ready for implementation

Given that our review of guidelines and systematic reviews for the general population (see Chapter 4) found relatively little robust evidence in support of interventions effecting clinically important and sustained behavioural changes in relation to exercise and diet in the general population, there is understandably at present little clear evidence in relation to ethnic minority populations (see Chapter 5). Our systematic review of adapted interventions reported in Chapter 6 similarly found no clear evidence of how best to impact on these lifestyle factors in relation to our target populations. Therefore, based on this work, there is no clear evidence of any intervention for promoting exercise or healthy eating that is ready for widespread implementation in UK ethnic minority populations.

Although the overall evidence base is more encouraging for interventions aiming to promote smoking cessation in the general population (see Chapter 4) – particularly in relation to individual support and drug-based interventions – there is still uncertainty about how best to adapt interventions in a clinically effective and cost-effective way in relation to ethnic minority populations (see Chapters 5 and 6) and so here too, we contend, there is at present no adapted intervention approach that is ready for rolling out throughout the NHS.

Adapted interventions for research

Although there are no adapted interventions that are ready for implementation, there are a number of important and promising areas in need of further research; these are considered below. Interventions were considered candidates for research if they had evidence of effect in the general population but had not been identified as having been trialled in an adapted form for our populations of interest; in addition, interventions that we did identify as adapted in our review and which had demonstrated evidence of effect from ‘strong’ quality studies were considered suitable for pursuing with further study.

Smoking

Workplace policies and workplace interventions were both conspicuously absent from the adapted intervention literature. Some reasons for this were provided by the findings from the qualitative interviews in which researchers suggested that, although they actively tried to engage ‘typical’ workplaces for immigrant populations, for example restaurants, in their community-based activities, they were often met with resistance. The reasons for this resistance were not necessarily rooted in a disinterest in smoking cessation initiatives; rather, many of the owners and workers did not have legal status in the country and effectively did not want to draw unnecessary attention to themselves. On the other hand, workplaces with ethnic minority owners with longer histories in the country did express an interest in workplace smoke-free and/or cessation activities. Overall, there may be less scope to adapt workplace policies or interventions specifically for ethnic minority populations unless there are workplaces where the majority of workers are from ethnic minority groups, and this, as it has been suggested, may be challenging.

The other ‘strategies and activities’ that were not identified in the adapted studies were the use of the broader range of providers such as dentists, psychologists, pharmacists and midwives, as recommended for the general population (see Chapter 4). This finding may in part be because of the increased use of community-based lay workers or peer educators as the
providers of health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations. There is likely high scope for adaptation and collaboration with this broader range of providers, particularly because, as suggested in the realist analysis (implementation/delivery section), there may be a preference for health professional-delivered services in addition to or instead of peer- or lay-delivered interventions.

There were also no studies that trialled mobile telephone texts, although mobile telephone calls had been used, along with pagers, in adapted interventions. Finally, we did not find any studies that had carried out adapted interventions with antidepressants, such as nortriptyline, or varenicline as an aid to smoking cessation. These pharmacotherapies, however, have low scope for adaptation in terms of the product itself, but high scope for adaptation in terms of implementation as they are individually oriented and delivery can be readily modified. Parallel examples of implementation can be found in adapted NRT studies.

There were only two adapted smoking cessation interventions that were of high quality and had effective outcomes (excluding outcomes related to knowledge, beliefs and attitudes, and outcomes that were not sustained). One of these interventions utilised bupropion as an adjunct to a culturally sensitive smoking cessation guide; the other was a provider prompting intervention (Ask–Advise–Assist–Arrange follow-up model) with tailored print materials/birthday cards providing stage-based behavioural messages. The latter intervention also examined the added effect of telephone counselling and, interestingly, the telephone counselling element had no significant added effect. These were both interventions with African American populations and would need to be further adapted for other ethnic populations to be trialled in the UK context.

**Physical activity**

Workplace/organisational policies were absent among adapted interventions for increasing physical activity for ethnic minority populations. However, in the excluded literature there were workplace policy ‘strategies and activities’ implemented for multiethnic workforces (these were excluded as they did not report the ethnic composition of the workforce, or the majority ethnic group was not of African, Chinese or South Asian origin). Workplace interventions were found to increase physical activity as well as productivity and therefore implementation could be acceptable for employees, if feasible for employers, as a strategy to increase physical activity for a larger population.

Although no adapted interventions identified in our systematic review (see Chapter 6) implemented environmental strategies to increase physical activity, there was evidence of walking/cycling route use by ethnic minorities reported from the interviews (P19, physical activity, Norway), as well as short bouts of ‘flash mob’ group activities in public places initiated through social networking devices (P13, physical activity, USA). This would be one way to combine the mobile texts and telephone calls discussed above with environmental strategies, facilitated by a potential preference for physical activities in social settings. Furthermore, walking, in general, appeared to be an activity supported by other researchers conducting physical activity interventions, particularly when informal walking circuits were created within participants’ own neighbourhoods (P12, physical activity and healthy eating, Australia). On the other hand, one study from the systematic review suggested that walking on the sidewalk was perceived to be unsafe and was less likely to be adopted. These findings provide preliminary support for environmental interventions that create walking routes, personalised travel plans and short bouts of activity, noting the cultural and contextual considerations in each circumstance. Although there may be low scope for adapting the built environment, there may be opportunities for modifying how that built environment is used and the social activities that can take place within it.
Healthy eating

Overall there was a good degree of coherence between ‘strategies and activities’ recommended for the general population and those that have also been adapted for ethnic minority populations. Only two ‘strategies and activities’ had not been tested in an adapted form: food subsidisation and workplace point of purchase. Both activities have low scope for adaptation unless there are ethnic-specific or -exclusive workplaces willing to take up such strategies, and there may be difficulties in engaging with these sites, as discussed above for smoking cessation (see Smoking).

The high-level evidence syntheses contributed little information on appropriate providers for the delivery of healthy eating interventions. It is likely that many different providers have been trialled, but evidence of effect may not have reached the stage of inclusion in guidelines. In the case of healthy eating interventions, the adapted literature may shed light on potentially effective providers, such as peer educators, lay advisors/educators, dieticians and family physicians.

Furthermore, the high-level evidence syntheses were relatively uninformative on what techniques may be effective, and this deficit could potentially be informed by findings from the adapted interventions literature. For example, techniques that drew on spiritual themes or were faith based (and not necessarily held at a religious site) and cooking clubs constituted interactive activities that were acceptable and feasible for ethnic minority populations and, hence, may also prove to be promising for the general population. These potentially interactive techniques require further research and testing.

Thirty-one adapted studies were identified for physical activity and healthy eating that had a ‘strong’ quality rating (see Appendix 19). Out of these studies we examined only those that reported effective outcomes, excluding outcomes related to knowledge, beliefs and attitudes; outcomes that were not sustained; outcomes that were specific to a disease state, for example diabetes care; and, finally, outcomes in which the adapted intervention was not significantly better than the control (see Appendix 18). The common ‘strategies and activities’ across these interventions were setting based, group based or with social support, and used adapted materials. Common settings were churches, an after-school programme and a Parents as Teachers organisation. These provided trusted settings with established social networks. It should be noted that the high number of church-based interventions is partly a product of the literature we identified, which was dominated by interventions for African American populations.

Social support was often a component of these settings or was introduced through a group-based design, parent-and-child interventions and/or family involvement. Adapted materials included newsletters, videos, recipe books and exercise tapes. In five interventions materials were also tailored to measure individual baseline data, such as knowledge, motivators and stages of change. These studies used behavioural techniques and motivational interviewing to tailor interventions; there was mixed evidence of whether this enhanced the effect of the intervention.

The adaptations most commonly used in these studies (numbered according to the Typology of Adaptation; see Chapter 6) were: conducting an exploratory phase with the target population (number 2); use of ethnically matched peer role models or peer education (number 5); use of material that depict appropriate graphics and scenarios (number 18); intervention content that targets population’s social and cultural values (number 22); and addressing dietary issues unique to their context (number 27).

Although these interventions, much like the smoking interventions, were conducted with African American populations, they again provided us with insights on strategies, activities and adaptations that can be taken forward for further evaluation.
Prioritisation of research

All three health promotion topic areas need further investigation through UK studies:

- Smoking cessation represents the ‘lowest hanging fruit’ as we have effective drugs that may not, at present, be used to their best effect – adapted interventions therefore need to increase awareness about these, challenge erroneous beliefs and increase access to and use of these products, potentially utilising a greater range of providers alongside peer or lay providers.

- Diet and exercise present bigger challenges both in the minority populations and more generally because appreciable changes are needed that are then sustained over time; this is difficult for most to achieve, let alone resource-constrained populations. There is, in particular, a need for intensive personalised or group-based support (depending on the health status of the targeted population) and, in the context of exercise, group-based initiatives along with setting-based and structural/environmental solutions.

- Researchers and reviewers need to learn lessons from previous failings, particularly poorly theorised interventions, lack of clearly thought through or explicitly reported approaches to adaptation, the failure to justify assumptions (e.g. willingness of churches to be randomised) and the absence of high-quality studies, preferably of standard compared with appropriately adapted interventions. Taking these lessons forward will provide a steer for research examining the effectiveness of adapted interventions.

- The necessary and sufficient conditions under which adaptations can operate optimally, and at low cost, remain to be determined. Therefore, cost-effectiveness studies need to be conducted and cost-effectiveness established for adapted interventions.

RESET (relevance, evidence base, stages of intervention, ethnicity and trends) decision-making tool

In our final synthesis we distilled the principal findings from our user engagement, the review of UK guidelines and international reviews, the systematic review of empirical studies and the qualitative interviews together with the insights from the realist synthesis to develop a tool to assist researchers and health promoters in the development of adapted interventions. This decision-making tool, called RESET (i.e. relevance, evidence base, stages of intervention, ethnicity and trends), is described in more detail in Box 15.

The RESET tool can be used alone but is recommended for use along with other key outputs from this research, including the Typology of Adaptation (see Table 13) and the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions (see Figure 9). The question posed by ‘Relevance’ (Is this health promotion topic relevant to the target population?) is derived from an engagement with the qualitative interviews in their entirety and the significant overall message of ‘knowing your population’. Answering the question posed by ‘Evidence base’ (What is the best intervention to address this health topic within this population?), with reference to the evidence for activities and strategies ready for implementation and those needing more research, can help adjudicate between the kinds of potential activities and strategies (for smoking cessation see Table 4, physical activity see Table 5 and healthy eating see Table 6). To answer the question posted by ‘Stages of intervention’ [What stage(s) of the intervention programme theory should be adapted?], the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions (see Figure 9) should be used alongside the ‘Typology of Adaptation’ (see Table 13) to consider which stage(s) of an intervention to modify. To answer the question posed by ‘Ethnicity’ (What elements of ethnicity are most important to consider for this population?), a reading of the qualitative interviews (see Chapter 7, particularly Global theme 1: constructing ethnicity) on ‘constructing
ethnocy' and the discussion on conventional and contextual ethnicity can be helpful in thinking about the dimensions of ethnicity important to the target population in the context of the potential intervention; furthermore, this realist chapter provides additional examples of how context may moderate the interventions, illustrated by CMO configurations (see Tables 21–25 and Boxes 11–14). Finally, 'Trends' (What are the shifting trends within this population?), like 'Relevance', were derived from an engagement with the qualitative interviews.

This is a preliminary tool built from the different research components undertaken in this volume of work and in due course we hope to test it and if necessary refine it further before making it available online.

Discussion

Across this body of evidence we found that multiple adaptations were used at all stages of the intervention process in an attempt to increase the acceptability and effectiveness of interventions; however, the effectiveness of these adaptations was highly context dependent. Table 22 summarises the CMO configurations that we identified which link the adaptations to context,
which either enhances or diminishes their effectiveness. The table represents a working document to aid intervention development and context consideration. The utility of this document (a fuller version is provided in Appendix 27) will increase as further research is conducted and further configurations are tested. We have answered the questions of what adapted interventions work for whom and in what contexts, but perhaps not the overarching question of why adaptations work. Finding an answer to this question would help underpin and bring together the contextual findings generated; an explanatory theory as searched for in realist reviews. A realist synthesis is both strengthened and complicated by the sheer volume of data and number of CMO configurations possible. Our synthesis suggested that the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions developed in Chapter 6, in addition to providing a way of categorising adaptations, can act as a preliminary explanatory theory for how adaptation works (i.e. how adaptations work at each stage of the intervention process). Another principle, ubiquitous to the CMO configurations and operating at every stage in the intervention process, was ‘knowing the population.’ We recommend that researchers know the population they are working with well enough to be able to select which adaptations to apply in developing the intervention. This principle is further encompassed in the second ‘E’ and ‘T’ of the RESET decision tool.

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of our approach were the ability to integrate and synthesise data from disparate bodies of literature, derived using different methods. Using CMO configurations to drive understanding we were able to uncover the contextual influences on adaptation mechanisms, which were perceived to either enhance or diminish the effectiveness of interventions. Although this method for synthesis was useful, we were limited by the reporting of the interventions themselves, and we noted a greater number of negative cases (how contexts negatively affected intended outcomes) than positive cases. We attributed the increased reporting of negative cases as a result of the tendency for authors to provide more explanations for why interventions did not work than for why they did work.

Furthermore, as our line of questioning sought clarification of underlying explanations for why an adaptation worked or not, we were able to better tackle the complexity inherent in an evaluation examining three different health topics within three ethnic populations. Our four illustrative examples (see Boxes 11–14) show how consideration at every level, from guideline recommendations to contextual issues, shapes the trajectory of adapted interventions. It should be noted that these examples are based on select evidence pulled together to present a coherent story of how and why interventions might work or not. They are by no means definitive pathways, as a realist orientation alerts to many possible pathways. We hope that the examples, along with consideration of CMO configurations along each stage of the intervention pathway, have demonstrated the explanatory power of a realist framework applied to complex data. Lastly, Appendix 27 is intended as a work in progress that will grow as further adapted interventions are conducted, evaluated and tested in a variety of contexts with different populations. We further hope it can act as a resource for researchers and health promoters involved in adapting health promotion interventions.

Realist evaluation explicitly rejects a hierarchy of evidence and this rationale is well suited to the body of evidence we identified, which is, for example, a mix of RCTs and quasi-experimental, pre–post and qualitative studies. It should be noted that, although interventions identified in the systematic review tend to be individually oriented, the interventions discussed by qualitative interview participants tend to be community based. This discrepancy could signal a trend that
researchers are moving away from individual-centred interventions, or it may simply reflect their preference for community-based interventions. This somewhat limits the comparability of our two sets of data.

The evidence was assessed based on its ‘relevance’ and ‘rigour’. The definitions for these terms deviate from how they are usually applied in ‘traditional’ reviews. ‘Relevance’ refers to whether a study was able to address the theories being tested rather than strictly adhering to the topic of review, whereas ‘rigour’ refers to whether or not the insights and inferences drawn by the original intervention researchers were able to contribute to theory testing. Quality assurance is more concerned with the explicitness and reflexivity of the reviewers than with formal quality appraisal checklists such as whether or not the data are ‘fit for purpose’. This approach may not be conventional, but it allowed us to synthesise a rich and varied body of evidence and produce findings that we hope are insightful if not prescriptive.

Conclusions

The construction of CMO configurations using the data from earlier discussion groups with research and health service users (see Chapter 3), the review of relevant guidelines and systematic reviews (see Chapters 4 and 5), reviews, theoretical literature and empirical studies of adapted interventions (see Chapter 6) and the in-depth qualitative interviews (see Chapter 7) enabled us to suggest what adapted interventions work for whom, in what contexts and why (see Tables 21–25). The different CMO configurations are presented for each of the eight stages of an intervention lifecycle. Four illustrative examples were provided to demonstrate how data from preceding methods contributed to producing CMO configurations, which ultimately represent the contextual ‘real-life’ trajectory of adapted interventions.

In terms of prioritising the implementation of these interventions and future research, we compared the ‘strategies and activities’ recommended for the general population for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating with the ‘strategies and activities’ used in adapted interventions. Using insights from the preceding study components, and evidence of effectiveness, we concluded that at present there are no adapted intervention approaches that are ready for rolling out throughout the NHS; however, we provided preliminary guidance on which interventions should be prioritised for further research.

Lastly, we utilised this accumulated knowledge to develop the RESET decision tool, which presents a practical way for researchers and health promoters to utilise our findings when implementing or researching adapted health promotion interventions.
Chapter 9
Discussion

Summary of main findings

Overall, this body of work suggests that it is both possible and important to consider adapting existing health promotion interventions for ethnic minority communities; however, this finding should not be interpreted to mean that adapted interventions are simplistic undertakings that are amenable to any formulaic approaches. Rather, decisions on adaptation need to be based on a detailed understanding of the target community and an appreciation of the mechanism(s) through which the adapted intervention is likely to exert its effects. Furthermore, adaptation needs to be considered at all stages of the research process. Such tailoring of interventions to the target population is likely to increase salience of the study to the target population, translating into improved recruitment, acceptability and retention. As yet, however, there is insufficient evidence to determine whether or not such improvements in process measures result in improved clinical end points and whether or not they are cost-effective. However, given the substantial and increasing disease burden associated with lifestyle disorders – which tend to disproportionately affect ethnic minority communities – there is a pressing need for further work to investigate the comparative effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of appropriately adapted with standard health promotion interventions in ethnic minority populations.

The key assumption underpinning the work in this report was that health promotion interventions found to be effective in the general population are also likely to prove effective in ethnic minority populations if appropriately adapted. As discussed below, throughout each phase of work (see Chapters 3–8) we have generated evidence that both tests and supports this key assumption.

User engagement

In Chapter 3 we discussed our experiences with user engagement through, among other things, national launch and dissemination conferences. Members of the project team and invited international experts in ethnicity and health research spoke at, and led on, workshops at the initial 1-day launch conference. This conference was attended by a variety of stakeholders from government and community organisations. The main feedback emphasised the importance of incorporating ecological models of health promotion and the need to expand our breadth of vision beyond individual factors contributing to health. Delegates suggested, for example, that we should consider interventions delivered outside of traditional health-care settings and examine those delivered by commercial organisations. In response to this suggestion we ensured that we remained alert to potentially relevant evidence on policy, resource-based and environmental interventions, even if evidence in these areas was less robust than that for individual-focused behavioural interventions (see Chapter 4).

Delegates also expressed their wish for us to foster linkages among them as they felt that there was much that could be gained through sharing of local, national and international experience. This was pursued through the creation of a project website (www.chs.med.ed.ac.uk/ethnicity-and-health/), which allowed the sharing of project materials and presentations through circulating contact details of interested participants, and the creation of an informal network in relation to health promotion for ethnic minority communities. The network and relationships
that developed served as a welcome source of information and advice that we were able to draw on during subsequent phases of the research.

Our dissemination conference was held towards the end of the project and facilitated the sharing and discussion of our preliminary conclusions. Delegates were encouraged to reflect on, challenge and debate our findings. Although there was considerable support to further develop this field of enquiry, delegates cautioned against drawing simplistic conclusions from the available evidence, pointing to, for example, the need to thoroughly consider the effects of socioeconomic status (SES) and reflect on the possible impact of unmeasured, confounding influences. In the realist synthesis presented in the preceding chapter (see Chapter 8) we took on board the feedback received, highlighting how attempts at interventions need to stem from a detailed appreciation of the target community, the heterogeneity within communities and the ways in which interventions are likely to be received and interpreted. The idea of developing a theory of adaptation was also raised and initial encouraging discussions on how to take this forward took place in one of the workshops (see Table 1).

**Extracting evidence on effective health promotion interventions from UK guidelines and international systematic reviews**

In Chapter 4 we identified the evidence on effective health promotion interventions for the population as a whole for behavioural change in general and, more specifically, for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating. We then created summary frameworks of the effective ‘strategies and activities’ for each health promotion topic (see Tables 3–6). These summary frameworks were organised according to six categories, which spanned the spectrum of health promotion intervention levels, from an individual to a population level, with corresponding variations in scope for adaptation (see Figure 5). These summary frameworks enabled us to identify both saturated and sparser areas of evidence across the health promotion topics of interest and approaches used. The evidence base identified was considerably stronger for smoking cessation than it was for either promoting physical activity or changing dietary practices, for which there is still considerable uncertainty as to how best to promote clinically relevant changes that can be sustained over any length of time. In particular, there was a lack of empirical evidence from studies investigating the effectiveness of population-based interventions such as food pricing policy or environmental modifications (e.g. introducing cycling routes). There is an obvious tension here as the latter are far more difficult to evaluate using rigorous designs of the kind that guideline developers and systematic reviewers preferentially draw on.

Individual-centred behavioural approaches thus dominated the body of evidence we identified. The strongest and most consistent evidence of effectiveness was for smoking cessation interventions, which tended to be individual-level strategies, such as resource provision and provider interactions, aiming to increase uptake of and compliance with pharmacological interventions. In contrast, the as yet minimal evidence on activities and strategies associated with promoting physical activity and healthy eating was more likely to draw on population-level strategies, such as enacting environmental changes. We hypothesised that this difference in focus is related to the differing needs of interventions attempting to reduce a particular behaviour for a relatively short period of time (e.g. tackling the nicotine cravings associated with smoking cessation) compared with interventions seeking to stimulate particular behaviours (i.e. increasing physical activity and changing healthy eating) over a sustained period of time. This insight, together with the feedback received from our launch stakeholder conference, resulted in a continued search for evidence and experiences of adaptation of population-level interventions for promoting physical activity and health eating (see Figure 5). We believe that this approach was justified and appropriate as the need for clear evidence on the effectiveness of adapted interventions is, from a public health and clinical perspective, particularly important in relation to non-pharmacological interventions.
Consideration of ethnicity in UK guidelines and international systematic reviews

The high-level evidence synthesis of UK guidelines and international systematic reviews was then further scrutinised in Chapter 5 to assess the extent to which the particular needs of ethnic minority populations were considered within the evidence base for smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating health promotion interventions that are known to have some evidence of effectiveness and, consequently, are recommended for use in the general population. Although most of the UK guidelines (12 of 15 guidelines) and just under two-thirds of the international systematic reviews (66 of 111 reviews) made at least some reference to ethnicity and its related terms, acknowledging the existence (and by implication the needs) of ethnic minority populations (see Table 7), none of the guidelines or systematic reviews reported sufficient evidence to determine whether recommended interventions were more or less effective for ethnic minority populations. Consequently, it is at present not possible to determine from such evidence syntheses whether interventions of proven effectiveness and recommended for the general population are equally, more or less effective for ethnic minority populations.

The 12 guidelines and 66 systematic reviews commenting on ethnicity were further probed to extract the evidence statements made in relation to ethnic minority groups. All 12 guidelines and only 41 of 66 systematic reviews made evidence statements pertaining to ethnicity in the context of the health promotion topics of interest. These statements were grouped according to shared meaning and/or concept and then thematically analysed to identify five overarching themes: the acknowledgment of diversity within populations; identifying gaps in the evidence of which interventions are effective for ethnic minority populations; observing differential effects of interventions for different ethnic groups; reporting that action should be taken to adapt interventions; and, lastly, recommending improved research, reporting and analysis as a response to the absence of definitive evidence for interventions that are effective for ethnic minority populations (see Table 7). Importantly, we found no guidance in these high-level syntheses on how best to adapt the health promotion interventions being recommended to ensure that they were acceptable to and effective in ethnic minority populations.

Systematic review of adapted health promotion interventions

To complement the summary and critique of the guidelines and systematic review literature (see Chapters 4 and 5), we conducted a systematic review to identify and analyse the evidence for smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating health promotion interventions that had been adapted for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations (see Chapter 6). We identified and separately analysed three types of evidence in our review: theoretical/conceptual literature on adapted health promotion interventions for our health topics; systematic reviews of adapted health promotion interventions for the ethnic minority populations of interest to us; and relevant primary studies investigating the effectiveness of adapted health promotion interventions.

We identified 12 theoretical studies249–259,262,263,265,268–271 that offered concepts and models/theories in relation to adapting health promotion interventions for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations (see Table 10). These studies provided seven important contributions to frame our thinking around the similarities and differences between interventions adapted for ethnic minority groups and interventions for the general population (see Box 5). One contribution suggested that women were identified as central figures for behaviour change as both targets and agents of change, and this insight suggested an important and possibly greater need to consider gender influences when planning health promotion for ethnic minority populations compared with health promotion for the general population.253,262,263,265,269 It was also suggested that the goals underpinning or motivating behaviour change in ethnic minority populations should account for the role of individuals within the context of families and communities to a greater degree than...
is often considered when planning health promotion interventions for the general population. The development of communication strategies to better match the needs and preferences of ethnic minority populations was also emphasised, although this approach has increasingly been recognised as an important strategy in health promotion interventions for the general population.

These and the other overarching themes from the theoretical studies discussed in Chapter 6 provided a comparative foundation from which to reflect on the systematic reviews and primary studies we identified (discussed below). These themes also yielded helpful insights in relation to understanding the context–mechanism–outcome (CMO) pathways that we constructed in the context of our realist synthesis of the evidence uncovered (discussed in Chapter 8 and below).

We identified seven systematic reviews of adapted health promotion interventions for ethnic populations, of which the majority (six of seven reviews) reported on interventions delivered for African American populations (see Table 11). Findings from these systematic reviews were largely inconclusive, revealing mixed effects. Two reviews suggested that adaptation of interventions increased their effectiveness, but they were unable to identify which specific adaptations were associated with this improved effectiveness. On the other hand, a review on smoking cessation suggested that adaptation increased the effectiveness of health promotion interventions in the short term, but with no demonstrated effects in the longer term. The remaining four reviews did not report on whether or not adapted interventions increased effectiveness, attributing this to the lack of available primary research and the preliminary nature of some of the included studies, which were still ongoing at the time that the review was conducted. There was therefore no conclusive evidence from systematic reviews specifically focusing on adapted interventions for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations on whether adaptation increases the effectiveness of health promotion interventions or indeed which adaptations are most important to undertake for promoting the health of ethnic minority populations.

In addition, we identified 107 empirical intervention studies (reported on in 154 papers) on smoking cessation, physical activity and healthy eating health promotion interventions adapted for African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations (see Appendix 18). Overwhelmingly, the majority of these interventions (91 of 107 studies) were delivered for African American populations (see Table 12). From these studies we were able to extract the range of approaches to adaptation reported to create a novel 46-item Typology of Adaptations (see Table 13), developed to code all reported adaptations in these studies.

Although 107 studies were identified, only nine studies directly compared an adapted intervention with an unadapted version of the same intervention (see Table 14). These relatively few studies offered the most direct evidence on the effectiveness of adaptation. Eight of these nine intervention studies were conducted with African American populations (the ninth was with Chinese-origin people). The results of these direct comparison studies were mixed, providing no conclusive evidence of whether or not adaptation increased the effectiveness of health promotion interventions. To further test the assumption that adaptation increases the effectiveness of interventions for ethnic minority populations, we used our Typology of Adaptations (see Table 13) in subsequent realist synthesis to broadly detect which adaptations were used more often in effective interventions.

Given the absence of definitive evidence on whether or not adaptation(s) increased the effectiveness of interventions, we then looked to the entire body of studies to examine the feasibility, acceptability, related process measures and equity of the included adapted interventions. We found that resource-intensive interventions, interventions that required
additional individual tailoring and interventions with limited/inadequate funding tended to reduce the feasibility of successfully delivering the adapted intervention. In contrast, the use of and/or linkages with existing organisations or familiar settings were, overall, found to increase the feasibility of delivering adapted interventions. In terms of acceptability, interventions developed in collaboration with the targeted community and interventions that offered a degree of flexibility in their structure and delivery were found to be the most acceptable, whereas randomised controlled designs, interventions perceived to be too complex, competing priorities and costs to participate were all found to decrease acceptability. Intervention settings (e.g. churches and schools), criteria for participation (e.g. parental participation) and resources required for participation (e.g. computers and telephone access) were reported to influence the equity of access to adapted interventions.

There was limited reporting of costs associated with conducting adapted interventions in the 107 studies identified in the systematic review and an absence of rigorous economic evaluation of interventions. Only 13 studies285–289,292,299,302,323,327,328,334,342–345,358,365–373 reported cost data and only one study374 performed a cost–utility analysis; no studies reported on cost-effectiveness (see Table 17).

Finally, these adaptations were observed to occur throughout the stages of an intervention, from development to dissemination, and we correspondingly developed an eight-stage Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions (see Figure 9), which is designed to be used alongside the Typology of Adaptations (see Figure 10). This Typology of Adaptations (see Table 13) was further mapped onto the eight intervention stages identified in the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions (see Table 18). Our Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions aims to provide a tool for the systematic and transparent consideration of approaches to planning and reporting on adapted interventions.

Qualitative interviews with researchers and health promoters who have adapted interventions for ethnic minority populations

We supplemented the findings of the systematic review with 26 in-depth interviews with purposively selected researchers and health promoters involved in adapting and delivering health promotion interventions (see Chapter 7). A thematic analysis identified three main themes: constructing ethnicity within adapted interventions; considering how interventions are adapted; and sustaining these adapted interventions. Constructing ethnicity represented a new insight in terms of broadening thinking on ethnicity from its more conventional dimensions (i.e. religion, language, culture, physical features and ancestry), as well as demographic variables such as age, gender and SES, to include contextual elements (e.g. the influence of social environments, particularly, in recent immigrant communities, physical spaces that facilitated or hindered participation, past experiences with health research and services and diverse life experiences including stress) (see Figure 12). The theme of adapting interventions (see Figure 13) identified many of the adaptations extracted from the empirical studies examined in the systematic review in Chapter 6, which were subsequently incorporated into the Typology of Adaptations (see Table 13). The reinforcement of adaptations identified in the systematic review in the qualitative interviews was somewhat expected as a number of the interview participants were recruited from a sampling frame derived from studies included in the systematic review, but we also found that our typology featured more recent approaches that have yet to gain traction within the wider literature, for example the use of peer leaders who are not only ethnically matched but also matched in terms of having successfully changed their behaviour (adaptation number 6); interventions that address health behaviour patterns found in the target populations (adaptation number 26); and purposefully maintaining an open intervention environment (adaptation number 42). The last theme, namely sustaining adapted interventions (see Figure 14), was an unexpected finding as we did not anticipate the in-depth discussions in relation to the practicalities of delivering adapted interventions and sustaining this field of research (e.g. in
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terms of funding, formalising the intervention, getting recognition for the work, ensuring staff safety and capacity building) (see Appendix 26). Finally, we observed a potential geographical variation in the support networks available to researchers delivering adapted interventions for ethnic minority populations. Researchers in the USA, in particular, identified an informal network accessible for sharing ideas and collaborating on projects, whereas researchers in other geographical areas such as New Zealand and Australia reported less access to support and interactions, and tending to work somewhat in isolation. UK researchers were somewhere between these extremes.

Realist synthesis

In Chapter 8, our realist synthesis drew on data from seven group discussions with research and health service users attending our conferences; 15 UK guidelines; 111 international systematic reviews; a review of 12 theoretical papers, seven systematic reviews and 107 empirical studies on adapted interventions; and a further 26 qualitative interviews to identify, test and explore realist insights of how adapted interventions work or do not work in the form of CMO configurations. Data from each of the preceding phases of work contributed to the context, mechanism and/or outcome constituents of a CMO configuration. Using the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions, along with the 46 adaptations mapped onto to the relevant stages of intervention (see Table 18), we constructed CMO configurations relating to the different adaptations in order to describe how adaptations function as mechanisms in different contexts to produce varying outcomes.

Through this analysis we began to uncover why interventions work in different contexts and what factors may moderate their effectiveness. At the initial stages of intervention conception and planning, taking stock of appropriate study designs and behaviour change models as well as conducting exploratory work with a wide range of target community members were highlighted. At the intervention promotion and engagement/recruitment stages it was noted that interventions promoted through a target community’s preferred method of communication showed mixed results in terms of success; participants’ preferred formats for communication may have facilitated uptake but not necessarily increased retention. Recruiting from appropriate settings, however, appeared to be more successful. This was owing, in part, to the existence of established social networks and existing activities. There was also evidence in support of the ethnic matching of research facilitators to the target population; however, in some instances the recruiter’s experience and sensitivity were perhaps more important than their ethnicity.

The majority of CMO configurations were linked to the implementation/delivery stage and highlighted how adaptations needed to accommodate the ‘lived experiences’ of ethnic minority participants, for example acknowledging travel patterns, the timing and location of interventions and preference for different kinds of facilitators. Furthermore, the CMO configurations revealed that social support, derived from family and friends or group-based formats, was far more complex than anticipated, with preliminary findings suggesting that the preference for social support may be contingent on a participant’s health status (e.g. diabetes) and desire for privacy. Culturally matched intervention materials were another often cited adaptation for ethnic minority populations. In the studies we examined, the effectiveness of these materials was observed to differ in relation to participants’ perceived ethnic identity and, as such, required a greater accommodation of the heterogeneity that exists within these populations. For retention, telephone follow-up was reported to be effective if access to a telephone was consistent and continuous. The provision of transportation to the intervention site, or locating the intervention site in an already familiar and convenient location, was also associated with successful retention. For evaluation and outcome stages, external factors such as life stressors, social networks and substantive barriers (e.g. employment priorities) were all reported to moderate intervention
effect. Additionally, during evaluation, ensuring the appropriateness and importance of the outcomes to the target population was a critical consideration.

Following this examination of what adaptations work for whom and in what circumstances, four illustrative examples provided the contextual ‘real-life’ trajectory of adapted interventions. These examples elaborated on the CMO configurations underpinning potential successes and failures for group-based interventions, communication strategies, behaviour patterns and pharmacotherapy, and social support-oriented interventions (see Boxes 11–14).

We then compared the ‘strategies and activities’ recommended for the general population for smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating (see Chapter 4) with the ‘strategies and activities’ used in adapted interventions (see Chapter 6) to consider which interventions are ready for implementation and which interventions require further research. At present there are no adapted intervention approaches that are ready for rolling out throughout the NHS; however, we have been able to suggest which interventions should be prioritised for further research. These research priorities include increasing awareness of, access to and use of effective pharmacotherapies and potentially utilising a greater range of providers alongside peer or lay providers for smoking cessation interventions; personalised or group-based support (depending on the health status of the targeted participants) for diet and exercise interventions; and, in the context of exercise, group-based initiatives with an emphasis on social and health benefits along with setting-based (e.g. religious sites, community organisations, established institutions) solutions.

Finally, we extracted the principal findings from this synthesis and developed a tool to assist researchers and health promoters in the development of adapted interventions. This decision-making tool, called RESET (i.e. Relevance, Evidence base, Stages of intervention, Ethnicity and Trends), is described in more detail in Box 15. The RESET decision-making tool can be used alone but is recommended for use along with other key outputs from this research, namely the 46-item Typology of Adaptation and the eight-item Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions. For example, one could take an intervention that has been recommended for more research and then use the RESET tool to decide if this would be an appropriate intervention to deliver for a particular target population and, if so, consider how best to adapt it. Although we have begun to validate this through the qualitative work with an international group of experts (see Chapter 7), it should be noted that this is, at present, still a preliminary tool built up from the different research components undertaken in this report; we hope in due course to formally test and if necessary refine this further before making it available online for widespread use (see Chapter 8).

**Strengths and limitations of this study**

We have discussed the strengths and limitations of individual strands of work in earlier chapters (see Chapters 4–8); here we provide a broader reflection on the overall body of research undertaken. Our study had a number of strengths, including our undertaking of a theoretically driven mixed-methods phased programme of work that built progressively on previous steps. This phased programme allowed us to remain receptive to the findings from preliminary stages of work and modify and adapt our approach as necessary. The utilisation of a realist framework to synthesise this broad body of evidence has helped us to produce a rich, nuanced account that will, we hope, prove useful to policy-makers, commissioners of research, academics and clinicians. We anticipate that the novel frameworks and tools developed – namely a comprehensive Typology of Adaptations, the Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions and the RESET instrument – will be of considerable benefit in ensuring the improved theorising, delivery and
reporting of future studies seeking to extend and build the evidence base in this important area of research. Finally, we were able to achieve what we aimed to do – explicate the evidence base for general health promotion interventions, and, in particular, health promotion interventions that have been adapted for ethnic minority groups. This undertaking was enhanced by the skill mix of the research team, which allowed reflection from a range of disciplinary perspectives, including ethnicity and health, social sciences, epidemiology, public health, clinical trials and health economics. This expertise was augmented by the very helpful practice- and theory-oriented contributions and support from members of our Independent Project Steering Committee that oversaw this work.

The lack of robust evidence of effectiveness for physical activity and healthy eating interventions in the general population identified at the outset limited the comparative synthesis work we could undertake in the latter phases. In our systematic review we identified existing systematic reviews and these proved to be largely uninformative in relation to effectiveness considerations; this was largely also true of the empirical studies reviewed. Although our searches were wide-ranging, there is the possibility that we may have missed some relevant guidelines, systematic reviews and primary studies because of their poor indexation in medical databases. Also of note is that, although we identified over 100 empirical studies, the majority of studies were conducted with African American populations; this then raises important questions about the generalisability of findings to, for example, a UK context and other ethnic minority groups. It should be noted that, despite the overall volume of evidence reviewed, there were surprisingly few studies that directly compared an adapted intervention with a near equivalent unadapted approach to allow for an assessment of relative effectiveness. This therefore represents an important gap in the literature that urgently needs to be filled in order to move this field of enquiry forward.

By limiting the scope of our research to the three health topics of smoking cessation, increasing physical activity and improving healthy eating we inevitably excluded many studies of adapted interventions for other health topics (e.g. reduction of alcohol intake and promoting improved oral hygiene and dental care). Similarly, our focus on African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin populations was also likely to have excluded potentially valuable learning opportunities from other populations, as our searches identified a number of potentially important and interesting reports of health promotion interventions with Hispanic/Latino populations and for the indigenous populations of the USA, Australia, Canada and New Zealand. That said, we believe that the range of interventions and populations studied has enabled the generation of a number of important insights that are potentially transferable to other health promotion areas, including with other ethnic minority communities. Moreover, the instruments we have developed and presented in this work need to be seen as preliminary until they have been formally tested in a broader range of subject areas and populations.

Another possible limitation is that the entire study, as a response to a commissioning brief, was framed by the assumption that, when effective health promotion approaches are appropriately adapted and made culturally relevant, they too can be effective for ethnic minority populations. Although we were able to engage more fully in debate on whether adapted or targeted approaches are desirable, we do however acknowledge that this approach may have its own share of pitfalls, including possible discrimination or stereotyping as a consequence of trying to identify culture and categorise its components. These concerns need to be balanced with the need for interventions that engage an often marginalised section of the population.
Research findings in the context of the broader literature

Adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations

Over the past two decades, support for adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minorities has been gathering momentum.9,13 The rationale for conducting this body of work is the assumption that adapted or culturally sensitive (or culturally tailored, based or adapted)35 interventions will be more effective for ethnic minority populations than mainstream interventions.9 Although culture is commonly invoked to explain health inequalities, it is seldom operationalised, and its pathways and mechanisms rarely explicated.416 Greater testing of culturally sensitive interventions to demonstrate health benefits for ethnic minority populations has therefore been championed.35 Reducing health disparities for ethnic minority populations requires considerable thinking around the complex issues of culture, context and theory.251 More specifically, the role of culture must itself be tested. In this work, ‘ethnicity’, which was conceptualised as encompassing culture, was tested as an operationalisable feature of adapted interventions.

There have been previous attempts to synthesise the available evidence on adapted health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations.245,246,275–279 Although these reviews have varied in the populations and health topics considered, they have predominantly originated from the USA with a focus on African American populations. The findings of our systematic review echoed the larger evidence base such that the majority of the studies we identified (91 of 107 studies) were undertaken with African American populations.

In earlier work that informed the current research, Netto et al.13 identified five common principles of adaptation for health promotion for Chinese-, Indian- and Pakistani-origin populations. The principles proposed were using community resources to publicise the interventions and increase accessibility; identifying and addressing barriers to access and participation; developing communication strategies sensitive to language use and information requirements; working with cultural or religious values that promote or hinder attitudinal and behavioural change; and accommodating various degrees of cultural identification. This study has built and expanded on the earlier work through the addition of African-origin populations, which dramatically increased the number of studies included for systematic review and also allowed us to draw on a far more diverse body of quantitative and qualitative evidence.

Through this work we have been able to elaborate on these five principles of adaptation by producing a much richer typology of approaches to adaptation, which will, we hope, assist researchers and health promoters to identify the specific adaptations that they might wish to consider. The five principles outlined by Netto et al.13 map onto some of the adaptations presented in Table 13, and sometimes onto more than one adaptation mechanism. For example, the first principle, using community resources to publicise the interventions and increase accessibility, maps onto adaptation number 10 (utilise local/respected religious/spiritual leaders) and adaptation number 11 (collaboration with ethnic-specific institutions and professional organisations). The third principle, developing communication strategies sensitive to language use and information requirements, encompasses adaptation numbers 12–21 (see Table 13) as they all deal with modifying communication materials. One main difference between the two adaptation resources is that, although the five principles of adaptation provide a rationale for each action, for example using community resources ‘to publicise the interventions and increase accessibility’,13 the 46 adaptations developed in this study are not necessarily associated with a
particular purpose, and these can therefore potentially be used at any stage of an intervention. In this flexible format, these adaptations relate to a Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions to assist in deciding at what stage of the intervention one needs to consider and undertake these adaptations.

Conclusions

Economically developed societies face an increasing burden of potentially preventable lifestyle-related disorders and diseases. The majority of these long-term conditions can be prevented and controlled through the modification of shared risk behaviours such as smoking, physical inactivity and unhealthy diets. As such, attention is increasingly focusing on health promotion efforts to address these behaviours. At present, the evidence in the population as a whole is reasonably strong in relation to individual-centred interventions for smoking cessation; in contrast, we know far less about how to promote physical activity and healthy diets in a sustainable manner. As economically developed societies are also becoming increasingly ethnically diverse, there is an ethical and legal imperative to ensure that health care, including preventive strategies, is equitable for all sections of the population. Adapting health promotion interventions with established evidence of effect in the general population for ethnic minority populations is therefore a potentially central concern.

Despite the importance of this subject area we have found that available guidelines and systematic reviews tend to have little or nothing to say on whether or not ‘strategies and activities’ recommended for the general population are equally effective for ethnic minority populations. Our more detailed review of the empirical literature has shown that the absence of such information in guidelines and systematic reviews is at least in part owing to the lack of clear evidence on the effectiveness of many health promotion interventions in ethnic minority populations. We have furthermore shown that little progress has been made in establishing a scientific foundation for the adaptation of interventions to maximise their likely effectiveness in ethnically diverse populations. This dearth of evidence is compounded by confusion as to what constitutes an adaptation or why or how these adaptations have been decided on, and how these adaptations are best described, evaluated and reported on. Our work here contributes to improving the theorising on, undertaking of and reporting on adapted interventions. There remains, however, an urgent need for high-quality studies of carefully considered adapted interventions compared with standard interventions together with robust cost-effectiveness assessments of clinical outcomes.

Most of the empirical work in this report has been based in the USA, undertaken among the African American population, who have a longer history of settlement in North America and who are familiar with the English language, in contrast to other ethnic minority groups. Consequently, work carried out to adapt interventions for African American populations has been in the main focused on addressing structural injustices, a history of mistrust of institutions and socioeconomic disparities. By contrast, even though over the last 50 years Europe has been a major focus for inward migration, including migration by populations with diverse cultures and languages, as evidenced by this work, European research on this topic remains sparse. Few syntheses of the principles for adaptation exist, and fewer still are research based. Given that these populations in Europe have different migration histories, and experiences of and interactions with social and economic institutions, evidence specific to these populations is vital to complement what is already known for the African American population.
This work has built upon and substantially extended the principles published by Netto et al., which were derived from a more limited evidence base. Our work has also extended the principles from a number of (mainly North American) practice-based guidelines on the adaptation of health promotion programmes. Although in many respects the general conclusions are similar (itself a reassuring finding), our work has emphasised that the empirical scientific evidence is unfortunately limited. Nonetheless, our findings, and those of others, provide a coherent direction that practitioners can take pending new, more rigorous and larger-scale evaluations, which are now needed if we are to provide more equitable health care for all.

Recommendations for further research

Through this work we have been able to identify a number of priority areas for future research:

- Guideline developers and systematic reviewers of health promotion interventions should be encouraged to make clearer the population from which the evidence is derived so that those wishing to apply the evidence can assess it for relevancy; it is important that any areas of caution/evidence gaps are highlighted so that these can be filled.

- There is currently a lack of evidence-based models and tools to guide researchers and practitioners on how to best adapt health promotion interventions and, thus, the models and tools we have developed here should be tested and, if necessary, refined.

- A key research recommendation is the need for more high-quality research that compares, for example, carefully adapted interventions for promoting exercise with near equivalent standard approaches to facilitate assessment of the effectiveness of the adaptation(s). Furthermore, there should be improved reporting of the intervention and any adaptation undertaken.

- There is also a need for cost-effectiveness to be studied, possibly using mathematical modelling techniques such as Markov modelling, to examine the real end points of interest and link these with the costs of the interventions.

- As noted above we have uncovered a body of work on other populations (e.g. Hispanic and indigenous populations) that could usefully be synthesised to inform more international deliberations. If pursued, it would then be useful to synthesise this body of work with the findings in this report.

- We suggest that all multiethnic societies need to be contributing to this important research agenda, particularly as work in one geographical area is unlikely to be easily exportable elsewhere. Over-reliance on research in the USA is likely to undermine the initiation of appropriate scholarship and research elsewhere.

- Our qualitative work revealed that there are many researchers and practitioners breaking new ground and that, in view of the uncertainties in the evidence base and challenges inherent in undertaking this work, they would value peer support of the kind that is now available in the USA. A UK-based academic network could readily meet this need for the UK and indeed possibly also many European academics. Further, links should be established between this network and US-based networks that have a longer history of working on adapted interventions.

- Finally, given that the prevalence of long-term conditions is projected to soar and populations are likely to become increasingly ethnically diverse, it is crucial that this evidence synthesis on adapted health promotion interventions for ethnic minority populations is periodically updated as we strive to deliver equitable health services and outcomes for all.
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Appendix 1

Commissioning brief

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme HTA no 07/63/03

Modifying health promotion interventions to meet the needs of ethnic minority groups

Introduction

The aim of the HTA programme is to ensure that high quality research information on the costs, effectiveness and broader impact of health technologies is produced in the most efficient way for those who use, manage, provide care in or develop policy for the NHS. Topics for research are identified and prioritised to meet the needs of the NHS. Health technology assessment forms a substantial portfolio of work within the National Institute for Health Research and each year about fifty new studies are commissioned to help answer questions of direct importance to the NHS. The studies include both primary research and evidence synthesis.

Question

What is the effectiveness of different ways of modifying health promotion interventions to meet the needs of ethnic minority groups?

Technology: Health promotion interventions that have been modified to meet the needs of ethnic minority groups (i.e. to be culturally competent). Health promotion interventions addressing physical activity, diet and smoking cessation are of particular interest and researchers should justify their choice.

Patient group: Any ethnic minority group. Researchers wishing to focus on particular groups should carefully justify their choice.

Setting: Any setting in which health promotion interventions are employed. Researchers will need to consider how to relate evidence from other countries and decades to the present-day UK.

Control or comparator treatment: Standard health promotion interventions that are effective in the wider population.

Design: Evidence synthesis is required to summarise what is known about how health promotion interventions can be made culturally competent. This should include (a) examples of good practice in the UK; (b) a systematic review of the relevant qualitative literature; (c) a systematic review of how process and short-term outcomes can be modified in different ethnic groups; (d) modelling of longer-term health benefits and costs; with (e) recommendations for the HTA programme on the most appropriate research question for future primary research.

Outcomes: Better uptake of health promotion interventions, greater impact on health behaviours; cultural sensitivity and acceptability; longer-term effectiveness and cost-effectiveness.
Background to commissioning brief

Ethnicity contributes powerfully, both directly and indirectly, to health inequalities. Increasing the cultural competence of the health-care system and the individuals that work within it has been suggested as one mechanism for reducing health inequalities by improving the quality of services across racial/ethnic groups.

Evidence synthesis is therefore needed to summarise what is known about how health promotion interventions can be made culturally competent. The effectiveness of culturally appropriate models of health promotion should be explored in a UK context and researchers should make recommendations for the HTA programme on the most appropriate research question for future primary research.

Timescale

There are no fixed limits on the duration or funding of this project. However, there is a pressing need within the NHS for the information, so the research would normally be expected to be completed as soon as possible – however it is for applicants to justify the duration and costs proposed.

Making an application

If you wish to submit a proposal on this topic, please complete the NIHR Methodology Panel electronic application form and return it, along with a detailed project description, to the HTA Commissioning Manager at the National Coordinating Centre for Health Technology Assessment, Mailpoint 728, Boldrewood, University of Southampton, Southampton SO16 7PX by Wednesday 8 August 2007.

Your full proposal will be assessed by designated NIHR Methodology Panel members, alongside other applications submitted in the same topic area.

Applications received after 1300 hours on the due date will not be considered.
Appendix 2

Protocol

1. TITLE: Modifying smoking cessation, physical activity and nutrition health promotion interventions to meet the needs of UK ethnic minority groups

2. Planned investigation

2.1 Research aims and objectives

We aim to identify, describe, appraise, interpret and highlight research (and lack of it), in relation to the processes and procedures employed to maximise the cross-cultural validity, utility and effectiveness of health promotion interventions.\(^1\) We will focus on health behaviours known to be associated with considerable morbidity and mortality in UK ethnic minority groups. Specifically, we will undertake a phased programme of research that will study the cultural adaptation of the three key areas highlighted in the brief – i.e. smoking cessation, physical activity and dietary health promotion interventions – that are potentially applicable to South Asian, Black and Chinese ethnic minority groups, these representing the major ethnic minority groupings in the UK.\(^2\) This phased programme of work will involve:

Phase 1

- **Identifying** evidence-based smoking cessation, physical activity and dietary health promotion interventions that are known to be effective in the general population and which have subsequently been modified (i.e. to be culturally competent and sensitive) to meet the needs of one or more of these ethnic minority groups.
- **Describing** the theoretical considerations\(^3\) and empirical evidence\(^4\) drawn upon, and the approaches used, to modify\(^5\) these interventions.
- **Developing** databases of effective and adapted interventions and a typology of approaches used to culturally adapt these interventions.

Phase 2

- Assessing the feasibility, acceptability, equity, effectiveness (relating to impact on knowledge, attitudes, behaviours and health outcomes) and cost-effectiveness of these modified interventions.
- Placing each culturally modified intervention on the spectrum described by the Medical Research Council framework for complex interventions;\(^6\),\(^7\) and identifying which are ready for widespread implementation, and which require further research.

Phase 3

- Outlining future primary research that needs to be undertaken and prioritising this work by considering issues such as anticipated health benefits, likely effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, and the feasibility of conducting high quality research in this area.\(^8\)

Given the range of interventions and populations under study, we anticipate that this work will generate important transferable lessons both to the study of other health promotion interventions and other UK ethnic minority groups.
2.2 Existing research

Ethnic diversity is now a prominent feature of most economically developed societies and given recent demographic trends this heterogeneity is expected to increase substantially. The 2001 UK census, which provides the most reliable assessment of the ethnic profile for the UK, revealed that 7.9% of the population classified themselves as belonging to a Black or other minority ethnic group. Of these, 50% were of South Asian (Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi, and ‘other’) origin, 25% were Black (of Caribbean and African) origin and 5% were of Chinese origin; the remaining 20% were of various origins including ‘Mixed’ (i.e. dual heritage) backgrounds, and ‘Others’ (including Arab and other groups which are not separately identified).2

There is now a considerable body of evidence showing that in general minority ethnic groups experience disproportionate levels of morbidity and mortality when compared with the majority White population.10–13 Relative social and economic disadvantage are major contributors to these marked and persistent health inequalities, these in turn resulting from a variety of historical and structural factors.14

Reducing health inequalities is a long-standing declared national priority for the NHS,15 but progress has unfortunately been very slow in this respect.16 Again, the reasons for this are complex, but contributing to this impasse has been the systematic exclusion of minority ethnic communities from research,17;18 when in actual fact, what is needed is an increased focus of research on these marginalised populations so as to allow a critical evaluation of the impact of health promotion (and other) interventions on all sections of the population.19

A review of health promotion interventions targeted at minority ethnic communities that we conducted as part of a wider review revealed that the forms of cultural adaptations most commonly employed are those designed to ensure the effective transmission of health messages by overcoming communication barriers.20 These have largely involved the matching of intervention materials and messages to observable, superficial characteristics of the target population by using people, places, languages and locations familiar or preferred by the target population.21 Specific methods employed included providing forums for open discussion in safe and familiar settings, employing bilingual workers, meeting the target groups’ preferences for the ethnicity, gender and age of health workers, disseminating translated material on identified areas of concern, conducting outreach work and liaising with minority ethnic agencies. However, other studies, some of which have been built on anthropological and sociological theory, have suggested that more considered adaptations informed by knowledge of the target groups’ cultural beliefs, knowledge and understanding are required to effect health-related behavioural changes. It has, for instance, been argued that while interventions which match interventions to superficial, observable characteristics such as language will increase the ‘receptivity’ of these messages, it is only interventions which engage with the cultural, social, historical, environmental and psychological forces that influence health behaviour that will affect behavioural change.22 Consistent with this, others have argued that in order to be effective health education must be responsive to culturally related differences in health beliefs between ethnic groups.23

Emphasising the importance of user beliefs and perspectives, it has been argued that rather than designing education programmes to rectify ‘deficiencies’ in knowledge or ‘incorrect’ behaviour, health promotion programmes should attempt to build on the beliefs, attitudes and behaviours that already exist within the target group to promote healthier lifestyles, improve quality of life and address practical barriers to positive health.24 In support of this view, Netto et al.’s study of user perspectives of a targeted coronary heart disease intervention illustrated the multiple factors acting at individual, community and societal levels that influence behavioural change.25 This involved an examination of the complex interplay between limited knowledge of disease, risk factors and preventive measures, ingrained health beliefs and attitudes relating to the disease,
social deprivation, lifestyle and environmental changes following migration to the UK, adherence to community norms, threats to traditional values and racial hostility. The longitudinal nature of this study illustrated the persistent nature of cultural beliefs and attitudes, community norms, socio-economic circumstances, and structural forces that act as barriers to change in ‘at-risk’ communities, indicating that unless attention is focused on these factors, efforts to reduce the disease in ‘high risk’ groups are destined to be limited. The findings from this work concurs with other studies in arguing that although greater understanding of the nature of disease on the part of ‘at-risk’ groups is a necessary precursor to behavioural change, the most important predictors of outcome and compliance are users’ beliefs about the cause and course of their disease, and the ways that the cultural milieu impact on health behaviour. In the course of this study, we will rigorously appraise the qualitative and quantitative evidence for these, and other emergent views on effective health promotion interventions.

2.3 Overview of research methods

We will draw on and build on our considerable collective body of completed and ongoing relevant theoretical, primary research (involving sociological, qualitative, descriptive and experimental work), evidence synthesis and policy-based work in relation to adaptation of key health promotion interventions (see CVs for details). This proposed phased programme of work, which is informed by the MRC complex intervention framework, will culminate in the production of a rigorous state-of-the-art synthesis of the disparate and somewhat eclectic evidence base in this area. An overview of our proposed approach is detailed below and this is then followed by a detailed discussion of the methods to be used.

Phase 1: Identifying and describing evidence-based interventions that have been culturally modified

This work will begin by identifying interventions already found to be effective in modifying smoking cessation, physical activity and dietary health promotion interventions in any population. This will involve searching for key evidence-based systematic overviews such as SIGN and NICE guidelines, and Clinical Evidence and HTA reviews. If necessary, this will be supplemented by also searching the Cochrane Library, Campbell Collaboration and DARE for relevant systematic reviews. For interventions for which there is strong evidence of effectiveness, we will, in relation to ethnicity, using previously developed techniques, undertake a careful assessment of the inclusion criteria, details of the populations studied, as reported in these reviews and trials, and any subgroup analyses undertaken to ascertain whether these interventions are more/equally/less likely to be effective than for the majority White population.

From our previous work, we are well aware that the majority of publications relating to cultural modification are discursive, descriptive or anecdotal and promote views (or recommendations) of ‘best practice’ or lay out proposed approaches, rather than formally evaluating the effectiveness of these approaches or the interventions thereby developed in effecting behavioural changes. This important preliminary work will nonetheless allow us to develop a detailed familiarity with the current state of the relevant literature and develop an understanding of the types of interventions that are being employed in these areas and which interventions are effective in the general population. The assumption underpinning our approach is that interventions already found to be effective in the majority population are, if appropriately adapted, likely to also prove effective in minority ethnic populations.

Focusing on these interventions, we will then conduct a systematic search of the peer-reviewed and grey literature, and search for work in progress, to ascertain which of these interventions have been/are being culturally modified and, if so, how. It is anticipated that in order to answer this latter question we may, as is common in many systematic reviews of this kind, contact relevant research teams, practitioners and policy makers to seek clarity on the
descriptions contained in the identified papers and also for obtaining further details on related work in progress.

This first phase of work will culminate in the production of databases of smoking cessation, physical activity and dietary health promotion interventions that are known to be effective in the general population and a detailed understanding of which of these have been formally studied with respect to cultural adaptation and the relevant maturity of the evidence in relation to this. This will also result in the development of an appreciation of the range of approaches used to modify these interventions, which we will collect in a separate database, and based on which we will develop an initial typology of adaptation approaches, which is informed by the relevant theoretical and empirical literature.

**Phase 2: Assessing the feasibility, acceptability, equity, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of modified health promotion interventions**

This literature will be critically appraised to try and assess the feasibility, acceptability, equity, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of these identified modified interventions. We are aware that this field is still in its infancy and much of the published research is likely to prove challenging to understand and synthesise. We therefore believe it is important to supplement our analysis of formal published reports with detailed discussions with those who have undertaken these adaptations, this being particularly important given the anticipated high yield of qualitative, descriptive and pilot work likely to be identified. We will undertake this by engaging, in a purposive fashion on the basis of disease/behaviour area of study, ethnic groups of particular interest and approach used to adaptation, with key members of the respective teams responsible for developing these adapted interventions, through a combination of email, telephone and face-to-face discussions. We anticipate discussions with between 20–30 research teams to reach saturation.

This literature review and associated discussions with research teams will allow us to access relevant data with which to understand the approaches used in adaptation, why any particular approaches have been used and the feasibility, acceptability, equity, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the approaches to adaptation taken. Synthesis of the findings from these first two phases of work will allow us to identify for which adapted interventions there is strong evidence of effectiveness and which also have the potential to synchronise well with existing UK health policy initiatives. Studies fulfilling both these criteria are most likely to be implemented and prove sustainable and will therefore be judged to be amenable to widespread implementation. This work will allow us to further refine the typology of adaptation approaches begun in Phase 1 and, furthermore, allow some assessment of which of these approaches are most likely to maximise the cross-cultural validity, utility and ultimately effectiveness of these interventions.

**Phase 3: Highlighting further research that needs to be undertaken**

We expect to find relatively few interventions that are ready for widespread implementation, but we do expect to identify a number of adapted health promotional interventions that might fulfil the above described criteria and thus fit this bill if further primary work was to be strategically commissioned. Our aim from this final phase of work will therefore be to identify the range of methodological and intervention specific research that needs to be undertaken, but also to suggest a prioritisation for this work, basing this assessment on anticipated health gains and whether or not it is likely to prove feasible for research teams to mount definitive experimental studies in the short- to medium-term. When considering future primary work we will also, based on the data uncovered, reflect on whether adaptation of existing interventions is indeed the appropriate course (as this could be criticised as being ethnocentric on the European model), or whether there is a need to develop more grounded interventions that are cognizant of underlying health belief models, practices and norms of different ethnic minority groups of interest.
2.4 Systematic review of the qualitative and quantitative literature

Members of our research team have considerable experience with undertaking systematic reviews of experimental, epidemiological, and qualitative studies and also in synthesising data from reviews of these different study types. We will use the principles advocated by the Cochrane and Campbell Collaborations and adapt these for the much broader range of study designs likely to be of interest in relation to evaluating health promotion interventions in general and in particular the cultural adaptation field.13,4,29

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies: We plan to include the full range of experimental (e.g. randomised controlled trials, controlled clinical trials, controlled before and after studies, interrupted time series, before and after, and pilot intervention studies), epidemiological (e.g. case control, cohort, ecological, descriptive and case series) and qualitative (e.g. interview, focus group and ethnographic) study designs. We are also very interested in the relevant theoretical literature.

Types of participants: We are interested in all studies internationally that will provide insights on how to apply interventions effectively to our prime target populations i.e. people (children and adults) and populations from South Asian, Black and Chinese backgrounds in the UK. In relation to: South Asians, this will include people tracing their ancestral origins to India, Pakistan or Bangladesh; for ‘Black’ this will include people tracing their ancestral origins to Sub-Saharan Africa or the Caribbean; and for Chinese those people tracing their ancestral origins to China. Clearly, studies focusing on these populations will be of specific and special interest. This does not however preclude studies done on other populations e.g. the Turkish population of Holland or the Vietnamese in the USA, where the studies offer insights that can be generalised to our target populations. It should be noted that the notion of ‘race’ and religion are subsumed within our working definition of ethnicity.

Types of interventions: We are interested in any health promotion interventions aiming to facilitate smoking cessation, promote physical activity and facilitate dietary modifications to reduce health risks, aimed either at individuals or populations.

Types of outcome measures: We are interested in all relevant clinical, process (including beliefs, knowledge, attitudes and healthcare utilisation), and methodological outcome measures in relation to either the behaviours of interest or conditions for which smoking, physical activity and exercise are known to be aetiologically important.

Search methods for identification of studies

This will involve searching for published peer-reviewed work, grey literature and work in progress. Searches will be conducted from the time of inception of these databases to the present time.

Peer-reviewed literature: We will search the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (The Cochrane Library); MEDLINE; EMBASE; CINAHL; BIOSIS; Campbell Collaboration; ISI Web of Knowledge; LILACS; HealthPromise (National Health Promotion Database for England), HEAPS (Health Promotion Database); and the Specialist Library for Ethnicity and Health SLEH. A specimen provisional search strategy for use in MEDLINE based on the above is detailed in Appendix 1. Our detailed search terms will be adapted for the various databases as appropriate, but will in general terms be based on the following concepts:

- Ethnic minority (i.e. South Asian OR Indian OR Pakistani OR Bangladeshi OR Black OR African OR Caribbean OR Chinese) groups OR culture (i.e. cultural OR multicultural OR transcultural OR racial or immigrant OR refugee OR asylum seeker) OR religion (i.e. Hindu or Muslim OR Sikh OR Christian OR Buddhist) AND
- Health promotion (i.e. smoking cessation OR dietary modification OR physical activity) intervention OR health education.

**Grey literature:** There is likely to be a considerable body of relevant information contained within the grey literature. We plan to search for this by searching the Internet (using Google Scholar and Google), specialist libraries (e.g. HTA, HEA, NICE and King's Fund), our own personal libraries, and contacting expert organisations (e.g. National Resource Centre on Ethnic Minorities) and experts in the field through posting email requests onto specialist academic ethnicity e-mail discussion lists (e.g. Jiscmail).

**Research in progress:** It is also important that this review uncovers relevant work in progress and to do this we intend to search the UK’s National Research Register and search the following websites listing ongoing trials: http://clinicaltrials.gov/ and http://www.controlledtrials.com/ and http://www.actr.org.au/ and to write to authors of eligible studies.

**Language:** There will be no restriction on the language of publication. Articles in major European and South Asian languages which refer to communities significantly represented in the UK (in our target ethnic categories) will have their titles and abstracts translated and then, if judged to be relevant, the full text of the papers/reports will be professionally translated.

**Methods of the review**

**Selection of studies:** Two authors will independently review titles and abstracts from the literature searches and select possible relevant studies. These potentially eligible studies will then be reviewed in full and assessed using the inclusion criteria detailed above. In the case of any disagreements between reviewers, this will be resolved by discussion; in the case of consensus not being reached, a third reviewer will become involved and, if necessary, arbitrate. To ensure transparency, this process will be described in a modified QUORUM flow diagram.

**Data extraction:** Two authors will independently extract data using a customised data extraction form. We will resolve any disagreements by discussion between reviewers; in the case of consensus not being reached, a third reviewer will become involved and, if necessary, arbitrate.

**Assessment of methodological quality of included studies:** We plan to assess and document the methodological quality of qualitative studies using the approach advocated by the Cochrane Qualitative Research Methods Group. This will involve using the CASP approach to study assessment which emphasises the importance of assessing the adequacy of study design, recruitment, data generation, reflexivity and analysis.31

We plan to assess and document the methodological quality of included epidemiological studies using the MOOSE guidelines for meta-analysis and systematic reviews of observational studies which emphasises the importance of assessing for bias, confounding, regression, heterogeneity and modelling techniques employed.32

We plan to assess and document the methodological quality of included intervention studies following the Cochrane approach using the methods detailed in section six of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions.33 For these studies, we propose to concentrate on using the following five parameters to assess quality: allocation concealment, method of allocation to treatment, documentation of exclusions, completeness of follow-up, methods of documentation of complications. We plan to grade each parameter of trial quality: A – low risk of bias; B – moderate risk of bias; C – high risk of bias and an overall assessment for each controlled trial using the same three criteria will be made.
Following the inclusion criteria developed by the NHS SLEH (www.library.nhs.uk/ethnicity) and the glossary described by Bhopal, we shall also assess research against the degree to which the ethnic groups of interest are described and included at a fine level of detail, so that articles and interventions aimed at undifferentiated ‘South Asian’ or ‘black’ groups, without reference to language, religion or cultural background, may be reviewed critically to assess the degree of ‘fit’.

We plan to assess the agreement of reviewers on methodological quality assessment. Disagreements will be resolved by discussion and, if necessary, with the involvement of a third reviewer.

**Data analysis**

Most studies identified are likely to yield qualitative/descriptive data and these will be summarised, as noted above, in databases identifying which interventions are most effective in the general population and approaches used to adaptation. The email, telephone and face-to-face discussions will, with permission, be recorded and subjected to thematic analysis, which will be informed by the relevant conceptual and theoretical literature and the initial typology constructed. Analysis will be iterative and will guide further data generation.

In the event of finding suitable data, we propose to use Review Manager (RevMan 4.2) for quantitative data analysis and synthesis. For dichotomous data, we plan to calculate individual and pooled statistics as relative risks (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). For continuous data, we plan to calculate individual and pooled statistics as mean differences (MD) and/or standardised means differences with 95% CI. We will consider the appropriateness of meta-analyses in the presence of significant clinical or statistical heterogeneity. We will assume significant heterogeneity if the $I^2$ is greater than 40% (i.e. more than 40% of the variability in outcome between trials is not explained by sampling variation). We will undertake meta-analysis using a fixed-effects model in the absence of statistical heterogeneity and a random-effects model if such heterogeneity is present. We plan to explore any statistical or clinical heterogeneity by using sensitivity or subgroup analysis (see below). We plan to undertake quantitative analyses of outcomes, wherever possible, on an intention to treat basis. Evidence of publication bias will be sought graphically using Funnel plots and statistically using Begg and Egger tests.

Our main subgroup analyses of interest are analysing results for different health promotion interventions by ethnic minority group, age and gender. Sensitivity analyses will be undertaken on the basis of study design by excluding studies judged to be at high risk of bias and assessing what impact, if any, this has on the robustness of findings.

We plan to systematically identify and extract all health economic data – whether from the perspective of the patient or the healthcare provider, or ideally both – as reported in studies identified through conducting our searches. These data will be extracted onto a customised data extraction sheet and quality appraised using published criteria. Any relevant data identified from individual studies will be presented in a summary table, together with an assessment of study quality and key contextual considerations that may be of importance in assessing the generalisability of the findings from these studies. These data will then be synthesised with the broader body of work being undertaken.

Synthesis of data from qualitative studies will be undertaken using a thematic meta-narrative approach. This thematic framework will be informed by the above quantitative literature review and will continue to evolve as the data extraction and synthesis proceed. As subsequent papers are reviewed, new themes identified will be incorporated into our thematic framework and existing themes refined until no further new themes emerge.
2.5 Qualitative interviews

This strand of work will employ robust qualitative techniques for data generation, analysis and interpretation. Interviews will be recorded and transcribed together with accompanying field notes. Interview data and field notes will be analysed with the help of NVivo 7 software and will be ongoing to allow emerging themes to be fed back into future rounds of data collection. Transcripts will be read repeatedly and coded to include both anticipated and unanticipated themes. A coding framework will be drawn up by the research team as the themes emerge. Constant comparison will ensure that the thematic analysis represents all perspectives and negative cases will actively be sought.

2.6 Thematic meta-analysis and synthesis of data

Based on our previous experiences of undertaking methodologically similar work, thematically synthesising data from the likely disparate studies uncovered by our literature review and qualitative work will prove challenging, but this synthesis is an important and necessary step if we are to generate meaningful findings that will promote academic thinking and clinical practice in this nascent field. To this end, in addition to the proposed taxonomies and summary framework discussed above, we have also included a qualitative dimension to this work, which will entail discussions with key researchers in this field with a view to allow greater depth of perspective to emerge than is often possible when one relies solely on written reports. In particular, we will be keen to understand relevant contextual considerations that led to one approach to cultural adaptation being chosen over another, and also in relation to interpreting findings.

This qualitative work will contribute to our overall thematic synthesis of these data, which we propose to undertake using the explanatory framework offered by realistic evaluation. A main strength of realistic evaluation is the attempt to link the specific contexts and mechanisms in a way that has perhaps not been considered in the past. What works in one population and under certain circumstances will not necessarily work in other contexts. The main issue is thus not only whether a culturally adapted intervention worked (as outcomes), but also how did it do so or, conversely, why it failed to work when logic dictated otherwise. Results of conducting evaluations in a realistic way should be that the contexts (structures) that trigger certain mechanisms are identified providing useful knowledge for future initiatives. This can thus help to inform what works for whom and in what circumstances and also to guide future research.

2.7 Ethical arrangements

The literature review is unlikely to raise any major ethical issues. The discussions with research teams, although not requiring formal NHS ethics review, will nonetheless be conducted in accordance with regard to respecting the autonomy and confidentiality of participants. Participants will therefore be provided with an information sheet, time to reflect on the invitation to participate and informed consent will be obtained in all cases. Participants will be informed that they have the right to withdraw from the study at any time without the need to give reasons to explain their decision. All data will be anonymised and stored in locked filing cabinets at the University of Edinburgh and on password protected desk-based PCs. Care will be taken to ensure that participants will not be identifiable in any research reports resulting from this work. Data will be archived for at least five years after the completion of the study.

3. PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE

The PI will be responsible for all aspects of managing this study. The study researchers will meet with the PI on at least a weekly basis and more often as and when the need arises. All co-applicants, who will comprise the study Steering Group (SG), will meet quarterly to assess progress against the agreed research questions and study milestones.
Based on our positive experiences of convening an independent international advisory team for an evidence synthesis review of this sort, we propose to convene an external Project Steering Committee (IPSC), which will include members with experience of conducting complex systematic reviews, epidemiological and qualitative expertise, a lay representative and a representative from the HTA. It is envisaged that this Committee will convene (either by conference call or face-to-face) on a six monthly basis for the duration of this project. All necessary administrative support for the SG and IPSC meetings will be provided by the University of Edinburgh team.

4. PROJECT TIMETABLE AND MILESTONES

The proposed start date for this 24 month project is September 2008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–3:</td>
<td>Set up phase: recruit and train staff; develop search strategy and protocol; one day launch conference for service users and academics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–7:</td>
<td>Phase 1: literature review of health promotion interventions and development of databases and typology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–18:</td>
<td>Phase 2: detailed literature review and synthesising findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–21:</td>
<td>Phase 3: highlighting research gaps, prioritising research and developing study briefs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22–24:</td>
<td>Writing up of final report and abstracts/papers for publication; one day conference to disseminate findings to funding bodies, policy makers, academics and service users.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. EXPERTISE

Our research team has considerable expertise in the fields of ethnicity, public health, and health promotion and also has considerable experience with conducting evidence synthesis work of this kind. **Aziz Sheikh** is an epidemiologist and Professor of Primary Care Research & Development at the University of Edinburgh; he has 10 years experience of conducting systematic reviews and conducting qualitative, epidemiological and more recently experimental work in relation to minority ethnic groups. He is currently PI on a pilot multi-centre cluster randomised controlled trial of an adapted smoking cessation intervention for Pakistanis and Bangladeshis and PI on an evidence synthesis review for Connecting for Health. As PI he will oversee all aspects of managing this project. **Raj Bhopal** is Professor of Public Health at the University of Edinburgh, Honorary Consultant in Public Health at NHS Lothian, and Chairman of the Steering Committee of the National Resource Centre for Ethnic Minority Health, Scotland. He has been involved in scholarship, research and NHS policy/planning in relation to ethnicity and health for 23 years. This work has included theoretical and conceptual work on developing effective health promotion interventions for ethnic minority groups, developing and evaluating interventions, and undertaking clinical trials (currently, he is the principal investigator of a randomised trial to evaluate an adapted household-based dietary modification and physical exercise intervention to prevent diabetes and obesity in South Asians (PODOSA). **Martin White** is Professor of Public Health at the University of Newcastle and has longstanding experience in the fields of public health, health promotion and ethnicity and health, with over 70 relevant research publications. He has conducted systematic reviews of interventions for ethnic minorities, as well as epidemiological studies, qualitative studies and trials in these groups. He has experience
of complex data synthesis and is co-applicant on a similar project recently commissioned by HTA on evaluating health trainers. Mark Deverill is a health economist from the University of Newcastle with a particular research interest in methods of economic evaluation of health technology interventions. Mark Johnson is Clinical Lead for the NHS Specialist Library for Ethnicity & Health (NHS SLEH) and Director of the UK Centre for Evidence in Ethnicity Health and Diversity at the Mary Seacole Research Centre, De Montfort University in Leicester. He has considerable experience of conducting systematic reviews, health promotion research, and working with minority communities to assess the cultural competence and quality of translation of health information materials. He will take a lead in managing the systematic review search strategy and liaison with Black and minority ethnic ‘user’ communities. Gina Netto is a Research Fellow at the School of the Built Environment, Heriot Watt University, who has led and contributed to a number of academic and policy-oriented studies related to ethnicity in the fields of health, housing and social care over the last 11 years. She is currently leading on a review of health promotion interventions funded by NHS Health Scotland related to the main causes of mortality in Pakistani, Indian and Chinese communities. This work includes an examination of knowledge/beliefs, attitudes and behaviour related to risk factors including smoking, physical behaviour and diet, as well as an evaluation of the effectiveness of targeted interventions for minority ethnic groups.

6. SERVICE USERS

The team recognise the value and importance of involving service users in research, and are committed to the approaches advocated by INVOLVE in a number of ways. We shall be working closely with representative bodies from minority communities, such as Afya Trust, but in view of the broad definition of a potential user of health promotion materials and the need to ensure representation of the views of a diversity of minority ethnic communities, it is difficult to identify individuals who could represent a ‘user voice’ without running the risk of exploiting or exhausting their ability to contribute. To overcome this, we will convene a one day conference for service users from a range of ethnic minority groups at the outset of the project and also at the conclusion of the project to disseminate findings. The later stages of the project will include more direct contact with user representative bodies, and initially we shall also make use of the Expert Patient Panel of the Leicester Centre for Ethnic Health Research, (an NHS initiative associated with the Mary Seacole Research Centre), who are supportive of the need for this study.

7. JUSTIFICATION OF SUPPORT REQUIRED

Staff: Funding is requested for a part-time (0.3WTE) post-doc researcher to lead the review, qualitative data collection and evidence synthesis, with support from a full-time research fellow. We request funding for a 50% study secretary, who will help organise meetings, populate databases, organise interviews and transcribe data from interviews. We also request 10% costs for six months from the NHS SLEH librarian to help with formulating and refining searches, retrieving published papers, reports and grey literature and organising translations. Staff equipment and training: Funding is requested for two PCs and a dictaphone and NVIVO software license. Research staff will be eligible to attend the University of Edinburgh’s MSc Public Health Research systematic review module free of charge and other in-house training opportunities; a small training budget is requested in case of additional training needs to attend the University of York or Oxford systematic review courses. Literature retrieval and translation: We envisage the need to obtain a large amount of grey literature, which may only be available in hard copy format and will therefore need to be purchased; some funds are also requested to cover inter-library loans and professional translations of key papers/reports that are not published in
Meetings: We have requested costs to cover travel costs, subsistence and refreshments for members of the SG and IPSC to attend relevant meetings. Two conferences for service users/academics are also planned: one at the beginning of the study to raise awareness and encourage engagement and a second at the end of the project to share findings. Dissemination: We are also very keen to disseminate our findings at national and international conferences and costs are requested to support travel to and attendance at these meetings to present our work.

8. FLOW DIAGRAM

9. KEY REFERENCES


Appendix 3
Amendments to protocol

The following minor amendments were made to the original scientific rationale:

Overall project

The review of national guidelines and international systematic reviews, the systematic review and the qualitative interviews were carried out in parallel, instead of sequentially as proposed, in order to accommodate the large amount of literature identified in each component. This meant that the systematic review search was not limited to interventions which had been found to have high level evidence of efficacy in the national guidelines and international systematic reviews. However, this amendment allowed the inclusion of a greater body of literature in the systematic review and permitted recommendations for research to be generated in a reciprocal manner, i.e. also highlighting adapted studies utilising health promotion interventions outside of the evidence identified as effective in national guidelines and international systematic reviews provided scope to re-examine this evidence to consider whether these interventions, although not recommended for general populations, may actually be effective for ethnic minority populations (see Chapter 6).

The project team applied for a no-cost extension and was granted an additional four months to allow sufficient time to analyse the large volume of literature identified in the systematic review. This extended the project completion date from the end of December 2010 to the end of May 2011.

Systematic review

All study types were included in the search for the systematic review, as specified in the original scientific rationale. Epidemiological studies were rarely included for further analysis as they did not relate to the relevant interventions or outcomes of interest.

The literature included in the systematic review was limited to the populations of interest (African-, Chinese- and South Asian-origin) owing to the large body of literature identified with the initially specified populations, and therefore did not include other populations from which findings could be generalised, as suggested in the original scientific rationale.

The Health Education and Promotion System (HEAPS) and HealthPromise databases which were listed as literature sources in the original scientific rationale are no longer available for use and so were not able to be used. Owing to the volume of literature retrieved from the initial searches a more limited ‘grey literature’ search was undertaken primarily involving personal libraries and contacting expert organisations (e.g. National Resource Centre on Ethnic Minorities) and experts in the field through specialist academic ethnicity e-mail lists (e.g. Jiscmail). Searches were also carried out in Google, Google Scholar, controlledtrials.com and clinicaltrials.gov. The bibliographies of retrieved references were used to search for further relevant publications.
The outcome measures in the systematic review were further refined prior to commencing the literature searching. The outcomes were limited to defined primary, secondary and tertiary outcomes, which are outlined in the systematic review methods section (see Chapter 6).

To quality appraise the studies included in the systematic review, the Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP), Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) and Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) tools were purposefully selected over the generic Cochrane quality appraisal approach originally specified.

In addition to considering the theoretical underpinnings of an adapted study, we have simultaneously analysed whether or not adapted studies drew on any behaviour change theories. This analysis was done using behaviour change techniques (Michie et al. in press), which are derived from a synthesis of different behaviour change theories commonly used in health promotion. Example behaviour change techniques such as goal setting, stress reduction and relapse prevention capture features of several health behaviour change theories.

Reporting of the behaviour change techniques identified in each study was planned. Also, a quantitative analysis to detect possible patterns arising from the number and type of behaviour change techniques or adaptations observed in the included studies and how they relate to intervention effectiveness was intended, but neither of these planned activities could be undertaken within the scope of the project.

**Qualitative interviews**

No changes were made.

**Data synthesis**

Realist approach was used to synthesize the data from the studies in the systematic review instead of a thematic or narrative approach in order to fit with the overall realist synthesis.
Appendix 4

Ethics application submitted for qualitative interviews
Ethics application submitted for qualitative interviews

University of Edinburgh,
School of Health in Social Science

RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE

Self-Audit Checklist for Level 1 Ethical Review

The audit is to be conducted by

- **For funded research**: The Principal Investigator,
- **Postdoctoral research fellowships** – the applicant in collaboration with the proposed mentor.
- **Postgraduate research** (PhD and Masters by Research) – the students in collaboration with supervisor.
- **Taught Masters dissertation work and Undergraduate dissertation/project work**: the applicant in collaboration with dissertation/project supervisor.

Note: all members of staff and students should conduct ethical self-audit of their proposed research as part of the proposal process.

1. IRAS or LOCAL AUTHORITY/SOCIAL WORK ethical review

   Does the project require IRAS review or review by bodies abroad?  **YES/NO**

2. Protection of research subject confidentiality

   Are there any issues of **CONFIDENTIALITY** which are not **ADEQUATELY HANDLED** by normal tenets of academic confidentiality?  **YES/NO**

   These include well-established sets of undertakings that may be agreed more or less explicitly with collaborating individuals/organisations, for example, regarding:

   (a) Non-attribution of individual responses;

   (b) Individuals and organisations anonymised in publications and presentation;

   (c) Specific agreement with respondents regarding feedback to collaborators and publication.

3. Data protection and consent

   Are there any issues of **DATA HANDLING** and **CONSENT** which are not **ADEQUATELY DEALT WITH** and compliant with established procedures?  **YES/NO**
These include well-established sets of undertakings, for example regarding:

(a) Compliance with the University of Edinburgh’s Data Protection procedures (see www.recordsmanagement.ed.ac.uk);

(b) Respondents giving consent regarding the collection of personal data;

(c) No special issues arising about confidentiality/informed consent.

4. Moral issues and Researcher/Institutional Conflicts of Interest

Are there any SPECIAL MORAL ISSUES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST? YES/NO

(a) An example of conflict of interest would be a financial or non-financial benefit for him/herself or for a relative of a friend.

(b) Particular moral issues or concerns could arise, for example where the purposes of research are concealed, where respondents are unable to provide informed consent, or where research findings would impinge negatively/differentially upon the interests of participants.

5. Potential physical or psychological harm, discomfort or stress

(a) Is there a SIGNIFICANT FORSEEABLE POTENTIAL FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL HARM OR STRESS for participants? YES/NO

(b) Is there a SIGNIFICANT FORSEEABLE POTENTIAL FOR PHYSICAL HARM OR DISCOMFORT? YES/NO

(c) Is there a SIGNIFICANT FORSEEABLE RISK TO THE RESEARCHER? YES/NO

6. Bringing the University into disrepute

Is there any aspect of the proposed research which might bring the University into disrepute? YES/NO

7. Vulnerable participants

Are any of the participants or interviewees in the research vulnerable, e.g. children and young people, people who are in custody or care, such as students at school, self help groups, residents of nursing home? YES/NO
8. Duty to disseminate research findings

Are there issues which will prevent all participants and relevant stakeholders having access to a clear, understandable and accurate summary of the research findings?

**YES/NO**

**Overall assessment**

If all the answers are NO, the self audit has been conducted and confirms the ABSENCE OF REASONABLY FORESEEABLE ETHICAL RISKS. The following text should be emailed to the relevant person, as set out below:

Text: “I confirm that I have carried out the School Ethics self-audit in relation to [my / name of researcher] proposed research project [name of project and funding body] and that no reasonably foreseeable ethical risks have been identified.”

- **Research grants** – the Principal Investigator should send this email to the SHSS Research Ethics Administrator (L.Sheal@ed.ac.uk) it will be kept on file with the application.
- **Postdoctoral research fellowships** – the Mentor should ensure that the Fellow email the SHSS Research Ethics Administrator Office (L.Sheal@ed.ac.uk) where it will be kept on file with the application.
- **Postgraduate research** (PhD and Masters by Research) – there is no need to send the Level 1 email. The ethical statement should be included in the student’s Review reports.
- **Taught Masters dissertation** work and **Undergraduate dissertation/project** work – there is no need to send the level 1 email. The dissertation/project supervisor should retain the ethical statement with the student’s dissertation/project papers.

If one or more answers are YES, risks have been identified and level 2 audit is required. See the School Research Ethics Policy and Procedures webpage for full details.
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Launch conference programme
Ethnicity and Health Conference

“How to modify health promotion interventions to meet the needs of ethnic minority groups”

Thursday 4 June 2009
10:30 – 4:00 pm
Royal College of Physicians
11 St Andrews Place, Regent’s Park, NW1 4LE
London, United Kingdom

Researchers from the University of Edinburgh, in collaboration with researchers from De Montfort University, Heriot Watt University and Newcastle University are systematically reviewing health promotion interventions that are effective in modifying smoking, physical activity and dietary behaviours in South Asians, Black and Chinese populations and identifying the theoretical basis of behaviour change utilised in successful interventions. This project is funded by Health Technology Assessment/Medical Research Council.

This conference aims to engage potential users of ethnic minority health research. Users include informed health service users and those who are in charge of developing policies, setting budgets and delivering and evaluating health care.

The conference has two objectives:

- To elicit and share ideas, principles and work in progress on how to culturally-adapt health promotion interventions.
- To direct and guide the project team in assessing the evidence-base on cultural adaptations of health promotion interventions to meet the needs of ethnic minority groups.
# FULL CONFERENCE PROGRAMME

**Coffee & Registration**  
10:00 – 10:30 am, Council Chamber

**Chair**  
Professor Aziz Sheikh  
Professor of Primary Care Research and Development, University of Edinburgh

**Welcome**  
10:30 – 10:40 am, Dorchester Library

**Culturally sensitive behavioural interventions: five adaptations and principles to guide the development of targeted interventions for minority ethnic communities**  
10:45 – 11:05 am, Dorchester Library

**Speaker** Dr. Gina Netto  
Lecturer, School of the Built Environment, Heriot Watt University

**Modifying smoking cessation, physical activity and dietary interventions for South Asian, Black and Chinese populations**  
11:05 – 11:25 am, Dorchester Library

**Speakers** Dr. Emma Davidson  
Research Fellow, University of Edinburgh  
Jing Jing Liu  
Research Associate, University of Edinburgh

**Synthesizing ethnicity and health research for policy development**  
11:30 – 11:55 am, Dorchester Library

**Speaker** Professor Mike Kelly  
Director, Public Health Excellence Centre, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE).

**Adapting Interventions for Black and Minority ethnic groups in Norway**  
12:00 – 12:25 pm, Dorchester Library

**Speaker** Dr. Anne Karen Jenum  
Senior Researcher, Oslo University Hospital, Aker, The Diabetes Research Centre, Norway

**Buffet Lunch & Poster Session**  
12:30 – 1:20 pm, Council Chambers

**Chair** Professor Raj Bhopal  
Bruce and John Usher Chair of Public Health, University of Edinburgh

**Parallel Workshops**  
1:25 – 2:25 pm

- **A. Role of culture/acculturation in interventions for minority ethnic communities**  
  **Facilitator** Dr. Gina Netto

- **B. Approaches to multiple behaviour change**  
  **Facilitator** Professor Martin White  
  Professor of Public Health, University of Newcastle upon Tyne

- **C. Reflecting on community adapted programmes**  
  **Facilitator** Dr. Emma Davidson

- **D. Adaptations: learning from other contexts**  
  **Facilitator** Jing Jing Liu

**REACH: The U.S. perspective**  
2:30 – 3:10 pm, Dorchester Library

**Speaker** Dr. Wayne Giles  
Director, Division for Adult and Community Health and Racial and Ethnic Approaches to Community Health (REACH), Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, U.S.A.

**Plenary: Identifying key principles to guide cultural adaptation of health promotion programs**  
3:15 – 3:45 pm, Dorchester Library

**Closing Remarks**  
3:50 – 4:00 pm, Dorchester Library
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Launch conference feedback, 4 June 2009

- Eighty-one delegates registered before the conference.
- Eighty-one delegates attended the conference (a few delegates cancelled last minute; however, other delegates attended in their place).
- Forty-four delegates (54%) completed a conference feedback form.

Summary of feedback scores *(n = 44 delegates)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you assess the following?</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-conference registration procedures</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference information and documents</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration procedures at the conference</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venue, food and refreshments</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentations</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshops and general discussions</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of time allocated to presentations, workshops</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference facilities including poster exhibition</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well did the conference meet your expectations?</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall satisfaction with the conference?</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary points

- Overall, the delegates who responded felt that the conference met their expectations and were satisfied with the conference.
- The venue, food and registration process were well regarded.
- The presentations by the speakers were also highly rated.
- The workshops and general discussion could be improved as well as the length of time allocated to them.

Overall strengths of the conference

- Wide range of speakers, delegates and topics of discussion:
  - wide range of speakers on interesting topics
  - relevant, engaging, practical and challenging
  - excellent, high profile speakers with an international perspective
  - the conference was a place for researchers, health-care professionals and community workers to come together, I think that is very important to bring different sections of public health together.
Room for improvement

- More time required to look at posters, to network and for breaks:
  - more time to look at posters, not just during lunch
  - more time for networking.

- Workshops were too big and unstructured:
  - more time in workshops and time for feedback
  - longer time for discussion within smaller groups
  - unsure what the purpose of the workshop was.

Most useful session

- Many delegates found Dr Wayne Giles’ presentation to be the most useful as it provided examples from projects outside the UK:
  - very clear, practical, clear outcomes.

- Others found all of the sessions to be equally useful:
  - can’t pick one – all equally useful and complemented each other well.

Topics of interest for future ethnicity and health events

- More examples of successful interventions, particularly those that make links between good practice and health outcomes:
  - more on best practice examples, both in communities and in regards to research.

- More community involvement and its role in research:
  - how do research and community projects, which already exist, can come together?

- More on topics that intersect with ethnicity:
  - ethnicity and social care, palliative care, end of life care
  - sociological determinants of behaviour
  - institutionalised racism.

Reasons for attending the conference

- To further professional capacity, network and learn:
  - to see how other interventions/studies could be learnt from and applied to my work area.

Additional comments

Thank you – these types of discussion needs to take place within the mainstream as a regular ‘agenda’ item.
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Dissemination conference programme
Ethnicity and Health Conference

“How to modify health promotion interventions to meet the needs of ethnic minority groups: dissemination of research findings”

Wednesday 2 February, 2011
10:00 am – 4:30 pm
9:30 am - registration & coffee

John McIntyre Conference Centre
18 Holyrood Park Road, EH16 5AY
Edinburgh, United Kingdom

This conference aims to engage potential users of ethnicity health research with findings from our Medical Research Council-funded, multi-method study looking at health promotion interventions which are effective in modifying smoking, physical activity and dietary behaviours in South Asians, Black and Chinese-origin populations. Findings from our systematic overview, systematic review and qualitative interviews will be presented for consideration in the workshops and group discussions.

Conference Chair Dr. Laurence Gruer, Director of Public Health Science, NHS Health Scotland

Workshops:
1. Theoretical considerations: principles of adaptation and behaviour change
2. Methodological reflections on complex systematic reviews
3. Translation of our research findings into practice
4. Translation of our research findings - identifying key future research themes

Workshop Facilitators: Aziz Sheikh, Professor of Primary Care Research and Development, The University of Edinburgh, Raj Bhopal, Professor of Public Health, The University of Edinburgh, Susan Michie, Professor of Health Psychology, University College London, Martin White, Professor of Public Health, Newcastle University, Dr. Ash Samanta, Consultant Rheumatologist, Leicester Royal Infirmary and Chair for the Leicester Centre for Ethnic Health Research Expert Patient Panel, Mark Johnson, Professor of Diversity in Health & Social Care, De Montfort University, and Dr. Gina Netto, School of the Built Environment, Heriot Watt University.

Please register online for the conference (there are no registration costs)

For further information on the conference please contact:
Fiona Adams, Research Secretary  fiona.adams@ed.ac.uk
Jing Jing Liu, Research Associate  jingjing.liu@ed.ac.uk
Dr. Emma Davidson, Research Fellow  emma.davidson@ed.ac.uk

Please visit our website http://www.chs.med.ed.ac.uk/ethnicity-and-health/
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:30</td>
<td>Coffee &amp; Registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Welcome - Dr. Laurence Gruer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:10</td>
<td>Project overview - Prof. Aziz Sheikh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20</td>
<td>Project findings: systematic overview and systematic review - Dr. Umar Yousuf NHS Grampian, Smitha Kalede The University of Edinburgh, Dr. Cecile Wabnitz The University of Edinburgh, Dr. Emma Davidson Research Fellow, The University of Edinburgh &amp; Jing Jing Liu Research Associate, The University of Edinburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:50</td>
<td>Project findings: qualitative interviews - Dr. Emma Davidson &amp; Jing Jing Liu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:20</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:50</td>
<td>Synthesis of findings - Dr. Emma Davidson &amp; Jing Jing Liu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:20</td>
<td>Discussion &amp; Questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>LUNCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00</td>
<td>Workshop/discussion groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Theoretical considerations (principles of adaptations) - Prof. Susan Michie &amp; Dr. Gina Netto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Methodological reflections on complex systematic reviews - Prof. Martin White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Translation of our research findings into practice - Dr. Ash Samanta &amp; Prof. Mark Johnson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Translation of our research findings – identifying key future research themes - Prof. Raj Bhopal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:15</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30</td>
<td>Workshop feedback/Panel discussion - Chairs: Prof. Raj Bhopal &amp; Prof. Aziz Sheikh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Implications of the research findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Prioritising future research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:20</td>
<td>Closing remarks - Dr. Laurence Gruer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:30</td>
<td>END</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Dissemination conference feedback,
2 February 2011

- Sixty-four delegates registered before the conference.
- Fifty-seven delegates attended the conference.
- Forty delegates (70%) completed a conference feedback form.

Summary of feedback scores (n = 40 delegates)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you assess the following?</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-conference registration procedures</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference information and documents</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration procedures at the conference</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venue, food and refreshments</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentations</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshops and general discussions</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of time allocated to presentations, workshops</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conference facilities including poster exhibition</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How well did the conference meet your expectations?</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall satisfaction with the conference?</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary points

- The venue, food and registration process were well regarded.
- The presentations received mixed feedback: some felt that they were too detailed; others felt that they were a concise summary of the project findings.
- The workshops and general discussion could be improved as well as the length of time allocated to them (longer workshops and shorter general discussions).

Overall strengths of the conference

- Mix of participants, good discussion and user involvement.
- Presentation of findings was informative and concise.

Room for improvement

- Presentations were too long and detailed.
Most useful session

- Different sessions found to be useful, in particular, workshops on translation of research findings and theoretical considerations.

Topics of interest for future ethnicity and health events

- More focus on policy-making and policy changes.
- More focus on psychological aspects, or on work from psychosocial fields.
- More information about the actual interventions used, working interventions.

Reasons for attending the conference

- Many people working in this field (researchers, practitioners).
- Networking.

Additional comments

- Circulate delegates list.
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### Extraction form for review of UK guidelines and international systematic reviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Paper number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Author</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Journal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6</th>
<th>Study type – is it a systematic review? (predefined search terms and databases?) – if yes, continue on to question 7; if no, stop and exclude this study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>List all recommendations/conclusions from the review and the strength of evidence provided by the authors (data used for Chapter 4, questions 8–18 used for Chapter 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Key terms search (full text) – systematically scan paper for terms equivalent to (Ethnic*, Rac*, Minorit*, Cultur*, Asian, Chinese, Black, African, Afro, Caribbean, South Asian, Bangladeshi, Indian, Pakistani). * denotes variants of the term, for example ethnic* = ethnicity, ethnicities. Record yes or no for each term (if it appears in the study or not) – if yes, continue to question 9; if no, stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Population [record the population(s) included in the study; report for each ethnic group included after performing the key terms search]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>For each ethnic group, record age, gender and n (questions 11, 12, 13):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Ethnicity criteria (record how ethnicity was determined? language, self-defined?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Subgroup analysis – does the paper/review include subgroup meta-analysis providing pooled measures of effectiveness according to ethnicity? Yes/no. If yes, please describe the subgroup analysis and its findings (questions 16, 17); if no, proceed to question 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Intervention effective for ethnic minority group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Differential effect sizes for pooled results between ethnic minority and general population?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>‘Overarching comments’ – does the paper include any overarching statement on ethnicity (e.g. ethnicity should be considered in research)? Yes/no. If yes, please list the overarching statement (in the original language and in English if possible)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 10

### Tables of evidence statements extracted from guidelines and systematic reviews

#### Table of extracted guideline evidence statements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>Strength of evidence (grade)</th>
<th>Method of grading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SIGN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGN 8 Obesity in Scotland</td>
<td>Household involvement to increase compliance with dietary changes</td>
<td>A (level Ib)</td>
<td>SIGN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Behavioural modification techniques for diet and physical activity</td>
<td>A (level Ib)</td>
<td>SIGN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGN 69 Management of obesity in children and young people</td>
<td>Reducing physical inactivity (e.g. television, computer games) to an average of &lt; 2 hours/day or 14 hours/week</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>SIGN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGN 97 Risk estimation and the prevention of cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>Occupational and/or leisure time physical activity of moderate intensity should be taken</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>SIGN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NRTs or bupropion as part of smoking cessation programme</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>SIGN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical Evidence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary prevention of CVD: physical activity</td>
<td>Counselling can increase physical activity compared with no advice. Written materials and telephone follow-up can aid the increase</td>
<td>Likely to be beneficial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Counselling to increase intensity of physical activity programme</td>
<td>Likely to be beneficial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physician and trained counsellors advise to quit smoking</td>
<td>Beneficial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antidepressants (bupropion or nortriptyline) for smoking cessation</td>
<td>Likely to be beneficial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NRTs for smoking cessation</td>
<td>Likely to be beneficial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nurse advise on smoking cessation</td>
<td>Likely to be beneficial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Counselling for sedentary people to increase physical activity</td>
<td>Likely to be beneficial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-help materials for people looking to quit smoking</td>
<td>Likely to be beneficial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone advice for smoking cessation</td>
<td>Likely to be beneficial</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NICE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH6 Behaviour change at population, community and individual levels</td>
<td>Work in partnership (individuals, communities, organisations, populations) and in collaboration in planning and development of programmes and interventions to change health behaviour</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Remove or reduce barriers to behaviour change when possible (social, financial, environmental)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Point-of-sale promotions and/or interventions to promote healthy eating</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Strength of evidence (grade)</td>
<td>Method of grading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PH2</strong> Four commonly used methods to increase physical activity; brief interventions in primary care, exercise referral schemes, pedometers and community-based exercise programmes for walking and cycling&lt;sup&gt;32&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Key life stages e.g. starting or leaving school</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National and local advertising and mass media campaigns for positive health behaviours</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fiscal and legislative interventions for enhancing health</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tailor interventions to individuals, address barriers and consider individuals’ social contexts</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop supportive health-enhancing social, physical and service environments</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brief interventions in primary care (opportunistic advice, discussion, negotiation or encouragement) for physical activity</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary care practitioners to identify (using validated tool, e.g. Department of Health’s physical activity questionnaire) and advise inactive adults (30 minutes, moderate, 5 days a week); follow-up over 3- to 6-month periods</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary care practitioners to provide written information about the benefits of physical activity and local opportunities for physical activity</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary care practitioners to consider individual’s needs, preferences and circumstances when providing advice on physical activity; assist in goal setting</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exercise referral schemes recommended only as part of research studies</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedometers and walking and cycling schemes (defined as organised walks or rides) recommended only as part of research studies</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PH17</strong> Promoting physical activity, active play and sport for pre-school and school-age children and young people in family, pre-school, school and community settings&lt;sup&gt;32&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Deliver a national campaign to promote physical activity for children and young people (minimum 5 years)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consult children, young people and their parents regarding media messages and appropriate language for physical activity</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Message should encourage a variety of formal and informal activities; fun, enjoyable and sociable, should become a regular part of daily life</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adults, especially parents and carers, should set an example for physical activity; support families in promoting physical activity</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local strategy to ensure safe and accessible local indoor and outdoor opportunities for physical activity (e.g. schools)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partnerships with and within community networks to provide physical activities for children and young people</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education and advice to increase awareness of benefits of physical activity</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active transport/travel to school for children and young people; mapping safe routes to school; reduce or remove barriers to active transport</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Involve children and young people in design, planning and delivery of physical activity opportunities; involve families and local communities on barriers (accessibility, preferences, needs identified through research, local consultation); particularly involve girls and young women</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilities for physical activity should meet the diversity of needs of children and young people</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multicomponent physical activity programmes developed by schools (involving family and community-based activities)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promote public parks and facilities for physical activity; open spaces and outdoor facilities that encourage physical activity and are accessible (close to walking and cycling routes)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Strength of evidence (grade)</td>
<td>Method of grading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA123 Varenicline for smoking cessation</td>
<td>Remove barriers to physical activity: facilities, accessibility, privacy for changing, dress policy</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide daily opportunities for physical activity for children, as well as after school, extracurricular, at weekends and during holidays; structured and unstructured play</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide advice on self-monitoring and individualised tailored feedback</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH5 Workplace health promotion: how to help employees to stop smoking</td>
<td>Workplaces should publicise effective interventions and make available information on local services for smoking cessation</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone counselling and quitlines</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workplaces, where feasible, should provide on-site smoking cessation services</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workplaces should allow staff to attend smoking cessation services during work hours without loss of wages</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workplaces should develop smoking cessation policy in partnership with staff, in addition to a smoke-free workplace policy</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workplaces should tailor support and treatment to needs and preferences of employees</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH8 Promoting and creating built or natural environments that encourage and support physical activity</td>
<td>Linkage of routes from workplace, schools, etc. to walking and cycling networks and other modes of active transport</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide information and advice on benefits of physical activity</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Encourage parents and carers to be active along with their children; incorporate physical activity into daily life; parents and carers to encourage active travel</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedestrians, cyclists and users of other modes of transport should be prioritised when developing or maintaining infrastructure (streets and roads)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop and maintain spaces to encourage stair use (signage, well lit)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>School playgrounds should be designed to encourage varied physical activities</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planning and development should consider maximising opportunities for physical activity and conduct health impact assessments</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH10 Smoking cessation services in primary care, pharmacies, local authorities and workplaces, particularly for manual working groups, pregnant women and hard to reach communities</td>
<td>Behavioural counselling, group therapy, pharmacotherapy or a combination of treatments for smoking cessation</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide tailored advice, counselling and support, particularly to clients from minority ethnic and disadvantaged groups (service in language of choice)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Telephone quit lines should offer a ‘rapid, positive and authoritative response’ (service in language of choice)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NRTs, varenicline or bupropion to support smoking cessation, but not together in any combination</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nicotine patches and another form of NRT (such as gum, inhalator, lozenge or nasal spray) for those with high level of nicotine dependence</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advice, encouragement and support, and referrals to NHS Stop Smoking Services</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brief interventions, individual behavioural counselling, group behaviour therapy, pharmacotherapies, self-help materials, telephone counselling and quit lines, mass media</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Varenicline and bupropion should not be offered to young people &lt; 18 years or to pregnant or breastfeeding women</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Strength of evidence (grade)</td>
<td>Method of grading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine-assisted reduction to stop (NARS) strategy should be provided only as part of research studies</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-care professionals should identify and advise patients who smoke on health benefits and give brief advice on stopping tobacco use and refer to local services; for those refusing referrals, brief advice and support should be given, and pharmacotherapy if appropriate</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advice and referral scheme applies to young people; professional judgement to decide whether to offer NRT to those &gt; 12 years; behavioural support to help young people of 12–17 years to quit + NRT</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctors, nurses, midwives, pharmacists, dentists and telephone quit-line counsellors should provide brief advice on smoking cessation</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplace smoke-free policy</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking cessation campaigns that are extensive and sustained; involve community pharmacies, dentists, fire services and voluntary groups</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisational policy to encourage employees to be more physically active and policy linkages with other internal, local and national policies</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multicomponent, organisational programmes to support employees to be physically active (e.g. active travel, disseminate information, advice, support incentive schemes, tailor to needs)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage goal setting; signs to encourage walking up stairs instead of using a lift; opportunities to be active at work</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage active transport (to and from work; at work)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop smoking advice should be sensitive to individual’s ‘preferences, needs and circumstances’</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPs should advise all patients who smoke to quit during consultations. GPs to make referrals to stop smoking services. If not ready to quit or unwilling, offer pharmacotherapy and additional support</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurses in primary and community care should provide stop smoking cessation advice and make referrals to intensive support service</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community workers should refer those who smoke to intensive support services</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All health professionals, e.g. hospital clinicians, pharmacists and dentists, should make referrals to intensive stop smoking services</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessing readiness to change is important to decide when and how to intervene</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barriers to lifestyle change should be explored, e.g. cost, safety, time, social and environmental factors</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advice needs to be tailored to different groups, e.g. according to ethnicity, life stage and/or SES</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs to be a cross-sectorial approach to creating more safe spaces for incidental and planned physical activity</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Should take a settings approach including health promotion through nurseries, schools, workplaces, commercial and community settings</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parents and carers to monitor children’s time spent watching TV to reduce exposure to advertisements and other inappropriate content</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>Strength of evidence (grade)</td>
<td>Method of grading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities for physical activity should be tailored to people’s preferences, needs and circumstances; people should be supported in person, by telephone, by mail, through the internet</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For physical activity, improve people’s belief in their own ability to change (e.g. by verbal persuasion, modelling exercise behaviour and discussing positive effects)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improving diet and increasing activity levels in children should involve parents and carers</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authorities and health professionals should work with local shops, supermarkets, restaurants, caterers, cafes and voluntary community services to promote healthy eating choices and promote availability and accessibility of healthy food and drinks (signs, posters, pricing, positioning)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health professionals should promote community initiatives that increase access to physical activity, e.g. walking or cycling routes</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family programmes to improve diet or increase physical activity should provide ongoing tailored support, incorporate behaviour change techniques</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authorities to provide personalised travel plans</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community programmes for improving diet and increasing activity levels should address concerns of local people: availability of services, costs, expectations, dangers, mixed media messages</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authorities to work with industry and voluntary organisations to create safe spaces for incidental and planned physical activity</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local policies should improve access to healthy foods and opportunities for physical activities</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At schools, nurseries and childcare facilities, children should eat regular meals in pleasant, sociable environments, supervised</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools in partnership with organisations and professionals to promote physical activity</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longer-term multicomponent interventions in schools rather than one-off events (general health)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurseries and childcare facilities should be involved in improving children’s diet and activity levels</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-school approach (recreation space, catering, curriculum, active transport) to improve healthy eating and physical activity</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Views and preferences of children and young people should be considered for improving nutrition</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involve parents and carers in planning school-based interventions for physical activity and improving nutrition (e.g. lunch menus, after-school activities). Involve parents and children in diet and physical activity interventions for children</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplaces should promote active travel</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workplaces to support incentive schemes (food and drink provision and prices, gym memberships) and education and promotion programmes for improving diet and increasing physical activity</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVD, cardiovascular disease; GP, general practitioner; N/R, not reported; NICE, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence; NRT, nicotine replacement therapy; SES, socioeconomic status; SIGN, Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network.
### Table of extracted systematic review evidence statements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Evidence statements</th>
<th>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</th>
<th>Method of quality assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cochrane</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bala et al. 2008[171]</td>
<td>There is evidence that comprehensive tobacco control programmes that include mass media campaigns can be effective in changing smoking behaviour in adults</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care Group (EPOC 2006)[171])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunner et al. 2007[152]</td>
<td>Dietary advice appears to be effective in bringing about modest beneficial changes in diet (longer-term effects are not known)</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cahill et al. 2008[167]</td>
<td>Varenicline increased the chances of successful long-term smoking cessation</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Included only RCTs Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews on interventions[167])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cahill et al. 2008[173]</td>
<td>Workplace interventions including individual counselling, group counselling and pharmacological treatment are effective</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobbins et al. 2009[153]</td>
<td>There is good evidence that school-based physical activity interventions have a positive impact on four of the nine outcome measures. Specifically, positive effects were observed for duration of physical activity, television viewing and IQ.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (a previously developed and tested quality assessment tool[153])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster et al. 2005[154]</td>
<td>Results are indicative that professional advice and guidance with continued support can encourage people to be more physically active in the short to mid-term. Conclusions about the effectiveness of individual aspects of interventions must be interpreted with caution as studies were heterogeneous</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Included RCTs only Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hughes et al. 2007[163]</td>
<td>The antidepressants bupropion and nortriptyline aid long-term smoking cessation (evidence suggests that they are of similar efficacy to nicotine replacement)</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Included RCTs only Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive – reported to be consistent with Cochrane methods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster and Stead 2005[132]</td>
<td>Individually delivered smoking cessation counselling can assist smokers to quit. Did not detect a greater effect with intensive counselling compared with brief counselling</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster and Stead 2005[143]</td>
<td>Standard self-help materials may increase quit rates compared with no intervention, but the effect is likely to be small. Absolute effect size that tailored materials are more effective than untailored materials was small</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice and Stead 2008[150]</td>
<td>Reasonable evidence of potential benefits of smoking cessation advice and/or counselling provided by nurses to patients; the effect was weaker when nurse providers’ main role was not health promotion or smoking cessation</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Included RCTs only Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (Cochrane methods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stead and Lancaster 2005[133]</td>
<td>Group therapy is effective for helping people stop smoking. There is insufficient evidence to support the use of any specific psychological components and insufficient evidence whether or not group counselling is more effective than intensive counselling</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence statements</td>
<td>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</td>
<td>Method of quality assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stead et al. 2006</td>
<td>Proactive telephone counselling helps smokers interested in quitting to quit. Evidence of dose–response: one or two calls may be insufficient; three or more calls increase likelihood of quitting. This is compared with minimal intervention, i.e. standard self-help materials, brief advice or pharmacotherapy alone.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Included RCTs or quasi-RCTs Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stead et al. 2008</td>
<td>All of the commercially available forms of NRT (gum, transdermal patch, nasal spray, inhaler and sublingual tablets/lozenges) can help people who make a quit attempt to increase their chances of successfully stopping smoking. NRTs increase the rate of quitting by 50–70% regardless of setting. The effectiveness of NRT appears to be largely independent of the intensity of the additional support provided.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Included RCTs only Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stead et al. 2008</td>
<td>Physician-provided brief advice has a small effect on smoking cessation: it can increase quitting by 1–3% over the assumed unassisted quit rate of 2–3%. There is a small additional benefit from more intensive intervention compared with brief intervention.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Included only RCTs Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (Cochrane Handbook)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whittaker et al. 2007</td>
<td>Mobile telephone (any intervention via mobile telephone delivery) interventions have been shown to have short-term effectiveness only; no long-term outcomes. Meta-analysis of text message programme trials demonstrated a significant increase in short-term self-reported quitting (RR 2.18, 95% CI 1.80 to 2.65). When data from the internet and mobile telephone programmes were pooled it significantly increased both short- and long-term self-reported quitting (RR 2.03, 95% CI 1.40 to 2.94)</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects</td>
<td>Transtheoretical model-based activity promotion programmes are effective in promoting adoption of physical activity in the short term; long-term evidence is limited but likely not promising.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammerman et al. 2001</td>
<td>Components such as social support, group delivery and family are associated with increasing fruit and vegetable consumption (greater increases in fruit than vegetable). Average increase in fruit and vegetable intake reported was 0.6 servings per day, along with consistent decreases in intake of total fat and saturated fat. The mean change in total fat intake estimated as a 7.3% reduction in the percentage of calories from fat. Interventions appeared to be more successful for those at risk or diagnosed with disease than for healthy populations. Difficult to draw conclusions because of heterogeneity; unclear long-term sustainability.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (developed own quality rating form)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammerman et al. 2002</td>
<td>Counselling patients can improve dietary behaviours, including reduction in dietary total and saturated fat and increases in fruit and vegetable intake. More intensive counselling and counselling directed to higher-risk patients have generally produced larger changes than less intensive interventions delivered to low-risk populations. Common feature: goal setting and self-monitoring.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence statements</td>
<td>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</td>
<td>Method of quality assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashenden et al. 1997&lt;sup&gt;238&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>For smoking cessation, meta-analysis showed that providing smoking cessation advice, brief or intensive, in primary care increased the odds of stopping smoking (OR 1.32, 95% CI 1.18 to 1.48); intensive-only advice improves this by a small amount (OR 1.46 compared with 1.27) – meta-analysis studies showed no significant difference between brief and intensive advice (OR 1.07, 95% CI 0.88 to 1.29). General practice-based lifestyle intervention may be promising but no evidence so far of substantial changes. No definite conclusions for diet and exercise.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no, Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no, Evidence: criteria reported (simplified version of Chalmers et al.&lt;sup&gt;424&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue and Black 2005&lt;sup&gt;211&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>There is evidence that physical activity and dietary interventions which include educational components, including written materials; involving group sessions; and utilising behaviour change theory are successful in improving physical activity and dietary outcomes.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no, Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no, Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive according to methods of Sidani and Braden&lt;sup&gt;425&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bravata et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;238&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Pedometer use increases physical activity. In RCTs pedometer users significantly increased their physical activity by 2491 steps per day compared with control participants (95% CI 1098 to 3885 steps per day, p = 0.001). Among observational studies, pedometer users significantly increased their physical activity by 2183 steps per day over baseline (95% CI 1571 to 2796 steps per day, p &lt; 0.0001). Overall, pedometer users increased their physical activity by 26.9% over baseline. An important predictor of increased physical activity was having a step goal such as 10,000 steps per day (p = 0.001). When data from all studies were combined, pedometer users significantly decreased their BMI by 0.38 (95% CI 0.05 to 0.72, p = 0.03). This decrease was associated with older age (p = 0.001) and having a step goal (p = 0.04).</td>
<td>Recommendations: no, Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no, Evidence: some criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breckon et al. 2008&lt;sup&gt;188&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Counselling can result in behavioural change and increased physical activity.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no, Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no, Evidence: no (explicitly reported although criteria were mentioned)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brothwell 2001&lt;sup&gt;151&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>There is good evidence to recommend oral health professionals provide cessation counselling. Separately, there is good evidence to recommend the use of smoking cessation adjuncts, including NRT patches and gum and bupropion.</td>
<td>Recommendations: yes, Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: yes, Evidence: yes (level of recommendation A, level of evidence I (meta-analysis and systematic reviews) and III (case series); there is good evidence to recommend the use of smoking cessation adjuncts (nicotine patches, gum and bupropion) (level of recommendation A, level of evidence I (meta-analysis and systematic reviews)) Evidence: criteria reported (Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination&lt;sup&gt;427&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunner et al. 1997&lt;sup&gt;234&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Dietary advice can lead to dietary change and modest risk reduction (changes in blood pressure and serum cholesterol) among healthy adults. Longest study was 18 months.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no, Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no, Evidence: no (explicit measure of quality of evidence reported)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence statements</td>
<td>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</td>
<td>Method of quality assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunton et al. 2003</td>
<td>For physical activity, the following have been demonstrated to be effective in one or more studies: education and provision of monitoring equipment for television or video game use; engaging parents in supporting or encouraging their children’s physical activity and providing opportunities for family participation; and multicomponent, multisite interventions using a combination of education in the classroom, improvements in school PE and home-based activities. Unclear if consistent association or which are the essential components</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: criteria reported (methods used reported in previous EPPI-Centre health promotion reviews; only five evaluations judged to be methodologically sound — recommendations are based on these five evaluations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciliska et al. 2000</td>
<td>Of the 18 studies that were rated strong or moderate in quality, the most effective interventions were clear messages about increasing fruit and vegetable consumption; longer, more intensive interventions rather than one or two contacts; involved family members; had a greater impact on those with lower baseline values for knowledge and intake</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clemmens and Hayman 2004</td>
<td>School-based, multicomponent interventions designed to decrease sedentary behaviour were also effective in increasing physical activity</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no</td>
<td>RCTS and quasi-experimental designs only  Recommendations: no  Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contento et al. 1995</td>
<td>Multifaceted, sustained, ongoing nutrition education, goal oriented with supportive educational strategies. Successful elements include motivating communications and group educational strategies, strategies for behavioural change, active involvement of both the individual and the community and building health-enhancing environments</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: unclear</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: some criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corh et al. 2005</td>
<td>Religion/religious setting, faith-based partnerships, collaboration with churches may improve fruit and vegetable intake — although all of the studies this was based on were in African American populations</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: criteria reported (validity assessed with items from the Jadad scale)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeMattia et al. 2007</td>
<td>Decreasing sedentary behaviours, as measured by self-reported television/video use and improved weight measures</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no</td>
<td>Only controlled intervention studies included  Recommendations: no  Evidence: criteria reported (validity assessed with items from the Jadad scale)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dishman and Buckworth 1996</td>
<td>Behaviour modification for healthy people — larger effect observed when exercise is group based, unsupervised or when the emphasis is on leisure activities and is low intensity</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no</td>
<td>Strict inclusion criteria for meta-analysis  Recommendations: no  Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobbins et al. 2001</td>
<td>Limited but good evidence that school-based physical interventions are effective in increasing physical activity rates and duration in children and adolescents</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: criteria reported (developed own)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eakin et al. 2000</td>
<td>Primary care-based counselling for physical activity was found to be moderately effective in the short term, although heterogeneous studies. Tailoring to participant characteristics and provision of written materials have larger effects</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: yes</td>
<td>RCTS or quasi-experimental studies with comparison group  Recommendations: no  Evidence: criteria reported (based on the RE-AIM model)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence statements</td>
<td>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</td>
<td>Method of quality assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eakin et al. 2007</td>
<td>Telephone interventions are effective for physical activity and nutrition; positive factors include length of intervention and the number of calls, with interventions lasting 6–12 months and those including ≥ 12 calls producing the most favourable outcomes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>RCTs prioritised for inclusion Recommendations: no Evidence: Cochrane guidelines[^31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisenberg et al. 2008</td>
<td>Pharmacotherapy (varenicline, bupropion and five other NRTs) was more efficacious than placebo for smoking abstinence at 6 and 12 months</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Only included double-blind, placebo-controlled RCTs with biochemically validated outcomes Recommendations: no Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engbers et al. 2005</td>
<td>Strong evidence of effect for worksite programmes on dietary intake; inconclusive for physical activity</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Only RCTs/controlled trials included Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (checklist by Cochrane Back Review Group[^47])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisenberg et al. 2008</td>
<td>Strong evidence of effect for worksite programmes on dietary intake; inconclusive for physical activity</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Only RCTs/controlled trials included Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (checklist by Cochrane Back Review Group[^47])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etter and Stapleton 2006</td>
<td>NRT is effective for smoking cessation; addition of NRT to brief advice or behavioural support has OR of 2, representing a 70–90% increase in cessation rate without NRT</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>RCTs only included Recommendations: no Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faith et al. 2007</td>
<td>Strong evidence that subsidisation influences food purchases (but not necessarily food consumption or body weight); Ease of food access may influence food purchases; and possibly food intake and body weight. Policies at organisational level (e.g. schools and universities)</td>
<td>Recommendations: yes Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: yes Evidence: criteria reported (NHLBI 2000 criteria[^5])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fichtenburg and Glantz 2002</td>
<td>Smoke-free workplaces protect non-smokers and encourage smokers to quit or reduce consumption</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiore et al. 1994</td>
<td>NRT patch is an effective aid to quitting smoking across different patch-use strategies</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Included only double-blind, placebo-controlled nicotine patch studies with random assignment of subjects and biochemical validation of abstinence Recommendations: no Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster and Hillsdon 2004</td>
<td>Changing environment or creating ‘point of choice’ educational materials show small increases for physical activity (before-and-after studies), e.g. stimulus for stair climbing shows consistent, small and short-term effects</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friend and Levy 2002</td>
<td>Mass media campaigns for smoking cessation targeting the general population (state level) along with comprehensive tobacco control programmes are associated with reduced smoking rates. More mixed results observed in youth-targeted interventions</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorin and Heck 2004</td>
<td>Provider for increased smoking cessation: physicians &gt; multiprovider &gt; dentists and nurses (in order of effectiveness)</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Only included RCTs or quasi-experimental studies Recommendations: no Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gourlay 1994</td>
<td>Transdermal nicotine therapy is an effective smoking cessation therapy for motivated, nicotine-dependent smokers</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Only included randomised double-blind trials Recommendations: no Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence statements</td>
<td>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</td>
<td>Method of quality assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsdon and Thorogood 1996</td>
<td>Home-based moderate-intensity walking with regular follow-up increased physical activity. Home-based walking more successful than attendance-based structured exercise sessions</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no explicit method reported, although EPPI-Centre review guidelines used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsdon et al. 1995</td>
<td>Home-based physical activity intervention targeting sedentary subjects. Techniques associated with high levels of participation include unsupervised, informal or frequent professional contact, walking and moderate intensity</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmes et al. 2004</td>
<td>There is a growing body of evidence supporting the effectiveness of bupropion slow release as an aid to smoking cessation; 6-month point prevalence smoking cessation rates ranged from 25% to 49%</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holtzman et al. 2004</td>
<td>Behavioural interventions for physical activity provided evidence for short-term effect only</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: criteria reported (Guide to community preventive services by Briss et al. and scale developed by Chalmers et al.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopkins et al. 2001</td>
<td>Setting/policy: smoking bans in the workplace reduce exposure Environment: increasing tobacco prices; mass media to reduce tobacco use; reducing cost of cessation therapies Providers: multicomponent health-care systems with provider reminders and education with or without self-help materials Resources: telephone cessation services combined with other components such as self-help materials as a minimum</td>
<td>Recommendations: yes  Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations and evidence: community guide method (Briss et al.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howerton et al. 2007</td>
<td>School-based nutrition interventions produced a moderate increase in fruit and vegetable intake among children</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hughes et al. 2003</td>
<td>Over-the-counter NRT is pharmacologically efficacious and produces modest quit rates similar to those seen in real-world prescription practice</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jago and Baranowski 2004</td>
<td>Physical activity can be increased during school break periods; can be increased by 17–60% through existing youth organisations, summer day camps and active transportation</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamath et al. 2008</td>
<td>Paediatric obesity prevention programmes (physical activity and nutrition) caused small changes in target behaviours such as increasing physical activity, decreasing sedentary activity and decreasing unhealthy dietary behaviours. Trials of duration 6+ months and trials with post-intervention outcomes showed slightly larger effects</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr et al. 2007</td>
<td>Varenicline shown to increase cessation rates compared with placebo (and possibly bupropion slow release). Limited safety concerns</td>
<td>Recommendations: no  Evidence: no</td>
<td>Only included RCTs  Recommendations: no  Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence statements</td>
<td>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</td>
<td>Method of quality assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knai et al. 2006</td>
<td>The evidence is strongest in favour of multicomponent interventions to increase fruit and vegetable consumption in children</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: unclear</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported <a href="http://www.lshtm.ac.uk/ecohost/projects/interventions-fruit-veg.htm">a quality assessment tool (www.lshtm.ac.uk/ecohost/projects/interventions-fruit-veg.htm) was designed based on those of previous reviews by the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination of the University of York, UK</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kroeze et al. 2006</td>
<td>Overall, there seems to be potential for the application of computer tailoring for promoting healthy diets</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Only included RCTs with pre-test and post-test Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (study protocol based on the Cochrane Handbook)^437,438^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuhn et al. 1999</td>
<td>Health coalitions for tobacco reduction; decrease in smoking prevalence of 7% (10% for women &lt; 35 years of age) among low socioeconomic neighbourhoods in a large city compared with 1% in comparable neighbourhoods in another city</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (Quality assessment tool for quantitative studies developed for the EPHP)^439^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law and Tang 1995</td>
<td>Physician advice, behavioural modification techniques (relaxation, rewards and punishment, avoiding ‘trigger’ situations, etc.) used in group or individual sessions led by a psychologist and NRT are all effective for smoking cessation. Among those who seek help in cessation, the effect is greater in those who are nicotine dependent</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: unclear</td>
<td>Only included RCTs Recommendations: no Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manske et al. 2004</td>
<td>Multicomponent behavioural interventions and pharmacological treatment (NRT, bupropion) are effective for group-based smoking cessation programmes. Successful components include behavioural skills, information about smoking, self-monitoring, social support and four or more sessions of 60–90 minutes. Results did not differ between worksite or community interventions</td>
<td>Recommendations: yes Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: criteria reported (descriptive – effective, plausible and practical) Evidence: criteria reported (developed own – RCTs and quasi-experimental received high rating if met three or more quality criteria)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McArthur 1998</td>
<td>School-based interventions are effective for improving healthy eating behaviours of students; overall effect size was 0.24 (95% CI 0.17 to 0.30)</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (seven items for 18 points, higher score = higher quality)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McClure 2002 (general behaviour change)</td>
<td>Biomarkers (biological information) can produce behaviour change (motivation, intent, change) for diet, physical activity and tobacco use compared with control group (did not receive biomarker feedback). Using multiple biomarkers or one biomarker at more than one time point increased detection of behaviour change</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Only included published randomised trials Recommendations: no explicit method reported Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McRobbie et al. 2006</td>
<td>Using NRT to assist in reducing cigarette consumption before quitting Use of NRTs prior to quitting can reduce number of cigarettes smoked before quitting Five published, randomised, placebo-controlled trials with sample size of 2138 excluded no-treatment arm. Pooled results at 6–12 months: 16% using NRT vs 9% using placebo sustained reduction of ≥ 50% of baseline levels at 1 year. No meta-analysis owing to heterogeneity. 8% using NRT stopped smoking 6–12 months post randomisation vs 4% using placebo (OR 2.50; 95% CI 1.69 to 3.68)</td>
<td>Recommendation: no Evidence: unclear</td>
<td>Recommendation: no Evidence: no criteria reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence statements</td>
<td>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</td>
<td>Method of quality assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mojica et al. 2004[146]</td>
<td>Physicians, counsellors/psychologists, nurses and self-help without NRT are effective for smoking cessation. Effectiveness with NRT is doubled for most providers</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Evidence: unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan 2005[142]</td>
<td>Exercise referral schemes can increase physical activity in certain populations [not sedentary/slightly active, older adults, overweight (but not obese)] in the short term. Long-term sustainability unknown</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (quality of the study based on study design: RCT &gt; CCT; blinding not considered a factor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mullen et al. 1997[125]</td>
<td>Behavioural techniques, especially self-monitoring, with multiple communication channels, e.g. media + personal, can increase behaviour change for smoking/nutrition groups</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Evidence: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Müller-Riemenschneider et al. 2008[144]</td>
<td>Additional exercise prescription strategies, counselling and provision of materials and booster interventions by telephone or mail can facilitate long-term increases in physical activity behaviour. Unclear most effective and efficient delivery mode of booster messages. Information technologies used for booster interventions may be equally as effective as print materials</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (SIGN framework[440])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myung et al. 2007[152]</td>
<td>Nicotine patches effective for smoking abstinence at 1 year compared with placebo group: OR 1.79 (95% CI 1.55 to 2.08)</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Evidence: yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olgivie et al. 2004[147]</td>
<td>Evidence that targeted behaviour change programmes to increase walking and cycling over using cars can produce behaviour change in motivated subgroups (short-term benefits). No good evidence on health effects of intervention at population level</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olgivie et al. 2007[225]</td>
<td>Interventions that cater to people’s needs, targeted at either the most sedentary or the most motivated at the individual level (brief advice, supported use of pedometers, telecommunications), can increase walking in the short term; increase an average of 30–60 minutes/week</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (validity score between 1 and 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan 2006[154]</td>
<td>Proactive telephone counselling as an additional component to minimal intervention is effective for smoking cessation for particular subgroups (younger, male, light smokers)</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Evidence: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrella and Lattanzio 2002[150]</td>
<td>Positive associations found between counselling and adoption of physical activity, stage of change and change in physical activity level</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Evidence: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomerleau et al. 2005[228]</td>
<td>Positive effects on fruit and vegetable intake observed with face-to-face education or counselling, as well as with telephone contacts or computer-tailored information and community-based multicomponent interventions. Larger effects for those with pre-existing health disorders</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (based on tools used in previous reviews; studies of poor quality excluded)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proper et al. 2003[155] (some general health behaviour but mostly physical activity)</td>
<td>Worksite physical activity programmes can increase physical activity. No evidence for physical fitness, general health</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (Cochrane Back Review Group[445])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence statements</td>
<td>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</td>
<td>Method of quality assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow and Robinson 1997&lt;sup&gt;114&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>School setting interventions are effective</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no (effect ratios reported instead)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revere and Dunbar 2001&lt;sup&gt;116&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Computer-generated health behaviour interventions are effective when used as an adjunct to face-to-face patient care, e.g. tailored interventions had positive effects on health behaviour change compared with targeted, personalised and generic interventions. Computer-generated health behaviour interventions as extensions of face-to-face patient care in ambulatory settings are effective</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (created own system, 10 points maximum, minimum 5 for inclusion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice 1999&lt;sup&gt;143&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Nurses as intervention provider can increase smoking cessation. Modest effects at 6 months or longer; no evidence that intensiveness of intervention made any difference to effect</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Only RCTs included Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (Cochrane Handbook&lt;sup&gt;145&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritvo et al. 1997&lt;sup&gt;144&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Family physician/practice setting can enhance smoking cessation; NRT can double effect of physician intervention alone</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roe et al. 1997&lt;sup&gt;222&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Interventions in school, workplace, primary care and the community with diet only or diet and exercise showed sustained effect on diet-related outcomes, e.g. reduction of dietary fat as a percentage of total energy intake Behavioural theories and goals rather than information alone, personal contact with individuals or groups, degree of personalisation, feedback, multiple contacts and social support were features of effective healthy eating interventions</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>In most settings, only included controlled experimental and quasi-experimental studies Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive – more weight given to well-designed and well-conducted studies)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;210&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>School setting can increase physical activity for children and adolescents by focusing on physical education, activity breaks and family strategies. Family settings demonstrated weak positive effects</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: unclear</td>
<td>Sample size of &gt; 16; RCT, group randomised trial or quasi-experimental study design Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (methodological limitations described)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seymour et al. 2004&lt;sup&gt;216&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Workplace and university point-of-purchase interventions can affect behaviour change (e.g. food choices), Grocery stores were the least effective setting. Interventions in ‘limited access’ sites (i.e. with fewest choices for food) had greatest effect</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (research design rated using published rating schemes; studies with serious methodological limitations excluded)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepherd et al. 2001&lt;sup&gt;221&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>‘Whole school’ approach can promote healthy eating; this includes availability of health foods and classroom activities with information on nutrition. These may be effective, particularly among young women</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (Jadad scale&lt;sup&gt;229&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiffman and Ferguson 2008&lt;sup&gt;158&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Nicotine patch use before quitting smoking compared with starting on quit day is effective for cessation. Patches double the odds of quitting at 6 weeks (pooled OR 1.96, 95% CI 1.31 to 2.93) and 6 months (pooled OR 2.17, 95% CI 1.46 to 3.22)</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shilts et al. 2004&lt;sup&gt;213&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Goal setting shows some positive effects for promoting dietary and physical activity behaviour change in adults, whereas evidence of effect in adolescents and children was limited</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Experimental, quasi-experimental or pre-experimental studies were included Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (grading – methodological quality rated with four-letter rating system designating research qualities)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence statements</td>
<td>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</td>
<td>Method of quality assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smedslund et al. 2004&lt;sup&gt;228&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Workplace settings for smoking cessation are effective in the short term; however, effects decrease over time and are not sustained beyond 12 months. The adjusted random effects OR was 2.03 (95% CI 1.42 to 2.90) at 6 months’ follow-up, 1.56 (95% CI 1.17 to 2.07) at 12 months’ follow-up and 1.33 (95% CI 0.95 to 1.87) at &gt; 12 months’ follow-up</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Studies analysed according to randomised and non-randomised. The non-randomised studies show a much stronger effect (OR 4.65) than the randomised ones (OR 1.74) Recommendations: no Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sørensen et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;122&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Exercise on prescription can increase physical activity levels compared with control groups (the level of physical activity was significantly increased for patients in half of the studies reviewed). Limited effectiveness evidence on intensive vs less intensive interventions; unknown if sustainable for everyday use in general practice</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (studies rated high, medium or low quality; all studies included)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas et al. 2003&lt;sup&gt;223&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Schools can promote healthy eating (hands-on learning, parent/teacher involvement) for children aged 4–10 years. Fruit consumption easier to increase than vegetable consumption; important that health benefits were not emphasised; interventions focused on fruits and vegetables only</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (EPPI-Centre health promotion review criteria (four factors))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas et al. 2004&lt;sup&gt;274&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Schools can be effective in increasing physical activity of children by balancing aerobic activity and skill development. Actual differences and clinical significance unknown. Involving parents had mixed results. Teachers’ skills need addressing</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: unclear</td>
<td>RCTs and cohort studies included Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (methodological limitations assessed, only strong studies described)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorogood et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;226&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Workplaces and churches can reduce fat intake and increase fruit and vegetable intake (small positive effects). Community-based interventions showed little effect. Short-term effects may not be sustained</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (own method for assessing methodological quality)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandelanotte et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;206&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Website-delivered physical activity interventions can increase physical activity. Better outcomes with five or more contacts and short follow-up times. Effects were small and only short-term</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van den Berg et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;256&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Internet-based physical activity interventions tailored to individuals may be effective compared with waiting list control. Self-monitoring and feedback used; longer-term effects unknown</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Only RCTs included Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (rating – list based on Cochrane Back Review Group)&lt;sup&gt;444&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Bij et al. 2002&lt;sup&gt;235&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Home-based, group-based and educational physical activity interventions can increase physical activity, but effect was small and short term</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: uncertain</td>
<td>Only RCTs included Recommendations: no Evidence: methodological rigour evaluated but not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Sluijs et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;137&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>School-based interventions with involvement of family or community and multicomponent interventions can increase physical activity in adolescents</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: unclear</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (only studies with high methodological quality included)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VanWormer and Boucher 2004&lt;sup&gt;275&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Motivational interviewing plus education moderately effective for diet behaviour change compared with education alone. Reduced fat and sodium intake and increased fruit and vegetable intake; longer-term maintenance unknown</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (simplified version of the American Diabetes Association evidence grading system)&lt;sup&gt;445&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VanWormer et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;233&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Telephone-based counselling can increase fruit and vegetable consumption and reduce dietary fat intake compared with usual care; effects particularly evident among women with (or at high risk for developing) cancer. Not enough evidence to recommend over other forms of dietary counselling; best used as adjunct to clinical care</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Only RCTs included Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (American Diabetes Association evidence grading system)&lt;sup&gt;445&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence statements</td>
<td>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</td>
<td>Method of quality assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagena et al. 2005&lt;sup&gt;165&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Nortriptyline is effective for smoking cessation compared with placebo. Higher prolonged abstinence rates after at least 6 months (RR 2.4, 95% CI 1.7 to 3.6; RD 0.11, 95% CI 0.07 to 0.15). Lower cost than bupropion</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Only RCTs included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;177&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Four trials showed that monetary incentives on food purchases, food consumption or weight loss had a positive effect; goal was to reduce financial barriers or reward behaviour change (e.g. farmers’ market coupons, payment for weight loss)</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Only RCTs included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. 2008&lt;sup&gt;142&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NRT-supported reduction in smoking can result in long-term (12-month) abstinence. The 12-month sustained abstinence success rate was approximately 5.3% with NRT vs 2.6% with placebo.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (score between 7 and 11; Items from the Delphi list&lt;sup&gt;449&lt;/sup&gt; + Jadad criteria&lt;sup&gt;429&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wantland et al. 2004&lt;sup&gt;411&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>One time web-based intervention can improve positive outcomes for knowledge and behaviour change compared with non-web-based interventions. Studies demonstrated heterogeneity.</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: no</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (quality appraisal guidelines in Report No. 4 of the NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination&lt;sup&gt;450&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White and Moody 2006&lt;sup&gt;410&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Auricular acupuncture may be effective for smoking cessation compared with control interventions (OR 2.24, 95% CI 1.61 to 3.10); effect may not depend on point location</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: no</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (reviewer developed points system)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilcox et al. 2001&lt;sup&gt;183&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Health-care settings can improve outcomes for physical activity, dietary fat, BMI or weight, albeit these are modest changes. Effects larger for older adults (&gt; 50 years) and for studies with shorter follow-up times (&lt; 6 months)</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Majority were RCTs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: no</td>
<td>Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams et al. 2007&lt;sup&gt;195&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Exercise referral schemes can increase physical activity in sedentary people. A statistically significant increase was observed in the proportion of participants doing moderate exercise (combined RR 1.20, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.38)</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive and scored out of a maximum of 34 on quality scale)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams et al. 2008&lt;sup&gt;197&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Telephone counselling (brief and intensive) can increase physical activity, especially when tailored and theory based; individual studies support walking prescriptions to increase walking; mass media campaigns can increase knowledge and awareness but unclear if they can initiate individual behaviour change</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Only RCTs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: no</td>
<td>Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woolacott et al. 2002&lt;sup&gt;158&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NRT and bupropion are effective for smoking cessation</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;159&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NRT, bupropion and varenicline can assist smoking cessation; hierarchy varenicline &gt; bupropion &gt; NRTs (gum, patch) &gt; placebo</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive quality of studies described)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Evidence statements</th>
<th>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</th>
<th>Method of quality assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohen et al. 1998&lt;sup&gt;128&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Brief structured counselling in primary care settings and dental services followed by clear recommendations to stop smoking and advice on NRTs is cost-effective when performed routinely</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (descriptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efford et al. 2001&lt;sup&gt;177&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Brief counselling in primary care setting</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: no</td>
<td>Evidence: no explicit method reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorgojo Jimenez et al. 2003&lt;sup&gt;114&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Bupropion and NRT plus medical advice and support are effective and cost-effective for smoking cessation. Medical practitioners to advise and support cessation</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
<td>Recommendations: no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence: no</td>
<td>Evidence: criteria reported (high-quality interventions included)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Evidence statements</td>
<td>Quality reported (yes or no/unclear)</td>
<td>Method of quality assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranney et al. 2006153</td>
<td>Self-help alone is not effective in smoking cessation; however, counselling and pharmacotherapy, either alone or combined with each other, are effective in smoking cessation</td>
<td>Recommendations: yes Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: criteria reported (strength of evidence using categories (strong, sufficient, insufficient) based on criteria from the Task Force on Community Preventive Services435) Evidence: criteria reported (US Preventive Services Task Force452 and the NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination450)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish Council on Technology Assessment in Health Care 2007201</td>
<td>Advice and counselling by health-care professionals can increase physical activity by 12–50% for at least 6 months after the counselling session; more frequent, intensive counselling can additionally increase physical activity; counselling plus prescribed physical activity, diaries, pedometers and information brochures also increase physical activity; lifestyle-focused interventions reinforce the increase in activity; multicomponent school interventions, theory-based behavioural interventions and structured exercise programmes increase physical activity compared with usual care</td>
<td>Recommendations: yes Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: criteria reported (evidence grades 1, 2 and 3) Evidence: criteria reported (weak, medium, strong)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van den Brul et al. 2004131</td>
<td>For smoking, recommended brief interventions – doctor or nurse (for every 50 smokers advised, one will stop smoking); individual counselling (for every 25 people, one will stop smoking); group counselling; telephone counselling (for every 40 people, one will stop smoking); NRT and bupropion (effective and cost-effective). Group counselling &gt; self-help; group counselling &lt; individual counselling; group counselling + NRT = group counselling alone Self-help found not to be effective Not enough evidence for aversion therapy, exercise therapy, hypnotherapy or acupuncture</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: yes</td>
<td>Recommendations: no Evidence: criteria reported (Cochrane based)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; CCT, clinical controlled trial; CI, confidence interval; CONSORT, Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials; NRT, nicotine replacement therapy; OR, odds ratio; PE, physical education; RCT, randomised controlled trial; RD, risk difference; RR, risk ratio; SIGN, Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network.
## Appendix 11

### Table of extracted evidence statements on ethnicity from the 40 international systematic reviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Overarching statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cochrane</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Bala et al. 2008<sup>121</sup> | No consistent relationship seen between campaign effectiveness and age, education, ethnicity or gender  
Highest smoking prevalence reported for African Americans |
| Brunner et al. 2007<sup>232</sup> | Dietary change may depend as much on wider determinants (access and availability of foods) as on information and motivation  
Reduction in fat intake shown for minority ethnic group in one trial |
| Dobbins et al. 2009<sup>142</sup> | Additional subgroup analysis of differences in physical activity by gender, age and ethnicity is required  
Research is needed to assess impact of strategies to increase physical activity that account for barriers and facilitators among children and adolescents of various ethnicities |
| Foster et al. 2005<sup>214</sup> | Included studies that did not examine the effect of intervention on participants from various ethnic groups  
(effectiveness of interventions in ethnic groups unknown) |
| Stead et al. 2006<sup>136</sup> | Targeted advertising may increase calls to smoking quit lines from ethnic groups  
Did not detect a significant increased benefit from either counselling or materials designed for African Americans |
| **Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects** |  |
| Adams and White 2003<sup>196</sup> | Innovative strategies are required to recruit and retain hard-to-reach participants, such as those from ethnic minority groups |
| Ammerman et al. 2001<sup>229</sup> | Few studies were designed to allow for examination of the efficacy of intervention for ethnic subgroups (limited)  
Lacking good-quality research on dietary interventions for minority populations  
Few studies designed to be culturally or ethnically specific  
Studies that were designed to be culturally or ethnically specific (five studies) reported significant decreases in fat intake compared with studies that were not culturally or ethnically specific (magnitude unknown as insufficient number of studies to explore this) |
| Ammerman et al. 2002<sup>220</sup> | Sodium intake reduction interventions show greater effects in African Americans  
Discusses the importance of cultural tailoring and how culture influences people’s health experiences and choices and calls for greater attention to account for these differences when interventions are designed. Intervention choices should include factors such as ‘settings, culture, spirituality/religion affiliations and primary language’  
Discuss one included study aimed at native Hawaiians which did undertake cultural tailoring  
Reported that there were differential findings of interventions according to demographic factors including race in those studies which undertake this examination  
Focusing on particular demographic segments of the populations including racial/ethnic groups may also help to minimise attrition |
| Blue and Black 2006<sup>211</sup> | Discusses the importance of cultural tailoring and how culture influences people’s health experiences and choices and calls for greater attention to account for these differences when interventions are designed. Intervention choices should include factors such as ‘settings, culture, spirituality/religion affiliations and primary language’  
Discuss one included study aimed at native Hawaiians which did undertake cultural tailoring  
Reported that there were differential findings of interventions according to demographic factors including race in those studies which undertake this examination  
Focusing on particular demographic segments of the populations including racial/ethnic groups may also help to minimise attrition |
| Breckon et al. 2008<sup>168</sup> | Trials with ‘perfect fidelity’ for physical activity may not be adaptable across different cultures and therefore lack applicability in clinical practice |
| Brunton et al. 2003<sup>179</sup> | Ethnicity was not often reported compared with age and sex of children for physical activity interventions  
Views of children from minority ethnic groups are needed  
Little is known about how different social factors, such as gender, social class and ethnicity, interact and where and how to intervene successfully  
Girls and children from minority ethnic groups more restricted in their use of public space |
| Clemmens et al. 2004<sup>144</sup> | Interventions should be culturally sensitive and more context specific to the population  
Largest effect on reduction of television viewing hours was among African American girls (for reduction in obesity) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Overarching statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contento et al. 1995</td>
<td>More research needed to reach disadvantaged groups, e.g. non-white, with regard to diet Nutrition education should be tailored to the audience; segmentation should take into account under-represented populations, e.g. African Americans, Asian Americans Offering bilingual programmes may be effective; in some cases more extensive cultural adaptation may be necessary Ethnic and cultural differences in motivators need more investigation to build a culturally competent agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coruh et al. 2005</td>
<td>African Americans who attend church once a week have a greater life expectancy Faith-based collaborations may improve health outcomes in the African American community, e.g. fruit and vegetable intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeMattia et al. 2007</td>
<td>Ethnicity was adjusted in determining intervention effect (statistical adjustment) (intervention to limit sedentary behaviours)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dishman and Buckworth 1996</td>
<td>Racial and ethnic minorities are under-represented in past research studies on physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobbins et al. 2001</td>
<td>Research is needed to assess the impact of physical activity strategies among children and adolescents, particularly those from various ethnic backgrounds Black girls found to be less active than white girls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faith et al. 2007</td>
<td>The availability of a supermarket in African American communities was associated with meeting dietary recommendations for fruit and vegetable intake, total fat intake and saturated fat intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster and Hillsdon 2004</td>
<td>Ethnicity may mediate the observed effect on stair use in one of the included studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillson and Thorogood 1996</td>
<td>Brisk walking holds potential for increasing activity levels as it is most likely to be adopted by a range of ethnic groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holtzman et al. 2004</td>
<td>Questions of the review specifically considered to what extent these interventions had been delivered to ethnic minority populations, whether or not the efficacy differed for these populations and what factors mediated the effectiveness Included studies which compared ethnic minority and general population participants Quality assessment included whether or not the studies described the population participating well, including race/ethnicity; commented that in the studies where it was reported, all but two of the studies had majority white populations Advised that improved reporting of who the participants were, including their race/ethnicity, would help greatly in assessing the external validity of the results Proposed a model where cultural factors can be one of three targets for creating behaviour change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopkins et al. 2001</td>
<td>Florida campaign provided evidence on the effectiveness of mass media campaigns among black populations Two studies found black adolescents more responsive to produce pricing (smoking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howerton et al. 2007</td>
<td>Statistically adjusted for race, sex and duration of intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jago and Baranowski 2004</td>
<td>Five-a-day achievement badges led to increase in fruit and vegetable intake among African American boy scouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kroese et al. 2006</td>
<td>No significant differences in effects between different tailored interventions for African Americans Work with minority groups may require coalitions as a prerequisite for delivering health promotion strategies for smoking cessation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuhn et al. 1999</td>
<td>Limited evidence of interventions targeted at ethnic minority groups Failure to work with community advisory groups can leave the community feeling that the project is not relevant to their culture and context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McArthur 1998</td>
<td>Lack of research focused on ethnic minorities African Americans are at a greater risk than Caucasians for CVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan 2005</td>
<td>Few studies collected information on ethnicity; results unlikely to apply to all populations. Some groups (e.g. Muslim women) may need different opportunities or settings for exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Müller-Riemenschneider et al. 2008</td>
<td>Cultural adaptation of materials seems to increase effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomerleau et al. 2005</td>
<td>Studies targeting smaller, specific communities including African American churches had larger effects on fruit and vegetable intake than general population interventions One intervention showed that culturally sensitive multicomponent self-help materials plus telephone motivational interviewing was more effective than standard nutrition education materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roe et al. 1997</td>
<td>Limited evidence of interventions targeted at ethnic minority groups Further effort needed to develop and evaluate healthy eating interventions in ethnic minority populations in different settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon et al. 2007</td>
<td>Further tailoring of physical activity for children from different ethnic backgrounds may be necessary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Source Overarching statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Overarching statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seymour et al. 2004</td>
<td>One study showed increased fruit and vegetable intake for members of African American churches in a multicomponent intervention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Thomas et al. 2003 | Children from ethnic minority groups were not well represented in healthy eating studies looking at barriers and facilitators  
|                  | Studies said little about reducing health inequalities  
|                  | Results not reported according to different subgroups  
|                  | This represents an area for further research  
|                  | Unclear how findings can be applicable to children from ethnic minority groups because of lack of reporting on ethnicity  
|                  | Relative impact of interventions unknown because not reported on in primary studies                                                                                                                                   |
| Thomas et al. 2004 | Noted that few studies report the effect of factors, including culture, on the outcomes of interventions and acknowledges that these factors can result in differential outcomes  
|                  | Recommends that all studies should include analysis according to contextual factors, including culture, when determining their impact                                                                                     |
| van Sluijs et al. 2007 | No intervention effect was found for interventions targeting girls or ethnic minority groups  
|                  | Inconsistent evidence on association between ethnicity and physical activity in children, even though a third of the studies were targeted at ethnic groups  
|                  | Levels of physical activity tend to be lower in non-white ethnic groups, yet no interventions were identified that targeted adolescents from minority ethnic groups  
|                  | Research should focus on filling the gaps, i.e. the lack of studies among adolescent ethnic minority populations and preschool children, and interventions outside the school setting |
| Wall et al. 2006 | No studies to date have assessed the effects of incentives on dietary behaviour according to ethnic group, or measured cost-effectiveness  
|                  | Need for further RCTs to measure effectiveness of pricing strategies for dietary modification in ethnically diverse populations (who often experience higher rates of nutrition-related diseases) |
| Wilcox et al. 2001 | Interventions targeted to a population can produce significant effects                                                                                                                                                |
|                  | More studies need to address the effectiveness of physical activity and dietary counselling delivered in health-care settings with ethnically diverse individuals |
| Williams et al. 2008 | Comparable results were found between an individually tailored, theory-based intervention and one that was not individually tailored among racial ethnic minority women |

**National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment database**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Overarching statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Hellenius 2007  | Future research should take into consideration the ethical and social aspects, including the relationship between gender and ethnic background and physical activity  
|                  | No study has been designed to detect differences in effect with regard to ethnicity and the different methods suited to promote physical activity with various ethnic groups |
| Ranney et al. 2006 | Smokers from members of minority groups achieved higher rates of abstinence with a nasal spray  
|                  | A review of interventions specifically designed for particular racial or ethnic groups demonstrated the efficacy of a variety of smoking cessation interventions for minority populations  
|                  | Members of racial and ethnic minorities should be provided with effective treatments as an earlier review showed that smoking cessation treatments are effective across different racial and ethnic minorities |

CVD, cardiovascular disease; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
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Search strategy

1. ethnic minorit*
2. (BME or black ethnic minorit* or black minorit* ethnic*)
3. asylum seeker*
4. (migrant* or immigrant*)
5. mixed race*
6. cultur*
7. (multicultural or multi-cultural)
8. (cross-cultural or coss-cultural)
9. (trans-cultural or transcultural)
10. (multi-rac* or multirac*)
11. (multiethnic or multi-ethnic)
12. refugee*
13. (multi-lingu* or multilingu*)
14. (ethno-cultur* or ethnocoltur*)
15. (socio-cultural or sociocultural)
16. (divers* or diverse population* or cultural diversity)
17. (south asian* or bangladeshi* or pakistani* or indian* or sri lankan*)
18. (asian* or east asian* or chinese or taiwanese or vietnamese or korean* or japanese)
19. (afro-caribbean* or african-caribbean* or caribbean or african* or black* or afro*)
20. (islam* or hindu* or Sikh* or buddhis* or muslim* or moslem* or christian* or catholic* or jew*)
21. exp Ethnic Groups/or ethnic group*
22. ((ethnic or linguistic) adj diversity)
23. (transient adj (group* or population*))
24. acculturation
25. (faith* or belief* or religion*)
26. or/1–26
27. (health promotion or health promotion intervention* or promot* health)
28. ((intervention* adj5 health) or (health adj5 intervention*) or interven*)
29. Health education.mp. or exp Health Education/
30. prevention
31. public health.mp. or exp Public Health/
32. community intervention*
33. ((community-level or community level) adj5 intervention*)
34. (health* adj (behaviour or behavior))
35. or/28–35
36. ((physical adj5 activit*) or exercise*)
37. ((weight adj5 (management or control)) or weight)
38. (diet* or diet* modification or diet* change* or nutrition* or food*)
39. (smoking or smoking cessation or stop smoking or smoking reduction)
40. exp Life Style/
41. lifestyle*
42. (fruit* or vegetable*)
43. (activit* or inactivit*)
44. (food habit* or healthy eat*)
46. exp Obesity/
47. (obes* or overweight)
48. or/37–47
49. (adapt* or modif* or sensitiv* or target* or chang* or alter*)
50. 27 and 36 and 48
51. limit 50 to (English language and humans)
52. 51 and 49
# Appendix 13

## MEDLINE search results

Search carried out at Warwick University via OvidSP.

Ovid MEDLINE(R) 1950 to August week 3 2009.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Searches</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(ethnic or minorit*).ab,ti.</td>
<td>59,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(BME or black ethnic minorit* or black minorit* ethnic*).mp.</td>
<td>416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>asylum seeker*.ab,ti.</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(migrant* or immigrant*).ab,ti.</td>
<td>17,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>race*.mp. or racial.ab,ti. [mp=title, original title, abstract, name of substance word, subject heading word]</td>
<td>70,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>cultur*.ab,ti.</td>
<td>648,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(multicultural or multi-cultural).ab,ti.</td>
<td>1407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(cross-cultural or crosscultural).ab,ti.</td>
<td>4767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(trans-cultural or transcultural).ab,ti.</td>
<td>1258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(multi-rac* or multirac*).ab,ti.</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(multiethnic or multi-ethnic).ab,ti.</td>
<td>2384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>refugee*.ab,ti.</td>
<td>4487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(multi-lingu* or multilingu*).ab,ti.</td>
<td>441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(ethno-cultur* or ethnocultur*).ab,ti.</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(socio-cultural or sociocultural).ab,ti.</td>
<td>5313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>(divers* or diverse population* or cultural diversity).ab,ti.</td>
<td>164,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>(south asian* or bangladeshi* or pakistani* or indian* or sri lankan*).mp.</td>
<td>53,496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>(asian* or east asian* or chinese or taiwanese or vietnamese or korean* or japanese).mp.</td>
<td>185,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>(afro-caribbean* or african-caribbean* or caribbean or african* or black* or afro*).mp.</td>
<td>156,091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>(islam* or hindu* or Sikh* or buddh* or muslim* or mohammed* or christian* or catholic* or jew*).ab,ti.</td>
<td>20,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>ethnic group*.mp.</td>
<td>45,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>(ethnic or linguistic) adj diversity).ab,ti.</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>(transient adj (group* or population*)).ab,ti.</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>acculturation.ab,ti.</td>
<td>1925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>(faith* or belief* or religion*).ab,ti.</td>
<td>44,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>or/1–25</td>
<td>1,292,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>intervention*.ab,ti.</td>
<td>329,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>(health promotion or promot* health).ab,ti.</td>
<td>14,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Health education.mp. or exp Health Education/</td>
<td>119,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>prevent*.ab,ti.</td>
<td>666,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>(health* adj (behaviour or behavior)).ab,ti.</td>
<td>3979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>or/27–31</td>
<td>1,042,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>(physical adj5 activit*) or exercise*.ab,ti.</td>
<td>170,662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>(weight adj5 (management or control)) or weight).ab,ti.</td>
<td>423,181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>(diet* or diet* modification or diet* change* or nutrition* or food*).ab,ti.</td>
<td>514,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>(smoking or smoking cessation or stop smoking or smoking reduction).ab,ti.</td>
<td>103,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>exp Life Style/</td>
<td>46,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>lifestyle*.ab,ti.</td>
<td>28,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Searches</td>
<td>Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>(fruit* or vegetable*).ab.ti.</td>
<td>44,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>(activ* or inactiv*).ab.ti.</td>
<td>1,676,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>(food habit* or healthy eat*).ab.ti.</td>
<td>2309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>exp Obesity/</td>
<td>95,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>(obes* or overweight).ab.ti.</td>
<td>110,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>or/33–43</td>
<td>2,699,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>26 and 32 and 44</td>
<td>36,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>limit 45 to animals</td>
<td>11,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>45 not 46</td>
<td>24,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>limit 47 to humans</td>
<td>22,759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Final file shows 22,767 entries. The file was downloaded from Ovid in sections and each time a blank entry was created. These were left in case they contained hidden EndNote coding.
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Excluded studies

Excluded systematic reviews


**Excluded theoretical papers**


Excluded empirical studies

Excluded based on health topic


**Excluded based on population**


57. Queral CB. The impact of a nutrition education program on nutrition knowledge and attitudes, as well as food selection, in a cohort of migrant and seasonal farm worker children. Diss Abstr Int B 2008;68(12-B).


Excluded based on intervention


Excluded based on adaptation


Appendix 15
Extraction form for primary studies
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Country, setting</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>Inclusion criteria</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Description of intervention and control</th>
<th>Theory, behavioural construct tailoring</th>
<th>Approaches to adaptation</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
<th>Main results</th>
<th>Author and reviewer conclusions</th>
<th>Comments (limitations, etc.)</th>
<th>Implications and learnings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Note: The table is incomplete and requires further details.
## Appendix 16

### Summaries of included systematic reviews of adapted interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Whitt-Glover and Kumanyika 2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title</strong></td>
<td>Systematic review of interventions to increase physical activity and physical fitness in African-Americans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aim of/objectives of/questions addressed in the review</strong></td>
<td>To identify characteristics of effective interventions designed to increase physical activity or fitness among African Americans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Number of studies included in the review** | Twenty-nine studies with African American adults  
Fourteen studies with African American children |
| **Design of included studies** | Controlled trials and RCTs |
| **Interventions included** | Physical activity and fitness |
| **Settings included** | For adults: churches and other community locations such as YMCAs, community centres or public housing projects chosen for convenience for African Americans; health-care settings – primary care, hospitals and medical centres  
For children: schools, community centres, churches, summer camps, internet programmes, neighbourhood clinics, medical centres, etc. |
| **Population included** | African American |
| **Date** | 1985–2006 |
| **Key findings** | This systematic review focused on increasing physical activity among African American adults and children. In total, 40 of the 43 studies indicated some degree of cultural adaptation. There is evidence that physical activity and dietary interventions which include educational components, including written materials; involving group sessions; and utilising behaviour change theory are successful in improving physical activity and dietary outcomes. The most minimal degree of adaptation was a deliberate recruitment of an African American-only study population. Other adaptations included the use of specific music, e.g. African music, gospel; dancing, e.g. hip-hop, ‘afrobics’; direct attempts to change ideas and attitudes about exercise among African American participants; consideration of cultural, social, historical, environmental and psychological factors that had been found to influence physical activity among African Americans. Intervention settings were also an important part of cultural adaptation, e.g. utilising churches. Some studies conducted focus groups and discussions before tailoring the interventions to suit the specific populations included in the study.  
Although these studies were adapted, it is unclear how cultural adaptations affected intervention results. Only three studies evaluated the effect of utilising a culturally tailored programme compared with the same programme with no or fewer adaptations. The results from these studies indicted that none of the studies showed statistically significant differences between culturally adapted or unadapted interventions |
| **Conclusions** | The findings of this review suggest that explicit cultural adaptations do not necessarily result in better physical activity outcomes. The review identifies factors that could have influenced the intervention findings, including the use of the randomised controlled design, implementation of structured exercise programmes and the measures used to assess physical activity. The influence of these factors on the findings, including the influence of culturally adapted strategies, is unclear and requires further research |
| **Comments** | The limited number of studies with long-term follow-up suggests that, although various physical activity interventions might increase activity levels in short-term controlled circumstances, there is no evidence that these changes are sustainable. Further high-quality studies of adapted interventions are required to assess if adaptation results in significantly better outcomes |

**RCT**, randomised controlled trial; **YMCA**, Young Men’s Christian Association.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Hawthorne et al. 2008[78]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Title**

Culturally appropriate health education for type 2 diabetes mellitus in ethnic minority groups

**Aim of objectives of questions addressed in the review**

To assess the effects of culturally appropriate health education interventions for people with type 2 diabetes mellitus in ethnic minority groups

**Number of studies included in the review**

There were 11 studies in this review, all of which focused on culturally appropriate health interventions for individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus.

**Design of included studies**

RCTs

**Interventions included**

Culturally adapted type 2 diabetes mellitus health education interventions

**Settings included**

Most studies were set in deprived areas, either in rural or in inner city urban settings

**Population included**

Individuals of ethnic minority communities with type 2 diabetes mellitus of any duration of diagnosis, with or without complications of diabetes. With regard to the populations of interest to this review, there were four studies with African American-origin populations and three with South Asian-origin populations

**Date**

Until August 2007

**Key findings**

This review considered the effects of culturally appropriate health education interventions for ethnic minority populations with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Of the three primary outcome measures, blood pressure and quality of life measures showed no significant improvements, whereas glycaemic control [as measured by HbA1c (glycated haemoglobin) levels] showed improvement at 3 and 6 months; however, there was no effect at 12 months post intervention.

Of the secondary measures, knowledge scores improved significantly at 3, 6 and 12 months post intervention but there was no change in total cholesterol levels, high-density lipoprotein or low-density lipoprotein between the intervention and control groups at 3 and 6 months post intervention.

**Conclusions**

There is a lack of high-quality data on the effectiveness of culturally appropriate diabetic health education programmes for ethnic minority groups, and the data that do exist are difficult to standardise and compare in a formal meta-analysis. However, it does appear that this type of intervention is effective in improving glycaemic control and knowledge about diabetes in the short to mid-term. There is a need for long-term, well-designed multicentre RCTs that examine a range of different types of health education intervention involving different combinations of educators, link workers or advocates in a number of differing ethnic minority groups.

**Comments**

Of the 11 studies included in the review, four included African American populations and three South Asian populations. This review suggests that culturally adapted interventions may be successful among these ethnic minority populations; however, the outcomes measured in each study varied and this made assimilation of results difficult. The authors recommend that culturally appropriate interventions should be the ‘gold standard’ for health education programmes for ethnic minority populations. The strategies used for cultural adaptations ranged from tailoring education material based on levels of knowledge in the target population to using recognised theoretical models, and having suitable individuals deliver health education interventions using appropriate language when necessary.

**RCT, randomised controlled trial.**
### Study reference

Hudson 2008[^27]

### Title

An integrative review of obesity prevention in African American children

### Aim of/objectives of/questions addressed in the review

To examine attitudes and perceptions of African American children and parents, and lifestyle behaviours in African American children aged from 2 to 18 years

### Number of studies included in the review

A total of 28 studies were included, of which six were experimental RCTs, 14 were cross-sectional, two were longitudinal, five were qualitative and one was mixed

### Design of included studies

Qualitative and quantitative studies were included

### Interventions included

Obesity prevention interventions, including nutrition and physical activity

### Settings included

Any

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population included</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>1997–2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key findings

Although the review mentions culturally appropriate methods used in various studies there is not much detail in relation to qualitative and non-experimental studies. Almost all of the six RCTs were culturally adapted and included the use of dance; involved families in interventions; modified diet; used culturally appropriate newsletters, lectures and comic books; and ran day camps as well as culturally appropriate family interventions, etc. The findings of the planet health study were interesting as they demonstrated a large effect in African American females (compared with control subjects) on reducing obesity prevalence (OR 0.14, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.48), although this study did not have ethnically targeted content.

### Conclusions

The existing research on obesity prevention that targets African American children and adolescents is limited. Most studies were pilot studies and had insufficient power because of their small sample size and short-term intervention and follow-up. The author states that, although the findings of preliminary RCTs appear to be promising, the final results are still pending.

### Comments

Although the results of this review highlight the importance of the attitudes and perceptions of the African American population for successfully adapting interventions, more research is needed because of the limited number of RCTs reporting interventions for obesity prevention among African American children and adolescents.

---

[^27]: Confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
**Study reference**
Webb 2008\(^{246}\)

**Title**
Treating tobacco dependence among African Americans: a meta-analytic review

**Aim of/objectives of/questions addressed in the review**
To evaluate the overall efficacy of smoking cessation interventions among African American adults and to examine specific study characteristics and methods that influence treatment outcome

**Number of studies included in the review**
A total of 20 published and unpublished studies evaluating the efficacy of smoking cessation interventions among African Americans

**Design of included studies**
RCTs

**Interventions included**
Smoking cessation

**Settings included**
Any – ranging from clinics to churches, community locations, inpatient hospitals, mail, telephone, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population included</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>1984–2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key findings**
Studies conducted in a clinic setting by a paraprofessional yielded a treatment effect of OR 1.48 (95% CI 1.09 to 2.01) at post test whereas the effect for studies conducted in a church context was of a slightly larger magnitude: OR 1.69 (95% CI 1.08 to 2.65). However, a contrast analysis indicated that this difference was not statistically significant (contrast 1.23, 95% CI 0.73 to 3.19, \(z = 0.76, p = 0.22\)). The treatment effect for studies testing a culturally specific intervention was OR 1.47 (95% CI 1.12 to 1.91) at the post-treatment assessment. The effect of standard interventions was OR 1.34 (95% CI 0.99 to 1.82). At follow-up the effect for standard interventions was significant (OR 1.35, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.78); however, the effect for culturally specific interventions was not

**Conclusions**
The findings suggest that a positive relationship exists between smoking cessation interventions and abstinence among African American adults. Results support the overall efficacy of smoking cessation treatment targeting African Americans. The clinical benefit is meaningful in both the short and the longer term. The odds of short-term smoking cessation varied according to seven of the 11 moderators investigated (treatment type, setting, control group, cultural specificity, unit of analysis, outcome measure and biochemical verification). In the longer term, these variables did not differentially affect treatment effects. Optimal treatment conditions include paraprofessional contact and behavioural counselling conducted in either a clinic or a church setting. Treatment effects were also maximised compared with attention placebos or minimal contact controls, and when defined as 7-day PPA or abstinence at the time of assessment. The odds of abstinence were also greatest when evaluated from both the community and individual levels, and when biochemically verified

**Comments**
Homogeneity tests were not significant and variability in effect size was attributed to sampling errors. The primary treatment modalities included nicotine replacement, bupropion, individual counselling, group counselling, counsellor telephone calls, targeted or tailored print materials, community outreach, video and radio media or a combination of these formats. The ORs for culturally specific interventions do not seem to be much different from those of the standard interventions, although they seemed to have some advantage in the short term

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
### Study reference
Chen and Tang 2007

### Title
Review of smoking cessation research among Asian Americans: the state of the research

### Aim of/objectives of/questions addressed in the review
To provide an analytical review of population-based efforts to measure smoking prevalence levels among Asian Americans and peer-reviewed smoking cessation research targeting Asian Americans

### Number of studies included in the review
Four studies were included; however, the authors supplemented the review by compiling an example of resources available for population-level tobacco survey data for Asian Americans in California

### Design of included studies
Two quasi-experimental, one experimental and one non-experimental

### Interventions included
Smoking cessation

### Settings included
Any

### Population included
Asian American

### Date
1986–2005

### Key findings
This review focuses on smoking cessation interventions among Asian Americans. All four studies were culturally adapted. Two studies were large-scale interventions conducted among Vietnamese, using similar culturally adapted interventions, one resulting in a positive finding and the other showing no effect. Of the other studies included, individuals in the intervention groups had better cessation rates, quit rates and abstinence rates. *p*-values were reported only for one study, which showed that intervention subjects engaged in more quit attempts (*p* = 0.0001) and smoking cessation rates of 17% in the intervention group vs 1% in the control group.

The cultural adaptations implemented in these interventions were in language- and culturally specific print media, billboard postings, television programmes, counselling, health education materials, etc.

### Conclusions
There is very limited documented evidence of smoking cessation interventions for Asian American-origin populations. The interventions identified suggest that scientifically valid, culturally tailored and language-specific interventions are both potentially and practically effective in reducing tobacco usage among ethnically specific Asian American populations. There is a need for additional research on the effectiveness of these interventions. In addition, care must be taken not to generalise these findings to different Asian populations.

### Comments
Although this review focused on Asian Americans the majority of the included population was Vietnamese.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Shaya et al. 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Title

Addressing cardiovascular disparities through community interventions

### Aim of/objectives of/questions addressed in the review

To identify the components and impact of intervention programmes aimed at reducing cardiovascular disparities

### Number of studies included in the review

A total of 10 studies were included and all of them focused on cardiovascular disparities among African Americans

### Design of included studies

Not reported; however, studies appear to be a mix of RCTs and quasi-experimental studies

### Interventions included

CVD

### Settings included

Any

### Population included

African American

### Date

1966–2004

### Key findings

This systematic review was a descriptive review of interventions to reduce CVD among African Americans. All of the included studies showed positive results and the interventions were either culturally tailored or individually tailored. The strategies that were used for cultural tailoring included the location of the intervention – church-based interventions, barber shops, beauty salons, etc. – and liaising with the community and training lay individuals from the community to deliver health programmes. One study used the Healthier People Health Risk Appraisal (HPHRA) as a culturally appropriate recruitment strategy.

Among the studies included only one had a comparison group to evaluate the effectiveness of cultural tailoring and this showed positive effects of cultural tailoring.

The studies that used culturally adapted interventions found significant improvements in BMI, waist circumference, dietary fat, exercise, blood pressure, etc.

### Conclusions

The review concluded that these programmes are short term and their impact is short-lived. This highlights the need for sustainable programmes possibly implemented through community partnerships.

### Comments

The review focuses on CVD among African Americans; the findings are positive when the intervention is culturally tailored. It appears that the intervention setting and community involvement are important aspects of cultural tailoring.

BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Banks-Wallace and Conn 2002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title</strong></td>
<td>Interventions to promote physical activity among African American women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aim of/objectives of/questions addressed in the review</strong></td>
<td>To review interventions designed to promote physical activity among African American women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of studies included in the review</strong></td>
<td>There were 18 studies included in the review, all of which focused on increasing physical activity among African Americans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Design of included studies</strong></td>
<td>Clinical trials – seven RCTs and eight pre–post designs and three studies that compared various experimental groups with each other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interventions included</strong></td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Settings included</strong></td>
<td>Any – three neighbourhood recreation centres, three churches and clinics or hospitals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population included</strong></td>
<td>Originally intended to focus only on African American women but because of the paucity of research the authors decided to include studies in which African American women comprised at least 35% of the sample (range 41–98%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Date</strong></td>
<td>1984–2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key findings</strong></td>
<td>This study focused on increasing physical activity among African American women. Of the 18 studies, 12 were culturally adapted and nine of these 12 studies reported positive findings with regard to physical activity, weight loss or BMI. Although the results were descriptive, the findings suggest that African American women increase their physical activity in response to culturally adapted interventions; however, the sustainability is questionable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusions</strong></td>
<td>Although the number of studies designed to promote activity among African American women is growing, the study designs and measurement limitations combined with inadequate replication of intervention components prevent the existing evidence from forming a solid base for practice. Further research is needed to illuminate which components are critical for efficacious interventions among this population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comments</strong></td>
<td>This review addresses interventions to promote physical activity among African American women. The findings of the review suggest that culturally relevant strategies are effective for promoting physical activity and dietary changes in this population. The strategies for cultural adaptation focused heavily on factors related to the delivery of interventions, recruitment and choice of location, whereas minimal attention was devoted to ensure the cultural relevance of retention strategies, data collection instruments and methods of evaluation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
Appendix 17

Quality assessment of included systematic reviews of adapted interventions (Critical Appraisal Skills Programme)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Clearly focused question?</th>
<th>Right type of study?</th>
<th>Tried to identify all relevant studies?</th>
<th>Assessed quality of studies?</th>
<th>Are results combined and, if so, was it reasonable?</th>
<th>How are the results presented (OR/RR, etc.) and what is the main result?</th>
<th>How precise are the results? (CIs, p-values)</th>
<th>Can the results be applied to the local population?</th>
<th>Were all important outcomes considered?</th>
<th>Should policy change as a result of the evidence?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whitt-Glover and Kumanyika 2009</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not combined</td>
<td>Narrative (see Table 11)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>Results are relevant to our study populations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not enough evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne et al. 2008</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Weighted mean difference (see Table 11)</td>
<td>95% CIs and p-values reported and some significant results</td>
<td>Yes, UK-relevant ethnic minority groups and others relevant to study</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes – recommends cultural adaptation as a good standard for ethnic minority groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson 2008</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Not combined</td>
<td>Narrative (see Table 11)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>Results are relevant to our study populations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not enough evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webb 2008</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>OR (see Table 11)</td>
<td>95% CIs reported and some significant results</td>
<td>Results are relevant to our study populations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not enough evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen and Tang 2007</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Not combined</td>
<td>Narrative (see Table 11)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>Results are relevant to our study populations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not enough evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaya et al. 2006</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Not combined</td>
<td>Narrative (see Table 11)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>Results are relevant to our study populations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not enough evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks-Wallace and Conn 2002</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Not combined</td>
<td>Narrative (see Table 11)</td>
<td>N/R</td>
<td>Results are relevant to our study populations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not enough evidence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; N/R, not reported; OR, odds ratio; RR, risk ratio.
Appendix 18

One-page summaries of the 107 included empirical studies
Adapted smoking cessation interventions

Study reference
Li et al. 1984

Setting
USA; Baltimore City Health Department Family Planning Services

Inclusion criteria
Women smokers invited to participate. Women completed a baseline questionnaire and confirmed if the film had been viewed or physician message received (depending on condition)

Study type
RCT (however, treatment groups were formed post intervention)

Description of population
Ethnicity: 91% black; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean: 22.24 ± 5.56
n: 1179
Sex: 100% female
Income: Not reported
Other: On average smoked 10.23 ± 7.36 cigarettes per day

Description of intervention and control
Smoking cessation intervention comparing four conditions: (1) baseline questionnaire, (2) baseline questionnaire + media programme, (3) baseline questionnaire + physician message and (4) baseline questionnaire + media programme + physician message
Baseline questionnaire: Targeted heightened awareness and could have also had a motivational function; 9th- to 11th-grade reading level
Media programme: Delivered without interference with clinic activities. A movie called *The Feminine Mistake* was played continuously in the clinic waiting room. A physician message was also delivered, which was brief, was easy to deliver and required minimal training. Physician made individual risk assessment and, using a protocol, gave advice to stop smoking. Message took 3–5 minutes to deliver, provided information about the health hazards of smoking and elicited a commitment to take steps towards quitting (think about quitting, set a target date, enlist help of family and friends and throw away all cigarettes)

Theory:
Both media programme and physician message based on social learning principles of behaviour change

Approaches to adaptation
- Participants in all clinics received a pamphlet entitled ‘Millions have quit! You can too!’ designed specifically for this target population. The pamphlet featured young black females modelling the steps to quitting (techniques, tips on how to carry out the methods, emphasised alternative behaviours to smoking and offered encouragement that the client could quit)
- Posters were displayed throughout the clinic with age- and race-appropriate models encouraging women to stop smoking and emphasising risks and benefits

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: 3- and 12-month follow-up
Quit rates: Self-reported cessation rates in the two physician message conditions were three times greater than those in conditions 1 and 2 after adjusting for loss to follow-up and cotinine readings (cessation rates were 3.1, 4.8, 9.9 and 9.9 for conditions 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively). Cotinine-verified cessation rates were 0.09%, 2.4%, 3.7% and 2.1% for conditions 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. A total of 12.9% of women who received the physician message reported to have stopped smoking at 3 months, compared with 6.4% in the control conditions

Conclusions
Authors: A message from a physician or nurse-midwife about quitting and instruction on how to quit produced cessation rates two to three times higher than spontaneous quit rates. The media programme alone had a clinically but not statistically significant impact. After adjusting for confounding, the two conditions with a physician message had significant effects. Although the quit rates are conservative, participants’ success in quitting is not attributable to a desire to seek help to quit as they were recruited and entered into the study incidentally at a family planning clinic. This study also showed that self-reported behaviour may overestimate treatment effects; future studies need to incorporate biological validation. Physician anti-smoking messages warrant further investigation

Reviewers: This intervention showed greater effectiveness for the physician message than for the other conditions. This suggests that this is an area for potential development

Comments and limitations
Forty-four per cent of those reporting to be non-smokers and 24% of those reporting to be still smokers refused to provide saliva samples for validation. The authors did not explore the reasons why people did not want to provide saliva samples

RCT, randomised controlled trial.
Study reference
Jason et al. 1988

Setting
USA; West Garfield area, Chicago, IL

Inclusion criteria
Smoker; resident in West Garfield area, Chicago

Study type
RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: 96% black in experimental group; 91% black in control group; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean: experimental group: 41.8; control group: 43.2
n: 218 interested, pre- and post-intervention data available for 78 experimental and 87 control participants
Sex: 55% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
Experimental group: Television smoking cessation programme broadcast for 20 days on the mid-day and 9pm news; distribution of self-help manuals, weekly support meetings and supportive telephone calls. Television programme consisted of 2- to 4-minute broadcasts. Programme was discussed on weekend newscasts. Manuals entitled Freedom from Smoking in 20 Days were mailed out. Supportive telephone calls were made urging individuals to follow the manual and the television programme and to come to support groups. Calls lasted from 30 seconds to 15 minutes. The self-help group was co-led by a community member and psychology graduate and was designed to provide social support and to encourage use of the manual and the television programme. Group meetings were held at a neighbourhood health centre three times during the 20-day programme
Control group: Television smoking cessation programme only. Could pick up a manual or request it but were not alerted to the manual by the project staff, 100,000 manuals were distributed free to requesters in True Value Hardware stores

Theory: Not reported

Approaches to adaptation
- Low-income ‘blacks’ were thought to be good candidates for a television intervention because of a preference for television over newspapers and because they tend to rely on television for their health-care information
- A popular black news reporter and anchor provided the audience with step-by-step procedures to quit smoking

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Immediately following the end of the televised intervention and at 4 months
Quit rates: 4 months: 20% of experimental participants were abstinent compared with 9% of control participants (p<0.06)
Number of cigarettes smoked per day: Of those who were still smoking, the experimental participants smoked significantly fewer cigarettes post test than the control participants (mean 10.83 vs 14.43, p<0.01), and directionally fewer cigarettes at follow-up (mean 12.45 vs 14.57) 

Conclusions
Authors: An intensive outreach effort in combination with a large-scale media-based smoking cessation intervention was successful in achieving abstinence rates of 8% at post intervention and 20% at 4 months’ follow-up. Without the outreach intervention, only 1% of control participants quit by post intervention and 9% by the follow-up. Intensive supplementary opportunities enhanced the participation of low-income smokers in a media smoking programme. Many of the residents of these neighbourhoods will not actively participate in such programmes unless active outreach efforts are initiated, and, when they are, they can increase the chances of successful quitting

Reviewers: The intervention was successful; however, it did not feature many culturally tailored components except for the black news reporter. The authors did acknowledge that they used a television programme because it was a preferred format for the low-income African American population. We agree with the authors that it is difficult to determine exactly what accounted for the quit rate in the control group, who received minimal contact, did not receive a manual and watched fewer programmes. However, it would appear that active outreach is required to increase rates of quitting

Comments and limitations
Not all residents had telephones so the sample might not have been representative. High attrition and differences in pre-intervention motivation levels may explain the difference in cessation rates; however, it could be due to social desirability given the greater contact that experimental participants had with the investigators. There was no biochemical confirmation of the results. About half of the smokers contacted were not willing to talk about the project, as the authors hypothesised, because telephone interviewers were identified as not black. The authors suggested that residents were cautious about talking to strangers on the telephone because their neighbourhood is frequently visited by outsiders (e.g. social workers, police) who are often viewed with suspicion by the community

RCT, randomised controlled trial.
### Study reference

Lacey et al. 1991

### Setting

USA; Chicago, IL, four low-income housing developments

### Inclusion criteria

Black women aged 18–39 years living in four Chicago Housing Authority low-income public housing projects

### Study type

Pre–post

### Description of population

- **Ethnicity:** Black; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- **Age (years):** Range 18–39, but older people were allowed to participate
- **n:** 235
- **Sex:** Classes: women 46, men 15 (61 registered, but only 56 completed by attending at least one class – five men did not attend); visitations: women 117, men 57
- **Income:** Not reported

### Description of intervention and control

Intervention was part of a large 20-week televised smoking cessation programme conducted in Chicago from 1986 to 1989. Interested viewers were asked to register and a manual was provided. The supplemental intervention’s key objective was to establish interpersonal contact with the target population using women from the community employed as lay health educators to liaise with community organisations and disseminate information about the times of televised segments and the location of supplemental intervention classes. Residents were recruited door to door and encouraged to register. Two intervention strategies were devised: a reminder visitation programme and smoking cessation classes. Reminder visitations were carried out in all four housing developments and classes in three of them. The visitation programme consisted of weekly visits during the course of the television series (over 20 weeks). Reminder cards were left with participants to watch the television programme. The six smoking cessation classes were based on a curriculum that followed the television programme but with population-specific content

**Theory:** Theoretical support for the use of community members as lay health educators came from innovation diffusion theory and was most fully developed in the health communication–behaviour change model. The basic tenet is that the effectiveness of health institutions as change agents can be enhanced when their message is communicated by influential local members of the target group

### Approaches to adaptation

- **Smoking cessation classes were conducted in a facility considered a trusted source of health information**
- **The use of community residents familiar with the areas and residents was critical for health promotion. Lay health workers have had positive effects in modifying selected health behaviours of low-income minority women. These individuals can help to reduce the cultural resistance to new ideas or practices**

### Outcome measures and results

- **Follow-up:** Pre and post intervention (time frame not clear)
- **Quit rates:** Of 56 participants in smoking cessation classes, three quit by the end of the intervention. Of 174 allocated for visitation, 85 participated. None of the women in the reminder group quit smoking immediately after the intervention

### Conclusions

**Authors:** The intervention was successful at a community level as indicated by the active involvement and acceptance of the programme by community organisations, groups and prominent individuals; the recruiting of lay health educators; effective dissemination of the programme; and integration of the programme into existing health promotion activities within nurse-run Health Promotion Centres of housing developments in target communities. This approach can be replicated in communities with similar characteristics. At an individual level results were less conclusive or clear-cut. The quit rate was small. Baseline data indicated this population was not motivated to quit

**Reviewers:** We appreciate the fact that the authors have commented on community and individual successes separately. Having someone personally solicit you to attend a class is much more personal, especially if you can relate to them. It appears that one of the main problems, as described by the authors, is that the population was, in fact, not motivated to quit smoking in light of their competing interests and the fact that smoking is regarded quite positively within their community

### Comments and limitations

Central to lay health workers’ ability to perform this bridging function is their position as local experts on a relevant topic in naturally occurring social networks. In this position, a locally influential individual is able to facilitate learning and to influence community residents to accept changes. Importantly, focus groups found that smoking is not perceived as a major health threat for this population; rather, smoking had a positive value as it is associated with positive life experiences in general life. The results of this study suggest that in this type of community the lay health educator may be well suited for active, close community contact that can eventually address the motivation issues. Social influences are barriers to smoking cessation, e.g. targeted marketing, etc.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Ahijevych and Wewers 1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>USA; Ohio, urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria</td>
<td>African American women, cigarette smokers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study type</td>
<td>RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of population</td>
<td>Ethnicity: African origin; not reported how ethnicity assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age (years): Mean: 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n: 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex: 100% women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Income: 48% income &lt; US$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other: 52% high school education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of intervention and control</td>
<td>The intervention group (n = 21) received weekly mailings (four in total) of printed smoking cessation materials (including <em>Quit for Life</em>, <em>Freedom from Smoking for You and Your Family</em> and <em>Don’t Let Your Dreams Go up in Smoke</em>). A lay African American facilitator and ex-smoker also contacted participants to clarify information and provide them with encouragement (four calls over the 4-week period). There were two control groups: in one participants (n = 22) received ‘one-time’ advice only in which a nurse investigator advised individuals to make an effort to stop smoking in the next 6 weeks and in the other participants (n = 21) were informed that further information would be collected in 6 weeks time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory:</td>
<td>The authors do not specifically discuss theory but do say that homogeneity in economic, cultural and social characteristics between client and provider has been identified as important in increasing the success of quit smoking programmes and this influenced the approach they undertook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approach to adaptation</td>
<td>Exploratory group of African American women met and their preferences for smoking cessation were incorporated into this intervention. There were four weekly mailings of printed smoking cessation materials and a female lay African American facilitator who was an ex-smoker contacted participants to clarify information and provide encouragement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome measures and results</td>
<td><strong>Follow-up:</strong> Baseline to 6 weeks and 12 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Number of cigarettes smoked per day:</strong> The intervention group reported a decrease in the average number of cigarettes smoked per day (13.6, 11.4 and 9.9 at baseline and 6 and 12 weeks, respectively) that neared significance (p = 0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td>Authors: The authors felt that the intervention had some success. Although there were non-significant trends in the average number of cigarettes smoked in the intervention and advice groups, and in mean cotinine levels in all three groups, it was the participation in the research that may have increased smoking awareness in everyone. These women were in the early stages of change and slight shifts in behaviour accompanied by setting a quit date in the next 3 months showed some success</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reviewers: It is difficult to judge the success of this intervention. There were no significant differences demonstrated but there was positive qualitative feedback on the intervention content and delivery on the exit interview. The lay facilitator was thought to be helpful and more input would have been favourable. The materials were deemed suitable and people looked forward to the programme materials being delivered. Perhaps a more intensive delivery of this type of intervention would have proven successful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments and limitations</td>
<td>As commented on by the authors, the group may not have been at the readiness to quit stage: 56% were very interested in quitting at the baseline assessment whereas the rest were somewhat or not interested. The lay facilitator was not always able to contact participants and only 13 received the weekly telephone call, only two received two telephone calls and five were not reached at all during the intervention (there were no notes written by the lay facilitator about the other intervention participant). There was a 9% attrition rate from baseline to final data collection, with the intervention group dropping from 21 to 16, the advice only group dropping from 22 to 21 and the control group dropping from 21 to 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCT, randomised controlled trial.
### Study reference

Royce et al. 1995 (Harlem Health Connection Project)

### Setting

USA; Harlem, NY; Sydenham health provider

### Inclusion criteria

Patient’s eligibility assessed from recruitment card: currently smoking cigarettes, aged > 18 years, African American self-identification. Approached patient to request an on-the-spot interview

### Study type

Pre–post

### Description of population

- **Ethnicity:** African American (sample predominantly African American, 91.4%); self-identification
- **Age (years):** Median: 37  
  \(n = 153\)
- **Sex:** 63% women  
- **Income:** Not reported

### Description of intervention and control

Aimed to increase smoking cessation rates, quit attempts and cutting down among low-income African Americans using brief clinician advice and socioculturally appropriate self-help cessation/relapse prevention materials

**Intervention:** Smokers received a personal copy of a project-designed self-help smoking cessation video and companion manual (*Kick It! Stop Smoking Guide*) as well as newsletters with smoking cessation/relapse prevention tips and monthly mailings inviting participation in stop smoking contests. The stop smoking contest format was used to send monthly ‘booster reminders’ and award prizes to winners of quit date raffles. There were ‘Quit and Win’ contests for study participants who remained smoke-free for 30 days, which was carbon monoxide validated. Participants received a distinctive bag with the project logo and a ‘quit kit’ (containing stress tips, sugarless gum and American Cancer Society headless matches). Participants were instructed to turn in their tracking sheet after their appointment. They were reminded of the exit interview and given associated incentives – 10-pack of transit tokens or a money order for US$12.50

### Outcome measures and results

- **Follow-up:** Baseline interview and 7 months (actual ranges from 6–8 months)
- **Quit rates:** Intervention group reported 21% cessation rate at follow-up
- **Quit attempts:** 66% made at least one quit attempt
- **Number of cigarettes smoked per day:** 27% decreased the number of cigarettes smoked per day

### Conclusions

**Authors:** This study found that appropriate smoking cessation/relapse prevention materials and approaches effectively reached low-income African American women and men. Smokers reported a 21% abstinence rate at 7–8 months post intervention. Designating a quit date with clinician or interviewer encouragement at baseline and being employed played a significant role in cessation. For the additional 27% of smokers who decreased cigarette intake by at least 50% there was evidence that physician advice and materials had an impact. Clinician smoking advice for every patient is said to be warranted

**Reviewers:** This study was able to show an effect on smoking cessation and a decrease in cigarette intake by 50% in those who did not quit. This is the predecessor to the Resnicow et al. 1997 RCT by the same name, which showed no effect. However, in that intervention, physician advice was not included in the trial (booster call instead)

### Comments and limitations

Incentives for completing recruitment card included a US$250 cash draw and access to a freephone Harlem Health Connection telephone number that offered information about Harlem health and social service organisations. Limitations include the pre–post design without comparison group and the fact that smoking status was not biochemically validated. The sample, although not a probability sample, is representative of the population of Harlem but has limited transferability to other dissimilar populations. Accuracy of patient reports of clinician advice vs clinician reports of giving advice is unknown
### Study reference
Stillman et al. 1993; Voorhees et al. 1996

### Setting
USA; East Baltimore, MD

### Inclusion criteria
African American churchgoers from 22 churches attending baseline health fair

### Study type
Cluster RCT

### Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Mean: intensive 46 (standard deviation 11.5), minimal 47 (standard deviation 13.5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>22 churches randomly assigned, one dropped out (11 intensive culturally specific intervention; 10 minimal self-help intervention), n = 350 (n = 199 intensive, n = 93 minimal, n = 48 lost to follow-up); n = 802 in community reference population survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Intensive 68.9% female, minimal 75.3% female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other: Baptist church most common denomination (intensive 78% Baptist, minimal 74% Baptist)

### Description of intervention and control
Intensive culturally specific intervention compared with a minimal self-help intervention for smoking cessation

**Intensive:** Between one and four pastoral sermons given on smoking, had testimony during church services from individuals going through the quit process, training of volunteers as lay smoking cessation counsellors, access to individual or group support supplemented with spiritual audiotapes containing gospel music, and baseline and follow-up health fairs. Evaluation of cardiovascular risk status including feedback about exhaled carbon monoxide levels, cholesterol levels, blood pressure and degree of overweight. Intervention contained elements for individuals in all stages of change and individuals were given tailored quit pamphlets through the churches

**Minimal:** Participants received identical baseline health fair with the same feedback about health status and were given tailored quit pamphlets through the churches

### Theory
Stages of change (Prochaska and DiClemente455) and social learning theory and a community wide social action model (described in Stillman et al.301 only)

### Approaches to adaptation
- American Lung Association pamphlet entitled Don’t Let Your Dreams Go up in Smoke, designed for African Americans
- Needs assessment phase from pastors, church prayer groups, focus group and steering group committees indicated that church members frequently used weekly devotional and inspirational booklets and were receptive to gospel music on an audio cassette – inspirational ‘sermonette’ developed by church pastor accompanying an original piece of gospel music was taped and distributed
- A smoking cessation devotional and inspirational booklet – Stop Smoking Inspirations from CURE, a day-by-day scripturally guided stop smoking booklet (10 sessions each with a different spiritual/smoking cessation theme), a specialists’ smoking cessation manual and audio tape developed
- Active involvement of pastors, existing structures within the church and church volunteers. Project staff acted only as facilitators

### Outcome measures and results

**Follow-up: Baseline and 1 year**

**Quit rates (Self-reported, quitters’ saliva cotinine and carbon monoxide validated, smoke free = carbon monoxide < 6 ppm and cotinine < 20 ng/ml).** Between groups the quit rates were not significantly different (p = 0.32). Both intensive (27.13%, \( \chi^2 = 1322, p < 0.0001 \)) and minimal (21.50%, \( \chi^2 = 63.29, p < 0.0001 \)) differed significantly from secular trends among churchgoers in the community reference population (2.87%), assessed through a cross-sectional random digit dialling telephone survey

### Conclusions
Authors: This study provides evidence that the intensive intervention was more effective in producing positive progress when controlling for demographic and baseline smoking variables. An additional positive effect was seen for Baptist churches receiving the intensive intervention. The intervention was not stage targeted but included elements for participants at every stage. Although quit rates are not statistically significantly different between the two conditions, they are clinically significant. Strong social norms and sanctions against smoking in Baptist religious organisations may explain why an intensive spiritually oriented intervention was more effective in this group

Reviewers: This study did not show a statistically significant difference between groups for smoking cessation. Smoking cessation rates for both groups were significantly increased compared with a community survey population. A church social support system may have contributed to similar cessation rates in both conditions

### Comments and limitations
Up to 1 year of follow-up, all smokers in both churches were offered the opportunity to obtain a small gift at the follow-up health fair. Method of recruitment more likely to yield a greater number of pre-contemplators (community recruitment strategies). No study limitations offered by authors. Low attrition rate possibly due to strong social networks in the church and ability to track study participants through friends

---

RCT, randomised controlled trial.
Study reference
Resnicow et al. 1997; Resnicow et al. 1997 (Harlem Health Connection Project – Kick It)

Setting
USA; Manhattan Island, Central Harlem, NY; sites selected from three institutional settings (channels) within the Harlem community: six health-care facilities, four large public housing developments and 16 churches

Inclusion criteria
Sites serving a predominantly (> 80%) low-income African American population considered. Before recruiting individuals, sites were randomly assigned to either treatment or comparison. Inclusion criteria were African American, aged ≥ 18 years, self-reported smokers, provided address or telephone number within five boroughs of New York City and completed an information card during the recruitment period. Participants were later contacted by telephone or through a personal visit and asked to complete a home interview

Study type
Cluster randomisation

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean: intervention 44, comparison 46.4 (range 20–94)
N: 9311 recruited, 3000 African American smokers; 1244 (703 intervention and 541 control) completed home interview and were included in the study, follow-up interviews obtained for 1154 (93%)
Sex: Intervention: 58% female, comparison: 65% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
Culturally sensitive, low-intensity smoking cessation intervention for low SES African Americans

Intervention: Multicomponent with self-help cessation kit including cessation guide, companion video and several quit aids. The 6-month intervention phase included bimonthly mailings related to smoking and other health concerns. Participants were scheduled to receive one booster call. The cessation guide, a self-help booklet written at fifth-grade level, was entitled Kick It! The Kick It! video comprised two parts: (1) ‘A Message from the Ancestors’ – a fictional story about two Harlem smokers who, in a dream sequence, are summoned by a tribunal of figures from black history, (2) a well-known local internist and media personality providing instruction (and modelling) on how to quit, how to maintain quit status and how to start over for relapers

Control: Health education materials not directly addressing tobacco use but, instead, substance use, HIV/AIDS (human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immune deficiency syndrome), diet, heart disease and cancer and a 10-minute cholesterol education video were developed for African Americans. Booster calls were made encouraging the use of the materials and brief motivational counselling provided dependent on participants’ stage of change

Theory: Each chapter of the booklet corresponds to one of the major stages of change delineated by Prochaska and Diclemente

Approaches to adaptation
- Interviews with community leaders and community analysis used to identify project sites
- Design of video and companion printed guide informed by formative focus group with ex-smokers living in Harlem to explore attitudes, language, experience, reactions and feelings regarding smoking and cessation. This group was also used to develop and test the project name (Kick It!) and logo
- Video script and draft guideline pre-tested with two groups of Harlem smokers
- Materials designed for inner-city African Americans with low educational attainment and income; assumed SES heterogeneity
- Messages and images in video and guide reflect the range of ethnic and cultural backgrounds found within the African American community, e.g. fictional characters wearing Kente-pattern clothing and assigned Afrocentric names, others in American clothing and with European American names

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline interview and 6 months post intervention
Quit rates: Primary outcome: point prevalence quit rate at 6 months post test: 11.2% intervention group vs 7.9% control group; not statistically significant
Quit attempts: Secondary outcome: quit attempts in past 6 months – did not differ significantly between the intervention and the control groups
Stages of change: Calculated using three items asked at baseline: (1) Do you plan on making any changes in your smoking habits in the next 6 months? (2) How much do you want to quit smoking in the next 6 months? (3) How many times in the past year have you been able to stay off cigarettes for at least 24 hours?

Conclusions
Authors: Results were mixed. No significant effects observed for the entire treatment cohort at 6 months’ follow-up
Reviewers: This study did not show a significant difference in quit rates or quit attempts between the two groups (see limitations)

Comments and limitations
Low completion rate for booster call and design limitations, follow-up data collected only at 6 months post test, point prevalence only was assessed. Longer-term follow-up would have provided a more comprehensive assessment. Quit rates determined by self-report and not validated

SES, socioeconomic status.
Setting
USA; two rural Virginia counties: Buckingham County – intervention community, Louisa County – control community
Each county has about 35 churches that were identified as primarily serving black residents

Inclusion criteria
Considered if they had smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime (current smokers were those who had smoked at least one cigarette per day for the past 7 days, occasional smokers were classified as smoking intermittently but less than one cigarette per day for the past 7 days), African American, > 17 years

Study type
Two-group pre–post test, population survey

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; how ethnicity assessed not reported
Age (years): Mean: Louisa: 41.5, Buckingham: 40.1
n: 3744, all adult members of each sampled household; 965 smokers identified, 898 selected for interview, 652 (73%) completed baseline survey.
Louisa: n = 304, Buckingham: n = 344; n = 648 personally interviewed
Sex: Louisa: 56.3% male, Buckingham: 53.5% male
Other: 90% Baptist; Louisa: employed 56.8%, high school graduate 46.3%; Buckingham: employed 59.9%, high school graduate 46.4%

Description of intervention and control
Community-based smoking cessation project with smoking cessation intervention delivered through a coalition of black churches

Intervention: Core smoking cessation programme: one-on-one counselling, self-help materials and community-wide activities provided by two smoking cessation counsellors trained from participating churches. Counsellors were also asked to discuss the programme with the congregation at large. Self-help programme designed by project staff with assistance from coalition members (Call It Quits) – based on a calendar-style handout, each page contains a goal for 1 day with 7 days preparing to quit and seven pages relevant to 7 days after quitting. Each page can be torn off and carried as a reminder of the day’s task. Counsellors trained to give brief active follow-up counselling. Guide can be used alone as a self-help guide as well. Coalitions were formed consisting of community leaders. Additional smoking cessation programmes were developed to address other local priorities, including church booklets, Gospel quit nights, educational contests in schools and an annual community-wide cessation contest

Control: Community chose to address hypertension (they were not allowed to choose smoking). Smoking was not addressed by the volunteers or by any coalition activities

Theory: Guided by principles of community empowerment

Approaches to adaptation
- Use of church coalition – churches are strong institutions in many rural African American communities
- Churches were used as sites of intervention
- Emphasised children as an influence to quit, addressed by having annual educational contests in the schools
- Church coalition members were all African Americans. Coalition assisted with design of the intervention. They purposefully chose an inclusive approach despite all members of the coalition board being African American. They did not want their name or the intervention to be exclusively associated with churches or with the African American community

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline and 18 months, door-to-door survey, population-based cohorts of smokers in each county; n = 452 (70%)
Primary: Whether or not smoking cessation interventions would increase smoking cessation rate of church members exposed to intervention (direct impact), Intervention effects measured at population level; church attendance used as proxy for exposure
Secondary: Whether or not community-wide smoking cessation rate among African Americans would also increase (indirect impact)
 Quit rates: Self-reported 1-month continuous abstinence. In text, smoking cessation rate reported as 9.6% vs 6.2% (difference 3.4%, 95% CI −1.5% to 8.4%), Elsewhere reported as 9.6% vs 5.4% (p = 0.18). Among church attendees (at least once a month), quit rate was 10.5% vs 5.9% (p = 0.20), for less frequent attendees, quit rate was 8.6% vs 6.4% (difference 1.8%, 95% CI −5.4% to 9.0%). Trend in intervention county observed for church attendees, but not significant. Adjusted OR of quitting was 1.64 (95% CI 0.79 to 3.40)
Stages of change: Progress along the stages of change in the intervention vs control group was significant. Progress in each county approached significance (p = 0.06)
Knowledge: Similar proportions of the population had heard of the church coalition in both counties; there was greater awareness of the smoking cessation programme in the intervention county than in the control county
Conclusions

Authors: Smoking cessation interventions can be implemented with success through a church coalition. Intervention was associated with significant progress along the stages of change. A higher quit rate in the intervention county compared with the control county was not significant. Individuals who attended church were more likely to quit than those who did not attend; however, this too was not significant.

Reviewers: Agree with the authors’ conclusions. Authors noted the pattern of smoking to be late onset, low rate and high menthol. Programme reported to be active after 12 months. Coalition of churches helped with development and implementation. Counties were randomised to either smoking cessation intervention or not; this health issue was determined before community engagement.

Comments and limitations

Baseline survey initially designed to be carried out by telephone with a supplementary in-person interview for those without a telephone; however, this pilot study failed and in-person surveys were carried out instead. Follow-up was only 70%; tracking those in rural communities was difficult, about a quarter did not have a telephone. No biochemical validation.

CI, confidence interval.
### Study reference

Darity et al. 2006[1]

### Setting

USA; four sites in the north-eastern and south-eastern parts of the USA

### Inclusion criteria

Black adult smokers

### Study type

CCT (community intervention with control sites)

### Description of population

| Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed |
| Age (years): Not reported |
| n: 2544 reported in abstract/2644 reported in body of paper; n = sample of 520 for 6 months’ follow-up, n = sample of 490 for 12 months’ follow-up (the 6-month sample was randomly taken from the baseline cohort and the 12-month cohort was another random sample from the cohort excluding those who had participated in the 6 month follow-up), n = sample of 1344 for 18 months’ follow-up | |
| Sex: Not reported |
| Income: Not reported |

### Description of intervention and control

Community-based smoking cessation intervention in which both active intervention and passive control areas were exposed to a mass media campaign designed to promote readiness to quit smoking

**Active intervention sites**: Community organising + mass media. Special area-based intervention activities. Direct interpersonal educational activities: 14 workshops involving 108 participants held. Neighbourhood Health Advocates were recruited and trained to distribute educational materials and to host formal discussion meetings. Professional Advisory Committees were set up in each active site to gain support and cooperation of key professionals. Project staff made presentations to organised social groups and held informal small-group discussion meetings

**Passive control sites**: Mass media only

### Theory: Health belief and diffusion of innovation models

### Approaches to adaptation

- Special attention given to African American media outlets

### Outcome measures and results

#### Quit rates: Absolute difference of 4.9%; 41.5% greater point prevalence rate of non-smoking in the active vs the passive group (p = 0.012)

#### Quit attempts: 6-month period prevalence of quit attempts was 33.8% in the active group and 26.2% for the passive group, with an absolute difference of 7.6% which was statistically significant (p = 0.003)

**Number of cigarettes smoked per day**: There was a difference in the decrease in number of cigarettes smoked between the active and passive groups, with an absolute difference of 1.6 fewer cigarettes smoked daily in the intervention group (p = 0.004); this represents a 400% greater reduction in cigarettes smoked in the active intervention group

### Conclusions

**Authors**: Analysis of process variables strongly suggests that, within this African American community, ‘hands-on’ or ‘face-to-face’ approaches combined with mass media, mailings and other less personal approaches were more effective in reducing personal smoking behaviour than mass media, mailings and other impersonal approaches alone

**Reviewers**: The study suggests that more active contacts with study participants can increase cessation rates. It would be beneficial to have more information about the informal meetings to determine whether it was the way in which communities were engaged that increased cessation rates rather than any personal contact alone. Furthermore, cohort retrospective analysis showed increasing effect of the intervention on cessation rates (6, 12 and 18 months, with little effect at 6 or 12 months), which suggests that sustained community intervention (18 months) may be necessary to increase cessation rates. Need to compare with other long-term community-based studies

### Comments and limitations

Very minimal cultural tailoring in this case, with only media outlets being contacted where appropriate. Three other analyses were performed and reported (but are not extracted here): cohort retrospective analysis (trend of little effect in first 6 months, appreciable in second 6-month period and important additional effect for final 6 months), a sequential cross-sectional analysis and several validity comparisons

---

CCT, clinical controlled trial.
### Study reference
Ahluwalia et al. 1998; Ahluwalia et al. 1998

### Setting
USA; Atlanta, GA; inner-city hospital serving a low-income, predominantly African American population

### Inclusion criteria
Self-report of being African American, having smoked a minimum of 10 cigarettes per day continuously for at least the past year, at least one previous quit attempt, a home address, a telephone number and weight > 100 lb, and if they reported being self-motivated to quit

### Study type
RCT (double blind, placebo controlled)

### Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; self-reported
Age (years): Patch group: 48.7, placebo group: 46.7
N: 410 randomised, 205 into each arm of the study
Sex: 65% female (patch 65.9% female, placebo 64.4% female)
Income: Not reported

### Description of intervention and control
Double-blind, placebo-controlled RCT to evaluate efficacy of nicotine patch as an adjunct to brief counselling and education in an inner-city population

Placebo/transdermal nicotine patches with following schedule: 21 mg/day for 6 weeks, 14 mg/day for 2 weeks and 7 mg/day for 2 weeks (10 weeks total); these three doses provided an average steady-state plasma nicotine concentration of 17, 12 and 6 ng/ml. Patients who relapsed to smoking were advised to discontinue using the patch and to set another quit date. At randomisation, patients received an initial 2 weeks of 21 mg/day patches and 1 hour-long visit with a counsellor for instructions on the use of the patch and brief education about the risks of smoking and the benefits. All patients received a bag with the programme logo and clinic telephone number; the bag also contained additional material (one-page patch tip sheet written at sixth-grade reading level with pictures, and a diary for everyday recording). Patients received a written guide, The 6–2–2 Committed Quitters’ Programme: How to Quit Smoking Using Nicoderm (seventh-grade reading level). At follow-up visits, issues related to relapse were discussed, compliance was encouraged, it was determined if participants had any adverse reactions and participants’ diaries were reviewed. Placebo: pharmacologically irrelevant amount of nicotine in the drug reservoir to mimic the odour of active systems; delivered < 1 mg of nicotine in 21 hours

### Theory
Not reported

### Approaches to adaptation
- Pathways to Freedom book, a culturally sensitive smoking cessation guide written at sixth-grade reading level, presents characteristics of cigarette smoking among African Americans, instructions on how to quit smoking and suggestions for how communities can combat tobacco dependence by working collaboratively
- One-page patch tip sheet written at sixth-grade reading level, and pictures, created for those with lower literacy skills

### Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: 1, 2, 6 and 10 weeks after quit day; final visit at 6 months
Quit rates: 10-week quit rates were 21.5% in the patch group and 13.7% in the placebo group ($p = 0.03$); at 6 months, self-reported quit rates were 17.1% in the patch group and 11.7% in the placebo group ($p = 0.08$)

### Conclusions
Authors: Using Pathways to Freedom, a guide specifically designed for low literate African American smokers, was thought to be comparable in terms of use to the manufacturer’s product guide. This study highlights that poor, inner-city African American smokers were interested in smoking cessation. The patch significantly improved 10-week smoking cessation rates, but more relapse prevention is needed to ensure abstinence to 6 months and beyond. With the placebo patch, 10-week quit rates were two to three times higher than national quit rates

Reviewers: The 6-month quit rate was not significantly different with and without an intention-to-treat analysis. Follow-up was low. The authors state that this may be because of a disenfranchised population, but it may also be a result of too frequent follow-up. A good point is made by the authors that reduction in the number of cigarettes smoked should also be recorded; smoking cessation (reduction) not a discrete event

### Comments and limitations
Lack of biochemical verification. 6-month follow-up rather than 1 year. Did not assess the literacy level of patients or ability to comprehend the intervention materials. Use of one-sided statistical analysis limits reporting of risk ratios with 95% CIs. Only outcome measured was total abstinence. Smoking should be viewed as a dynamic process rather than a discrete event. Any reduction in the number of cigarettes smoked may reduce harm
### Study reference

Orleans et al. 1998;*306* Boyd et al. 1998*306*

### Setting

USA; four communities: Durham, NC, Philadelphia, PA, Houston, TX and Birmingham, AL

### Inclusion criteria

African American, smoker or recent quitter (past 30 days), ≥ 20 years, no previous use of *Pathways to Freedom* guide, consent to 6 months/12 months' telephone follow-up

### Study type

RCT

### Description of population

- **Ethnicity:** African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- **Age (years):** > 20 (62% 20–39, 26% 40–49, 12% ≥ 50)
- **n:** 1422 (n = 445 at 12 months)
- **Sex:** Both sexes; however, percentages of men and women not reported
- **Income:** Not reported

### Description of intervention and control

Targeted communications campaign: radio and television advertisements and some community outreach to increase telephone call volume from African American smokers to the Cancer Information Service (CIS) for smoking cessation

**Intervention:** 'Tailored' counselling and guide, *Pathways to Freedom*

**Control:** 'Standard' CIS quit smoking counselling and guide, *Clearing the Air*

### Theory

Not reported

### Approaches to adaptation

- **Targeted guide –** sixth-grade reading level, African American models, targets African American smoking patterns and obstacles to quitting (lack of information, social norms, higher life stress); interactive style counselling, targets African American motives and barriers
- **Pre-tested advertisements,** addressed the most common African American barriers to smoking and to using the CIS as a smoking cessation resource; targeted advertisement times and radio stations; community outreach

### Outcome measures and results

**Follow-up:** 1 week, 6 months and 1 year

**Quit rates:** 6 months: no significant difference in self-reported abstinence – 9.1% control vs 10.1% intervention; 12 months (n= 445): significantly higher quit rate – 15.4% control vs 25% intervention

**Quit attempts:** At 6 months more participants had set a quit date, made quit attempts and undertaken pre-quitting behaviours, e.g. reduction in number of cigarettes per day

### Conclusions

**Authors:** Paid targeted advertising, using radio as a primary channel, is an effective method of reaching African American smokers to increase call volume to a smoking cessation helpline. Results show some promise for a culturally tailored approach to boost quit attempts in African American smokers; there were more quit attempts and greater use of pre-quitting strategies, and significantly higher self-reported quit rates at opportunistic follow-up at 12 months in the tailored intervention group (although no differences in self-reported 1-week abstinence at 6 months)

**Reviewers:** Paid targeted advertisements were hugely successful in increasing call volumes to CIS by African American smokers (radio > television) and the advertisements had a very high reach (> 88%) – despite this, overall the uptake was still low (0.1–0.2%), especially in lower socioeconomic groups. Promising results of a tailored telephone smoking cessation counselling intervention for African American smokers; follow-up at 12 months in a subgroup showed significant differences in self-reported quitting rates

### Comments and limitations

Gender was reported at baseline for only half of the participants. Half of smokers were in contemplation stage or had recent quit attempts (<30 days), which reduced chances of successful quit attempt; 85% smoked within 30 minutes of awakening suggesting high level of nicotine addiction – yet NRT was not a direct part of the study (used by –25%). Young group (62% in 20–39 years age group) – possibly not generalisable to other/older age groups. Results relied on self-reported abstinence, which is less reliable than biochemical verification. Adaptations were mostly personalised rather than culturally adapted

NRT, nicotine replacement therapy; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
**Study reference**  
Lipkus et al. 1999 358

**Setting**  
USA; Durham, NC

**Inclusion criteria**  
Random sample of 3490 adult patients of Lincoln Community Health Center, aged ≥ 18 years, who visited the centre within the 18 months up to November 1993. Final sample consisted of 1318 men and women at baseline, 266 were African American smokers of whom, 160 were able to be contacted and completed the final interview.

**Study type**  
RCT

**Description of population**  
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed  
Age (years): 18–49  
$n$: 160; 266 were recruited, 257 were alive at the time of follow-up and 160 completed the final interview  
Sex: 46% male  
Income: Not reported

**Description of intervention and control**  
Provider prompting intervention: The Medical Record (TMR) is a comprehensive computer system with a computerised health maintenance tracking system that generated printed physician prompts to provide patients with stage-based behavioural messages. Providers were trained and asked to follow the Ask–Advise–Assist–Arrange follow-up model developed by the National Cancer Institute to promote smoking cessation.  
Tailored print communications (TPCs) were sent to patients around the time of their birthdays (an oversized tailored birthday card with a picture of the clinic staff on the cover and a personalised ‘Healthy Birthdays’ newsletter). Both were written for fifth-grade reading level.  
Tailored telephone counselling: Men received one call per year, women could receive two calls if they were due for breast or cervical cancer screening and were also smokers; female counsellors.  
*Three arms:* Provider prompting alone, prompting + TPC, and prompting + TPC + tailored telephone counselling

**Theory:** Research guided by the transtheoretical or stages of change model 458

**Approaches to adaptation**  
- Tailored birthday card message with messages written with the realities of the clients’ lives in mind. Message about life stresses reflected the fact that a majority of the clients were low income or indigent. Religious and familial themes also included.  
- The art was especially tailored for African Americans using graphics designed by a local African American artist. Graphics were also tailored for gender.  
- TPCs pre-tested extensively with the target population. Data from baseline surveys combined with information from the TMR and a library of specific messages designed to respond to different variables and provide specific recommendations to help participants think about their smoking and quit smoking. Over 7 billion combinations of messages could be generated for any given smoker.

**Outcome measures and results**  
Follow-up: Baseline and 16 months after last intervention  
Quit rates: Of 160 participants, 35 (21.9%) quit smoking. Provider prompting + TPC group was more likely to result in quitting at follow-up ($n=18$, 32.7%, $p<0.05$) than provider prompting alone ($n=7$, 13.2%) or prompting + TPC + tailored telephone counselling ($n=10$, 19.2%, $p<0.05$)

**Conclusions**  
Authors: Overall, the results provide encouraging support for the use of TPCs with African Americans. Compared with the provider prompting intervention alone (least intensive intervention) or those who received all three intervention components (most intensive intervention), smokers in the provider prompting intervention group who also received TPCs were more likely to report having quit at follow-up (32.7% quit rate). The effects of the provider prompting intervention with TPCs remained significant after controlling for different variables. Stage of change was most powerfully related to quitting at follow-up. Contemplators at baseline were eight times more likely to report having quit at follow-up than pre-contemplators. When stage of change and intervention group were both included in the multivariate model, the OR for the tailored print intervention arm was even higher (OR = 6.56). It is unclear why the addition of telephone counselling did not increase cessation rates above those achieved in the group that received the provider prompting intervention and TPCs.  
Reviewers: Although the authors did not report findings from an intention-to-treat perspective, 21.9% had quit at follow-up. The quit rate of 32.7% using TPCs is, according to the authors, comparable to results of recent studies using tailored interventions with African American smokers. The findings indicate that smokers who received provider prompting with TPCs were more likely to report having quit than smokers who received the provider intervention alone. It appears that there is value here and, promisingly, that this is a cost-effective method of increasing quit rates (vs telephone counselling).

**Comments and limitations**  
Unclear as to the precise mechanisms whereby, and situations in which, TPCs may be effective. Compliance rate was 48%. Approximately one-third were lost to follow-up (reflects difficulty of conducting intervention with low-income, transient African American populations; however, refusal rate of 3% low).

OR, odds ratio; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
Study reference
Ahluwalia et al. 2002;345 Harris et al. 2003;344 Harris et al. 2004;342 Manning et al. 2005343 (Kick It at Swope)

Setting
USA; community-based health-care centres

Inclusion criteria
African American or black, at least 18 years of age, smoked at least 10 cigarettes per day, interested in quitting in the next 30 days, speaks English and had a permanent home address with working telephone. Only one smoker per household allowed to enrol

Study type
RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: Black American; self-described
Age (years): mean 44; bupropion: 44 (SD 10.9), placebo: 44.4 (SD 11.3)
n: 600; bupropion 300, placebo 300
Sex: 70% female; bupropion 70.7%, placebo 69.3%
Other: ≤ high school graduate: bupropion 50.3%, placebo 49.7%

Description of intervention and control
Trial to compare a sustained-release form of bupropion (bupropion SR) with placebo for smoking cessation among African Americans. Both groups received eight in-person 45-minute brief motivational counselling sessions [at baseline, quit day, weeks 1 and 3, end of treatment (week 6) and by telephone at day 3 and weeks 5 and 7]. In general, counselling was provided by the same person. Dose was 150 mg of bupropion SR or placebo twice daily for 7 weeks. Participants were instructed to continue taking pills for the full 7 weeks regardless of smoking status

Theory: Not reported

Approaches to adaptation
■ Focus groups, a community advisory board and a small pilot study maximised cultural sensitivity and enhanced recruitment and retention
■ For recruitment, spoke at churches and posted signs in local minority-owned businesses
■ Used Pathways to Freedom, a culturally sensitive smoking cessation guide
■ All counsellors were African American, had a master’s degree, received training in motivational interviewing and followed semi-structured counselling scripts. All on-site staff members were African American and were trained to provide a friendly, positive and non-judgemental environment
■ Study setting: health centre was trusted by the African American community (mostly African American staff)
■ Media outlets with the largest African American audiences were targeted with press kit

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Weeks 6 and 26 following quit day
Quit rates: At week 26, quit rates were 21.0% in the treatment group and 13.7% in the placebo group (7.3% point difference, 95% CI 1.0 to 13.7%, \( p = 0.02 \)). Continuous abstinence was significantly higher for the bupropion SR group at weeks 1, 3 and 6 (\( p < 0.001 \)) and 26 (\( p < 0.01 \))
Number of cigarettes smoked per day: At week 26, reduction in number of cigarettes smoked per day was 8.3 (SD 10.3) vs 7.9 (SD 8.8) (\( p = 0.61 \)) for the treatment group vs the placebo group

Conclusions
Authors: Bupropion SR was effective for smoking cessation among African Americans and may be useful in reducing health disparities associated with smoking. Difficulty in locating participants within an acceptable time frame, combined with counting those lost to follow-up as smokers, may underestimate the actual quit rate. Alternatively, may have had higher relapse rates due to the high stress associated with lower-income populations. However, quit rates in the placebo group were comparable with those in other studies. This is the largest treatment-based trial of smoking cessation in any ethnic minority conducted to date
Reviewers: From the primary paper,345 the study showed an effect on smoking cessation for the bupropion group compared with the placebo group. The intervention was equitable; however, difficulty in locating participants for participation and follow-up may exclude some who may not have a permanent telephone/mailing address. The intervention was feasible; however, additional behavioural/motivational counselling requires additions that ‘regular care’ practices may not be able to sustain

Comments and limitations
Limitations: Findings cannot be generalised to all African Americans and although community based it remains a single-site study. The majority of participants were women and this may result in a lower overall quit rate in the study population because African American women appear to have lower quit rates in the short and long term. Smokers are self-selected who are motivated to quit

CI, confidence interval; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
Study reference
McBride et al. 2002

Setting
USA; inner-city community health clinic (Lincoln Community Health Center) in an urban county in North Carolina; serves low-income residents and African Americans comprise 83% of patients

Inclusion criteria
Self-identified as African American and smoked at least one cigarette per day in the previous 7 days. Would consider genetic testing

Study type
RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; self-identified
Age (years): Mean (SD): 44.5 (12.3)
n: 557 smokers identified; 185 enhanced usual care, 372 biomarker feedback
Sex: 40% male
Income: Not reported
Other: 64% had one or more chronic illness

Description of intervention and control
A multicomponent intervention with feedback about genetic susceptibility to lung cancer to increase risk perceptions and rates of smoking cessation compared with a standard intervention. All smokers received a self-help manual and, if appropriate, nicotine patches; refills were provided as needed

Biomarker feedback: Offered blood test for genotyping the glutathione S-transferase 3 (GST3) gene (GSTM1) as well as a tailored feedback booklet (eight pages, fifth-grade reading level) about genetic susceptibility to lung cancer. Those who refused testing were sent the same booklet. Participants received telephone counselling (up to four times) with a health educator over a 12-week period. The first call discussed their results and the importance of cessation; calls two to four encouraged steps towards quitting and reinforced the test results

Enhanced usual care: Received provider advice to quit smoking and referred to smoking specialist who assessed stage of readiness to quit and whether NRT was appropriate

Theory: Mentions social cognitive theory training for callers

Approaches to adaptation
- Self-help manual designed for African American smokers entitled Pathways to Freedom
- Pathways to Freedom tailored at fifth-grade reading level
- Test results thought to personalise health communications, increase the salience of smoking risk and motivate cessation

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline and 6 and 12 months

Quit rates: Smoking cessation (prevalent abstinence) was significantly greater for the biomarker feedback arm than the enhanced usual care arm (19% vs 10%, p < 0.006) at 6 months but not at 12 months (15% vs 10%, p = 0.12) (unadjusted). After adjustment for desire to quit, chronic illness and smoking within 30 minutes of waking, the difference in the 6-month cessation rate between the groups was still significant (p = 0.03) and the 12-month difference was still not significant (p = 0.34)

Quit attempts: Not reported

Conclusions
Authors: Smokers agreed to genetic feedback as part of the multicomponent cessation programme. Although the short-term cessation rate in the biomarker feedback arm was increased compared with standard intervention, genetic feedback of susceptibility may not benefit smokers with high baseline risk perceptions

Reviewers: This study compared a genetic feedback arm with an arm that cannot be considered ‘standard’. This study was not effective in sustaining long term (12 months) the cessation that was observed at 6 months. There is the potential for ceiling effects in this older population, with 64% having at least one chronic disease

Comments and limitations
Feedback from the pilot study may not have had powerful impact because 45% of smokers did not fully understand the test results. Low education level of target group warranted need for follow-up telephone counselling. However, in pilot study this figure was unchanged following telephone calls. Future studies should evaluate other graphical approaches for communicating results

NRT, nicotine replacement therapy; SD, standard deviation; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Ma et al. 2004 [34]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Setting**

USA: Delaware Valley region of Pennsylvania and New Jersey

**Inclusion criteria**

Male, Chinese, between 14 and 19 years and in the summer youth programme recruited from community-based Asian-American organisations that participated in an Asian Community Cancer coalition

**Study type**

Pre–post quasi-experimental

**Description of population**

Ethnicity: Chinese American; not reported how ethnicity assessed

Age (years): 14–19, mean (SD): 17.7 (2.2)

n: 17 standard intervention; 14 culturally modified intervention

Sex: Male

Income: Not reported

Other: Approximately 67% of participants were in grades 9–12

**Description of intervention and control**

Smoking cessation for Chinese American adolescents

Culturally modified programme [Asian Adolescents Choose Tobacco-Free (ACT)]: Conducted through a community-based organisation that served high-risk youth. Used a buddy system (participants received monetary rewards if they brought their buddies); additional incentives (t-shirts, gift certificates) if they continued to attend the sessions – 10 sessions (one session per week) lasting 50 minutes

Standard smoking cessation curriculum (SC): First recruited into a 6-week summer programme. All joined the SC programme: one 50-minute session each week for 10 weeks

In total, 17 of 17 in the SC group and 9 of 14 in the ACT group completed the programme

**Theory:** Not reported

**Approaches to adaptation**

ACT applied principles of:

- Interdependency and collective orientation; harmony (e.g. harmony is promoted in Asian families and may influence a teen’s desire to smoke, especially if members of the family smoke; youths learned ways to communicate their needs to parents and elders while maintaining harmony and respect)
- Persistence, hard work, success and education – used to encourage teens to quit smoking
- Asian pride and social norms, e.g. trivia questions on the achievements of famous Asian Americans. ACT developed to maintain sensitivity to bicultural Western and Eastern attitudes that may be present in the participants

**Outcome measures and results**

**Follow-up:** Baseline, post intervention, 3 months

**Quit rates:** 23.1% cessation rate among the SC group and 18.2% among the ACT group ($\chi^2 = 0.09$)

**Number of cigarettes smoked per day:** The ACT group showed a greater reduction in typical weekday (mean 6.7, SD 5.9) and weekend (mean 6.0, SD 6.5) tobacco use than the SC group

**Conclusions**

Authors: Given the positive results among this high-risk group, ACT programme needs to be tested among a larger population of Chinese Americans. Major challenge to increasing parental involvement is that youth are reluctant to participate in a smoking cessation programme because they do not want their parents to know that they smoke. In the ACT programme parental consent is requested and Asian adults were used to conduct the programme. Cultural modification programmes for Asian American youth are complicated because youth are bicultural and identify with two cultures. The ACT programme was evaluated to be as effective. Further research addressing culturally relevant factors that enhance the efficacy of ACT and the curriculum needs to be implemented among a larger and more representative Asian American group

**Reviewers:** Based on self-reported quit rates, at 3 months the quit rates for ACT and SC are comparable. We question the value of the culturally tailored intervention if the SC programme worked just as well, or even better in this case. The authors provide a comprehensive list citing the factors that Asian adolescents perceive to be important in helping them quit; however, many of these factors are cited for both the ACT and the SC groups. This intervention was effective in increasing quit rates, but the culturally tailored intervention did not show added value

**Comments and limitations**

Adolescents were selected from a community-based organisation setting and not from a school setting. Many adolescents were at high risk socially and economically. Small sample size. Higher-risk group than is typical of Asian American youth. The facilitator characteristics most important to smokers in the ACT programme included caring about students, confidentiality, trustworthiness, being non-judgemental; and are likely transferable to other programmes

SD, standard deviation.
### Study reference

Ahluwalia et al. 2006; Nollen et al. 2006; Okuyemi et al. 2007; Okuyemi et al. 2007; (Kick It at Swope II)

### Setting

USA, KS; Kansas City metropolitan area

### Inclusion criteria

Self-identified African American or black, at least 18 years old, smoked ≤ 10 cigarettes per day for at least 6 months prior to enrolment, smoked at least 25 of the last 30 days, interested in quitting in the next 2 weeks, speaking English, with a permanent home address and working telephone

### Study type

RCT

### Description of population

Ethnicity: African-American; self-identified

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Mean: 45 (range 19–81); nicotine gum + motivational interviewing (MI): 45.2 (SD 10.7), nicotine gum + health education (HE): 43.5 (SD 11.8), placebo gum + MI: 46.5 (SD 10.0), placebo gum + HE: 45.2 (SD 10.0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>755 light smokers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female: nicotine gum + MI: 66.1%, nicotine gum + HE: 68.3%, placebo gum + MI: 65.1%, placebo gum + HE: 68.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Description of intervention and control

An 8-week double-blind placebo-controlled smoking cessation intervention for African Americans. At randomisation, participants were assigned to an 8-week supply of either active 2 mg nicotine gum or placebo. Instructions given for gum usage depended on the number of cigarettes smoked at baseline. In general, participants received counselling from the same person for all six counselling sessions (three in person, three by telephone, 20 minutes each). Participants were encouraged to set a quit date for the day following their randomisation visit

HE: Standard counselling approach based on current treatment guidelines that focus on providing information and advice. Trained counsellors used the KIS II Quit Smoking Guide

MI: Counsellors followed scripts that discussed pros/cons of smoking/quitting, motivation and confidence to quit

**Theory:** Theories of HE and MI; values clarification strategy of Miller and Rollnick

### Approaches to adaptation

- KIS II Quit Smoking Guide — 36-page booklet developed for African American light smokers. Culturally sensitive guide developed by project investigators through an iterative process of expert feedback and cultural relevance analysis using the suitability of assessment materials (SAMS) — this is a tool to assess the difficulty and suitability of education materials for patients with low literacy skills. Seventh-grade reading level

### Outcome measures and results

**Follow-up:** Weeks 1, 3, 6, 8 and 26

**Quit rates:** 194 participants reported quitting at week 26 across all four groups; of these, 95 were confirmed quitters (salivary cotinine ≤ 20 ng/ml) Quit rates for nicotine gum were no better than for the placebo group (14.2% vs 11.1%, p = 0.232) at 6 months; however, a counselling effect emerged, with HE performing significantly better than MI (16.7% vs 8.5%, p < 0.001). These results were consistent across time points (weeks 1, 8 and 26)

### Conclusions

Authors: The nicotine gum was not effective for smoking cessation in this sample of African American light smokers. Failure to detect an effect of the gum may be a result of inadequate dosing or underdosing: 2 mg was used as opposed to 4 mg and it was prescribed for a maximum of 10 pieces per day. African American light smokers randomised to HE were more likely to return for counselling visits than those randomised to MI. Those in the HE group had higher quit rates than those in the MI group. HE was perceived as more relevant. MI was better with a population who are resistant, whereas this population was highly motivated

Reviewers: Interesting conclusion, seemingly validated in other studies, that HE is more effective in highly motivated participants than MI, which works better among people who are resistant/angry/poorly motivated. The integrity of the respective counselling protocols was maintained and each session was tape-recorded to maintain fidelity and consistency

### Comments and limitations

Randomisation and follow-up occurred at a single community health centre and participants were required to have a home address and telephone. Participants were all highly motivated to quit. Study staff did not begin to document the various recruitment sources until 5 months into the study

**RCT,** randomised controlled trial; **SD,** standard deviation.
Study reference
Fang et al. 2006

Setting
USA; south-eastern Pennsylvania

Inclusion criteria
Smokers were eligible if they were of Chinese or Korean ancestry, had smoked at least one puff of one cigarette in the past 7 days (i.e. 7-day point prevalence), were ≥ 18 years and possessed a telephone

Study type
RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: Chinese and Korean; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean (SD) (range): intervention: 43.97 (17.21) (18–77), control: 48.35 (16.47) (19–83)
n: 66; intervention 34 (Chinese 56%), control 32 (Chinese 53%)
Sex: Intervention: 9% female, control: 0% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
Theory-based smoking cessation intervention + NRT

Intervention: One in-person session (90–120 minutes) and targeted cognitive-affective reactions to smoking and cessation. Participants were encouraged to explore their risk perceptions for cancer and other smoking-related diseases, probed for their beliefs about quitting and asked about their values and goals (e.g. reasons for quitting). Past smoking cessation efforts, identification of personal smoking triggers and cultural barriers to quitting were also discussed

Control: General health counselling (nutrition, exercise and the harmful effects of tobacco) + NRT as a time and attention control

Theory: Cognitive-social health information processing (C-SHIP) model

Approaches to adaptation
- Cultural barriers to quitting were discussed – specific concerns to Asian Americans including cultural norms that support or promote smoking among Asian men
- Race-related issues considered, including stress associated with recent immigration, adaptation to American life and difficulty in obtaining employment as a non-US resident
- Cultural values and culturally appropriate quitting strategies, such as the importance of familial support, concerns relating to children’s health and having a healthy Asian diet, were employed to assist and encourage participants during quit attempts
- All study procedures and assessments were conducted in the participants’ native language (Korean, Cantonese or Mandarin)

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Follow-up assessments were conducted by telephone at 1 week, 1 month and 3 months post counselling
Quit rates: 38% reported quitting at 3 months’ follow-up

Conclusions
Authors: No difference in cessation rates by ethnic group at each time point. Quit rates were relatively high in both the intervention and control groups. At 1 month, a significantly higher proportion of intervention participants (56% or 19/34) reported having quit smoking than control participants (31% or 10/32) ($\chi^2(1) = 4.06, p<0.05$). At 3 months, 47% (16/34) of intervention participants and 28% (9/32) of control participants had quit smoking but this difference was not statistically significant ($\chi^2(1) = 2.51, p=0.11$). Because of the characteristics of this population (e.g. long work hours, often 7 days a week) it was important to develop an intervention that was brief and time-efficient for it to be acceptable to the targeted condition. The intervention increased self-efficacy and decreased negative attitudes towards quitting. Self-efficacy was the only significant predictor of smoking status. The intervention may have been successful in motivating individuals to make a quit attempt but not in preventing smoking relapse, particularly among Chinese smokers. A brief culturally adapted intervention can yield changes in smoking-related cognitions among low-income, hard-to-reach Chinese and Korean smokers

Reviewers: The intervention did not produce sustained quit rates at 3 months. The trend among Chinese participants was different from that observed for Korean participants; the authors do not comment but there may be more subtle cultural differences that could be explored

Comments and limitations
Quit rates may have been high because participants were recruited through community organisations and networks in which an informal support system was already in place. Limited by self-reported smoking status, small sample size and including predominately men. A longer follow-up period (6–12 months) would have been preferred. Future interventions need additional support during the critical period following initial abstinence. Research on factors that contribute to smoking cessation among Asian American women is needed

NRT, nicotine replacement therapy; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
Study reference
Andrews et al. 2007;461 Andrews et al. 2007462

Setting
USA; Augusta-Richmond County, GA; two subsidised housing developments

Inclusion criteria
Non-pregnant/non-breastfeeding African American women, > 18 years, current daily smoker, planning to quit smoking within the next 6 months, resident of the intervention or control community or a female relative or close friend of a resident of these communities

Study type
Quasi-experimental repeated measures design with comparison group

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; how ethnicity assessed not reported
Age (years): Mean (range): 40.2 (18–85)
N: 103; 51 intervention, 52 comparison
Sex: 100% female

Description of intervention and control
Community smoking cessation intervention for African American women with three major components
- Nurse-delivered weekly behavioural/empowerment group counselling for 6 weeks (1 hour) with a booster session at weeks 12 and 24. Participants who progressed to the preparation stage were assisted to set a quit date (week 2)
- Free NRTs for 6 weeks
- Community health worker (CHW) made personal weekly contact (face-to-face or by telephone) outside of group sessions for 24 weeks to enhance smoking cessation self-efficacy and provide social support and spiritual well-being
- Comparison participants received self-help written material, You Can Quit Smoking, at baseline and group attention during the study period — group education from a nurse on self-image, exercise, hypertension, smoking cessation; groups had 10–12 participants and lasted about 1 hour

Theory: Curriculum for group behavioural counselling was adapted from the US Public Health Service (PHS) treating tobacco dependence guideline463 and empowerment educational principles from Freire256,464

Approaches to adaptation
- Behavioural-based programme modified to reflect the cultural preferences of African American women, which included food at meetings
- Ethnically appropriate graphics and content
- Low-income housing development — all activities with participants took place in the community centre in each respective community
- CHWs were instructed to use their own language and cultural style and share testimonials and personal experiences
- Inclusion of kinship (e.g. mothers, daughters, sisters) and the mediating variables proposed in the intervention (social support, self-efficacy and spiritual well-being)
- Spiritual themes and prayers and opportunities for storytelling
- CHWs were African American women, ex-smokers, indigenous to the intervention community

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline to weeks 6, 12 and 24
Quit rates: 6-month continuous abstinence: 27.5% and 5.7% in the intervention and comparison groups respectively; OR of quitting was 6.18 (95% CI 1.65 to 23.01)
Stages of change: Measured by stages of change questionnaire

Conclusions
Authors: Women who received the Sister to Sister intervention were six times more likely to quit than women who received group attention and minimum self-help written materials. A total of 27.5% of the women in the intervention group maintained abstinence for a 6-month period as validated by exhaled carbon monoxide measurements. A key finding was that changes in social support predicted cessation. Smoking cessation self-efficacy improved over time and mediated cessation outcomes indicating that building confidence in quiting may explain how the intervention group participants were able to quit. Spiritual well-being was not associated with 6-month continuous abstinence and did not mediate 6-month continuous abstinence

Reviewers: The intervention was successful in showing a difference of effect between the intervention and control groups. The quit rate was significantly higher for the intervention group. However, the use of two communities limits generalisability and there may be potential confounders (as mentioned by the authors)

Comments and limitations
The intervention group differed from the control group: intervention participants were older, had higher monthly incomes and were more likely to have completed high school. The retention rate was 87.4% during the 6-month study period (attrition was seven participants out of the comparison group and six participants out of the intervention group)

CI, confidence interval; NRT, nicotine replacement therapy; OR, odds ratio.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Study reference</strong></th>
<th>Nollen et al. 2007[^43]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Setting</strong></td>
<td>USA; Atlanta, GA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inclusion criteria</strong></td>
<td>African American, &gt; 18 years, wanting to quit smoking in the next 30 days to 6 months (preparation/contemplation stage of change), smoking &gt; 10 cigarettes per day, weight &gt; 100 lb, having a home address and access to a telephone and video cassette recorder (VCR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study type</strong></td>
<td>RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description of population</strong></td>
<td>Ethnicity: African American; self-identified Age (years): Mean: 43 n: 500 Sex: 55–65% female Income: Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description of intervention and control</strong></td>
<td><strong>Intervention</strong>: Culturally targeted videotape and print guide (The Harlem Health Connection’s Kick It! video and Pathways to Freedom: Winning the Fight against Tobacco) <strong>Standard care</strong>: Videotape (How to Quit) and print guide (Freedom from Smoking) <strong>Both groups</strong>: NRT: 8 weeks of nicotine patches, reminder telephone calls during the first week and at 1 and 3 months; postcards at 3 and 5.5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Theory</strong>:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Approaches to adaptation</strong></td>
<td>Conform to visible ethnic/cultural characteristics as well as norms, values and historical, environmental and social forces relevant to African Americans; some examples of this are communalism, religion/spiritualism, connection to family, storytelling and social support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome measures and results</strong></td>
<td><strong>Follow-up</strong>: Week 4 and 6 months <strong>Quit rates</strong>: No significant differences in smoking outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusions</strong></td>
<td><strong>Authors</strong>: Study highlights the importance of greater audience segmentation and individual tailoring to better match intervention materials to the target population, particularly with regards to racial/ethnic identity. Materials (video and guide) had been tested and evaluated in Harlem and Philadelphia but not in Atlanta -- the results suggest heterogeneity within the African American population <strong>Reviewers</strong>: Homogeneity within African American populations cannot be assumed and interventions need to be piloted in the target population, including assessment of their cultural identification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comments and limitations</strong></td>
<td>The study considered Netto’s fifth principle regarding cultural identification. Alternatively, any effect of the targeted intervention may have been overwhelmed by the success of the nicotine patch. The current study enrolled only motivated smokers (contemplation or preparation stages). There was also potential for cross-contamination between the two groups. Other limitations included the single-blind design, the necessity for participants to have a home address, telephone and access to a VCR and basing quit rates on self-report and carbon monoxide assessments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^43]: NRT, nicotine replacement therapy; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Study reference</strong></th>
<th>Shelley et al. 2008[^465]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Setting**  
USA; Flushing, Queens (intervention); Sunset Park, Brooklyn (comparison), NY

**Inclusion criteria**  
Households obtained from the *White Pages* using a list of 867 unique spellings from 622 native surnames identified in consultation with Chinese linguists

**Study type**  
Pre–post quasi-experimental design

**Description of population**  
Ethnicity: Chinese; ethnicity assigned by surnames (unclear if confirmed by participants themselves)  
Age (years): 18–34: 27.9%, 35–44: 25.6%, 45–54: 25.8%, > 55: 20.7%  
n: 2537 at baseline, 1384 at follow-up interview; 617 from Flushing and 767 from Sunset park  
Sex: 56.1% male  
Income: Not reported

**Description of intervention and control**  
Smoking cessation for a Chinese population  
*Intervention*: Distribution of bilingual posters and Chinese-language educational materials to local businesses, community organisations, health settings. Access to language-specific smoking cessation resources: (1) physician education and distribution of tools kits to 99 physicians in 42 practices; (2) distribution of 305 6-week courses of free NRT patches through two community organisations; (3) implementation of three free Chinese-language smoking cessation programmes that included free pharmacotherapy; (4) a quit and win contest; and (5) smoking cessation workshops. No intervention activities were directed specifically at individuals. Advertised the free nicotine patch programme in Chinese newspapers in both communities  
*Control*: Tobacco control public policy only

**Theory**: Behavioural theory and evidence-based smoking cessation programmes; community-based participatory research

**Approaches to adaptation**  
- Bilingual posters and Chinese-language educational materials  
- Chinese-language media campaigns (e.g. poster, radio)  
- Helpline (800 number for information about smoking cessation resources administered by a community organisation)  
- Focus groups with Chinese people living in the intervention community and guidance of an advisory board to achieve linguistic and cultural acceptability  
- Bilingual interviewer for questionnaire completion; English, Mandarin, Cantonese, Fukinese and other dialects

**Outcome measures and results**  
*Follow-up*: Not reported  
*Quit rates*: Between 2002 and 2006, overall smoking prevalence declined from 17.7% to 13.6% (23.2% relative decline, *p* < 0.01); Flushing 19.5% to 13.7% (relative decline 29.7%, *p* < 0.01) and Sunset Park 16.9% to 13.5% (20.1% relative decline, *p* < 0.01)

**Conclusions**  
*Authors*: A community-based tailored intervention may increase the impact of population approaches to tobacco control. Documented an additional 2.8% decline in prevalence over a 2-year period in the intervention community beyond the overall 3.3% decrease across Chinese communities attributable to Department of Health policies and programmes. This study builds on two areas: that tailoring programme content and delivery methods may enhance the effectiveness of interventions when trying to engage non-English-speaking immigrant populations and that citywide policy can be generalisable and effective among an immigrant population. Additional targeted efforts in immigrant populations may enhance general population-based policy approaches. Research is needed to develop an evidence base of cost-effective approaches for reaching subgroups who are at greatest risk  
*Reviewers*: This intervention was successful in that an additional effect was demonstrated in the intervention community; however, high dropout may have biased the findings. The authors did calculate non-response adjustment factors applied to base weights to derive the non-response adjusted follow-up weight

**Comments and limitations**  
Self-reported smoking cessation data and high dropout rate at follow-up. No smokers from Sunset Park enrolled in the free nicotine patch programme. All indices showed trends in differences in favour of the intervention community. Chinese-language community-level social marketing campaigns appeared to have the greatest penetration (intervention receipt index 1.48, 95% CI 1.15 to 1.81)

[^465]: CI, confidence interval; NRT, nicotine replacement therapy.
### Study reference
Webb et al. 2008

### Setting
USA; home

### Inclusion criteria
Self-identified as African American, smoked at least five cigarettes per day, could read English, wanted to quit smoking, not enrolled in a smoking cessation treatment and between 18 and 65 years of age

### Study type
RCT

### Description of population
- **Ethnicity:** African American; self-identified
- **Age (years):** Mean: standard intervention: 43 (SD 9.80), culturally sensitive (CS) intervention: 44 (SD 10.79)
- **n:** 260; 128 standard, 132 CS; **n = 182 completed follow-up**
- **Sex:** Female: standard 64%, CS 52%
- **Income:** < US$10,000: standard 49%, CS 44%
- **Other:** Smoking: cigarettes per day: standard: 16 (SD 8.87), CS: 17 (SD 9.42); years smoking: standard: 23 (SD 11.04), CS: 22 (SD 12.13)

### Description of intervention and control
**CS intervention:** Pathways to Freedom: Winning the Fight against Tobacco, a smoking cessation guide designed for African American smokers, written at sixth-grade reading level, which discusses how smoking impacts African Americans, provides cessation advice and strategies and encourages community organisations to work against the tobacco industry

**Standard:** Culturally sensitive aspects of the CS book were modified to create a generic intervention. Modifications: replacing most of the African American images with cartoons, Caucasians or race-neutral smoking-related pictures; replacing religious and cultural quotations with motivational quotations; using epidemiological data used that addressed the general population; discussion of tobacco marketing for multiple populations, including adolescents and women; replacing the discussion of menthol cigarettes with one of light cigarettes; and no emphasis on history

### Theory: Not reported

### Approaches to adaptation
- Discussion of targeted tobacco advertising and a discussion of menthol cigarettes

- **Pathways to Freedom**, a sixth-grade reading level guide, encourages community organisations to work against the tobacco industry and has a collectivist (family/community) orientation, uses culturally specific history and communication patterns, includes religion and spirituality (quotes), uses culturally specific pictures and statistics and includes discussion of targeted tobacco adverts and of menthol cigarettes

### Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up:** Baseline and 3 months
- **Quit attempts:** Under intention to treat, 14.2% of participants in the sample reported 24-hour smoking abstinence, including 13.7% of the CS group and 14.7% of the standard group. A total of 10% of the sample reported 7-day PPA, including 10.7% of the CS group and 9.4% of the standard group; 39% reported making an attempt to quit

### Conclusions
**Authors:** It is very important to acknowledge the intra-ethnic heterogeneity and differing influences of acculturation. These findings suggest that individual differences in levels of acculturation to the dominant culture affect receptivity to culturally sensitive written interventions. Acculturation had a moderating effect on smoking status but not in the hypothesised direction

**Reviewers:** The authors do not delve too much into whether the intervention was successful or not, as the moderating effect on smoking status was not in the hypothesised direction, such that less acculturated smokers were more likely to achieve 24-hour abstinence if they received the standard materials. No other outcomes were significant. This is a very interesting finding and the reasons given by the authors warrant further analysis

### Comments and limitations
Findings may not generalise to African Americans of higher income or who are in other geographical locations. Follow-up duration was too short. Smoking status not biochemically verified

PPA, point prevalence abstinence; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
### Study reference
Wong et al. 2008

### Setting
USA; San Francisco Bay Area, CA

### Inclusion criteria
Participants who reported using a cigarette, cigar or tobacco pipe during the 3 months preceding the baseline interview were considered smokers. Speaking either English or Chinese, not engaging in other assisted smoking cessation efforts and not cognitively impaired

### Study type
RCT

### Description of population
Ethnicity: Chinese American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean: 58.3 ± 16
n: 464  
Sex: 8.6% female
Other: 57.9% < high school education, 30.6% high school/trade/some college, 11.4% bachelor/master/doctorate

### Description of intervention and control
Smoking cessation intervention for Chinese American population

**Intensive intervention** (2.5–3 hours of contact): physician advice, 45-minute bedside nurse counselling including video and self-help manual, five telephone contacts within 90 days (at 2, 7, 21, 45 and 90 days), each 10 minutes in length, with NRT and one repeat relapse counselling session with one additional telephone contact for individuals who relapsed. Community collaboration throughout all phases of work. Victory over Smoking manual was recommended. Telephone calls: messages about proper nutrition, physical activity and also enlisting support from family members and friends

**Minimal intervention** (30 minutes of contact): a strong message to quit smoking from primary care physician and/or research nurse, self-help manual with information on pharmacotherapies and a list of smoking cessation programmes available in the San Francisco Bay Area

**Theory:** Social learning theory. Based on social learning theory, the intervention included self-efficacy with three additional resources: (1) information about the health risks of smoking delivered by a nurse or a physician, emphasising the benefit of quitting for the smoker’s particular medical condition, (2) practical information about ways to stop smoking, (3) coping skills to deal with stressful situations to prevent relapse

**Approaches to adaptation**
- Community advisors – well-respected and active members of the local Chinese health-care community as advisors
- Cultural elements to improve programme effectiveness included involving smoker’s physician and family (joint counselling sessions offered) and emphasising concern for health of the family, especially young children
- Research staff were bilingual; also, bicultural nurses and health educators
- Culturally appropriate tobacco education material [developed and tested in the San Francisco Chinese community by the Chinese Community Smoke-Free Project (CCSFP)], smoking cessation booklet and videotape, Victory over Smoking (in Mandarin and Cantonese), and a relaxation audiotape (in Mandarin and Cantonese)
- Activities conducted in familiar environments located in Chinese neighbourhoods to increase comfort, familiarity and programme accessibility

### Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up** 6, 12 and 24 months

**Quit rates:** Unpublished: self-reported abstinence at 12 months: outpatient (n=196) intensive arm 34 (SD 0.30) (p < 0.05); outpatient minimal arm 27 (SD 0.25) (p < 0.05); inpatient (n=89) intensive arm 22 (SD 0.46), inpatient minimal arm 24 (SD 0.48); overall abstinence rates appeared higher at 12 months than at 6 months among outpatients: 28% vs 20% (in both arms)

**Conclusions**
Authors: The intensive intervention outperformed the minimal condition at both 6 and 12 months for outpatient but not for inpatient smokers. In contrast to other smoking cessation treatment studies in which abstinence rates decreased over time, the overall abstinence rates appeared to be higher at 12 months than at 6 months, particularly among outpatients [28% vs 20% (in both arms)]. In contrast to previous smoking cessation studies in which 1-year abstinence rates were reported as approximately 25%, the self-reported 1-year abstinence rates in this study were approximately 35% (will need to be verified with saliva cotinine levels)

Reviewers: The self-reported abstinence would suggest that the intervention was successful for outpatients in the intensive arm at 6 and 12 months; however, this was not validated with saliva cotinine levels. It also seems that the analysis has been separated by inpatients and outpatients as a post hoc analysis. It appears that the intensive intervention did not show effect when comparing both inpatients and outpatients in the intensive group with inpatients and outpatients in the minimal group. These preliminary study data are currently being analysed; they have not been peer reviewed and were supplied by the authors through personal contact

**Comments and limitations**
Paid US$40 for completing baseline interview as well as 6- and 12-month telephone assessments of smoking. Additional US$20 paid for completing a 24-month telephone assessment

NRT, nicotine replacement therapy; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
Significant decreasing trend in daily smoking ($p < 0.02$) and smoking uptake ($p < 0.03$) in North Nashville. In contrast to the North Nashville community, an increasing trend was observed for quitting smoking ($p < 0.01$) in Tennessee.

Conclusions

Authors: This study suggests that consistent, cumulative, multiple and multilevel strategies targeted to an African American community may impact smoking behaviours, such as reducing the level of smoking. African Americans in North Nashville may have reduced their cigarette use and fewer residents initiated smoking over the study period; these findings were found for men but not for women. The cumulative frequency of community-based tobacco control initiatives over the 5-year study period corresponded to the linear trends observed. The decline in everyday smoking and differences observed in occasional smoking combined with the decreasing trend of those who reported that they have ever smoked suggests a possible ‘dose–response’ effect of the intervention to decrease heavy smoking and prevent the initiation of smoking. Prevalence of quitting increased among both white men and women in Tennessee whereas no trend of smoking cessation was found in Nashville overall. The existence of the anti-tobacco community campaign for several years in our geographical area suggests a contributory effect on reducing smoking among our population.

Reviewers: Developing an intervention with strategies at the policy, community and individual level may help to promote smoking cessation and prevent smoking uptake in African American smokers.

Comments and limitations

Analysis here does not allow for causal interpretation. No countrywide data. Small sample size of African Americans state-wide reduced the statistical power to detect any differences over time. Self-reported data may have been affected by social desirability. Telephone surveys exclude certain populations (institutionalised individuals and those without landlines).
Adapted physical activity interventions

Study reference
Carroll et al. 2002

Setting
UK; Leeds, urban

Inclusion criteria
Women were to be in the contemplation or pre-contemplation stage of behaviour change, to be South Asian, Muslim and living in the Beeston area of Leeds and to have confirmation from their GP that they were fit enough to participate
No other inclusion or exclusion criteria are given

Study type
Qualitative

Description of population
Ethnicity: South Asian; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Not reported
n: 15
Sex: Not reported
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
Exercise to Health’s pilot project targeted South Asian women at high risk of heart disease and diabetes. It was adapted from the successful Heart Watch and Diabetes Watch programmes. Exercise programmes were twice weekly, with a moderate type of exercise and suitable equipment, and the intervention was located in a church hall in the heart of the community. The hall could be sealed off so that women had exclusive access. All but two women attended five or more sessions

Theory: Action research framework

Approaches to adaptation
- Developed following extensive formative research of the population’s needs
- Bilingual female health and fitness assessor, instructor and researcher
- Project co-ordinator and researcher were multilingual South Asian Muslim women
- Use of local centres within the community
- Crèche facilities
- No charge for exercise programme
- Recruitment and promotion of the programme through the community, in appropriate languages
- Children, relatives and friends could be brought to interviews
- Women-only sessions

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Measurements were taken at baseline and at 6 and 14 weeks
Psychological measures (questionnaire was not repeated at 6 weeks as it was considered too short a time): The follow-up interviews showed that women felt healthier, lost weight, had made positive behavioural changes and had a positive outlook to continuing exercise

Conclusions
Authors: Women were happy with the scheme and the results and were willing to let other people know about it and to recommend it to others. None of the women experienced any difficulties accessing the programme with regard to the points of view of their families. There was much more demand for the programme than could be provided and this was a missed opportunity. The project appeared to be a success, not just from the point of view of the women but also from the perspectives of the scheme providers
Reviewers: A lot of formative work and preparation went into this project and it seemed to have been acceptable to the population. It is unfortunate that the funding ceased and this prevented further intervention and further evaluation. It is particularly unfortunate that none of the physiological measurements is presented as this prevents any assessment of effect

Comments and limitations
This was a pilot programme and the period of 6 weeks was too short, but it was not possible to continue because there was no more funding. The behavioural and psychological measures were not repeated because 6 weeks was felt too short a time for change. The physiological measures were said to have been repeated at 6 weeks but there was no documentation on the results and therefore we were unable to comment. Further tests are needed of the tools that were used as measures as they had been adapted for this population. There was no comparison group

GP, general practitioner.
### Study reference
Guerin et al. 2003

### Setting
New Zealand; Hamilton

### Inclusion criteria
Somali women living in Hamilton, New Zealand; no other explicit criteria given (for the gym membership it was given on a first-come first-served basis)

### Study type
Qualitative

### Description of population
- **Ethnicity:** Somali; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- **Age (years):** Average (range): 33 (17–67)
- **n:** 37
- **Sex:** 100% female
- **Income:** Majority were unemployed and on government benefits

### Description of intervention and control
Three exercise programmes were delivered: an exercise class at a community centre, a 3-month trial membership to a fitness centre with a women-only facility and a walking/sport group. The three classes were delivered independently at different times of the year

**Community centre classes** were held in a facility that had been altered to be culturally appropriate with windows painted over so that the women could not be seen exercising. Between 8 and 40 women attended each session; sessions lasted for 6 weeks (16 classes) and concluded at the start of Ramadan

**Gym membership** was provided for 20 women (first come first served) and was highly subsidised so that it cost only NZ$5 for 3 months

The **walking/sport group** was organised twice a week weather permitting. The women met at a sports field after dark and would walk, jog, play various ball games and participate in other exercises

### Theory:
Not reported

### Approaches to adaptation
- Women were consulted over the design
- Facilities were adapted to be culturally appropriate
- Interpreter at exercise sessions
- Gym highly subsidised
- Outdoor sessions after dark for privacy and for fear of discrimination
- Reminder calls and help with transportation
- Music and clothing worn were adapted, e.g. modifying traditional Somali dress for safety when exercising
- Consideration of Ramadan and prayer
- Somali community radio was used
- Recognition of health issues within Islam; most common interpretation is that physical activity participation is not prohibited for women as long as it is not at the expense of caring for the family

### Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up:** The data were from interviews, observations and casual conversations with women over a year during which these activities were provided

**Changes in physical activity:** Women stated that they would like to have more exercise available to help them be more physically active. It was found that engaging in physical activity was helping to decrease weight gain among this population and improve their physical health (no data reported)

### Conclusions
**Authors:** Overall, the authors found many benefits for the Somali women in the opportunities provided for increasing physical activity. In working with the women of the Somali community they found that identification of their needs contributed to the success of the programmes. They also found that ongoing communication with the women was the best way to provide opportunities to identify and meet their needs

**Reviewers:** The study is limited by its design/reporting in terms of the conclusions that can be drawn about its effectiveness. However, it provides interesting insights into the adaptation of interventions for a refugee population and some of the unique features that should be taken into consideration

### Comments and limitations
This study is limited by its design and there is limited evaluation of the effectiveness of the interventions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Newton and Perri 2004&lt;sup&gt;120&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>USA; Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria</td>
<td>Between 30 and 69 years, sedentary lifestyle (defined as &lt; 1 hour per week of leisure time over the previous 12 months) and good health status (absence of significant medical illnesses and orthopaedic problems that would interfere with walking)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study type</td>
<td>RCT (part of a wider RCT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of population</td>
<td>Ethnicity: African American; self-classified Age (years): 30–69 n: 90 Sex: 81% female Income: 75% had a personal income of at least US$25,000 per year Other: 92% had some college education or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of intervention and control</td>
<td>Compared effects of three home-based exercise promotion interventions for improving cardiorespiratory fitness and physical activity in sedentary African American adults Physician advice (PA): Minimal treatment corresponding to the recommended exercise guidelines that a health-care provider would typically give to a sedentary individual. After the initial meeting, participants were invited to monthly physician-led meetings in which various health topics were discussed (all health topics were unrelated to altering exercise or eating habits) Standard behaviour exercise counselling (SB): Received 10 group sessions over 6 months. Sessions held weekly during month 1, biweekly during months 2–3 and monthly during months 4–6. Sessions were led by counsellors with graduate training in exercise science and/or behavioural science. Standard written materials were related to key behavioural components; however, materials were not tailored to address issues of particular concern to African Americans Culturally sensitive exercise counselling (CS): Identical to the SB programme with the exception of four key elements: (1) all group members were African American; (2) the sessions were led by African American counsellors; (3) the sessions were conducted at a site located in the African American community; and (4) the programme included materials that were designed to address sociocultural concerns of African Americans regarding exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approaches to adaptation</td>
<td>Participants recruited through a variety of methods Community leaders asked to provide advice about approved methods and to announce the study in the African American community, including securing the support of prominent African American politicians, pastors and civic leaders who publicly endorse the programme Personal presentations delivered to African American churches and social and fraternal organisations Fliers were placed in churches, beauty/barber salons, shopping markets, residential areas and other places of congregation for African Americans Public service announcements were delivered through radio stations and newspaper advertisements, and targeted mass mailings were delivered to areas that were densely populated with African American families African American Acculturation Scale used CS: The first three elements were to enhance identification among the participants and the group leaders. This is ‘surface-level’ cultural tailoring. Programme material designed to incorporate both surface and deep levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome measures and results</td>
<td>Follow-up: Baseline and 6 months post treatment Changes in fitness: Post hoc tests showed significant differences between the SB and PA conditions ((p=0.006)) and between the CS and PA groups ((p=0.034)). Both exercise counselling groups had greater changes in fitness than the PA group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

Authors: Participants in all three conditions reported an increase in exercise participation; however, only participants in the SB and CS counselling groups demonstrated significant improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness. Participants in the CS intervention reported significantly higher social support for exercise than the other two groups. The CS group was more satisfied with the programme than the other groups. Despite significant changes in fitness in the SB and CS counselling groups, there were no group differences in physical activity. It was hypothesised that those in the PA group were subject to ‘demand characteristics’ and self-reported increases in physical activity while demonstrating decreased fitness levels.

Reviewers: Improvements in fitness and in physical activity did not differ significantly between the CS and SB groups. Rates of attendance and adherence were also equivalent. It is possible that the CS group may not have included cultural elements of sufficient salience to produce a greater effect than the SB intervention, e.g. the use of testimonials or ‘testifying’, which is a common form of communication among African Americans. CS may have made it easier to obtain social support such as ‘a buddy’ for exercising resulting in increased satisfaction. It is unclear which aspects of cultural tailoring were related to change in social support and participant satisfaction. Acculturation did not moderate the relationship between the intervention groups and outcome measures. This could be because there was not enough variation in acculturation between the participants – the majority were ‘bicural’ and consequently receptive to information presented from both a culturally tailored and a standard orientation.

Comments and limitations

The majority were highly educated, which may limit the generalisability to a less educated population. Self-reported data. Small sample size limits generalisability and its power to detect between-group differences.

RCT, randomised controlled trial.
### Study reference

Andersen et al. 2006

### Setting

USA; Baltimore, MD

### Inclusion criteria

Adult commuters in the subway station were included; people were excluded if they were carrying items larger than a briefcase, were carrying a baby or child or were judged to be <18 years of age

### Study type

Observational

### Description of population

Ethnicity: African American, Caucasian or other categories but these were assigned by observers not self-assigned; 8477 were classified as African American, 6689 as Caucasian and 869 as 'others'

Age (years): 14–31% of the African American participants were >40 years age in the five different data sets

$n$: 540 people were observed in the pilot phase; 16,035 adult commuters were observed in the study

Sex: Not reported

Income: Not reported

### Description of intervention and control

Adult commuters in an urban subway station (of a university hospital in Baltimore) were observed while taking the escalators or stairs

**Pilot phase:** People were observed for 1 week and then a sign was put up that encouraged people to use the stairs and people were observed for the following week. This was carried out at one subway stop only

**Study phase:** A culturally sensitive sign was developed. People were observed for 2 weeks before the sign was put up. People were then observed for 2 weeks with the sign present and for a further 2 weeks after the sign was taken down. Data were once again recorded for one week without the sign present at week 7. Finally, the last phase of the study involved putting the sign up once again at week 8 and recording stair use. Data were collected unobtrusively from a distant point above

**Theory:** Not reported

### Approaches to adaptation

- Images on the sign featured an African American role model and the slogan was developed from ideas from the community that a barrier to exercise was time – ‘No time for exercise? Try the stairs’
- Community consulted for the development of the culturally sensitive intervention, including focus groups

### Outcome measures and results

**Follow-up:** See study phase above

**Changes in physical activity:** African American people increased their stair use when the sign was displayed, from 10.3% (95% CI 9% to 11.5%) to 16.4% (95% CI 15.1% to 17.7%; NNT = 16). Stair use remained elevated 1 week after the sign was removed but returned to baseline levels 3 weeks after removal, and overall stair use did not differ from baseline to 4 weeks after the display of the sign

### Conclusions

**Authors:** A low-cost culturally sensitive sign can significantly increase the proportion of African American commuters who use the stairs rather than an adjacent escalator in an urban subway station. Given that stair use may be an efficient way to add physical activity into a daily routine, these findings have important public health implications

**Reviewers:** This is an observational study and so it is difficult to draw causal associations. The sample included different people with different age and weight distributions, and all of the variables – ethnicity, age and weight – were judged by observation only. However, observationally, it did appear that significantly more people chose to use the stairs when the sign was in situ

### Comments and limitations

The greatest limitation to this study is that the data collection was carried out by estimating people’s ethnicity, weight and age. The authors comment that there was good agreement between investigators in their judgement, but this does not alter the fact that there was likely a large margin of error in terms of people’s true demographics and particularly their self-assigned ethnicity

CI, confidence interval; NNT, number needed to treat.
### Study reference
Stewart et al. 2006[13] (CHAMPS III)

### Setting
USA; San Francisco, CA

### Inclusion criteria
No explicit inclusion criteria

### Study type
Pre–post

### Description of population
Ethnicity: African American (90%), Asian (2%) and white (8%); not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): 97% of African American participants were > 60 years
n: 321; 53 participants completed the 6-month follow-up
Sex: Not reported
Income: Not reported

### Description of intervention and control
Community Health Activities Model Programme for Seniors, CHAMPS II, was diffused to lower-income and minority groups

**Intervention**: A choice-based individually tailored programme providing information, skills training, support and problem-solving through personal planning, regular telephone follow-up, group workshops, newsletters, activity diaries and functional fitness assessments

**Theory**: Social cognitive theory

**Approaches to adaptation**
- Had community group consultations on how to adapt the intervention
- Classes made much more interactive for this audience
- More media resources used such as television and the internet
- Adaptations continued during implementation; was an ongoing process in response to feedback and evaluation

**Outcome measures and results**
**Follow-up**: Process evaluation was conducted with staff, volunteers, and directors every 6–12 months while the programme was running; participant outcomes were measured at the end of each cohort’s 6-month intervention

**Changes in calories**: 53 participants who completed to 6 months showed an increased expenditure of calories per week of 481 (SD 1939)

**Changes in physical activity**: Increase in hours of physical activity per week of 1.9 (SD 8.0)

### Conclusions
**Authors**: The project enabled community organisations to implement physical activity promotion programmes. The overarching challenge was to retain the original programme features within each organisation’s resources yet for it to be sustainable. Although the programmes differed from the original research programme they were a catalyst for numerous community-level changes. The findings were said to be able to guide similar projects to reach underserved older adults

**Reviewers**: This intervention did not show any significant effect in terms of increased physical activity although there were trends in this direction. There was a strong community partnership in the intervention. The successes that occurred were the environmental/community changes in the development of new and better recreational facilities and opportunities. This infrastructure has been sustained to 1.5 years by the community

**Comments and limitations**
This study has a relatively small sample that completed to 6 months. There is no comparison group. The recruitment was self-referral and prone to bias

---

**SD**, standard deviation.
**Study reference**
Taylor-Piliae et al. 2006;402 Taylor-Piliae et al. 2006403

**Setting**
USA; San Francisco, CA, urban

**Inclusion criteria**
Chinese, ≥ 45 years with at least one major CVD risk factor living in the San Francisco Bay Area, Cantonese or English speaker, not practising Tai Chi in the last 6 months and willing to give written informed consent

**Study type**
Quasi-experimental

**Description of population**
Ethnicity: Chinese; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): 66 ± 8.3
*n*: 39
Sex: 69% female
Income: The majority reported an income of < US$35,000 per year
Other: Most were Cantonese speakers. The majority reported ≤ 12 years of education

**Description of intervention and control**
Recruitment occurred through flyers, posters, brochures and newspaper advertisements. Intervention was a 12-week community-based Tai Chi exercise programme in a community centre. The subjects were recruited to cohorts of a maximum of 20 per group and had 60-minute sessions three times a week. Yang Style 24 posture Tai Chi was used, which is thought to have an intensity equal to brisk walking. Each session had a 20-minute warm-up, 30 minutes of Tai Chi and then a 10-minute cool down. Subjects were encouraged to practice at home at least two other days a week and on completion of the 12 weeks they received a CD-ROM of the Master performing the sequence

**Theory:** Minimal theory as was exercise only

**Approaches to adaptation**
- Used culturally appropriate traditional exercise from China
- Set in community centre that is familiar and where a large number of Chinese elders gather regularly
- Delivered in Cantonese (principal investigator, research assistant and instructor all bilingual)

**Outcome measures and results**
Follow-up: Baseline to 6 and 12 weeks
Changes in physical activity: Subjects were sedentary at baseline but had a significant increase in aerobic endurance over time (*p* = 0.001)

**Conclusions**
Authors: This innovative culturally relevant community-based 12-week Tai Chi exercise intervention appealed to Chinese adults with CVD risk factors and resulted in significant improvements in aerobic endurance. This intervention has the potential to reduce expenditure associated with CVD by facilitating a lifestyle that promotes physical activity while remaining a low-tech, low-cost alternative to exercise
Reviewers: This intervention appears to have been highly acceptable to the population and had positive effects on aerobic endurance. A prolonged effect or any effects on weight or other health factors are yet to be demonstrated. This has limited generalisability although it shows that using a form of exercise that is traditional to a population is acceptable and feasible

**Comments and limitations**
Possible selection bias — may have included only people interested in Tai Chi and may not be generalisable within this population. No control group and small sample size. Unknown if there is a longer term effect/sustainability
### Study reference
Young and Stewart 2006

### Setting
USA; Baltimore City and Baltimore County, MD

### Inclusion criteria
African American woman, attended study churches or had friends who were church members or lived in the neighbourhood, between 25 and 75 years, did not engage in moderate-intensity activity more than three times a week and indicated willingness to increase their level of physical activity

### Study type
RCT (randomised by church)

### Description of population
- **Ethnicity:** African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- **Age (years):** Mean: active intervention: 48.0 ± 2.2, control: 48.4 ± 2.3
- **n:** 196
- **Sex:** 100% female
- **Income:** Not reported
- **Other:** Prevalence of obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) was 65%

### Description of intervention and control
Participants were randomised to an aerobic exercise or a Stretch N Health intervention

**Intervention:** 1-hour weekly exercise class led by certified aerobics instructors from the African American community who served as role models. An emphasis was placed on optimising social support, and women were paired with a buddy and encouraged to contact them regularly for support. Information handouts and monthly newsletters were given out. Volunteers were trained so that the classes could continue once the trial was over.

**Stretch N Health condition:** Free, alternating, weekly low-intensity stretching classes and health lectures. Certified aerobics instructors from African American community led classes. Member of the African American community led the health lectures. Participants were given a list from which to choose relevant topics: healthy eating, stress management, time management skills, meal planning for children and families, and natural herbal remedies. Newsletters with general health messages provided. At the end of 6 months of classes, 1 month of aerobic exercise classes was provided.

**Theory:** Resnicow et al.'s two dimensions of cultural sensitivity; social cognitive theory

### Approaches to adaptation
- Certified aerobics instructors from the African American community led classes. Members of the African American community led the health lectures
- Conducted intervention in participants’ churches
- Women were asked to pray for fellow participants in the aerobic intervention
- Handouts matched to physical and social characteristics relevant to African American women
- Focus groups/formative work showed that social support was a core cultural value, and an important factor for engaging in physical activity
- Used gospel music in the aerobic intervention

### Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up:** Not reported

**Changes in physical activity:** Prevalence of no physical activity declined to 32% in the intervention group and 31% in the comparison group. Physical activity measures, estimated daily energy expenditure, kcal/kg/day; activity summary score did not differ between the two groups (p=0.3)

### Conclusions
Authors: Church-based aerobic exercise intervention did not increase habitual physical activity levels more than a Stretch N Health lecture intervention. Both treatment groups reduced their degree of physical inactivity, although this was not a focus of this trial and may have implications for obesity reduction. From other studies it appears that secondary trials are better at retaining participants than primary prevention trials. Shorter-term intervention and follow-up (3–4 months), brief (<1 hour) assessments and conducting assessment procedures at convenient community locations are suggested for future studies. Qualitative work was also recommended to understand the values that surround African American women and their attitudes towards physical activity

**Reviewers:** This study was not successful in demonstrating a significant difference in improving physical activity levels when comparing the aerobic intervention with the stretching intervention. However, we agree with the author that results are limited because of low participation. They noted that both groups decreased physical inactivity; however, this is to be expected in an intervention with a physical activity component (as in both conditions). The authors have raised a credible suggestion for further interventions that could reduce attrition – conducting assessment procedures at convenient community locations. Low participation may need to be addressed by increasing social support components, as the authors suggest that baseline levels of social support predicted change in physical activity.
### Comments and limitations

Low participation contributed to a lack of significance between group differences in activity levels. Churches were sites rather than true partners in the research process and lacked ownership of the programme; therefore, women were not receiving tailored messages from the pastor or church officials. Authors suggest that the adaptations made in this intervention may not have met the deep structure-level adaptations needed. Trial did not use a true control group. Only 117 (60%) completed the 6-month follow-up physical activity assessment (61% active and 58% comparison). The reasons for this were being unable to schedule, having no interest, not participating in the intervention, being unwilling to be measured, being unable to locate BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
**Study reference**  
Banks-Wallace et al. 2004;469 Banks-Wallace and Conn 2005;470 Banks-Wallace 2007471 (Walk the Talk: a nursing intervention for black women)

**Setting**  
USA; Mid-Missouri

**Inclusion criteria**  
African American women, self-reported engagement in non-work- or non-chore-related physical activity for at most 20 minutes per day, no more than 2 days per week, ≥ 18 years, expressed a desire to increase physical activity and either currently treated for hypertension or been notified by a health-care provider that they have hypertension

**Study type**  
Pilot pre–post single-group design

**Description of population**  
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed  
Age (years): Mean: 50.3  
\(n\): 21  
Sex: 100% female  
Income: Not reported  
Other: All had completed high school

**Description of intervention and control**  
Pilot 12-month group intervention to increase physical activity among sedentary hypertensive African American women: 3-hour monthly meeting with an at-home walking component. Involved professional and personal storytelling, interactive learning, group physical activity and walking partners, with the aim of enhancing problem-solving skills and social support for walking for cardiovascular health

Monthly group sessions: (1) Brief sharing of current life situation by both participants and research team members; (2) professional storytelling; (3) interactive learning about cardiovascular health promotion; (4) group physical activity; (5) debriefing period; and (6) informal visiting while sharing a heart-healthy snack

Women walked together during the session, initially for 5 minutes and increasing gradually to 40 minutes. Line dancing was used as a substitute when the weather prevented walking. Participants were instructed to select a walking partner from the group to walk 2 days a week to promote social support. Each woman was given a Stanford Walking Kit to develop a personalised walking outline over a 6-week period

**Theory:** Not reported

**Approaches to adaptation**  
- A questionnaire (CAPSPAQ) was developed to provide assessment of physical activity patterns of African American ≥ 40 years of age  
- Storytelling using stories based in African or African American culture  
- Incentives: Books by African American authors  
- Pre-intervention meetings with African Americans  
- Recruitment by respected member of the African American community (protocol specialist)  
- Gathered support among African American businesses (a prerequisite to hang flyers)  
- African American principal investigator and previous experiences/relationships with the community  
- African American radio to advertise the programme  
- Maintaining an African American-only environment (non-African American research members asked to leave)  
- Video by Association of Black Cardiologists

**Outcome measures and results**  
*Follow-up: 12-month intervention with 6-month follow-up (18 months)*

**Changes in physical activity**  
Mean (SD) steps per day taken by participants: baseline \((n=21)\): 3857.2 (2578.0); 12 months \((n=13)\): 4059.7 (2721.6), change of +5%; 18 months \((n=15)\): 5281.6 (3257.0), change of +37%

**Weight**  
Mean (SD) weight: baseline \((n=21)\): 206.1 lb (28.8 lb); 12 months \((n=13)\): 187.5 lb (29.6 lb), change of −10%; 18 months \((n=15)\): 213.3 lb (60.2 lb), change of +4%
Conclusions

Authors: Results from this pilot study are encouraging with respect to the potential of group-level interventions as a strategy for promoting cardiovascular health among hypertensive African American women. Maintained decreases in systolic blood pressure and further decreases in diastolic blood pressure despite regaining weight during the follow-up period. Negligible change in steps taken per day at the end of the 12-month intervention; however, during the 6-month follow-up, walking behaviour increased a mean of 37% over baseline. A follow-on study with a larger sample size and control is needed.

Reviewers: Longer follow-up allowed detection of sustained/increased walking behaviour after the intervention period. Women were not able to sustain weight change and gained weight from baseline. Effectiveness was difficult to determine because of the small sample size and low follow-up rates. A lot of effort was put into recruitment of 21 participants.

Comments and limitations

Single-group design and small sample size make it impossible to accurately evaluate the clinical effectiveness of the intervention with respect to decreasing CVD risk factors. Intended to triangulate walking diaries, pedometers and CAPSPAQ but implausible physical activity reports on CAPSPAQ and failure to record information on walking calendars forced elimination of these outcome measures. Because of the variability among individual participants across data collection periods and the overall small sample size, more appropriate to discuss trends rather than statistical significance.

Compensation: US$10 for competing data assessments. Personal pampering items, books by African American authors, candles and note cards were raffled off every 3 months to encourage participation in intervention meetings.

Pedometers do not provide information about activity intensity or duration — proposed using accelerometers along with pedometers in future studies to measure walking intensity and duration along with changes in steps per day.

CVD, cardiovascular disease; SD, standard deviation.
### Study reference
Huhman et al. 2005; Huhman et al. 2007; Huhman et al. 2008 (the VERB campaign)

### Setting
USA; national

### Inclusion criteria
Children aged 9–13 years

### Study type
Prospective longitudinal quasi-experimental study

### Description of population
- **Ethnicity:** Multiethnic: African American, Asian American, American Indian, Hispanic; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- **Age (years):** Not reported
- **n:** 3120 parent–child dyads in the initial survey and 2257 in the second evaluation
- **Sex:** Not reported
- **Income:** Not reported

### Description of intervention and control
VERB was a campaign to promote physical activity to ‘tweens’ in the USA by delivering messages to a general audience and then to ‘tweens’ in various racial or ethnic groups. Messages were marketed on television, in print, on billboards, through a website and through school and community promotions. A portion of the marketing was directed at parents. Six advertising agencies were employed to undertake the general and targeted messages.

The African American programme started sponsorships with music groups and developed a theme urging parental involvement; it also utilised sponsored music events and sports events to deliver the messages. The Asian American programme shifted the focus to parents promoting the benefits of daily exercise; they encouraged parents to be active with their children and marketed the messages at cultural events.

**Theory:** Theory of planned behaviour and social cognitive theory were used in planning this intervention

### Approaches to adaptation
- Extensive qualitative evaluation with ‘tweens’ of all ethnicities
- Full range of formative evaluation and testing of concepts with the ethnic group
- Tailored visual imagery
- Language appropriate
- Ethnic-specific media
- For African American children, cultural cues from fashion and music were particularly important as well as messages that reinforce how ‘cool’ they are and require language that does not attempt to mimic slang
- The Asian American campaign highlighted the close ties to their native heritage

### Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up:** Baseline to years 2 and 4

**Changes in physical activity:** An association between increasing awareness and increasing activity was not initially found and the marketing was reviewed and intensified; by 2 and 4 years there were increases in activity associated with increased awareness

**Knowledge:** Outcomes for African American ‘tweens’ showed that, although the initial reach of the programme was not as large as for white or Hispanic tweens, there were no significant differences after 4 years when levels of awareness were 72%. Awareness among Asian Americans was 45% and 63% at 2 and 4 years, respectively

### Conclusions
**Authors:** The VERB campaign continued to positively influence children’s attitudes to physical activity and their physical activity patterns. With adequate and sustained investment, health marketing shows promise to affect the attitudes and behaviour of children

**Reviewers:** This study shows some positive effects of a national media campaign for physical activity that was targeted to specific ethnic minority groups. However, the study design has inherent limitations

### Comments and limitations
All data in the longitudinal study were self-reported. Reverse causation could mean that physically active children noticed the messages more than non-active children. The response rate to the telephone survey was 32% after 2 years.

It is interesting that this paper talks about health media campaigns and how, generally, only one message is developed, which, if tailoring at all, will be translated and include ethnic-specific characters in the advertising. The authors felt that this was not enough and that there needed to be a separate approach, formative evaluation and campaigns which, although true to the original message, were also developed specifically for the target populations.
Appendix 18

Study reference
Whitt-Glover et al. 2008

Setting
USA; suburban community in North Carolina

Inclusion criteria
Self-identified as black, ≥ 18 years, not currently meeting recommendations for moderate or vigorous physical activity [self-report of < 30 minutes of moderate physical activity on ≤ 5 days per week, < 20 minutes of vigorous physical activity on ≤ 3 days per week or carrying out recommended moderate and physical activity but in bouts lasting < 10 minutes (assessed using a modified International Physical Activity Questionnaire)], responding ‘no’ to all questions on the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire

Study type
Pre–post intervention, single-group design

Description of population
Ethnicity: Black; self-identified
Age (years): 52 ± 14 (range 20–83)
n: 87
Sex: 89% female
Other: 96% had high school education or higher

Description of intervention and control
A 3-month faith-based physical activity intervention to determine the effect of daily walking and moderate- and vigorous-intensity physical activity among sedentary black participants (particularly in bouts lasting 10+ minutes)
A total of eight group sessions focused on behavioural strategies. Weekly sessions included 30 minutes of moderate physical activity and a 60-minute discussion session. Group leaders led weekly intervention sessions at churches
Certified fitness instructor conducted the 30-minute moderate physical activity session and provided an opportunity to practice. Participants kept weekly logs of pedometer step counts to self-monitor walking. Weekly summary to track progress given to participants. Incentives were used to encourage an increase in daily moderate physical activity to at least 30 minutes by engaging in moderate-intensity walking. Each church pastor acted as a liaison and was paid a modest honorarium. They received a group leader training manual and all session materials to facilitate continued implementation after completion of the study

Theory: Social cognitive theory

Approaches to adaptation
- Local church pastors participated in in-depth interviews to provide input on the design, development and implementation strategies for health promotion in churches in general and a faith-based physical activity programme specifically
- Sessions opened and closed with prayer. Session content was presented from a theological perspective
- Incentives were culturally relevant
- Churches were recognised as having a central role in spiritual guidance, communication, social support and networking among black participants
- Black women were recruited as group leaders. Group leader characteristics were health knowledge, physically active but not athletic, previous experience working with black populations in faith-based settings, comfortable speaking in group settings, not a member of a church involved in the intervention

Outcome measures and results

Follow-up: Baseline and after 3 months
Changes in physical activity: Self-reported increase in minutes per week of moderate physical activity was 66.9 ± 77.6 or 251% (p < 0.01) and in minutes per week of vigorous physical activity was 43.8 ± 66.4 or 438% (p < 0.01)
BMI: BMI remained stable over 12-week intervention
Weight: Weight remained stable over 12-week intervention

Conclusions
Authors: Statistically significant increases were demonstrated in the number of steps per day after 4 weeks and after 12 weeks, and significant changes in moderate physical activity and vigorous physical activity were seen after 12 weeks. This study suggests that a faith-based programme was successful in increasing physical activity levels over a 3-month period among sedentary black adults. It is surprising that weight did not change; however, the increase in steps may have prevented excess weight gain. Changes in steps per day did not reach the recommended 10,000 steps per day. It remains unclear how big an increase in steps per day is necessary for significant changes in clinical outcomes

Reviewers: Although there were significant increases in steps per day, and increases in physical activity, there were no observed changes in weight and BMI. Agree with the authors that the increase in steps per day may not have been enough to result in clinically meaningful changes (e.g. in weight); however, weight maintenance would also be an appropriate objective. With regard to collaborative research with churches, randomisation may not be appropriate in churches
Comments and limitations

Lack of a control group because this was a pilot study and reluctance of some faith-based communities to be a part of a randomised study. Small sample size – study had a large enough sample to test for meaningful differences in daily walking as assessed by pedometers. No objective data for participation in moderate physical activity and vigorous physical activity (tried to use accelerometers but encountered adherence issues and were therefore unable to use data for analyses). Generalisability of findings may be limited in the general population (e.g. those who do not attend church).

In community church-based interventions, high retention and participation are often reported, for example in this study the participation rate was 6.2 ± 1.8 of 8 sessions.

BMI, body mass index.
### Study reference

Wilbur et al. 2008

### Setting

USA; Midwestern urban; centres in predominantly African American communities

### Inclusion criteria

40–65 years

### Study type

Quasi-experimental design

### Description of population

Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed

- Age (years): Mean (SD): 48.6 (6.0)
- n: 156 intervention, 125 control
- Sex: Not reported
- Income: Not reported

### Description of intervention and control

A 12-month trial of a home-based walking intervention enhanced by behavioural strategies targeted and tailored to African American women for the intervention group. A 24-week intensive adoption phase followed by a 24-week maintenance phase for the intervention group. Weight loss not a focus of the intervention

All participants: Individualised orientation and tailored walking prescription (walk three times a week within target heart range with gradual progression to 20–30 minutes). Places and times to walk determined by women. All received heart monitors to wear during walking, log books, toll-free telephone number, coupon to buy walking shoes and newsletters with walking tips

**Intervention:** Four targeted workshops held at community health centre, 6 to 10 women per workshop, 60 minutes long, followed by weekly tailored telephone calls over 24 weeks [weekly for 3 weeks, then every other week for 14 weeks and monthly during maintenance phase (weeks 25–48)]. Women reported walking frequency via an automated telephone system

**Theory:** Intervention guided by the client–professional interaction component of the interaction model of client health behaviour (health information, decisional control, social support); previously successful strategies based on social cognitive theory and the transtheoretical model incorporated into this intervention to move women from contemplation into the preparation and action stages of motivational readiness

### Approaches to adaptation

- All aspects of intervention designed to be culturally sensitive to African American women based on findings from focus groups held with community-based women (formative work)
- Newsletter with walking tips for African American women sent out
- Each workshop began with a 10-minute video featuring six African American female role models from targeted communities discussing the workshop topic
- Video followed by a 50-minute group discussion facilitated by staff member of the same ethnicity and having ties with the community

### Outcome measures and results

**Follow-up:** Baseline to 24 and 48 weeks

Changes in physical activity: Intervention group: increase from 19.5% to 44.2% at 24 weeks and 41.6% at 48 weeks; control group: increase from 23.3% to 41.8% at 24 weeks and 34.9% at 48 weeks

**BMI:** No significant changes in BMI from baseline to 24 weeks and 48 weeks for either treatment group – BMI stable

### Conclusions

Authors: Using objective monitoring and self-monitoring (heart rate monitors, walking logs and automated telephone response reporting) provided multiple sources of data for more accurate indication of adherence. Self-reported improvements in physical activity were found, but no difference was detected between the treatment groups. Both groups maintained BMI for the duration of the study and did not gain any weight. This suggests a positive effect of exercise

Reviewers: Significant increase in fitness suggests that this intervention was successful even though the women did not meet the recommended time spent walking at moderate intensity. It appears that group support was important for adherence and booster workshops (in addition to or instead of telephone calls) may be helpful in further interventions. The automated telephone response system is novel and may suggest a new way of getting women to self-report at their convenience. In other populations (with computers) online reporting may be a promising next step. Because the objective was weight maintenance, a result of maintained BMI can be considered successful

### Comments and limitations

Self-report measures might not be sensitive enough to detect differences between treatment groups for physical activity. In addition, women may have provided socially desirable responses as they may have connected personally with their respective intervention staff. All women reported walking every week via a toll-free number accessible at any time. The correlations between the telephone response system and heart rate monitor data with the walking log were 0.79 and 0.73 respectively. Women assumed not to be walking if no data were reported; thus, walks may be under-reported. Adoption phase: intervention group attended an average of 2.4 of the 4 workshops and received an average of 7.2 tailored calls. Another change was the movement from telephone landlines to mobile phones, which may have caused under-reporting if women were limiting their mobile telephone minutes. US$50 was given after each data collection

BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation.
Adapted nutrition interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Hawthorne and Tomlinson 1997407</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>UK; Manchester, hospital outpatient clinic or diabetic clinics in 10 general practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria</td>
<td>Pakistani patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus attending Manchester Diabetes Centre and 10 neighbouring general practices were entered into the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study type</td>
<td>RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of population</td>
<td>Ethnicity: Pakistani Muslim; not reported how ethnicity assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age (years): Mean (range): intervention: 52 (50–54), control: 54 (51–58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n: 201; 112 intervention, 89 control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex: 56% female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Income: Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of intervention and control</td>
<td>RCT of pictorial flashcard one-to-one education to improve knowledge about diabetes, increase self-caring behaviour and affect attitudes to diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A total of 10 coloured photographs produced by a dietician, link worker and professional photographer were enlarged to A3 and laminated. Each card covered one or more teaching objectives. Interviews were used alongside the flashcards and were videotaped. Interviews (20 minutes) took place in the hospital clinic, at GP surgeries or at the participants’ homes, in the language that they felt most comfortable using</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approaches to adaptation</td>
<td>■ Culturally appropriate pictorial flashcards – used Asian models, utensils and foods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Interviews carried out by a link worker fluent in Urdu, Punjabi and English (no medical background, but trained)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Attitudinal measures (four topics) were selected from an earlier survey and focus group discussions with Pakistani Muslims from Nottingham. Another pilot study conducted to test interview technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>■ Questionnaires translated during the interview, and tailored to the individual’s understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome measures and results</td>
<td>Follow-up: Baseline and 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>All outcomes measuring knowledge increased in the intervention group, e.g. increase in knowledge about individual food value scores from 71% to 84% (% correct) (analysis of variance adjusted difference in knowledge scores +7.8%, 95% CI +4.9% to +10.7%). Between groups: at 6 months, intervention group showed significant improvements in knowledge scores, increased self-caring behaviours and some changes in attitudes to diabetes and diabetes service. Few changes in control group over the 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td>Authors: Health education programmes can empower Asian people with diabetes to take control of their diets, learn to monitor and interpret glucose results and understand the implications of poor glycaemic control for diabetic complications. Low literacy levels and inexperience of formal education did not stop patients from learning about diabetes and how to control it. For this group, health education needs to be delivered in a combination of ways (flashcards, one-to-one interviews and reinforcement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewers: This study is poorly described and the significant results reported often do not include the percentage change and significance level. The study showed significant changes in knowledge regarding foods in the intervention group. More description of the control group is needed as it is unclear what they were receiving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments and limitations</td>
<td>Acceptability: patients were positive about the education received and felt empowered. Few people suggested improvements to the teaching method. Flashcard showing pictures of foods one could eat with diabetes and another showing sites of diabetic complications were the most popular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; GP, general practitioner; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
### Study reference

**Campbell et al. 1999**

### Setting

USA; eastern North Carolina

### Inclusion criteria

Participants were a one-eighth random subsample of the sample in the Black Churches United for Better Health (BCUBH) project. The criteria for that study were that participants were members of African American churches in 10 rural counties. Members had to be active adult members — defined as those who participated in worship services or church activities at least once per month.

### Study type

RCT (part of a larger study, the BCUBH project)

### Description of population

- **Ethnicity:** African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- **Age (years):** ≤ 50 years for 44.7% EXP (expert) group, 49% SPIR (spiritual) group, 44.7% control group
- **n:** 459 participants; 109 in the expert (EXP) group, 108 in the spiritual (SPIR) group, 242 control group
- **Sex:** EXP group: 74% female, SPIR group: 73% female
- **Income:** In both groups about 64% had a household income of < US$20,000 a year
- **Other:** 60.5% and 48.3% in the EXP and SPIR groups, respectively, had a high school education or more

### Description of intervention and control

This intervention compared two different computer-tailored messages designed to promote fruit and vegetable intake among African American church members, who were part of a bigger multicomponent trial. Both sets of messages were tailored to the same baseline variables, were formatted similarly to resemble church bulletins and incorporated cultural themes such as African American recipes and artwork; however, one set was generated by nutrition experts and used scientific research as the source (EXP) whereas the other set was put together by pastors and referenced God and scripture (SPIR). Both of these groups were evaluated and compared with a delayed intervention control group who during the intervention did not receive any bulletins.

For the bulletins there were 20 different personalised messages drawn from a library of several hundred messages and chosen based on responses to the baseline questionnaire. Tailored feedback included text and graphics showing the participant’s current fruit and vegetable intake and responses to their perceived risk of cancer, perceived benefits of eating fruit and vegetables, stages of change, perceived barriers and social support. They were also tailored to the person’s name and church title (Sister or Brother). Each bulletin also contained one of several randomly chosen low-fat recipes for a traditional African American fruit or vegetable dish.

The EXP bulletins stated that they were based on the latest research and had messages about social support, the top three barriers to eating fruit and vegetables, artwork depicting families and an article on ‘Why Doctors Want You to Eat Healthy’. The bookmark in the EXP included the statement ‘Eat 5 servings of fruit and vegetables a day for better health’.

The SPIR bulletins began with a message form the pastor and his photograph. They included church-oriented artwork, a five-a-day grace and messages with spiritual and biblical themes. There was an article on ‘Why God Wants You to Be Healthy’ and a bookmark with a passage from the book of Genesis.

### Theory: Bulletins tailored to stages of change

#### Approaches to adaptation

- **Religious setting**
- **Bulletins were designed like church bulletins after reviewing samples of these from the churches**
- **Used church names of ‘Brother’ or ‘Sister’**
- **Used traditional African American recipes**
- **Sample messages were pre-tested with African American church members from non-participating churches**
- **Pastors reviewed and approved bulletins**
- **The SPIR bulletins also used religious language with biblical allusions and messages from the pastor and ended with a five-a-day grace. They also contained church-oriented artwork, an article called ‘Why God Wants You to Be Healthy’ and a bookmark that had a passage from the book of Genesis about fruits and vegetables**

### Outcome measures and results

#### Follow-up

Surveys were undertaken at baseline, 1 year (subsample) and 2 years.

- **Fruit and vegetable consumption (7-day FFQ validated in subsample with 3-day food records):** Fruit and vegetable consumption at 2 years had increased for the two intervention groups compared with the control group. The EXP group consumed an average of 4.8 daily servings, the SPIR group consumed an average of 4.9 daily servings and the control group consumed 3.8 daily servings. This difference was significant ($p < 0.005$). There was no significant difference at follow-up between the EXP and SPIR intervention groups.
Conclusions

Authors: The authors conclude that this intervention was successful for both groups, but that the effect of the tailored bulletin cannot be separated out from the other components of the BCUBH intervention as a whole. Although there was no significant difference between the two intervention groups in terms of fruit and vegetable consumption, there was a finding that the SPIR bulletin was perceived as significantly more trustworthy than the EXP bulletin.

Reviewers: This was a very interesting study as it added an additional adaptation to an already adapted intervention to see if either expert or additional spiritual content would enhance the intervention.

Comments and limitations

Included the use of self-reported data. Also, there was a delay between the completion of the survey data and the delivery of the bulletins and this may have reduced their relevance as information may have changed over that time. The effect of the bulletin cannot be separated out from the effect of the overall study.

FFQ, Food Frequency Questionnaire; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
**Study reference**
Campbell et al. 1999[320,473] [Black Churches United for Better Health Project (BCUCH)]

**Setting**
USA; eastern North Carolina, rural

**Inclusion criteria**
Members of African American churches in 10 rural counties. Members had to be active adult members – defined as those who participated in worship services or church activities at least once per month

**Study type**
RCT (randomised by county)

**Description of population**
Ethnicity: 98% African American with 1% multiracial and 1% 'other'; not reported how ethnicity assessed

Age (years): Mean: 53.8

n: 2519

Sex: 73% female

Income: 59% reported household incomes < US$20,000 per year

Other: 67% had at least a high school education

**Description of intervention and control**
Churches in the five intervention counties received a planned five-a-day intervention programme and the other churches did not receive any programme activities until after the completion of the 2-year follow-up survey

As well as being culturally tailored, the materials were individually tailored with people receiving personalised messages and feedback based on survey information regarding their intake of fruit and vegetables, their stage of change, barriers, beliefs and social support. The church was also supplied with monthly packets of materials including brochures, posters, banners, bulletin board materials, idea sheets and church bulletin inserts.

Activities included gardening; educational sessions (including modifying cooking methods and classes on canning and freezing produce); cookbook and recipe tasting (cookbooks put together from members’ recipes modified to include five-a-day and given to all members); serving more fruit and vegetables at church functions; lay health advisors who attended training sessions on topics such as providing social support and helping members to advance stages of change; community coalitions that met to plan community events; pastor support (encouraged to promote the project from the pulpit); grocer–vendor involvement (materials designed to promote locally grown produce such as recipe cards, coupons and farmers’ market posters were distributed to the church members and also to local grocery stores); church-initiated activities

**Theory:** Used an ecological framework targeting activities at the individual, social network and community levels. Also used stages of change transtheoretical model, social cognitive theory and social support models and the PRECEDE–PROCEED model

**Approaches to adaptation**
- Used information from six focus groups conducted early in the project, pastor interviews and ongoing feedback from church members to make the programmes and messages more culturally relevant
- Help was obtained from pastors to incorporate spiritual themes into tailored messages, sermons and other communications
- A church team (nutrition action team) was selected to be responsible for organising and implementing programme activities
- An African American review group of pastors, project staff and community members reviewed and approved all project materials
- Worked within social networks in the community

**Outcome measures and results**

**Follow-up Baseline 2 years**

**Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption**
There was an increase in fruit and vegetable consumption in the intervention group to 4.45 servings compared with 3.60 servings in the delayed intervention group (difference of 0.85 servings, p < 0.0001)

**Knowledge**
OR of 1.8 for the intervention group vs the delayed group for an increase in knowledge that five or more daily servings are needed for health

**Conclusions**
Authors: This RCT of a five-a-day intervention in black churches showed a positive result in achieving dietary behaviour change. The observed effect size (0.85 servings) was greater than the half-serving increase projected in the study design and is impressive considering that all eligible subjects were included regardless of their level of participation in the project activities. In this project the institutional support and social networks of the church provided an effective avenue for diffusion of the five-a-day message. Also, partnering with the churches results in the potential for long-term maintenance and institutionalisation of the programme

Reviewers: This intervention appears to have been successful within the church setting with changes observed in knowledge and behaviour. If the programme was to be continued it would be interesting to see if there was ultimately any change in anthropomorphic measures or in health status

**Comments and limitations**
Limitations included findings being based on self-reported information, which is subject to response bias. Biomarkers that could have been used to validate the findings, such as carotenoid levels, were not assessed in this study. Anthropomorphic measures such as weight and height were also not determined

OR, odds ratio; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
Changes in dietary fat: No differences across race/ethnic groups in overall intervention effects on total grams of fat consumed. Compared with the white group, intervention effects were significantly larger among the black group for consuming poultry (–2.5 g, \( p < 0.001 \)) and fish (–1.0 g, \( p < 0.05 \)), and smaller for added fat (–8.9 g, \( p < 0.05 \)) and dairy foods (–1.3 g, \( p < 0.05 \)) and baked goods (–2.8 g, \( p < 0.05 \)).

The authors interpreted a difference in intervention effects between race/ethnic groups of 10 as meaningful (although not statistically significant) but this corresponds to 1.3 percentage points in per cent of energy from fat.

**Conclusion**

- The study demonstrated that, if properly designed, a single nutrition intervention programme can work well even in groups with culturally diverse dietary patterns. The largest decreases in all race/ethnic groups between baseline and 6 months were in fat from added fats, red meat and baked fats. There were only modest differences across race/ethnic groups in sources of fat, which suggests that the intervention was effective in lowering fat from all food groups.

- Authors: This intervention was successful in lowering fat intake in all ethnic groups, which suggests that a more inclusive programme that is sensitive to multicultural values and practices can be successful. Reasons why the intervention was successful include that it was broadly inclusive of culturally diverse dietary and lifestyle patterns and it was designed to accommodate individual dietary patterns and food preferences rather than prescribe specific foods or menus. People are likely to utilise different practices and are not limited to their own ‘cultural’ practices.

**Comments and limitations**

- The specific aim of this study was to test whether a single nutrition intervention programme would be effective among women with markedly different culturally associated dietary patterns. It is difficult to comment on the intervention in terms of cultural appropriateness when it was supposed to appeal to everyone. There were two substantial differences in sources of fat and dietary patterns. At baseline, the black group consumed more fat from meat, poultry and fish and used high-fat preparation methods such as frying, not removing skin from chicken and not trimming excess fat. The white group ate more fat added to foods as flavouring, e.g. fats added to vegetables or on bread. Consistent with these baseline differences, intervention effects were larger for the white group for avoiding fat as flavouring and for the black group for modifying meat to be lower in fat. Results in this paper are reported as the black group compared with the white group, rather than with the control group. This makes it difficult to determine whether there was an actual intervention effect.

- Limitations include that the intervention may not be generalisable and that participants had high fat intakes at baseline, were interested in nutrition and health and were highly motivated.

**FFQ, Food Frequency Questionnaire; RCT, randomised controlled trial.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Snowdon 1999(^{13})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>UK; Luton, Bedfordshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria</td>
<td>No details of inclusion or exclusion criteria except that participants were women and were not recruited because of health needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study type</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of population</td>
<td>Ethnicity: South Asian; not reported how ethnicity assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age (years): Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n: 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex: 100% female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Income: Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of intervention and control</td>
<td>Practical cookery clubs held by trained community members to demonstrate to local women how to make their dishes in a healthier way without affecting the taste. Club leaders were active members of the local community who were trained and received resources and then were asked to recruit 10–12 women from the community (not particularly targeting those with health needs) who have a shared language. The club was run in a local community centre or suitable kitchen over three sessions each lasting 2 hours. During the sessions the women all prepared four or five dishes using healthier recipes from the pack; they worked in small groups under the guidance of the leader and then tasted and discussed all items prepared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approaches to adaptation</td>
<td>- Undertook discussion with local community members to identify the need for intervention and the approach taken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Resource pack with traditional but healthier recipes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Active members of the local Asian community trained to be cookery club leaders (receive training and resource pack)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome measures and results</td>
<td>Follow-up: 12–18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge:</td>
<td>At the 12- to 18-month follow-up all of the women remembered the main messages of reducing fat, sugar and salt intake, felt that they had made changes in their diet since attending the club and gave examples of changes that included using less salt, less oil, oil instead of ghee and more fruit and vegetables and grilling more food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td>Authors: The evaluation of these starter clubs has demonstrated that this model of helping individuals to follow healthier diets was effective. All of the responding participants enjoyed attending the sessions and would recommend them to their friends. All had used the ideas in their homes and most reported positive responses from their families. Those who had not tried them had been worried about how the male members of their families would respond. The clubs subsequently have been run in Urdu-, Gujaranti-, Punjabi- and Bengali-speaking communities in Bedford and Luton. The impact on the participants as indicated by self-completed questionnaires has been similar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewers:</td>
<td>The sessions appear to have been effective but there are no objective measures. They appear feasible and acceptable in this population. There is relatively little detail of adaptations or any theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments and limitations</td>
<td>This study includes a limited sample and there is no control group. The outcome measures are self-reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Study reference
Resnicow et al. 2000; Resnicow et al. 2001 (Eat for Life)

Setting
USA

Inclusion criteria
Individuals aged ≥18 years from Baptist and Methodist churches (including African Methodist Episcopal) were included

Study type
RCT (randomised by church)

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean (range): 44 (18–87)
n: 1011 were initially recruited from 14 churches and 861 were assessed at 1-year follow-up
Sex: 73.3% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
A multicomponent intervention to increase fruit and vegetable intake among African Americans that was delivered through black churches
The churches were assigned to three groups. One group was a comparison, one was a self-help intervention with one telephone cue call and the last was a self-help intervention with one cue call and three counselling calls. The telephone counselling was based on motivational interviewing.
The comparison group received standard nutrition education materials initially and culturally sensitive intervention materials 1 year post intervention

Theory: Motivational interviewing was central to the counselling

Approaches to adaptation
- Formative work with focus groups of African Americans with varying levels of income and of fruit and vegetable intake
- Assistance with questionnaires for low literacy
- Recruitment through church liaisons and adverts
- Recipes developed by church members
- An advisory board of local pastors plus opinion leaders from the local faith community was formed to help provide ideas for conveying messages using religious themes and to review the project artwork
- The video used biblical and spiritual themes to motivate healthy eating. The video starred a well-known African American actress

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: 1-year follow-up
Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption: The net differences between the motivational interviewing group and the comparison group were 1.38, 1.02 and 1.21 servings of fruit and vegetables per day for the 2-item, 7-item and 36-item FFQs, respectively. The net differences between the motivational interviewing group and the self-help group were 1.14, 1.10 and 0.97 servings for the three questionnaires, respectively

Changes in dietary fat: Low-fat preparation practices improved significantly in the motivational interviewing group

Conclusions
Authors: Motivational interviewing appears to be a promising strategy for modifying dietary behaviour, and black churches are an excellent setting to implement and evaluate health promotion

Reviewers: This study suggests that churches are a good setting for health promotion interventions for African American populations. It shows that it is not enough to adapt the materials if people are not motivated to use them, and the addition of motivational interviewing/or the additional contact with a counsellor appears to provide this motivation and produces a more effective intervention

Comments and limitations
Monetary incentives for the churches were donated according to the number of people who stayed in the intervention
Limited by the self-reported measures although the use of multiple measures will have improved reliability and validity and the correlation with serum carotenoids has added verification; however, this is still prone to social desirability bias. There may have been sampling bias as sampling occurred on a first-come first-served basis in each church and it is unclear if these participants were representative of the wider church population.
The improvement with motivational interviewing may be due to the additional contact with a counsellor and not the techniques involved; this needs further study with a group receiving the same contact but no motivational interviewing technique. There could have been a change in the reporting of intake that was due to learning about portion sizes rather than a real change; however, there was no change in knowledge of portion size, which tends to suggest that this would not have occurred

FFQ, Food Frequency Questionnaire; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
### Study reference
Auslander *et al.* 2002;298 Williams *et al.* 2006297 [Eat Well Live Well (EWLW) nutrition programme]

### Setting
USA; St. Louis, MO

### Inclusion criteria
African American women aged 25–55 years and living in the intervention neighbourhood were eligible if they did not have diabetes, were not pregnant and were >20% over the ideal body weight as determined by self-reported BMI (>27 kg/m²)

### Study type
RCT

### Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean: treatment group: 41.2 ± 7.8, control group: 40.2 ± 8.2
n: 294 African American women; 138 treatment group, 156 control group
Sex: 100% female
Income: US$1367.8 ± US$1047 treatment group, US$1619.1 ± US$1206.7 control group per month
Other: 33% of the treatment group had a high school education or less compared with 43.6% of the control group

### Description of intervention and control
Community-based dietary change programme for African American women at risk of diabetes. The primary focus was to reduce dietary fat intake and increase low-fat dietary practices by tailoring the intervention to participants’ readiness to make changes in their diet. Weight reduction was encouraged but the major emphasis was on lowering fat

**Intervention:** Peer educators were African American women from the community. The manual-based programme consisted of six group sessions (six to eight people per group) and six individual sessions with a peer educator integrated over the 3-month intervention

**Control:** Did not receive any intervention in the treatment or follow-up phases but were given a self-help workbook that reflected the content of the programme and offered a half-day workshop on healthy low-fat eating after their follow-up assessment

### Theory: Stages of change, community organisation theory

### Approaches to adaptation
- Collaborative approach with a partnership between the university and the community
- Tailored dietary information with recipe modification keeping culturally rich recipes in the diet while reducing fat content and pricing of traditional food
- Focus on reducing fat and not on losing weight, which is not a priority generally for this population
- Recruited through newspapers targeting African American audiences
- Peer educators were African American women from the community
- African American women in the community assisted in defining the relevant content for the intervention
- Emphasis on personal development as a means of assisting the family or community
- Stages of change were renamed to be relevant to the population

### Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up:** Evaluated at post test (3 months after baseline) and then at follow-up (6 months after baseline)

Changes in dietary fat. The treatment group reported significantly more low-fat dietary patterns (*p* < 0.0001) at both post test and follow-up. There was also a difference in dietary fat intake with the treatment group reporting significantly less fat intake (*p* < 0.0001) and this was maintained post test and at follow-up. The actual percentages were a reduction from 35.9% to 32.1% (post test) and 32.3% (follow-up) for the intervention group compared with 36% to 35.6% (post test) and 34.5% (follow-up) in the control group

Knowledge: At post test there was a significant difference between the groups in knowledge about fat in the diet (*p* < 0.0001)

### Conclusions
Authors: The gathered data indicated that a stage-based intervention conducted by trained peer leaders in the community was effective in changing dietary patterns and reducing fat intake among low-income African American women. A peer-led approach with the collaboration of a community organisation that is located in the target neighbourhood holds promise for reducing the risk of diabetes among lower-income women

Reviewers: This study shows an effective intervention for reducing fat intake among African American women at risk of diabetes. The changes appear to be sustained for 3 months after the 3-month intervention ceased (6 months after baseline). This does not seem to translate into any change in weight or BMI, but may have other health benefits and, as the authors state, a reduction in weight was not a focus of the intervention. The intervention was not designed in a way to allow us to evaluate the effectiveness of the adaptation

### Comments and limitations
There may have been some effect in the control group of completing the three 45-minute FFQs over the study that might have made them think more about their diet and make dietary changes and thereby reduce the difference between the intervention and the control groups. Measures were self-reported. This was a convenience sample of women and may not be generalisable

---

BMI, body mass index; FFQ, Food Frequency Questionnaire; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
**Study reference**
Haire-Joshu et al. 2001; Haire-Joshu et al. 2003; Eyler et al. 2004

**Setting**
USA; St Louis, MO, urban

**Inclusion criteria**
African American parents in the Parents as Teachers (PAT) programme who were considered to be high risk (single parents, low income, ethnic minority, living with stressors such as serious illness, recent divorce or death)

**Study type**
Group randomised nested cohort with parents as the unit of analysis

**Description of population**
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean (range): 29 (16–77)
n: 738
Sex: 98% female
Income: 40% of parents earned <US$20,000 per year

**Description of intervention and control**
Culturally appropriate dietary intervention developed in partnership with PAT, which is a national parent education programme free to all parents of children from birth to 3 years
There were six intervention and six control sites. Parent educators recruited high-needs African American parents to the study; these parents are entitled to additional visits funded by the state. These parents were sent a packet of materials including pictures of foods used to guide the estimation of portion sizes. There were five personal visits with instruction on assessing current food intake, reading and interpreting nutritional labels, comparison shopping, choosing low-fat foods when eating out and recipe modification. There was also a visit that taught the parents how to model dietary behaviours to their children

**Theory:** Guided by community organisation principles with an ecological approach; curriculum incorporated social cognitive theory (particularly observational learning, goal-setting and behavioural capability); the approach was also guided by the family strength model, consistent with an Afrocentric approach that recognises the resilience of African American families rather than focusing on potential deficits; adult learning theory was also employed

**Approaches to adaptation**
- African American interviewers
- African American parents on the steering committee and focus groups
- Calendar with famous African Americans and historical events
- Used a video of an African American family relating culture, diet and health
- Participatory nature of the research to assist in overcoming historical mistrust of research
- Training for the educators included traditional dietary patterns and health

**Outcome measures and results**
*Follow-up:* Not reported
*Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption:* A higher percentage of the intervention group (53%) than the control group (41%) increased their fruit and vegetable intake (p = 0.002). The intervention group parents achieved an increase in fruit and vegetable intake of 0.53 (p = 0.03)
*Changes in dietary fat:* The intervention group’s fat intake decreased from 37.88% to 36.21%, a change of 1.7% calories from fat (p = 0.07) and they also improved their performance of dietary behaviours (p = 0.004). A higher proportion of intervention group parents reduced their intake of calories from fat to <30% (p < 0.03)

**Conclusions**
*Authors:* This intervention was effective and is appropriate for national adoption by over 2000 PAT sites with the potential to impact the dietary intake of African American parents nationwide
*Reviewers:* This intervention appears effective in changing parental behaviour although perhaps not as effective as hoped in changing role modelling of dietary behaviours to their children. Its greatest advantage is the mode of delivery and ability to be disseminated widely throughout the existing PAT programme; however, this partnership involved negotiation and resulted in fluctuations of what elements of the programme were deliverable in different settings and with the sometimes competing interests of the existing programme
Comments and limitations

The study did not show a significant increase in parental modelling of healthy dietary behaviours to children but this may have been because the control group were also in the PAT programme, which has parental modelling as a core component, and therefore the parents were used to the importance of role modelling from the outset. A larger difference may have been seen if this had not been the case.

There was also some lack of consistency of the intervention because, to be consistent with the philosophy of PAT, the intervention was delivered at teachable moments and not when there were other priority needs. Therefore, the course in its entirety was delivered to only 40% of the participants. This was due to the participatory nature of the research, which gave it more sustainability over time, and it is likely that over time more of the intervention would be delivered and greater efficacy observed. The study relied on self-reported information, which may be subject to reporting bias.

Because of the setting of this intervention it has the possibility to be extended to a very wide audience. The intervention considered dissemination and sustainability as key components in the design.
### Study reference
Resnicow et al. 2004;476 Fuemmeler et al. 2006;477 Campbell et al. 2007478 (Body and Soul)

### Setting
USA; California, south-east (GA, NC, SC) and north-east (DE, VA) regions

### Inclusion criteria
Churches recruited through local American Cancer Society (ACS) offices in California, south-east (GA, NC, SC) and north-east (DE, VA) regions. Individuals were recruited by liaisons on a first-come first-served basis.

### Study type
RCT (randomised by church)

### Description of population
Ethnicity: African American, all churches had a predominantly African American membership; not reported how ethnicity assessed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years): Mean (range)</th>
<th>50.6 (17–89)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>1022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex:</td>
<td>74.4% female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income:</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Description of intervention and control
A 6-month dietary intervention conducted with African American churches.

#### Intervention at two levels:
- Churchwide events, pastor support, policy changes and environmental changes aimed at the entire congregation, regardless of consent. Lay counselling delivered only to individuals who voluntarily enrolled in the study and provided active consent. Self-help print and video materials and motivational interviewing conducted by trained volunteer advisors. Two follow-up telephone calls.
- Control: Churchwide events only, no individual lay counselling.

#### Theory:
Process evaluation used the RE-AIM model479,480 emphasising the importance of both external validity (reach and adoption) and internal validity (efficacy and implementation).

### Approaches to adaptation
- Focused on churches because of their importance within African American communities as a resource for spiritual guidance and social-emotional support.
- ‘Body and Soul Sunday’ involving serving fruit and vegetables after services or church programmes, sponsoring food demonstrations or taste tests, organising tours of food markets, inviting guest speakers and having pastor sermons related to health.
- Self-help materials, e.g. cookbook and video, recipes submitted by church members; video Forgotten Miracles, an 18-minute video that targeted fruit and vegetable intake using both spiritual and secular motivational messages.
- Motivational interviewing: churches identified individuals with a college degree or higher and a background in a ‘helping profession’ for training.

### Outcome measures and results
#### Follow-up: Baseline and 6 months

| Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption: | Fruit and vegetable intake (servings per day); two-item measure: intervention 4.8 ± 0.12, control 4.1 ± 0.12, estimated effect size 0.39 (p < 0.05); 17-item measure: intervention 6.6 ± 0.39, control 5.2 ± 0.45, estimated effect size 0.18 (p < 0.05). | Intervention group reported significantly greater consumption of fruit and vegetables than the control group. Adjusted post-test difference was 0.7 servings per day based on the two-item measure and 1.4 servings for the 17-item measure. Differences equate to standardised effect sizes. The change in fruit and vegetable intake comprised 0.4 and 0.9 servings from fruit and 0.2 and 0.5 servings from vegetables across the two-item and 17-item measures, respectively. |

### Conclusions
**Authors:** This project demonstrated that a research-based intervention can be adapted and implemented under real-world conditions using volunteer staff and lay counsellors, and under these conditions positive effects on behaviour change can be achieved. Effect size for change in fruit and vegetable intake was smaller than that observed in parent efficacy trials but statistically significant. “These “dilutions” of implementation are consistent with the transition from efficacy to effectiveness” (p. 103).

**Reviewers:** This intervention was successful in increasing fruit and vegetable consumption among the intervention group in a church setting. However, the group seemed to be a higher-income, higher-education group than in other African American studies. Furthermore, self-selection bias was possible as a result of non-random individual sampling. However, the point was to test the intervention in real-life conditions and the authors acknowledged that the effects would be diluted.

### Comments and limitations
Self-reported fruit and vegetable intake (however, the two measures have been validated against serum carotenoids among African American populations suggesting partial validity). Quota sampling framework (first come first served); therefore, participants may not be representative of the entire church population. Programme exposure also self-reported. Unclear if effects due to counselling or motivational interviewing per se. RE-AIM framework applied post hoc to process analysis; may have weakened ability to fully utilise the predictive value of the model.

RCT, randomised controlled trial.
### Study reference

Anderson-Loftin et al. 2005

### Setting

USA; diabetes education centre in a rural South Carolina county

### Inclusion criteria

African American, medical diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, aged ≥ 18 years, no mental/physical limitations that would preclude participation in group activities and discussion and at least one of the following indicators of diabetic complications defined as high risk and modifiable by diet: (1) HbA1c (glycated haemoglobin) ≥ 8%; (2) cholesterol ≥ 100 mg/dl; (3) triglycerides ≥ 200 mg/dl; (4) low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ≥ 100 mg/dl; (5) weight ≥ 25 kg/m²; and (6) high-fat dietary patterns [score on the Food Habits Questionnaire (FHQ)] ≥ 2.5

### Study type

Longitudinal experimental pre–post test control group

### Description of population

Ethnicity: African Americans; not reported how ethnicity assessed  
Age (years): Mean (SD) (range): treatment group: 58.9 (10.1) (40–77), control group: 55.7 (12.1) (32–86)  
n: 97; 49 intervention, 48 control  
Sex: Intervention group 78% female, control group 75% female

### Description of intervention and control

**Intervention**: Four weekly 90-minute educational classes in low-fat dietary strategies. Five monthly 1-hour peer-professional group discussions and weekly telephone follow-up by a nurse case manager. Participation of family members was encouraged not only to integrate black cultural traditions with food but also to capitalise on the value of family and to provide transportation – a common barrier in rural areas. Experiential teaching method was used, e.g. assisting with meal preparations, reading food labels and making food choices at a simulated church supper. Educational classes: taught to make healthy, low-fat food choices according to the Diabetes Food Pyramid and strategies for reducing fats and set individualised mutual goals. Because of low literacy, the intervention focused on one major dietary concept for improved chances of success

**Control**: Referral to a local 8-hour traditional diabetes class. Received instruction about diabetes and complications

### Theory

Not reported

### Approaches to adaptation

- Traditional African American meal prepared using low-fat techniques; served to participants and their families after classes
- Teaching methods: demonstration, storytelling, vicarious experiences and role-modelling used because learning occurs by experiential methods, especially among an African American population with low literacy
- FHQ adapted
- Ethnic food models
- Language differences with health-care providers and rural prejudices against outsiders – insider health-care providers used
- Educational classes taught by a local dietician experienced in nutrition for rural black southerners with diabetes
- Peer-professional groups: preferred group structure

### Outcome measures and results

**Follow-up**: Baseline and 6 months post intervention

**Changes in dietary fat**: The intervention group reduced high-fat dietary habits to moderate whereas the high-fat dietary habits of the control group remained unchanged

**BMI**: Intervention group BMI decreased by a mean of 0.81 kg/m² at 6 months, control group BMI increased by 0.57 kg/m²; 1.38 kg/m² difference between groups at 6 months ($p = 0.009$)

**Weight**: At 6 months, weight decreased by 1.8 kg for the intervention group and increased by 1.9 kg for the control group, a net difference of 3.7 kg

### Conclusions

Authors: This study shows that a culturally competent self-management intervention can improve health outcomes for southern African Americans, especially those at risk because of a high-fat diet and a BMI ≥ 35 kg/m². Statistically significant changes were seen for BMI and FHQ in the intervention group and between genders; men gained weight despite a decrease in significant dietary fat behaviours compared with women. Improved cultural competency can improve adherence; there was 78% retention for the intervention group and 56% for the control group. A larger RCT was proposed

Reviewers: This study was effective at reducing BMI and dietary fat behaviours, although findings were more pronounced for BMI. Because this study is well described in terms of the adaptations, it gives a good sense of why the programme may have worked. However, in the adapted arm there was also more contact (as the control group were referred to a diabetes programme and it is not known whether they attended or not). A more informative control would be a similar-intensity intervention without adaptations; however, it would be difficult to keep the fidelity of such a control if the health educator was also from a similar background

### Comments and limitations

All participants received US$15 for attendance, a Soul Food Light sweatshirt, small token gifts and ‘door prizes’ at each intervention and testing session and when receiving the results of laboratory tests

**BMI**: body mass index; **SD**: standard deviation.
Study reference
Goodman and Blake 2005

Setting
USA; church-based community setting

Inclusion criteria
Not reported

Study type
Pre–post test

Description of population
Ethnicity: African Americans; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Range: 25–75
n: 82
Sex: Not reported
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
Computer-based nutrition education programme for African Americans adults (church-based sample). Interactive multimedia was used to simulate situations that involve complex learned behaviour, skills, values and environment related to nutrition and health

Computer-based programme composed of a pre-test assessment module (knowledge about healthy diet and eating habits) and two sections each containing four modules and a food game. Based on an assessment score, the learner would complete the entire section on basic nutrition or proceed to the section on food and health. Section 1: healthy eating and basic food groups with examples; section 2: meal planning and food preparation methods; benefits of selecting healthy choices (grilled foods) and the risks associated with poor choices (fried foods). Entire programme takes approximately 30–45 minutes to complete. Graphics and voice narration. The use of the programme does not require previous experience with a computer or a reading level higher than sixth grade

Theory: Not reported

Approaches to adaptation
- Multimedia computer programme to provide nutrition information to African Americans (using an existing software writing system)
- Nutrient content of programme derived from established nutrition curriculum, Families First, developed by a consortium at six historically black college and universities (HBCU)
- Section 1 of programme: related messages that healthy diets reduce risks for health conditions associated with high rates of mortality and morbidity among African Americans
- Section 2 of programme: meal planning and food preparation methods that incorporate foods traditionally consumed in the African American community with suggestions on how to improve their nutritional value
- Audio and sound so that limited reading required; use of voice narration, music, video clips, graphics
- Game board with names of foods found in traditional African American diets. When participants clicked on a name, a healthy substitute was suggested
- Depictions and pictures of African Americans were designed to engage the user in the programme and keep them interested
- African Americans appear to be more receptive to information on diet when it is related to specific diseases and clearly indicates which specific foods to eat or avoid, and this was the approach adopted here
- Encouraging African American families to modify the content of traditional foods and alter preparation methods is recommended rather than suggesting that people entirely change culturally significant eating patterns

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: 3 and 6 months

BMI: BMI was lower for participants who completed the programme compared with their initial screening scores and weight. There was a 7% increase in the number of people in the ‘normal’ category, a 2% decrease in the ‘overweight’ category and a 5% decrease in the ‘obese’ category

Conclusions
Authors: This study indicates that African Americans are responsive to culturally sensitive education programmes that focus on health and illness prevention. Individuals who received nutrition information by computer demonstrated a positive change in health-promoting nutrition behaviours and positive changes in BMI. The computer program appears to promote interest in nutrition and healthy eating and to capture the attention of the user. Health-promoting activities could be provided on a regular basis within the context of church-related activities and have the potential to promote lifestyle changes in food consumption and eating patterns

Reviewers: A poor-quality study in terms of reporting, in which recruitment and important demographics such as gender were not described. The study was successful in shifting patterns of BMI; however, it is unclear how and no measures of statistical significance are given for the reported findings

Comments and limitations
User-friendly format easy to use, even for those with limited computer abilities. Limitation: no indication of statistical analysis methods

BMI, body mass index.
### Study reference
Kreuter et al. 2005

### Setting
USA; St Louis, MO, 10 urban public health centres

### Inclusion criteria
Women who were able to demonstrate reading ability and reading comprehension by completing a six-item self-administered questionnaire written at fifth-grade reading level that included one open-ended question requiring an appropriate written response

### Study type
RCT

### Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean (range): 35.6 (18–65)

- n: 1227
- Sex: 100% female
- Income: Not reported
- Other: Mean education of 12.3 years (range 2–20 years)

### Description of intervention and control
Women were assigned to a usual care control group or to receive a series of six women’s health magazines with the content tailored to each individual. The magazines were generated from either BCT, CRT or both (BCT + CRT), and women receiving magazines were randomly assigned to one of these three groups.

All women aged 40–65 years received magazines that promoted the use of mammography and all women aged 18–39 years received magazines that promoted fruit and vegetable intake. Each magazine contained 10 tailored stories – for the younger age group there were six stories addressing fruit and vegetable intake and four on general topics.

Women in the control group were sent nothing during the intervention but were sent a full set of tailored magazines after the intervention.

### Theory
Not reported

### Approaches to adaptation
- The CRT was based on four cultural concepts known to be prevalent among African American women: religiosity, collectivism (belief that the basic unit of society is the family or group), racial pride (holding positive attitudes about one’s race) and time orientation (related to a person’s tendency to think and act according to consequences that are primarily immediate or primarily distal).
- Magazines were based on the cultural concepts above.
- The magazines contained artwork from local African American artists and stories on local African American history.
- There was extensive consultation in the development of the material and formative evaluation with the population of interest.

### Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up** 1, 6 and 18 months post baseline

**Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption:** Women receiving BCT + CRT magazines were more likely than those in the BCT, CRT and control groups to have greater increases in daily fruit and vegetable servings (+0.96 servings vs +0.43 vs +0.25 vs +0.59, respectively) (and in the older age group to report getting a mammogram).

### Conclusions
Authors: Systematically integrating culture into tailored cancer prevention and control interventions may enhance their effectiveness in diverse populations and certainly justifies further enquiry.

Reviewers: This study shows that cultural tailoring combined with tailoring for behaviour change appears to be a more effective strategy for changing nutritional behaviours.

### Comments and limitations
Limitations include the self-reported measures of outcomes. The fact that the magazines for BCT + CRT contained more varied articles may also have contributed to their increased effect, although it is not possible to measure this in this study. Also, the findings may not be generalisable to other populations.

This study explicitly set out to examine whether cultural tailoring had a greater effect than behavioural tailoring or no tailoring at all. This is the most beneficial type of study for our research hypothesis and suggested in this case that there is benefit from cultural tailoring in combination with behavioural tailoring. It is very interesting that the group receiving CRT magazines did not experience good levels of change; they never exceeded that of the control group and it was only when CRT was combined with BCT that there was an effect. The authors suggest that there is an important link between culture, behaviour and communication that needs to be investigated and pursued further.

---

BCT, behavioral construct tailoring; CRT, culturally relevant tailoring; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
Study reference
Resnicow et al. 2005, 252 Resnicow et al. 2005, 253 (Healthy Body/Healthy Spirit)

Setting
USA; Atlanta, urban

Inclusion criteria
Members of 16 African American churches in a metropolitan area of Atlanta, ≥ 18 years

Study type
RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean (SD): group 1: 46.3 (13.4), group 2: 45.9 (12.7), group 3: 46.6 (13.7)
Sex: Group 1: 74.2% female, group 2: 76.1% female, group 3: 78% female
Income: 10.5%, 13.1% and 11.1% of groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively, had income < US$20,000
Other: 30.6%, 21.7% and 26.7% had completed high school or vocational training in groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively

Description of intervention and control
Sixteen churches were randomly assigned to three conditions: group 1 (five churches) received a standard nutrition and physical activity intervention; group 2 (six churches) received a culturally targeted self-help nutrition and physical activity intervention; group 3 (five churches) received the same intervention as group 2 plus four telephone counselling calls based on motivational interviewing. Culturally tailored material included a cookbook and video developed for a previous trial (Eat for Life316), an exercise video, an exercise guide and an audio cassette for exercise. The advice was to undertake 30 minutes of exercise on most days of the week and that activity of greater intensity and duration will result in added health benefits. Group 1 (control) received an intervention of the same approximate intensity and type as group 2 and a health fair, a newsletter, an aerobics video and numerous educational health brochures. Motivational interviewing was delivered by telephone by psychologists; there were calls at 4, 12, 26 and 40 weeks

Theory: Not reported

Approaches to adaptation
- Materials were designed based on previous work for the Eat for Life study and with focus groups with local black church members not participating in the project to discover food preferences, cooking practices and exercise patterns relevant to this population as well as deep structure issues
- Exercise video was hosted by well-known African American celebrities from the area and there was also video footage of African American families attempting to make lifestyle changes within their homes (including two pastors). It also included clips of sermons and scriptures
- The video Forgotten Miracles contained biblical and spiritual themes to motivate healthy eating, including the use of a church setting and scriptures
- The cookbook contained recipes submitted by members of the participating churches
- An audio cassette used gospel music for working out and this had biblical quotes and sound bites from the pastors between songs
- Recruitment occurred through the church and church networks

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Not reported
Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption: Fruit and vegetable intake change scores were 0.17, 0.44 and 1.13 servings, respectively, for groups 1, 2 and 3
Changes in physical activity: Significantly bigger increases in activity for groups 2 and 3 than for group 1 for each of the three scores. Groups 2 and 3 did not vary significantly from each other on any of these scores

Conclusions
Authors: The two self-help groups were generally successful in inducing change in fruit and vegetable intake and physical activity. The impact of adding the motivational interviewing varied depending on the behaviour; for fruit and vegetable intake there was a clear additive effect but for physical activity there was no real difference. This may be because of differences in the delivery of the motivational interviewing for these two subjects or it may be that the self-help material for physical activity was better than that for fruit and vegetables and left little to be added. This effect could also be due to the fact that more people elected to work on their fruit and vegetable intake in the motivational interviewing sessions. The motivational interviewing group also appeared to have the greatest number of people making changes to both fruit and vegetable intake and physical activity at the same time (70%). Overall, churches appear to be an excellent setting to implement and evaluate culturally targeted interventions for the African American population and continued refinement and evaluation of such interventions appears warranted

Reviewers: This appears to be a successful intervention for nutrition and physical activity modification in a church setting for an African American population. There appears to be an advantage of a culturally tailored programme and perhaps an added benefit of adding motivational interviewing to this programme, certainly for the promotion of increased fruit and vegetable intake

Comments and limitations
Self-reported measures although they were validated with serum and exercise tests. May be sampling bias as was sampled on a first-come first-served basis and this may not be representative of the wider population, reducing external validity. Possible social desirability bias. Effects of motivational interviewing may be due to the added contact and not to the type of counselling itself

RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
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**Study reference**
Shankar *et al.* 2007; Klassen *et al.* 2008

**Setting**
USA; Washington DC, urban

**Inclusion criteria**
Women aged 20–50 years from 11 public housing communities

**Study type**
Pre–post

**Description of population**
Ethnicity: African-American; not reported how ethnicity assessed although study states that only American women whose parents and grandparents were born in the USA were included

Age (years): 19% aged 20–29 years, 37% aged 30–39 years, 44% aged 40–50 years

Sex: 100% female

Income: Not reported

Other: 39% had less than a high school level of education and 83% were currently not working

**Description of intervention and control**
The intervention was designed to be brief and low cost with the aim of being replicable in other low-income communities. Included 90-minute classes with a professional nutritionist, which were conducted twice a week for 3 weeks, followed by one 90-minute booster session held 6 weeks later. The sessions were led by a female African American registered dietician in small groups and took place in the community centre kitchen. Extensive formative work informed the intervention

Programme activities combined nutrition education and food-related skill development. To build self-efficacy for nutrition-related problem-solving, psychosocial tools such as goal-setting and planning were used. Social support was planned with techniques to encourage interaction within the class and also women were partnered and were encouraged to contact each other outside of class

**Theory:** Social ecological framework

**Approaches to adaptation**
- African American registered dietician led the classes
- The pictures used featured African American families with positive attitudes towards fruit and vegetable consumption

**Outcome measures and results**
**Follow-up:** Baseline to weeks 4 and 20 weeks after enrolment

Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption: Baseline dietary recalls showed average daily consumption of 3.05 servings of fruit and vegetables. No improvements were seen in fruit and vegetable consumption at follow-up

Changes in calories: Statistically significant decreases in total calories and per cent calories from fat were seen at both the post-test and follow-up end points for the whole sample

**Conclusions**
Authors: The aggregate results demonstrated that the intervention produced dietary improvement in attendees and should be considered as a possible model for a nutrition intervention in low-resource households and communities

Reviewers: This study appears to show effect for the sample as a whole and some difference in effect dependent on attendance; however, further research is needed to truly evaluate effectiveness. There was quite a high degree of attrition in the study

**Comments and limitations**
There was quite a high loss to follow-up. Women enrolling were often at the extremes of ill health as otherwise they were busy with Welfare to Work programmes and therefore they were not a representative sample. There was no control group. There was relatively short-term evaluation
### Study reference

Cullen and Thompson 2008

### Setting

**USA**

### Inclusion criteria

Families with 9- to 12-year-old African American girls who owned a home computer with internet access and had a parent or guardian willing to participate in the programme

### Study type

Pre–post

### Description of population

- **Ethnicity:** African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- **Age (years):** Not reported
- **n:** 67 families (parent and 9- to 12-year-old daughter) (three recruitment groups)
- **Sex:** Not reported
- **Income:** Not reported
- **Other:** Parental education was at college level or above for 92% of mothers and 60% of fathers

### Description of intervention and control

An eight-session web-based programme for families of African American girls promoting healthy home food environments and positive parenting behaviours to increase fruit and vegetable intake. An existing web-based programme was used as a starting point – it had low log-on rates and interviews with African American mothers were used to alter it and identify factors that may explain how parents can influence their children’s diet. The new programme was called Family Eats. It included eight photonovella stories (maximum of 3 minutes) portraying real life-type situations regarding nutrition. There were a lot of pictures, activity pages, links to recipes, parenting tips and links to other fact-based websites. Grocery lists that could be saved to participants’ computers were added. Thoughts and quotes on modelling of fruit and vegetable consumption and getting children to eat fruit and vegetables were added. The website was designed to be accessed on a weekly basis; the structure remained the same but the content changed to take participants through a planned sequence of material

**Theory:** Social cognitive theory

### Approaches to adaptation

- Interviews with African American mothers were used to design/adapt the intervention from a previous programme
- Scenarios depicted included an extended family environment
- Materials were reviewed by African American staff to ensure that characters and situations depicted were realistic and culturally sensitive

### Outcome measures and results

**Follow-up:** Baseline to weeks 1 and 8

- **Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption:** Significantly positive changes were reported in self-efficacy for fruit and vegetable availability ($p<0.05$), and daughters reported parent modelling of fruit and vegetable consumption ($p<0.05$)
- **Changes in dietary fat:** Significantly positive changes were reported in modifying meat fat practices ($p<0.05$) and marginally significant changes in fat substitution practices ($p<0.10$)

### Conclusions

Authors: An internet-delivered nutrition intervention for families was successful in achieving change in some mediating variables, with modest log-on rates. Further research should investigate the impact on dietary behaviours

Reviewers: There seem to be positive effects from this study perhaps as a result of targeting the family together, but it is limited by the requirement to have internet access at home and also because the log-on rate diminished considerably as the novelty value of the intervention wore off

### Comments and limitations

Small sample size and lack of generalisability to other demographic populations in other regions or with less education or resources; being internet based, limits intervention to those with internet access and computer experience and this may limit the generalisability of this intervention, particularly in very-low-income groups. There was a novelty aspect to the intervention and, although it was accessible, once the novelty had worn off the log-on rates decreased; these low log-on rates minimise the effectiveness of the programme
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Study reference
Utz et al. 2008[18]

Setting
USA; rural county of central Virginia

Inclusion criteria
Pre-screened and enrolled if they were African American (self-identified), aged 18+, reported being diagnosed with type 2 diabetes mellitus, resident of a rural county and able to give informed consent (e.g. no evidence of dementia or mental illness during routine screening)

Study type
Quasi-experimental random design

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; self-identified
Age (years): Mean: 60.2 ± 14.6
n: 22
Sex: 82% female
Income: Not reported
Other: 10/21 (47.7%) had less than high school completion

Description of intervention and control
A culturally tailored intervention for a rural African American population based on the seven areas of self-management identified by the American Association of Diabetes Educators in literature published at that time

Group diabetes management: Held weekly for 2 hours for 8 weeks: storytelling, hands-on activities and problem-solving

Individual diabetes management: Met with educator on three occasions over 8 weeks at the same time as the group sessions but in a separate room. The individual sessions were 10–15 minutes

Theory: Social cognitive theory

Approaches to adaptation
- Used media approaches in sites appropriate to reach an African American population
- As incentives, set of diabetes educational material culturally tailored for African Americans and free books with recipes for African Americans with diabetes; the use of small gifts given at intervals during the study has been found to enhance retention of participants in other studies with rural African Americans
- Cultural understanding gained from focus group research was used to develop the intervention
- Storytelling related to overcoming chronic illness, using figurative language that was characteristic of the region and delivered by an African American woman, was used as a ‘lesson’ to begin most group sessions
- Diabetes educational material with simple, colourful one-page handouts culturally tailored for African Americans
- Involving African American group leaders and role models in group sessions
- Hands-on activities, e.g. cooking
- Involving family/friends in sessions

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Study conducted over a 6-month period. Outcomes measured at baseline and 10 weeks after baseline
Outcome measure: Daily actions for diabetes self-care measured – two-sample t-test using change scores from baseline to post intervention did not indicate significant differences in outcomes between the two groups. Median test and analysis of covariance controlling for baseline level of outcome and number of years since diagnosis obtained similar results of non-significance

Conclusions
Authors: Culturally tailored approach was well received by all participants. Group diabetes management tended to show improvements in difficult areas such as carbohydrate spacing and foot care compared with individual diabetes management, as well as higher self-efficacy, although these results were not significant. They provide a preliminary indication that the culturally tailored group approach may be more effective with rural African Americans with type 2 diabetes. Storytelling is a culturally appropriate education and empowerment strategy that was well received because of traditions of oral history, which value the teaching and community-building functions of storytelling

Reviewers: The conclusions drawn by the authors are slightly premature as this was a very small sample. However, storytelling may hold promise in this population as an intervention delivery strategy and should be further tested. Furthermore, as both intervention arms received culturally tailored information, the differences between the arms were group effect and greater contact with an educator. Focus groups or interviews would have been helpful at this formative stage to gauge the benefits of group vs individual delivery. Contamination is a possibility given that the interventions were held at the same time and place but in different rooms and because of the small tight-knit community. Different numbers were reported within the paper: 18 women, 4 men reported in recruitment and sample section; 16 women and 6 men reported in a table

Comments and limitations
Results limited by small sample size and brief follow-up of 10 weeks. Difference in the amount of time that educators spent with participants: group 16 hours total, individual time estimated at a total of 1–2 hours (which, although a lot less than the group, is more than was planned)
Study reference
Resnicow et al. 2009

Setting
USA; Detroit, MI and Atlanta, GA, urban

Inclusion criteria
Self-identifying as black or African American, living at least half of their life in the USA, not currently hospitalised or living in skilled care facilities, the absence of mental or physical conditions that would inhibit or be endangered by participation in the study and consuming < 10 servings of fruit and vegetables per day

Study type
RCT, 2:1 randomisation

Description of population
Ethnicity: African Americans; self-identified
Age (years): Mean: 49
n: 560
Sex: 73% female
Income: 60% earned at least US$40,000 per year
Other: 69% had at least some college education

Description of intervention and control
Intervention to test whether or not tailoring a print-based fruit and vegetable intervention on ethnic identity would enhance the programme effect
Participants were randomised to receive three newsletters focused on fruit and vegetable behaviour change over 3 months. One set was tailored only on demographic and social cognitive variables (control condition) whereas the other (intervention) was additionally tailored to ethnic identity.
Both groups received newsletters once a month over 3 months and each contained two recipe cards with small bags of spices that corresponded to the recipes and a magnetised refrigerator notepad or magnet with fruit and vegetable serving sizes. Text for both groups was individualised with the participants’ names and tailored to sociobehavioural variables
The control group newsletters targeted a general black American audience with a slight Afrocentric focus (as this was most prevalent in the pilot study). In contrast, the intervention newsletters contained tailored messages designed to each of the 16 types of ethnic identity. Graphics were tailored to the ethnic identity types in the intervention group and for the control group they were ethnically neutral and therefore usually did not feature people or any other racial or ethnic cues

Theory: Not reported

Approaches to adaptation
- African American interviewers
- Newsletters were tailored to ethnic identity
- Materials were pre-tested with African American focus groups
- Messages were further refined by experts in black identity theory
- Graphics were tailored to the ethnic identity subtypes from a database of over 2000 photos

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline and 3 months post baseline
Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption: Intervention group increased daily mean fruit and vegetable intake by 1.1 servings compared with 0.8 servings in the control group (p = 0.13). Afrocentric experimental group participants showed a 1.4-serving increase in fruit and vegetable intake per day compared with a 0.43-serving increase among Afrocentric control participants (p < 0.05)

Conclusions
Authors: Although the overall between-group effects were not significant, tailoring dietary messages on ethnic identity may improve the impact for some African American subgroups. Perhaps a brief measure could be created and tested that could be applied in the health-care setting
Reviewers: This study stood alone as one that tested the hypothesis of ethnic identity and adjusting the degree of tailoring of material accordingly to see whether this affects efficacy. The overall hypothesis is not proven and reasons why, including the tailoring of the control group, etc., are given. However, for participants who are Afrocentric, a significant difference was demonstrated

Comments and limitations
It is suggested that three newsletters was perhaps an insufficient dose to obtain a significant effect. Another reason for limited differences between the two groups may have been the intensity of the background tailoring used in both groups and also the decision to culturally tailor the control group. Measures were self-reported and there could also be social desirability bias. The reliability of some of the subcategories of the ethnic identity scale was low. External validity was limited by the 31% participation rate of those contacted

RCT, randomised controlled trial.
## Adapted physical activity and nutrition interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Sullivan and Carter 1985[482]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>USA; New Orleans, LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria</td>
<td>Obese (body weight ≥ 20% over ideal) and overweight (body weight 10–20% above ideal) mothers attending a Parent Child Development Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study type</td>
<td>Pre–post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of population</td>
<td>Ethnicity: Black; not reported how ethnicity assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years): Mean (range): 25.2 (16–31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n: 10 mothers</td>
<td>Sex: 100% female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income: Not reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of intervention and control</td>
<td>A 2-month programme to alter diet, weight and cardiovascular endurance implemented at a Parent Child Development Centre (designed to aid low-income inner-city mothers with children aged 0–3 years to become more effective parents)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary recommendations were given to participants based on their food diaries and a nutritional assessment. The nutritionist taught the participants a food group plan. A Food Book created by the Dairy Council of California was used to illustrate food groups and serving sizes. Mothers were advised to lose no more than 2 lb a week and to decrease their usual amount of food and to eat in a place without a radio or television. Dance was chosen for aerobic exercise with the music adapted to be soul. One-hour sessions were conducted twice a week for 8 weeks while childcare was provided. This included 20 minutes of dance and callisthenics followed by nutrition education highlights including discussion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory: Not reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approaches to adaptation</td>
<td>- Dance was chosen for the intervention as it was thought to have more appeal to this population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Music chosen was soul music</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Childcare provided (although not explicitly stated that this was an adaptation for this population)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome measures and results</td>
<td>Change in dietary fat: Significant reduction in the consumption of fat; significant decrease in body fat from 30.4% (range 22.1–40.0%) to 26.8% (range 23.0–33.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight: No significant effect on weight loss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td>Authors: This programme is thought to be valuable as a preliminary study but the results cannot be considered representative for the general population because of the very small sample size. There was no significant weight loss but the pre and post analysis does demonstrate an improvement and modification of nutritional practices. There were also improvements in body fat composition and resting heart rates as a result of the aerobic exercise programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewers: This intervention appears to have been effective for the small number of mothers involved but is not generalisable and would need further study on a wider scale, as acknowledged by the authors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments and limitations</td>
<td>The findings are limited by the very small sample size</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Study reference**
Lasco et al. 1989318 (The Community Health Assessment and Promotion Project)

**Setting**
USA; Atlanta, GA

**Inclusion criteria**
Clinically diagnosed as obese, aged 18–59 years

**Study type**
Pre–post

**Description of population**
- Ethnicity: Black; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- Age (years): Not reported
- n: 70
- Sex: 100% female
- Income: Not reported

**Description of intervention and control**
Community coalition designed and directed a 10-week exercise and nutrition intervention. This consisted of an orientation session, attitudes assessment, selection of a specific exercise class and twice-weekly information session on nutrition and community resources. The programme used a wide range of strategies including individual consultations, reminder telephone calls and incentives and rewards and free transportation and childcare to encourage participation.

The intervention was run from a clinic, a YMCA and a school, all of which were in an easily accessible area of the community. Meetings were on two week nights and each session lasted for 2 hours. The first session of the week had a nutrition focus for the first hour and the second session of the week had assessment, counselling and special interest session in the first hour. The second hour consisted of exercise.

**Theory:** Not reported

**Approaches to adaptation**
- The types of food and preparation were designed to be specific to this community – with actual food preparation and sampling
- All participants were allowed to invite friends and families to sessions to create a social atmosphere
- The special interest sessions included activities that would appeal to this population such as attending the Atlanta boys choir recital or a professional basketball game

**Outcome measures and results**

*Follow-up: Baseline to 3 months post intervention*

**Weight**: The most weight lost was 41 lb. The changes in weight were found to be significant (p = 0.0009). A total of 89% were also weighed 3 months after the end of the programme and 55% still weighed less than they did at the start of the programme. The average weight loss in this group was 2.8 lb (range +17 to –59 lb)

**Conclusions**
Authors: The results in terms of weight loss and blood pressure change indicated that a nutrition/exercise programme can lead to positive behavioural change in this population. The participation rates were also high. A comparison of the high and low participant groups indicated more family involvement, more family encouragement and less criticism from family and friends among the high participation group. The programme was subsequently run nine times with over 400 additional people participating. Community impetus for programme contributes to success of intervention; a community coalition was formed that led to the intervention.

Reviewers: This intervention appears to have been effective for weight loss in this population. There is limited description of the adaptations; however, there is good evidence of the community involvement and of the elements of social support and family involvement and the strong use of incentives and rewards. The intervention appears to have been sustainable but no data are presented in this paper for the subsequent large number of participants.

**Comments and limitations**
There was no control group. Some of the measures, e.g. food diaries and measures of family involvement, are self-identified measures and may be biased. Some test results (e.g. step test) were incomplete as the participants found them difficult to do (beta-blocker medication also made this invalid for a high proportion). One of the prime objectives was to minimise attrition and the following strategies were thought to be important: pre-screening – resulting in the enrolment of motivated people; home visitations by a male public health educator to discuss the programme with the husband and children and building family support.

YMCA, Young Men’s Christian Association.
### Study reference
Baranowski et al. 1990; Baranowski et al. 1990

### Setting
USA; Texas, urban

### Inclusion criteria
Sampling frame was a listing of all black American students in fifth, sixth or seventh grade in public or private school systems in the area

### Study type
RCT (randomised by family)

### Description of population
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity: Black American; self-identified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years): Mean: adults: 31.8 experimental group, 32.9 control group; children: 10.6 experimental group, 10.0 control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n: 96 families with 114 adults and 120 children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: Adults: 79% female in the experimental group, 88.2% female in the control group; children: 51.6% female in the experimental group, 66.1% female in the control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income: Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Educational attainment: 12.9% of the experimental group and 26.5% of the control group reported to have less than a high school education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Description of intervention and control
**Intervention:** The intervention group was encouraged to participate in a programme with one education and two fitness sessions per week for 14 weeks. Educational sessions included individual family behavioural counselling (10–20 minutes) – the counsellor reviewed the weeks’ food and activity records and there was a monetary reward for completing the record; the counsellor also reviewed the achievement of specific behavioural goals set the previous week, elicited social reinforcement for accomplishing goals and counselled the family on problem-solving for unaccomplished goals; new goals were then set and negotiated with the family

**Control:** Both groups came to a baseline clinic for assessment and then no contact was made with the control group during the 14 weeks

**Theory:** Social learning: social support and adult education theories

### Approaches to adaptation
- Interviews and community advisory council meetings to ascertain the optimal location, time and content
- Key staff positions were concordant in ethnicity
- The facility was steeped in local black heritage
- Testimonials were used, which are found to be a popular method of social interaction
- Structural barriers for this population were addressed
- Family approach was encouraged
- The environment displayed posters and pictures of black figures and of the participants themselves and emphasised the visual and verbal heritage of the community

### Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up:** Not reported

**Changes in calories:** There were changes in the calories expended for both the experimental group and the control group adults, with the experimental group having consistently higher levels than the control group

**Weight:** A significant difference was detected between the groups in weight and body surface area (however, this was because two adult males lost a very significant amount of weight)

**Changes in fitness:** No significant differences were detected in cardiovascular fitness among the children or adults

### Conclusions
**Authors:** As a result of low participation, no significant differences were detected between the intervention and control groups in indicators of cardiovascular fitness. It was concluded that, because of difficulties in attendance, centre-based programmes appear to have limited value as the sole modality for intervention in public health programmes for healthy low-income black American families with young children. More comprehensive community-based programs are likely to be needed

**Reviewers:** This study does not demonstrate any significant changes in outcomes. This may in part be due to high levels of attrition. The study incorporates a high degree of theory and adaptation and yet it was not successful. If people are not motivated or able to attend (have too many competing life demands) then, regardless of how adapted the intervention is, there will not be positive change

### Comments and limitations
High attrition/low attendance and self-reported measures

**RCT,** randomised controlled trial.
Study reference
Kumanyika and Charleston 1992336 (Lose Weight and Win)

Setting
USA; Baltimore, MD

Inclusion criteria
The programme was open to men and women and was aimed at those who were moderately overweight (10–100% overweight). Having diabetes or being on anti-hypertensive medication did not preclude participation but physician approval was necessary in these cases

Study type
Pre–post

Description of population
Ethnicity: Black; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean (range): 51 (18–81)

n: 184 black (3 white)
Sex: 100% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
This was part of a bigger programme called the Baltimore Church High Blood Pressure Program (CHBPP). The core components of the weight control programme are based on the state health department’s ‘I Can … I Will …’ programme with adaptations to promote effectiveness in this population. Some changes were adding an exercise component, using individual and team competitions as incentives and using teams to facilitate mutual support for weight loss

Eight weekly 2-hour diet counselling/exercise sessions were provided. Meetings were held on the church property, usually early in the morning, and had as many as 30 individuals. Participants had a weigh-in, had a blood pressure check, talked with the dietician and participated in group behavioural modification activities. The latter included experience sharing, discussion and setting behavioural goals. The last 35–40 minutes were dedicated to exercise. There were two groups of participants – one group who were on anti-hypertensive medication and one group who were not on medication

Theory: Not reported

Approaches to adaptation
- Recruitment occurred through church networks and bulletins
- The setting was black churches with existing health programmes and support networks

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: At the end of the 8-week programme and at 3 and 6 months

Weight: Mean weight loss was 6 lb (range –14 to +13 lb) in both groups at 8 weeks (p<0.005). Of participants who were on no medication, 89% lost weight; of participants who were on medication, 88% lost weight. The average number of sessions attended was five and weight loss was significantly associated with attendance. Follow-up weight measurements at 6 months showed that 65% of the women with data had maintained their weight or lost more weight

Conclusions
Authors: Weight control/exercise programmes such as Lose Weight and Win may help to limit weight gain that would otherwise occur among black women and also improve activity and physical fitness levels. The high receptivity to the exercise component suggested that a lack of convenient opportunities to exercise may be more of a barrier to increasing physical activity among black women than a lack of motivation

Reviewers: This study demonstrated significant weight loss following the 8-week intervention that appeared to be sustained at a 6-month follow-up. The intervention appears acceptable and effective but may not be transferable to other settings where there is not already existing support and relationships. The results also were not compared with a control group and would need further confirmation in a controlled trial. The development of alumni classes and training lay leaders were promising strategies to sustain the intervention in the community once the research was complete

Comments and limitations
This was a post hoc evaluation and there was no control group to be able to compare how these weight changes relate to the normal trends in this population. An external control was created from women who did not attend the programme but who had had their blood pressure measured; however, this control was carried out only for blood pressure results

The programme was based on the existence of an established church health awareness programme and therefore would not necessarily be feasible or have the same effect if implemented in a different setting. As part of this there were existing interpersonal relationships between the participants and an atmosphere of mutual support

The follow-up data may represent the women who were most successful in their behaviour change. There were no significant differences between the demographics of the women who were retained to follow-up and the demographics of those who did not carry on; however, it is likely that the women who were retained were in some way more motivated
### Study reference
Kanders *et al.* 1994 [Black American Lifestyle Intervention (BALI)]

### Setting
USA; Boston, MA, and Houston, TX, multicentre

### Inclusion criteria
Women, aged 40–64 years, BMI 30–40 kg/m², earning US$1000–5000 monthly (working class), diastolic blood pressure (without medication) < 95 mmHg, serum cholesterol < 7.76 mmol/l and no history of diabetes

### Study type
Pre–post

### Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean: 49
n: 61
Sex: 100% female
Income: Not reported
Other: 95% had completed a high school education

### Description of intervention and control
A 10-week weight loss phase with culturally appropriate nutrient-balanced 1200-kcal diet in which two meals were replaced by meal replacement shakes (provided free of charge)

**Intervention:** 1-hour group sessions were provided, led by a female African American nutritionist. One-page handouts were distributed on nutrition, exercise and behaviour modification topics. These sessions were highly interactive and included goal-setting, problem identification and role-playing. Participants were given US$30 at weeks 5 and 10 in return for completing a programme evaluation. Exercise was advised in the form of three 15-minute walks per week and participants were also told to increase the frequency and duration of walks gradually until they reached 200 minutes walked weekly

**Theory:** Not reported

### Approaches to adaptation
- Shakes, etc., provided free of charge
- African American nutritionist
- Culturally adapted diet
- All educational materials, recipes and menu plans were reviewed by minority advisors to ensure that they were culturally appropriate

### Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up:** Baseline to weeks 5 and 10

**Weight:** There was significant weight loss in participants (6.5 ± 5.3 lb, 3.5% of total body weight, *p* = 0.001) over the 10 weeks. Breaking down the results, 17 women lost ≥ 10 lb, 18 women lost 5.1–10 lb, 14 women lost 1–5 lb, eight women remained within 1 lb of baseline weight and four gained weight

**Conclusions**
Authors: The weight loss results are superior to most that are reported in minority groups and both this and the attendance supported the effectiveness of the intervention. This study was to be followed by a larger more sustained intervention

Reviewers: As commented by the authors, this intervention was a pilot study and had a small sample size and no control group and therefore the conclusions are limited in their significance and generalisability; however, the intervention showed promise and it would be interesting to see the results of any future work

**Comments and limitations**
Pilot study and lack of detail on recruitment. Could funding of shakes be sustained – what is the cost-effectiveness?

---

BMI, body mass index.
### Study reference
Wallace et al. 1996

### Setting
UK; St. John’s, Halifax

### Inclusion criteria
Asian women selected from a practice database

### Study type
Pre–post study with qualitative and quantitative measures

### Description of population
- **Ethnicity:** Asian; not reported how ethnicity assessed (provided Punjabi and Urdu translators)
- **Age (years):** Not reported
- **n:** 20
- **Sex:** 100% female
- **Income:** Not reported

### Description of intervention and control
Educational project to target a group of women with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM)

Home visits by a district nurse accompanied by an interpreter to explain the purpose and objectives of the course. Women attended the surgery for a full medical examination. A 10-session course was delivered that was planned and facilitated collaboratively by a nursing team and a GP (topics included diet and diabetes, diet and the family, benefits of exercise). Talks were given by team members or professionals from outside the practice. Each session included a talk on one aspect of living with diabetes followed by questions and a general discussion. Each session included an exercise component led by a ‘Look After Yourself tutor’. Women were encouraged to continue exercises regularly at home. There was no control group.

#### Theory
Not reported

#### Approaches to adaptation
- Sessions were translated into the participants’ native languages of Punjabi and Urdu by a professional interpreter
- Interpreter was used to gain insight into knowledge and health beliefs

#### Outcome measures and results
- **Follow-up:** Post course (quantitative and qualitative) and at 12 months
- **Changes in dietary fat:** Cholesterol level: little change occurred
- **Changes in physical activity:** Activity level increased in all women
- **Knowledge:** Post course (qualitative): Everyone’s knowledge had increased

#### Conclusions
*Authors:* The benefits of a health education programme in a chronic condition such as NIDDM, which also has a genetic component, cannot be fully evaluated in the early stages and must be assessed after many years. Plan to involve whole families in the groups and to extend programme to Asian men and Caucasian patients.

*Reviewers:* The intervention appeared to have changed knowledge; however, this was not quantified. The authors suggest that attitudes and beliefs also need to change, and that knowledge may not be enough as women are faced with significant barriers. Future interventions should include the family and/or men.

#### Comments and limitations
Some women felt unable to make significant changes to their lifestyle as the barriers were too great and required modification of beliefs and attitudes. Women living in large extended families of three or more generations were influenced by the wishes and views of significant others and were expected to fulfil the roles of wife and mother in a traditional way (the women expressed desires to fulfill this). There was family pressure to provide what was perceived by Asian people living in the West as high-status food, with high calorie and fat content. Men usually do the family shopping. Unclear what exercises were carried out (not described).

*GP,* general practitioner.
### Study reference

Agurs-Collins et al. 1997 [Positive Outgoing Sexy Seniors Exercise Club (POSSE) study]

### Setting

USA; Washington, DC, urban

### Inclusion criteria

African American men and women aged ≥ 55 years with a diagnosis of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) by medical history were eligible if they had ≥ 120% of Metropolitan weight standards, had HbA1c (glycated haemoglobin) levels > 8%, were ambulatory and had no medical contraindications to programme participation

### Study type

RCT

### Description of population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnicity: African American</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years): Mean: intervention group: 62.4, control group: 61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n: 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: Intervention group: 66% female, control group: 88% female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income: Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Intervention group: 56.3% had completed a high school education and 59.4% were not employed; control group: 59.4% had completed a high school education and 56.2% were not employed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Description of intervention and control

- **Intervention**: 12 weekly group sessions (8 to 10 people per group) with 60 minutes of nutrition education followed by 30 minutes devoted to exercising in the physical therapy area of the clinic (participants also encouraged to exercise two additional days on their own); one individual session during this time for nutrition counselling; and six biweekly group sessions offered in the subsequent 3 months to provide additional information and support with less formal instruction and more sharing and problem-solving
- **Control**: Minimal intervention approximating ‘usual care’, which was one class (within 3 weeks of enrolment) related to methods of glycaemic control and two informal mailings of nutritional information at 3 and 6 months

### Theory

- The behavioural component was social action theory

### Approaches to adaptation

- Adapted approaches from relevant controlled trials and adaptation was based on previous relevant qualitative research
- Food information was based on the types of foods and flavourings characteristic of the population (recipes provided by participants were used in the direct instruction)
- Time given to discuss dietary adherence issues unique to their social contexts (e.g. church meals)
- Programme materials depicting African American individuals and families and community settings
- Materials reflected language, social values and situations relevant for older African American people

### Outcome measures and results

**Follow-up**: Baseline to 12 weeks post intervention, 3 months and 6 months

- **Changes in physical activity**: Physical activity scores increased with a net increase at 3 months of 49.4 points ($p < 0.001$); women’s scores increased more to 54 points ($p < 0.001$) and men showed minimal differences when analysed separately. However, the changes in physical activity were not sustained and were no longer statistically significant at 6 months
- **Weight**: After 12 weeks the intervention group mean weight decreased and the control group mean weight increased, with an overall net difference from baseline of −2 kg ($p = 0.006$), a 6-month net change of −1.3 kg (non-significant) and a 6-month net difference of −2.4 kg ($p = 0.01$). The results were different for men and women
- **Waist-to-hip ratio**: This did not change during the intervention

### Conclusions

- The programme was successful in improving HbA1c levels, for knowledge and behavioural changes (although not sustained at 6 months) and for moderate weight reduction, which was achieved within the first 12 weeks. The intervention group showed a relative advantage, which was maintained at 6 months despite the decrease in frequency of intervention contacts

### Comments and limitations

- The principal limitation is the duration of the study, which is insufficient to determine whether the effects can be sustained and what level of contact would need to be put in place to maintain these effects. Participant feedback forms suggested that a third of participants never developed sufficient motivation to change their behaviour
- Per patient delivery cost of US$150 (exclusive of research expenses and laboratory costs; includes dietician salary, exercise physiologist, support staff, supplies and educational materials) – costs at this level are negligible compared with the potential savings if the programme effects can be sustained; per patient savings by avoiding the need for insulin, minimising emergency department visits and avoiding renal failure and dialysis, for example, have been estimated at US$7500–100,000 per year

RCT, randomised controlled trial.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>McNabb et al. 1997; Quinn and McNabb 2001 (PATHWAYS programme)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>USA; Chicago, IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria</td>
<td>African American women with a BMI between 30 and 45 kg/m² and no known physical limitations that would prevent moderate physical activity. They also had to agree to random assignment to the intervention or control group, who were wait-listed to receive a delayed intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study type</td>
<td>RCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of population</td>
<td>Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age (years): Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n: 39 were enrolled and 33 were available for post-treatment data collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex: 100% female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Income: Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of intervention and control</td>
<td>Intervention: Intervention group received a 14-week weight loss programme conducted by trained lay volunteers in the setting of African American churches. Each of the weekly sessions lasted for 90 minutes, was administered in a group format and was delivered through guided learning activities and small group instruction. The group leaders assisted and facilitated. Participants were given small hand-held calculators and food guides to analyse their food practices. They were then to develop their own plans to change their diet. The main aims were to reduce dietary fat and increase fibre intake and these changes were made gradually over time. An exercise regime was also integral to the programme – subjects were instructed to begin a home exercise programme; generally this took the form of recreational walking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control: Control group subjects were put on a waiting list to receive the programme at the conclusion of the study period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory</td>
<td>Utilised “discovery learning” in which participants identify their own dietary problems and with the assistance of the facilitator and their peers try to arrive at personally relevant solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approaches to adaptation</td>
<td>Developed particularly to address behavioural and sociocultural issues related to urban African American women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Focus groups were held with African American women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Focus is on weight loss but not slenderness because a large body size is more acceptable in this population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All material made extensive use of ethnic foods and food combinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Venue was African American churches and so a ready support network was available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mentioned culturally appropriate self-directed learning activities but not much more detail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All material took note of stressful inner-city life issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome measures and results</td>
<td>Follow-up: Baseline and at 1 week after the 14-week programme had been administered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMI: BMI decreased by 1.4 kg/m² in the intervention group and increased by 0.6 kg/m² in the control group; this difference was significant (p&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight: The intervention group lost an average of 10 lb and the control group gained an average of 1.9 lb. The post-treatment difference in weight loss was statistically significant (p&lt;0.0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td>Authors: A weight loss programme delivered by trained lay volunteers was effective in producing significant and clinically meaningful weight loss among African American women who do not often benefit from typical weight loss interventions. Ongoing research is focusing on whether the weight loss can be maintained or enhanced through monthly reinforcement sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reviewers: This study is well designed and describes an efficacious weight loss programme for African American women that is adapted for cultural salience and which also uses a facilitated discovery learning style that encourages people to assess their own dietary patterns and undergo problem-solving to develop personalised plans for behaviour change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments and limitations</td>
<td>Remains unknown whether weight loss can be maintained over time. The generalisability of the programme is unclear although it had also been run in a clinic setting and the authors suggest that the content is highly structured and could be successfully delivered in different settings. The programme appears to be effective and acceptable with very good attendance and minimal attrition. The adaptations appear to contribute to the success as does the discovery learning design, which motivates people through making them the agents of change in their lives so that they can assess their own needs and design an action plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
Study reference
Stolley and Fitzgibbon 1997

Setting
USA; Chicago’s inner city, IL

Inclusion criteria
Live in Chicago’s inner city and attend a local tutoring programme: (1) attendance at weekly 1-hour meetings for 12 consecutive weeks, followed by a meeting every 3 months for 15 months; (2) completion of a health screening with questionnaires; and (3) enrolment of daughters in the Cabrini-Green tutoring programme

Study type
RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean (SD): intervention mothers: 31.5 (3.4), control mothers: 33.7 (6.8); intervention daughters: 9.9 (1.3), control daughters: 10 (1.5)
n: 65 African American mother–daughter dyads (62 mothers and 65 daughters, some mothers with more than one daughter involved); mothers:
n = 32 intervention, n = 30 control
Sex: 100% female
Income: Intervention mothers: 56% unemployed, control mothers: 60% unemployed
Other: Intervention mothers: 79.1% single, control mothers: 70.0% single

Description of intervention and control
Culturally specific obesity prevention programme focused on adopting a low-fat, low-calorie diet and increasing activity
Intervention: Each week subjects met in small groups of 7 to 10 dyads led by either an advanced doctoral student in clinical psychology or a registered dietician (two African American women, one white woman and one Asian woman). A concept of the week was discussed followed by an activity that reinforced the information presented
Control: Attention placebo – general health programme was run like the intervention group, meeting in small groups with group leaders. The focus of each session was on general health topics such as communicable disease control, effective communication skills, relaxation techniques and stress reduction
1-hour weekly meetings for 12 weeks

Theory: Based on the premise that the method of presentation would be as important as the curriculum in motivating participation

Approaches to adaptation
- Know Your Body programme’s nutrition and fitness units adapted to fit the needs of an inner-city population
- Parental participation with this population was imperative given the mothers’ limited access to dietary and physical activity information and the need for support in a stressful environment
- Programme held at a local tutoring programme – participants in the programme were all within walking distance and they felt safe knowing that they were coming to a gang-neutral site
- Tasting foods and planning meals were done with foods identified in 24-hour recalls gathered in the pilot project. Subjects brought in recipes and foods for fat and caloric content analysis
- Access to grocery stores and a financial budget were considered when menu planning
- Culturally relevant music and dance used
- Appropriate materials gathered from magazines geared towards an African American audience

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Measurements taken at baseline and 12 weeks
Changes in dietary fat: Mothers had a significant decrease in intake of saturated fat (p < 0.05) and also calories from fat (p < 0.001) from pre to post intervention, and also the intervention group mothers had greater reductions in overall dietary fat than the control mothers (although not significant). Daughters also showed a decrease in the percentage of calories from fat (p < 0.05) over time but there were no significant differences between the two groups
Weight: No significant changes detected for either the intervention or control mothers
Behaviour: There was a significant increase in parental support in the intervention group compared with the control group

Conclusions
Authors: This study suggests that a culturally adapted intervention for African American mothers and daughters can have some initial effect on behaviour change in relation to nutrition. The increase in parental support may help these changes to continue to be maintained. Future research is needed on this type of study
Reviewers: This study is interesting in design with the mother–daughter dyads and the idea of creating support within the home and parental role-modelling for behavioural change
Comments and limitations

Limitations include self-reported measures, which are prone to social desirability bias; generalisability, as the results could not be generalised to other African American populations in other areas of the country or of other SES, or to men and boys; self-selection of subjects, as mothers and daughters had to have a certain level of interest and motivation to sign up and this may influence the findings; and the lack of follow-up data for sustainability of effect.

RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation; SES, socioeconomic status.
**Study reference**
Ard et al. 2000

**Setting**
USA; Durham, NC

**Inclusion criteria**
All African American subjects interested in participating were eligible if they received clearance from their primary physician.

**Study type**
Randomised modified cross-over study

**Description of population**
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Not reported
n: 56
Sex: Not reported
Income: Not reported

**Description of intervention and control**
Modified 1000 calorie per day version of the Rice Diet, 7% fat vegan vegetarian for first 2 weeks. Participants were randomly assigned to receive the intervention immediately or after a delay of 8 weeks. A fee was charged for the programme.

Patients were educated on the usefulness of exercise in weight loss and weight maintenance and advised to increase their activity above baseline levels by whatever means they felt comfortable. Participants met twice weekly for support and educational sessions. Sessions lasted for 30–60 minutes with education on basic nutritional principles and healthful eating. Low-fat and low-salt cooking techniques were demonstrated and participants were taught how to modify recipes at home. As part of a motivational approach participants had their weight and blood pressure measured at each session.

**Theory:** Not reported

**Approaches to adaptation**
- Decreased direct and indirect costs of the diet programme
- Culturally sensitive recipes – instructed how to prepare food in a more healthy manner
- Included family members
- Classes held outside of work hours
- Stated ‘changing ideas about exercise’ as a cultural adaptation
- African American instructor for the majority of classes

**Outcome measures and results**
Follow-up: 8 weeks

- **BMI:** Mean decreased from 37.8 kg/m² to 35.3 kg/m² (p < 0.01)
- **Weight:** Average (SD) weight loss for the participants completing the intervention was 14.8 lb (6.8 lb)

**Conclusions**
Authors: Diet programmes can be successfully tailored to incorporate the needs of African Americans. Most importantly, these dietary programme changes can lead to significant improvements in clinical parameters; additional studies are needed to determine the permanence of these short-term changes.

Reviewers: The cost of participation as well as recruitment of university employees may have meant that participants were of fairly high SES, education and income (these demographics are not provided) and perhaps the results are less generalisable to a wider population.

**Comments and limitations**
Outcomes were monitored for 8 weeks only and there is no evidence of long-term effect. A highly motivated group was selected that included employees in the university or health centre, although it did show that this group was unable (although motivated) to lose weight on their own in the delay experienced by the control group. This study had an unusual design and approach and perhaps the results are less generalisable to a wider population.

**BMI,** body mass index; **SD,** standard deviation; **SES,** socioeconomic status.
Study reference
Resnicow et al. 2000284 (Go Girls)

Setting
USA; city or state not reported

Inclusion criteria
Female, living in or near the public housing development, age 11–17 years, overweight based on BMI ≥ 85th percentile for age and sex or percentage body fat > 35% based on dual-emission X-ray absorptiometry or (if neither measurement available) visual inspection

Study type
Pre–post

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean: 13.5
n: 57
Sex: 100% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
The programme was delivered to 8–14 adolescents at a time in a community space or apartment and was conducted in four 6-month cycles over 2 years. For the first 4 months, sessions were twice per week, and for the final 2 months they were weekly. There were also some field trips at the weekends and during school holidays.

Each session had three elements—an education/behavioural activity, 30–60 minutes of physical activity and preparation/tasting of low-fat meals. Primary target behaviours were increased fruit and vegetable consumption, decreased fat intake, decreased fast food intake, decreased television watching, increased physical activity and communication skills to enhance participants’ ability to encourage parents to shop and prepare healthier foods. Experiential activities were designed to enhance skills, efficacy and outcome expectations. Messages emphasised the physical and health effects of changes rather than the aesthetic effects (based on focus group findings about body image) – emphasis on substitution, moderation and abstinence.

Theory: Social cognitive theory

Approaches to adaptation
- Formative research with focus groups of low-income African American adolescent women
- Most staff were African American
- Messages focused on health and physical effects of changes rather than the aesthetics

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline and immediately post intervention

| Changes in dietary fat: High attendees showed more favourable results at 6 months for most outcomes compared with low attendees, e.g. low-fat practices (p = 0.05), perceived changes in low-fat practices (p = 0.04) |
| Knowledge: High attendees showed more favourable results at 6 months compared with low attendees, e.g. nutrition knowledge (p = 0.001) |

Conclusions
Authors: The authors concluded that the programme had a modest favourable impact on knowledge and some dietary behaviours and served as a maintenance programme, perhaps decelerating weight gain and the associated morbidities.

Reviewers: This study has no control group and undertook a post hoc analysis. It appears to suggest some change in behaviour but no change in physical outcomes. Further evaluation is needed to see whether the intervention is effective.

Comments and limitations
There was difficulty with attendance and retention and participants on average attended 43% of sessions and the dropout rate was 45%, this may have biased the sample. The analysis of low and high attendees was post hoc and the cut-off point of 50% was arbitrary. Most of the measures were self-reported and may have been influenced by social desirability bias. Some measures were developed for this intervention and had not been used previously. The relatively small sample size meant low statistical power. There was no real control group. There were budgetary limitations that limited the amount of outcome data collected.

BMI, body mass index.
Study reference
Nothwehr et al. 2001

Setting
USA; Indianapolis, IN

Inclusion criteria
African American women aged 30–65 years with type 2 diabetes diagnosed for at least 1 year, BMI of ≥ 27 kg/m², able to walk independently, not currently pregnant and able to provide informed consent. Also had to not have any major illness or history of heart disease that would prevent them from participation in regular exercise. They were all reviewed by an endocrinologist to check eligibility for the programme

Study type
RCT (pilot study)

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean: 49.4
n: 23
Sex: 100% female
Income: Predominantly low income
Other: 39% were married and 69.5% were unemployed

Description of intervention and control
Women were randomly assigned to either 10 weekly sessions about healthy eating followed by six weekly sessions about exercise or the reverse sequence. Sessions consisted of small group discussions (four to five people) and physical activity or food tasting. The sessions lasted for 90 minutes and were held in the local YMCA.

Topics in the exercise sessions included group problem-solving regarding barriers to behaviour change, exercise safety, benefits of an active lifestyle, finding time to be active and relapse prevention. Handouts were given with the key messages. Participants were also given a year’s free membership to the YMCA and audiotapes and videotapes of chair-based exercises.

Theory: Not reported

Approaches to adaptation
- Nutrition education included learning recipes of lower-fat versions of African American dishes
- Recruitment occurred partly through adverts in churches
- The facilitator was an African American employee of the YMCA who had worked there as a wellness co-ordinator for several years

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline to 4 and 12 months post programme
Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption: There was a significant increase in vegetable intake (an increase of 0.5 servings a day at 1 year, \( p = 0.02 \))

Changes in calories: Significant decrease in the per cent of calories from fat (4 months, \( p = 0.05 \))

Changes in physical activity: Significant increase in minutes of activity (4 months, \( p = 0.0002 \), 1 year, \( p = 0.0001 \)) in both groups

Weight: No significant change in weight over the year – both groups lost an average of 3 lb

Conclusions
Authors: This study does not provide definitive evidence of which sequence is best to bring about behaviour change, and the effects of sequencing difficult behaviour changes, such as diet modification and exercise, deserve further study.

Reviewers: This study does not show a difference according to sequencing, which was the overall goal, but it does show overall improvements with the intervention for both groups, including increased exercise, improved nutrition and some weight loss (although the last was not significant). However, the fact that there was weight loss and not an overall weight gain is said by some to be reaching a goal in itself (reversing the usual trajectory). However, this was a pilot study with small numbers and needs further research. The adaptation was not a focus of the study and there is relatively little adaptation described but the intervention does appear to have been acceptable with good retention of participants.

Comments and limitations
Limitations included that this was a small pilot study with a small sample size and no control group (a control group could have shown a greater effect of the intervention, particularly on weight, which may have had an upward trajectory for control participants over the year). The fact that the intervention retained for longer participants who were more educated and also somewhat fitter may limit its generalisability and affect its equity as it suggests that it was more sustainable for those participants who perhaps needed it less. The intervention offered free gym access and free exercise videos, which may have assisted participation levels, but it is hard to tell to what degree these incentives were important.

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial; YMCA, Young Men's Christian Association.
### Study reference

Williams et al. 2001 [297]

### Setting

USA; city or state not reported

### Inclusion criteria

Workers in a non-profit day-care centre with multiple sites

### Study type

Pre–post

### Description of population

- **Ethnicity:** Black; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- **Age (years):** Mean: 40
- **n:** 61
- **Sex:** Most were female (exact number not reported)
- **Income:** Not reported
- **Other:** Education varied from less than high school to graduate degrees

### Description of intervention and control

Day-care centres were used as a site to develop a culturally sensitive intervention with on-site cardiovascular screening, informal interviews and discussion of behaviour change for better health

**Intervention:** The intervention aimed to assess the impact of the Healthier People Health Risk Appraisal (HPHRA) as a culturally appropriate recruitment strategy to involve workers at the day-care centre in screening and risk reduction. The HPHRA uses statistical actuarial software to perform an individual analysis of health risk, which facilitates individual health promotion and disease prevention intervention. The tool gives a report of positive life factors as well as risk factors. It can be a powerful tool for intervention but has been used in few studies with ethnic minority populations. Participants had counselling focusing on the integration of physical activity and healthy diet intake with their lifestyle and culture

**Theory:** The conceptual framework was the health promotion model (HPM) developed by Pender [488, 489]. The HPM is based on social cognitive theory with four components – cognition, affects, actions and environmental events – interacting to determine health behaviour

### Approaches to adaptation

- HPHRA was used as information to tailor the intervention for the group
- Used gender-specific, culturally appropriate pictures
- Educational brochures and interviews were based on lifestyle analysis of the participants
- All strategies and materials were submitted for review by a faculty member who taught cultural sensitivity
- Adhered to time orientation of the specific cultural group
- Assured communication was at an appropriate level for understanding
- Aware of personal space and non-verbal communication
- Developed continuous long-term relationships with the community, which is a cultural value for this population
- A working relationship and trust had been built up with this population by the university for almost 3 years before the intervention

### Outcome measures and results

**Follow-up:** Baseline to 1 year post intervention

**Changes in dietary fat:** Positive changes in cholesterol but not statistically significant ($p = 0.0589$); the day-care workers reported eating less fat and more fibre

**Changes in physical activity:** The day-care workers reported participating more in physical activity

### Conclusions

**Authors:** Participation rates in the project increased from 26% in the first year of the project to 73% when long-term relationships were built on culturally appropriate strategies. The project’s culturally sensitive educational intervention focused on individual risks and lifestyle. Statistical analysis of the outcomes of the intervention and personal interviews demonstrated the improvement of cardiovascular status in the day-care workers. This psychosocial approach can provide the foundation for culturally sensitive care in larger occupational and community settings

**Reviewers:** The authors considered this an effective intervention and there certainly appears to have been good engagement and also changes in behaviour/self-reported measures. However, there were no significant changes in blood values or weight and BMI. There needs to be further research to examine the effectiveness of this approach utilising comparison groups, preferably a RCT

### Comments and limitations

This study is limited by having no control group and using self-reported measures, which can be prone to social desirability bias. This is also a very specific population and it is hard to assess the generalisability

---

**BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial.**
### Study reference

Yanek et al. 2001\textsuperscript{132} (Project Joy)

### Setting

USA; inner-city churches in Baltimore, MD

### Inclusion criteria

Urban core of Baltimore, primarily African American congregation (80%), high interest level and participation in local activities, average Sunday attendance of at least 150 people, no currently active programme in weight control, exercise or smoking cessation for women aged ≥ 40 years

### Study type

Group RCT (randomisation later dropped)

### Description of population

Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed  
Age (years): Mean: spiritual and cultural group (SP): 53.6 ± 9, standard group (SI): 51.9 ± 9, self-help group (SH): 53.9 ± 10  
n: 16 churches; \( n = 529 \)  
Sex: 100% female  
Other: 92.9%, 90.4% and 93.2% of the SP, SI and SH groups, respectively, completed high school

### Description of intervention and control

Impact of a 20-week active nutrition and physical activity intervention on 1-year measures relating to lifestyle risk factors and CVD risk profiles compared with a self-help (control) group. Also, studied the impact of church culture on the intervention. Three intervention groups: (1) standard group method + weekly sessions (SI); (2) standard group method + spiritual and cultural component (SP); (3) non-spiritual, self-help intervention (SH)

**SI**: Weigh-in and group discussion; 30- to 45-minute nutrition education module with taste testing or cooking demonstration; 30 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic activity. After 20 weeks, lay leaders offered weekly sessions

**SP**: Same sessions as SI with the addition of spiritual components and church contextual components. Telephone calls from lay leaders and word of mouth from other participants motivated attendance

**SH**: Included materials from the American Heart Association (AHA) on healthy eating, and physical activity and information targeted participants’ personal screening results and feedback

**Theory**: Social cognitive theory to enhance individual self-efficacy; interventions designed at the individual level to enhance self-efficacy but implemented through churches in group sessions to assure strong support

### Approaches to adaptation

- One church served as a pilot venue for 20 weeks
- Community expert panel formed to review and refine the interventions (four African American churchgoing women and two African American pastors)
- Church bulletins included weekly session reminders and printed messages from Project Joy on healthy eating and physical activity accompanied by scriptures. Pastors also offered a monthly health newsletter
- Physical activity included aerobics to gospel music or praise and worship dance
- Female African American health educators from the study staff taught the curriculum
- Focus groups with churchgoing women from the African American community and, in addition, in-depth interviews with 53 churchgoing women were conducted

### Outcome measures and results

**Follow-up**: Baseline to 1 year

- **Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption**: 38% of the active intervention groups consumed five or more servings of fruit and vegetables a day vs 44.6% of the control group \( (p = 0.0001) \)
- **Changes in calories**: Mean change in energy intake of \(-117\) kcal/day in the active intervention groups vs \(-7\) kcal/day in the control group \( (p = 0.0038) \)
- **Changes in dietary fat**: Mean change in total fat of \(-8.1\) g/day in the active intervention groups vs \(-2.3\) g/day in the control group \( (p = 0.0250) \)
- **BMI**: Mean change of \(-0.17\) kg/m\(^2\) in the active intervention groups vs \(0.14\) kg/m\(^2\) in the control group \( (p < 0.0012) \)
- **Weight**: Mean change of \(-1.1\) lb in the active intervention groups vs \(0.83\) lb in the control group \( (p < 0.0008) \)
Conclusions

Authors: This study demonstrates significant improvements in anthropometric measures, blood pressure levels and diet, and, to a lesser extent, physical activity at 1 year in the active intervention groups, although the magnitude of effect was modest. Follow-up was longer in this study and focused on globally healthy lifestyles as opposed to a single behavioural goal. A total of 10% of participants in the active church-based intervention achieved clinically significant improvements in CVD risk profiles (top decile for weight loss) 1 year post intervention. There were no differences in outcomes between the SI and SP churches. It is not possible to maintain a non-spiritual intervention within the African American church environment. There was a lack of interest in the self-help programme. Eight of nine active intervention churches held weekly sessions led by their own lay leaders.

Reviewers: The self-help group is much smaller than the SI and SP groups. It is interesting to see that the results of the SI and SP groups are comparable, which suggests that it may be less the religious component and more the social support/group format that accounts for the success of the intervention compared with the self-help group.

Comments and limitations

Authors do not present many limitations, except that the churches were not really willing to be randomised to the self-help condition. Randomisation was dropped later in the recruitment process. Despite aggressive recruitment, women from churches randomised to the self-help group were not interested in the self-help intervention. Women were avidly interested in active sessions and expressed a strong desire to receive directed assistance. For this reason, numbers recruited for self-help were lower. There were dropouts as a result of not seeing immediate results; follow-up calls were insufficient to motivate attendance. Anecdotally, churches with the strongest support from the pastor’s wife had the best attendance and vice versa.

BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
Study reference
Karanja et al. 2002

Setting
USA; Portland, OR

Inclusion criteria
Relatively good health as ascertained by the participants’ physicians and a desire to lose weight were the only criteria

Study type
Controlled before and after/pre–post

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean (SD): 44 (9)
n: 62
Sex: 100% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
A 6-month weight loss programme with 26 weekly group meetings with 15–25 participants and encouraged to attend weekly supervised exercise sessions held at a local community centre. During each group meeting the first 30 minutes was spent being weighed and providing other data such as food records and self-reported exercise activity for the preceding week. The group then shared a meal prepared by participants, who discussed how they reduced the fat content of each dish. The second hour of each session was spent discussing nutrition and behaviour modification topics.

Nutrition: Modelled after Kaiser Permanente’s Freedom from Fat programme, which emphasises reducing energy consumption by reducing total fat intake.

Exercise: Encouraged to increase physical activity gradually until exercising three to four times a week for ≥ 30 minutes. Professionally led exercise classes offered every Saturday at a local community centre; could choose between a water aerobics class, a beginners-level strength training class or a walking group. Classes were scheduled so that participants could attend two sessions on the same day. Classes created a social support system for exercise and provided demonstrations.

Theory: Modelled after Kaiser Permanente’s Freedom from Fat programme. Relapse prevention training. Consistent with cultural/adaptation approaches suggested by Kumanyika and Morsink and Resnicow et al.

Approaches to adaptation
- Increased identification between counsellors and participants – preferred leaders who had struggled to control their own weight; African American instructors (dietician, exercise leader)
- Provided information in a demonstration format
- Increased programme ownership – women also contributed to the logistics of conducting the study
- Built social support (many African Americans experienced isolation in other weight loss programmes) – shared meals, family support
- Involved family and friends

Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up: Baseline to 6 months**

Changes in calories: Significant changes from baseline to follow-up in reported intake of total energy [9623 ± 4138 kJ to 8640 ± 3962 kJ (p = 0.02)] and dietary fat [102 ± 53 g to 80 ± 49 g (p = 0.001)]

Changes in physical activity: Average number of hours exercised increased significantly. Proportion of participants meeting the study goal of 1.5 hours also increased significantly

Weight: Participants attending at least 75% of group meetings lost a mean of 6.2 kg at 6 months; those who attended fewer meetings lost a mean of 0.9 kg. Mean weight loss at 26 weeks was 3.7 ± 5.1 kg (range 5–25 kg) for all participants at a mean rate of 0.14 kg per week

Conclusions
Authors: Relatively larger weight losses, particularly among participants with high attendance, than have usually been observed in culturally adapted programmes for African American women. Successful weight loss was ascribed to cultural adjustments made to the programme delivery. Difficult to compare results with other studies that have tested the effects of culturally adapted programmes on weight loss in African American women because they tend to be smaller, of a shorter time period and achieve weight loss ranging from 0.2 to 4.5 kg. Although the study did not test a hypothesis about the role of cultural adaptations in promoting weight loss, the impression is that the programme outcomes were greatly enhanced by the adaptations made

Reviewers: The intervention was successful in helping African American women lose weight. It appears that there was an intervention dose-dependent response in which participants who attended > 75% of the sessions lost the majority of the weight. The adaptations are thoroughly described

Comments and limitations
Did not collect data on SES, health status (presence/absence of comorbid conditions) or previous experience in weight loss programmes – may influence comparability with other programmes. Another factor that may have contributed to improved weight loss was the relatively high BMI range of these participants.

Sixty-six women attended the information session and were weighed, three dropped out after the first three of the 26 weekly sessions; 76% of women attended at least 50% of the 26 weekly sessions; 56% attended at least 75% of sessions (an intention-to-treat analysis was used in most analyses)

SD, standard deviation; SES, socioeconomic status.
Study reference
Keyserling et al. 2000;492 Keyserling et al. 2002493 (New Leaf programme)

Setting
USA; North Carolina

Inclusion criteria
African American women aged ≥ 40 years with type 2 diabetes (defined as a diagnosis of diabetes at ≥ 20 years with no history of ketoacidosis)

Study type
RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean: 59
n: 219
Sex: 100% female
Income: One-third reported a total annual household income of < US$10,000
Other: Mean educational achievement was approximately 11 years in all groups

Description of intervention and control
Conducted at primary care practices. The intervention evolved from the Food for Heart programme. The intervention combined traditional clinic-based counselling with a co-ordinated community component using peer counsellors and it included physical activity to a cumulative time of 30 minutes a day; a dietary component designed to decrease total and saturated fat intake and to improve control and distribution of carbohydrates; and a diabetes care component addressing various aspects of self-care
Participants were assigned to one of three conditions: the clinic and community interventions (A), the clinic intervention only (B) or a minimal intervention (C). The clinic-based component included individual counselling visits at months 1, 2, 3 and 4. The community-based component included two group sessions and monthly telephone calls from a peer counsellor. The minimal intervention group were mailed pamphlets on staying active, healthy eating and diabetes

Theory: Transtheoretical model, social cognitive theory and basic behaviour modification principles

Approaches to adaptation
- African American peer educators
- Focused on traditional southern foods and dietary practices
- Extensive formative research carried out with focus groups in the development and pilot phases
- Focused on lifestyle activities relevant to the population (including church and social group activities)
- Given a Southern style cookbook with simple low-cost recipes

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline to 6 and 12 months

Changes in dietary fat: Percentage calories from saturated fats, dietary cholesterol intake and total energy intake decreased in all three groups but changes were not statistically significant

Changes in physical activity: Physical activity increased over 1 year; changes were significantly higher for group A compared with group C (p = 0.005) and for group B compared with group C (p = 0.029)

Weight: For all groups weight increased modestly although not significantly

Conclusions
Authors: The New Leaf intervention was associated with a statistically significant enhancement of physical activity energy expenditure as assessed by an accelerometer (p = 0.014). Group A on average were 15% more active than group C. The study was not designed to detect a difference between groups A and B but there appears to be a favourable effect of the additional community component. It was disappointing to observe that participants in all groups gained weight. Both the clinic and community components were acceptable to participants and feasible within the context of a research study. Overall, the programme was associated with a modest enhancement of physical activity compared with the minimal intervention, but whether this will translate to improved health outcomes is unknown

Reviewers: This study shows some effect on physical activity and appears acceptable and feasible. It does not tell us much about adaptation as there is no comparison with an unadapted intervention

Comments and limitations
Possible bias in physical activity measurements from differences in how much people wore the accelerometers. Also, measures were self-reported

RCT, randomised controlled trial.
Study reference
Baranowski et al. 2003 [Baylor Girls health Enrichment Multisite Studies (GEMS) Fun, Food and Fitness Project (FFFP)]

Setting
USA; Houston, TX, summer day camp and homes

Inclusion criteria
8-year-old African American girls with parents willing to be involved, ≥ 50th percentile for age- and gender-specific BMI (Centers for Disease Control growth charts), in possession of a home computer with internet access, informed and parental consent. Those with a medical condition, taking medication that affects growth or with a condition that would limit participation or measurement were excluded

Study type
Two-arm parallel group randomised pilot study (urn randomisation procedure)

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; reported by parent(s) or legal guardian(s)
\( n \): 35 girls and parents/caregivers; 19 treatment, 16 control
Sex: 100% female
Income: Majority earned ≥ US$40,000 a year with college education or postgraduate education

Description of intervention and control
Obesity prevention among healthy 8-year-old African American girls by increasing fruit and vegetable intake, lowering dietary fat, increasing water consumption and fibre intake and increasing physical activity to 60 minutes a day. Camps were subsidised. Different internet programmes for intervention girls, intervention parents/careers, control girls and control parents/careers.

Intervention: 4-week summer day camp (regular + GEMS-FFFP) followed by 8-week home internet maintenance intervention for girls and parents.

At camp there was a buddy system to increase physical activity; camp cheers as mnemonic devices for decision-making; problem-solving and communication skills to get parents to undertake physical activity with children; dance; games for increasing fruit and vegetable and juice intake; and food preparation. Also included education for parents and children for healthier lunches; a pedometer was also given. Girls had access to a comic book with characters who attended GEMS, problem-solving, goal-setting, a photo album, an ask the expert feature, links to other websites. Received weekly e-mails and telephone reminders to log on. Parents/careers also had a comic book linked to the children’s comic book with an ask the expert feature and links to other websites.

Control: 4-week summer day camp (different from the intervention) followed by monthly home internet intervention with no GEMS-FFFP components. Girls had access to general health and homework websites for 8-year-old girls. Parents/careers had access to girls’ websites with information on general health issues and links.

Theory: Social cognitive theory; this intervention explains how components of social cognitive theory are used throughout the intervention

Approaches to adaptation
- Focus groups with parents and children indicated a lack of fruit and vegetables at home and a lack of knowledge regarding food preparation
- Formative research also indicated that girls would not attend a live-in camp; day camp format was used instead
- Formative work indicated that girls liked that the intervention was delivered on the internet at home as this minimised travel
- African American cartoon was used on the entry webpage

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline, 4 weeks (BMI only measured) and 12 weeks; intention-to-treat analysis

Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption: At 12 weeks there was greater consumption of water, fruit and vegetables and juice and less consumption of sweetened beverages for intervention girls; the difference was not significant

Changes in calories: At 12 weeks, lower calories; the difference was not significant

Changes in dietary fat: At 12 weeks there was a lower percentage of calories from fat for intervention girls; the difference was not significant

BMI: No significant differences in BMI between treatment groups at any time point; at 4 weeks, girls in the intervention group who were heavier at baseline showed a trend ($p < 0.08$) towards lower BMI compared with similar girls in the control group (28.6 kg/m$^2$ vs 29.3 kg/m$^2$)

Log-on rates: Less than half of the intervention girls logged on to the website, which limited the intervention dose; weekly log-on was < 50% from the beginning for both girls and parents/careers. The control group had lower log-on rates but this was expected

Conclusions
Authors: This intervention did not lower BMI in the intervention compared with the control group at 12 weeks. The difference in BMI at baseline may have contributed to a lack of difference (even though adjusted for baseline). Trend towards lower BMI for heavier girls suggests that a camp may be useful for heavier African American girls (also observed in other studies). Summer day camp appeared to be useful for initiating behaviour; need more methods for enhancing log-on

Reviewers: Agree with authors’ conclusions. Furthermore, it is unclear how generalisable this study would be as it included a highly educated and middle-income cohort – perhaps may benefit a low-income group more. Authors suggest that the initial interest in the internet component eventually became a burden; thus, its use for this group was minimal

Comments and limitations
US$100 weekly lottery was run for 5 weeks to increase the log-on rates; they did not change from approximately 50%. There were incentives for completing the baseline and 12-week assessments (US$10 for children, US$25 for adults)

BMI, body mass index.
Study reference
Beech et al. 2003; Klesges et al. 2008

Setting
USA: Memphis, TN

Inclusion criteria
African American girls aged 8–10 years and their parents/caregivers were eligible to participate, girls with BMI ≥ 25th percentile of the Centers for Disease Control growth charts, able to participate in physical education classes at school

Study type
Pilot RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean: children: 8.9 ± 0.8, parents: 35.5 ± 7.2
Sex: Children 100% female; gender of parents/caregivers was not reported, unclear if all women
Income: 64% had a household income < $40,000 per year and almost one-quarter had a household income < $20,000 per year

Description of intervention and control
Content was focused on knowledge and behaviour change skills to promote healthy eating and increase physical activity
Child targeted: 90-minute session with 30 minutes of hip hop aerobics as the main activity; also taste testing and food preparation activities, food art, modified farmers’ market, basic label reading skills, healthy snacks and child-friendly recipes provided at each session
Parent targeted: 90-minute session with a physical activity component of dancing, a didactic nutrition segment and a segment alternating food preparation and nutrition-related games. Childcare provided with specific activities designed for the 9- to 10-year-old daughters that did not focus on nutrition or physical activity. Discussions regarding links between nutrition and parental concerns, suggestions and strategies to increase healthy family lifestyles, and cooking activities alternated with games. Culturally relevant print information to take home provided in both arms
Comparison: Focused on global self-esteem. Three monthly 90-minute sessions over a 12-week pilot period. Designed to enhance and prevent a decline in self-esteem among 8- to 10-year-old girls and to be neutral with respect to dietary practices and physical activity. Personalised greeting cards and general health information were mailed to participants bimonthly to maintain contact and build rapport

Theory: Social cognitive theory; theoretical and empirical evidence supporting the use of a family-based approach; and conceptualisation of family influences on children’s weight-related behaviours informed by family systems theory. Key foci in social cognitive theory interventions include developing knowledge and skills needed to change behaviour, expecting positive outcomes in association with behaviour change, developing self-efficacy in performing new behaviours and learning self-regulatory skills

Approaches to adaptation
- Special relevance of family-based approaches to obesity prevention in African American children. Mothers, with support from extended family members, are considered to be a primary influence on African American children and youth, and the sociocultural context of the African American girl involves a much higher degree of interdependence among family members than in white families
- 12-week feasibility study of the two active interventions prior to the pilot study
- Graduate student and a member of the local community centre staff trained as a lay health educator were trained to address issues related to cultural sensitivity and cultural competence and to discuss developmental issues related to pre-adolescent African American girls
- Formative research and focus groups with girls and parents/caregivers
- African American female nurse employed

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline and 12 weeks
Changes in physical activity: Girls in the active intervention groups increased their minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity by 11.7%
BMI: Girls in both the child-targeted and parent-targeted interventions demonstrated a trend towards reduced BMI and waist circumference compared with girls in the comparison intervention

Conclusions
Authors: This pilot study demonstrated the feasibility, perceived acceptability and efficacy of culturally relevant obesity prevention interventions for pre-adolescent African American girls and their parents/caregivers. Both active interventions were associated with some level of behaviour change in the expected direction
Reviewers: This appears to be quite an innovative intervention in which both active groups showed trends in the hypothesised direction, even in the arm in which the girls were not intervened on themselves (in the parent-targeted group). This study is now in Phase 2, which is a 2-year RCT to evaluate the short- and long-term ability of the intervention to prevent obesity in pre-adolescent girls. Baseline data reported in Klesges et al.

Comments and limitations
The 12-week intervention period was insufficient to see significant changes in BMI. The comparison group included statistically significantly older caregivers than the treatment groups. Both the active and the comparison interventions had to be viewed as substantive and appealing to recruit and retain African American girls and their parents/caregivers. Randomisation to a ‘no treatment’ control was difficult for participants to accept.

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Frenn et al. 2003[^337]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Setting**  
USA; Midwest

**Inclusion criteria**  
Two urban low- to middle-income schools. Entire classrooms of students were enrolled in the intervention or control group to prevent diffusion of the intervention.

**Study type**  
Quasi-experimental

**Description of population**  
- Ethnicity: Mixed but some results presented stratified by ethnicity — African American, Hispanic, Asian, white, Native American
- Age (years): Range: 12–15
- n: 130; 341 were sampled from and 130 included; 67 intervention group, 63 control group (of relevance to our study, there were 58 African American and 9 Asian participants included among the 130 participants)
- Sex: Not reported
- Income: Not reported

**Description of intervention and control**  
An internet and video intervention to promote a low-fat diet and moderate and vigorous physical activity. The intervention was tailored to the students’ stage of change; those in the earlier stages focused on awareness of their current behaviours and overcoming barriers to change; those in the later stages were prepared as ‘peer models’ to lead healthy snack and exercise sessions with the help of senior nursing staff and faculty. The intervention took place in the computer lab and consisted of four internet sessions plus a healthy snack session and a gym class (one school only) of approximately 50 minutes (six sessions in total).

**Theory:** Transtheoretical model and tailored to stages of change and Pender’s Health Promotion model[^488, 495]

**Approaches to adaptation**  
- Student actors of various racial/ethnic heritages are shown thinking about what they are eating

**Outcome measures and results**  
**Follow-up:** Not reported

*Changes in dietary fat:* For the African American population there was a significant reduction in fat intake ($p = 0.018$); for the Asian population there was a significant change in access to low-fat foods ($p = 0.036$).

*Changes in physical activity:* Both the intervention and control groups decreased their amounts of physical activity, although the decrease was significantly less in the intervention group ($–8.58$ minutes as opposed to $–37.61$ minutes, $p = 0.024$). When analysed by ethnicity and income levels there was a significant increase in physical activity for African American and Asian participants who were in the lowest income group. In addition, those who had the peer-led gym sessions increased their total physical activity, whereas those with only the internet and video intervention decreased their total physical activity, but not as much as those in the control group.

**Conclusions**  
Authors: This intervention was effective in increasing moderate and vigorous activity levels in particular groups. The internet content alone prevented a decrease in exercise to the degree that it occurred in the control group. Interventions delivered through the internet and by video may enable a reduction of health disparities in students by encouraging those most at risk to consume ≤ 30% of calories from fat and to engage in moderate and vigorous physical activity.

Reviewers: This study appears to show some effect in changing diet and exercise behaviours in the populations of interest. However, conclusions are limited by the study design, missing data and the presentation of the results, which included graphs for ethnic-specific data and not the actual data.

**Comments and limitations**  
Limited by the number of students with missing data; there were a lot of data missing, including from different categories, which prevented analysis of both diet and exercise in the same equations, and there was low test–retest correlation.
### Study reference

Robinson *et al.* 2003;329 Robinson *et al.* 2008330 (Stanford GEMS pilot study)

### Setting

USA; low-income neighbourhoods of Oakland and East Palo Alto, CA

### Inclusion criteria

Aged 8–10 years, identified as African American or black by a parent or guardian, BMI ≥ 50th percentile for age and/or at least one overweight parent/guardian with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²

### Study type

CCT

### Description of population

| Ethnicity: African American; identified by a parent or guardian |
| Age (years): Children 8–10 years and their parents |
| n: 61 |
| Sex: Not reported |
| Income: 1% < US$20,000 per year, 72% < US$40,000 per year |
| Other: 56% lived in female-headed households |

### Description of intervention and control

A 12-week programme to test the feasibility, acceptability and potential efficacy of after-school dance classes and a family-based intervention to reduce television watching and thereby reduce weight gain

**GEMS Jewels dance class:** After-school dance classes were held at three community centres, 5 days a week, in the target neighbourhood. Each daily session lasted for up to 2.5 hours (snack, homework period, 45–60 minutes of moderate to vigorous dance, 30 minutes of discussion).

**START (Sisters Taking Action to Reduce Television):** A five-lesson intervention over 12 weeks, delivered in participants’ homes, to reduce television, videotape and video game use. Also five newsletters mailed to the participants

**Active control:** Newsletters (five to parents, 11 to girls) and monthly health education lectures promoting healthful diet and activity patterns by volunteers from African American task forces of the American Heart Association and American Diabetes Association. Content focused on reducing risk, especially among African American populations, and was age appropriate and included culturally targeted educational materials

**Theory:** Social cognitive model suggests that four processes are important in learning and adopting new behaviours: attention, retention, production and motivation. Intervention development and implementation was organised around these processes

### Approaches to adaptation

- Dance taps into the sociocultural and historical importance of dance in the African American community
- Dancing abilities are salient during adolescence and young adulthood in African American youth
- Pilot study was carried out to reduce television viewing among low-income African American families; also focus groups and interviews
- Intervention to reduce family television viewing was expected to have good ‘buy-in’ among African American families because of the well-publicised negative effects of television on African American girls
- African American female role models used during television reduction lessons
- Classes led by female African American college students and graduates
- Female African American intervention specialist led the family component
- Traditional African dance, hip hop and step dance was taught
- Reduced African American-specific barriers (e.g. weight ideals)

### Outcome measures and results

**Follow-up. Baseline to 12 weeks**

**Changes in calories:** Small non-significant differences in the total calorie intake per day, favouring the control group

**Changes in dietary fat:** Non-significant differences in the percentage of calories derived from fat, favouring the treatment group

**Changes in physical activity:** Increased after-school physical activity (adjusted difference between treatment and control 55.1 counts/minute, 95% CI −115.6 to 225.8 counts/minute, \( d = 0.21 \) SD, \( p = 0.53 \))

**BMI:** Compared with girls in the control group, girls in the intervention group showed trends towards lower BMI (adjusted difference between treatment and control −0.32 kg/m², 95% CI −0.77 to 0.12 kg/m², Cohen’s \( d = 0.38 \) SD, \( p = 0.16 \))
### Conclusions

**Authors:** This study confirmed the feasibility, acceptability and potential efficacy of using dance classes and a family-based intervention to reduce television viewing, thereby reducing weight gain in African American girls. Integrating the project into the community and designing the assessment and intervention methods to meet the needs of participants led to highly successful recruitment and retention. A major barrier was transportation to after-school dance classes; this could be overcome if the programme was implemented at the school itself. Standardised effect sizes of 0.38 for BMI and 0.25 for waist circumference over 12 weeks, although not definitive, are of clinical importance. Decrease in weight concerns counters the suggestion that an obesity prevention intervention might have promoted disordered eating attitudes.

**Reviewers:** This study showed positive results and was quite innovative as it utilised both surface and deep level adaptations in the treatment and compared with an ‘active’ (somewhat adapted) control condition. Furthermore, the de-emphasis on obesity prevention was seen as important. The holistic after-school programme appeared to be an effective way of engaging girls; however, it was unclear how sustainable this would be without long-term funding.

### Comments and limitations

Interventions focused on weight are not highly motivating to African American girls and their families as they report heavier ideal/desired weights, more positive body image, etc.; therefore, the intervention must place more stress on physical activity as being good for health. The study was not powered to detect all clinically significant differences between groups. It is quite interesting that physical activity was measured after the intervention was complete, to observe residual effects, because if measured during the intervention it is likely that the treatment group would have increased physical activity compared with the control group.

---

BMI, body mass index; CCT, clinical controlled trial; CI, confidence interval; SD, standard deviation.
A 12-week after-school obesity prevention programme for African American girls. Included two sessions a week focused on increasing physical activity and healthy eating. Family component included

**Family intervention:** Weekly family packets sent home to parents; family night events; telephone calls from intervention staff to parents (to encourage them and to check progress); organised neighbourhood walks. Two family nights held during the second and ninth weeks of the intervention. An integral part of both family nights was a family goal-setting activity. Principles and techniques from motivational interviewing were used

**Control:** 12-week programme unrelated to nutrition and physical activity. Focused on promoting positive self-esteem and cultural enrichment. Participants attended monthly Saturday morning meetings (three meetings during the 12-week period) that included arts and crafts, self-esteem activities, creating memory books and a workshop on African percussion instruments. Transportation was provided for both groups as required

**Theory:** Intervention was based on social cognitive theory and targeted key constructs from three domains: environment factors, personal factors and behavioural factors. A youth development, resiliency-based approach was also employed, which acknowledged the importance of building on individual and family strengths

**Approaches to adaptation**
- Club activities consisted of fun, culturally appropriate, interactive, hands-on activities and skills building (healthful snack and chilled bottled water offered at each club meeting)
- Dancing (ethnic, hip hop, aerobic) and active African American assessed games
- Transportation home was provided by the school’s regular buses
- Formative assessment indicated that a traditional, no-treatment control group would be unacceptable to parents and the community
- Assessment included a checklist of 28 activities typically performed by African American girls, along with pictures of the activities
- Intervention was taught by trained African American staff

**Outcome measures and results**

**Follow-up:** Baseline and 12 weeks

**Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption:** Fruit and vegetable servings per day were lower for the intervention group at follow-up than the control group (not significant)

**Changes in calories:** Intervention group girls had a lower calorie intake, lower per cent of calories derived from fat and more servings of water per day than control group girls

**Changes in physical activity:** Physical activity measures demonstrated consistently greater activity levels in the intervention group than in the control group

**BMI:** After adjustment for baseline level, follow-up BMI did not differ between the treatment groups

**Knowledge:** The intervention group reported significantly higher scores than the control group at follow-up on the healthy choice behavioural intentions (p = 0.001), diet knowledge (p = 0.001) and preferences for physical activity (p = 0.04)

**Conclusions**

**Authors:** An after-school obesity prevention programme for low-income African American girls is a promising model for future efforts. No between-group differences were observed for BMI, and only a few significant findings were seen for other variables. Differences are, however, in the hypothesised direction. Surprisingly, follow-up results showed a trend towards higher mean waist circumference among the intervention group compared with the control group, with an adjusted mean difference of 1.4 cm between groups; this could be because the intervention group included three of the heaviest girls in the study. The intervention group was more likely to report both moderate and less healthy weight concern behaviours at follow-up, e.g., taking on unhealthy behaviours such as skipping meals and fasting

**Reviewers:** No significant differences were reported in the main outcomes of interest but the intervention group showed a trend in the anticipated direction. The surprising findings are interesting to note, as they suggest that the intervention may not have been long enough or may have had adverse/opposite effects in terms of leading girls to develop excess concern with weight and shape

**Comments and limitations**

Small sample size and short duration — did not have the power to test for between-group differences in BMI or other key outcome measurements.

Tests of statistical significance used as guides for interpretation, rather than as definitive inferential tests
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### Study reference
D’Eramo-Melkus et al. 2004

### Setting
USA; general clinical research centre of a major university hospital located in the community from which the study sample was drawn

### Inclusion criteria
Women, aged 18–60 years, having a primary care provider, diagnosed with type 2 diabetes mellitus (C-peptide levels ≥ 200 pmol/l) and English speaking

### Study type
Controlled before and after; pilot feasibility testing. One-group, pre–post test experimental design

### Description of population
- **Ethnicity:** Black American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- **Age (years):** Mean (SD): 51.7 (SD 6.9)
- **n:** 25
- **Sex:** 100% female
- **Income:** Not reported

### Description of intervention and control
A 6-week culturally competent, cognitive-behavioural intervention of education and care for black women with type 2 diabetes. Led by registered nurses trained in diabetes care

**Intervention:** Two groups of 7 to 10 women. Evening and Saturday sessions offered for convenience. Childcare and no-cost parking provided. Missed sessions could be made up. Presentation and discussion with the emphasis on problem-solving, counterconditioning, stimulus control and decision-making for goal attainment. Group context was used for support

- **Class 1:** diabetes-related health risk for black American women; class 2: cultural barriers and beliefs that support or hinder dietary modification and desirable body weight; discussed social support systems/networks in the facilitation of behaviour change; class 3: caloric density of food and food density; class 4: monitoring glucose control and personal eating habits; class 5: activity/exercise and foot-care class; 6: identification of ways and means of reaching goals for diabetes self-care. Monthly diabetes care visits

**Theory:** Social learning theory, particularly self-efficacy and behaviour change. Transtheoretical model of behaviour change incorporates the personal and environmental variables of social learning theory that are modifiable, including expectancies of efficacy and outcome. Two main constructs are experiential and behavioural processes of change. These processes of change promote stage transitions

### Approaches to adaptation
- Evening and Saturday sessions were offered for convenience; childcare and no-cost parking provided to reduce barriers
- Written materials and videotapes were designed for the black community (illustrations and video characters of black Americans). Videos and books served as peer-modelling tools, e.g. *Put the Fat Back*, *The Black Family Dinner Quilt Cookbook*, *Soul Sensation Cookbook* and *Get Up and Move*
- Handouts: *Diabetes in Black Americans*, *Rhonda has Diabetes* and *Rhonda Gets the Word on Exercise*
- Culturally specific recipes used *Soul Food Celebration*
- Focus groups and community advisory board of local black leaders; community members gave positive feedback on the use of these materials
- Two of the four nurses were black American women

### Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up:** Baseline to 3 months post intervention

- **BMI:** Significant change in mean (SD) BMI from 32.1 kg/m² (7.5 kg/m²) to 31.7 kg/m² (7.8 kg/m²) ($t = 3.1, p = 0.005$)
- **Weight:** Significant decrease in mean (SD) weight from 193.2 lb (10.3 lb) to 191.0 lb (10.5 lb) ($t = 2.3, p = 0.03$)

### Conclusions
**Authors:** This study suggests that, when black women are given the opportunity to participate in a planned programme of culturally competent diabetes education and care that is acceptable and accessible, improved health outcomes can be attained

**Reviewers:** This intervention showed statistically significant changes in mean weight and correspondingly BMI; however, given that it is a convenience sample it is difficult to generalise. Furthermore, it is difficult to know how nutrition and physical activity were affected as there were no indicators measuring changes in these outcomes

### Comments and limitations
The study design needs a control condition. Included a self-selected group of volunteers who may represent black women with T2DM who would benefit the most from a self-management intervention of diabetes education and care. Future testing with a larger sample and a two-group design is warranted. Furthermore, the authors suggest that this study provided data on how to design an intervention to empower participants with the knowledge and skills necessary to assume diabetes self-management; however, this is a bit of a leap because their self-efficacy scores were not significant. ‘Empowerment’ would likely be reflected in these types of scores; thus, we are unsure by what mechanisms this intervention achieved its successful reduction in weight and BMI. Long-term follow-up is needed to determine if outcomes could be maintained over time. It is difficult to know if the women with type 2 diabetes are more motivated than healthy women to take up physical activity or make nutritional changes because of their condition

---

BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation.
### Study reference
Kelley et al. 2004

### Setting
USA; Mississippi

### Inclusion criteria
African American women aged 20–40 years

### Study type
Partially blinded CCT

### Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed  
Age (years): Mean: control group: 28.51, intervention group: 31.91  
n: 159 enrollees; 70 intervention group, 89 control group  
Sex: 100% female  
Income: Not reported

### Description of intervention and control
The intervention included education on decreasing stress levels with exercise, meditation, reading, music and behavioural modification with approximately 5 minutes spent on the demonstration of deep breathing exercises  
**Intervention group** in clusters of three to nine; women received education on breast cancer, breast self-examination, healthy eating, exercise and stress management  
**Control group** in clusters of three to eight; women received education on poison control in the home environment

**Theory:** Bandura’s social cognitive theory and Giger and Davidhizar’s transcultural assessment model. The latter was derived as a means to understand diversity through cultural expression and was used to assist the nurse in utilising culturally relevant information. Related and sometimes overlapping components of this are communication, space, social organisation, time, environmental control and biological variations

### Approaches to adaptation
- Lay African American women who were breast cancer survivors came to tell their stories  
- A transcultural assessment model was used to help the nurse in cultural competence  
- Recruitment occurred in culturally appropriate settings such as neighbourhood centres, churches and women’s groups

### Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up:** Not reported  
**Changes in dietary fat:** No significant differences between the intervention and control groups in the amount of fat or fibre intake  
**Changes in physical activity:** No significant differences in the amount of physical activity

### Conclusions
**Authors:** In this research study an examination of the cultural appropriateness of an intervention for breast cancer prevention has provided a greater understanding of the theoretical application of Bandura’s social cognitive theory and Giger and Davidhizar’s model of transcultural assessment. There was no statistical evidence that the programme had an effect on the behavioural risk factors of high fat intake, limited amounts of physical activity and low fibre intake

**Reviewers:** This intervention was aimed at changing behaviours that are risk factors for breast cancer, including physical activity and nutrition, for African American women. There were no significant differences between the intervention and control groups post intervention

### Comments and limitations
The sample may not be generalisable to other regions and populations. As the subjects volunteered for the study and were then randomised by groups the sample may not represent the target population. Measures are self-reported. There was quite a high number of dropouts

**CCT,** clinical controlled trial.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Thrasher et al. 2004; Campbell et al. 2004 [Wellness for African Americans Through Churches (WATCH)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>USA; five rural eastern North Carolina counties, 12 African American churches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria</td>
<td>All active members (i.e. those attending the study church at least once per month) aged 18+ years were eligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study type</td>
<td>Randomised trial, 2 × 2 factorial research design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of population</td>
<td>Ethnicity: 99% African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age (years): Mean: 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n: 850 (12 churches); n = 587 completed both the baseline and follow-up surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex: 72% women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Income: Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other: Approximately 25% had some education beyond high school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of intervention and control</td>
<td>Comparing the effectiveness of two theory-based strategies to promote colorectal cancer (CRC)-preventive behaviours. Church-based research study aimed at improving nutrition, physical activity and CRC screening among rural African Americans. Compared a tailored print and video (TPV) intervention consisting of four individually tailored newsletters and targeted videotapes with a lay health advisor (LHA) intervention, these two interventions combined (TPV + LHA) and a control. Primary prevention message encouraged increased fruit and vegetable consumption, lowering of dietary fat and moderate to vigorous physical activity on most days of the week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPV intervention</td>
<td>Computer-generated tailored messages based on individuals’ survey data and tailored to their stage of change, beliefs, knowledge, barriers and motivators, and cultural and spiritual factors. Four personalised computer-tailored newsletters and four videotapes corresponding to the same behaviours delivered to individuals at home bimonthly for the first 6 months after baseline data collection and not dependent on participation in church activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHA intervention</td>
<td>Used the same theoretical constructs to train lay advisors to diffuse health information while supporting the behaviour change among church members. Lay advisors were used to disseminate information and promote interactions and activities aimed at the interpersonal, social network and church levels of influence and support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Offered health education sessions and speakers on topics of their choice not directly related to the study objectives – two sessions. After data collection control group was given intervention materials including the LHA training manuals and sessions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory</td>
<td>Social cognitive theory, stages of change transtheoretical framework and health belief and social support model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approaches to adaptation</td>
<td>African American church was involved, which plays a vital role in the lives of most African American adults in the southern USA and can serve as a powerful channel for health promotion efforts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Findings from focus groups in two pilot churches were used to inform the intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional messages were targeted to cultural, spiritual and community factors, including church-specific pastor messages and community-specific resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Videotapes were targeted to an African American church audience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LHAs recruited from the churches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical activity measures were modified for cultural appropriateness based on the pre-survey focus groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-testing was undertaken with a convenience sample of African American church members not included in the study (revisions made based on feedback)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Message content, language, literacy level (sixth grade) and graphic design were adapted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome measures and results</td>
<td>Follow-up Baseline and 1 year after baseline (approximately 3 months after all intervention components were delivered).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption</td>
<td>TPV intervention significantly improved fruit and vegetable consumption (0.6 servings over baseline) (p &lt; 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in physical activity</td>
<td>TPV intervention significantly improved recreational physical activity (2.5 metabolic task equivalents per hour) compared with the control. When each intervention group was compared with the control group, the TPV-only group showed significant improvement in recreational exercise (p = 0.04) whereas the LHA-only group showed a marginally significant improvement (p = 0.07) and the combined TPV + LHA group showed no significant improvement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

Authors: This study failed to confirm the original hypothesis that a multicomponent approach that combined a tailored and targeted home-based intervention with a lay helping, church-based intervention would be more effective than either intervention alone. This may be due to exposure — only 10% of those in the LHA churches recalled talking with a LHA — limited reach with some social networks not represented among chosen LHAs. Also, the content may not have been delivered in the same way as the professionally developed tailored materials and it may take longer for a health message to diffuse through a community using LHAs. In addition, as they may have shared with others outside of the church, the full impact of LHAs may be missed by assessing change in only one part of their network (e.g. the church)

Reviewers: Compared with the control group, the TPV-only group showed a significant improvement in recreational exercise; no other outcomes were significant compared with the control group. The LHAs had limited reach

Comments and limitations

Non-respondents to follow-up survey were younger and consumed fewer fruit and vegetables at baseline. Self-reported data and the small number of clusters and factorial design limited the ability to detect significant between-group differences
Study reference
Williams et al. 2004

Setting
USA; Georgia

Inclusion criteria
Not reported

Study type
Controlled before and after; two-group pre–post test

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean: urban 34, rural 33
n: Convenience sample, n = 294; n = 160 from five rural companies, n = 134 from 17 urban companies
Sex: 100% female
Income: Not reported
Other: Mean education: urban 12.0 years, rural 11.0 years

Description of intervention and control
The intervention aimed to compare CVD risk factors in rural low-income African American women (LAAW) and urban LAAW with national risk factor data for AAW; test a worksite CVD risk factor reduction intervention among LAAW based on identified individual risk. There was no control group
Phase 1: During the diet and physical activity interview, participants received personal health risk assessment (HRA) reports based on self-reported baseline survey data. The intervention followed protocols established for single risk factor or multiple risk factor lifestyle modification (ATP III,2001;501 JNC VI, 1997503). Participants were referred for medical tests if abnormal measures were identified. Lifestyle support and/or management of barriers for diet and physical activity behaviour change were part of the intervention
Phase 2: To complete the intervention with a reinforced diet and physical activity message, a follow-up letter was mailed 2 weeks after the on-site visit with a recalculated HRA report using objectively measured blood pressure, BMI, weight and total cholesterol values

Theory: Pender’s health promotion model488,489

Approaches to adaptation
■ Interview stations displayed ethnically appropriate materials from the American Heart Association (AHA) and posters of AAW doing physical activity and preparing/eating low-fat ethnic foods
■ Data collection and interview strategies were approved by a rural health expert and expert in African American culture
■ Culturally sensitive food intake data collection was undertaken by senior nursing students
■ Pictorial materials were provided to those with reading difficulties
■ Culture and individual lifestyle were considered in all aspects of the intervention and data collection

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Pre-test and 1 year post intervention
Changes in dietary fat: At baseline, a significantly larger percentage of urban and rural LAAW exhibited high daily fat intake than the national sample (p<0.01). At post test there was a significant decrease in the rural LAAW group in the percentage of participants with a high daily fat intake (70.5% pre-test vs 55.4% post-test, p<0.01)
BMI: no significant change in BMI for either group from pre- to post-test
Changes in physical activity: no significant changes in self-reported physical activity in either group from pre- to post-test

Conclusions
Authors: This study showed that LAAW can be reached at the work site and that rural LAAW are responsive to a worksite CVD prevention intervention. Pre-test/post-test analysis showed that the intervention was effective with rural women for dietary fat intake reduction. It is thought that they are more responsive because they lack previous measurements of risk and previous access to prevention interventions in their geographical areas. Neither rural nor urban women had significant changes in physical activity levels. Different interventions or more intense/longer intervention periods may be needed for urban LAAW
Reviewers: This study was a one-off risk factor identification and brief interview intervention with LAAW in rural and urban worksites. Agree with the author that the change observed in the rural group is likely due to the lack of previous access to interventions. Given that both groups have comparable levels of education, it seems that access is perhaps the key difference here. This study showed that one-off interventions may have some effect, this needs to be tested in a more rigorously recruited sample

Comments and limitations
Pre test, significantly smaller percentages of the urban LAAW group had elevated cholesterol or high daily dietary fat intake than the rural LAAW group. Limitations include that the study was confined to one state. Sample size was large enough; however, there was no control group. More research needs to be carried out at small companies because little is known about preventive strategies that can be effective in these settings. No inclusion criteria included (convenience sample) and there was a 10% attrition rate
### Study reference

Anderson et al. 2005

### Setting

USA; Detroit, MI, urban

### Inclusion criteria

No explicit criteria given

### Study type

RCT, pre–post test design with repeated measures

### Description of population

Ethnicity: African Americans; not reported how ethnicity assessed

Age (years): Mean (SD): 61 (11.4)  
\( n = 239; 125 \) intervention, 114 control  
Sex: 82% female

Income: Not reported

Other: The intervention and control groups did not differ in any baseline characteristics

### Description of intervention and control

**Problem-based empowerment intervention for African Americans with diabetes**

**Intervention:** Consisted of six weekly 2-hour group sessions held in convenient community-based locations. In the first session participants were given data on blood results and information on behaviours that would affect these results and then were to identify problems and solve them under the guidance of the facilitators. The subsequent sessions focused on discussion of the previous week’s experiences of self-management. The topics varied based on the individual needs and concerns of the participants

**Control:** 6-week wait-listed control group

**Theory:** Empowerment behaviour change model

**Approaches to adaptation**

- Focused on concerns and priorities of the patients in the programme
- Used ethnic recipes
- Took into account reading level and literacy
- Used educational materials developed with and for the target audience

### Outcome measures and results

**Follow-up:** Data collected at baseline, after the 6-week intervention period, after the 6-week control period and at 6 months and 1 year

**Weight:** No significant difference in weight was demonstrated between the intervention and control groups over the 6 weeks of the study; however, there was weight loss in the overall group between pre and post intervention when examined at all time points. The difference between mean weight pre intervention and at 1 year was 2.9 lb (\( p = 0.024 \))

**Knowledge:** Only the patients’ self-rated understanding of managing diabetes score was different between the intervention and control groups

### Conclusions

**Authors:** Only one significant change was found between the control and intervention groups (this was a perceived understanding of diabetes mellitus); thus, the study was unable to show benefit from participating in the 6-week intervention; however, there were some significant pre–post improvements in measurements. We believe the results of this study can be attributed to volunteer bias, study effects (i.e. providing study data/blood results, etc. on several occasions to patients and physicians during the 1-year study period) and impact of the interventions; however, the study design does not allow us to examine the relative impact of these factors on the patient improvements seen over the 1-year study period. We believe that the best explanation for the findings is that we developed an effective intervention but employed a research design that did not allow the relative effect of the three forces at work in the study to be determined

**Reviewers:** This study was not able to demonstrate much difference between the intervention and control groups and the authors have provided an explanation of why they felt this occurred. This represents the tension of study designs and what is acceptable and ethical for the community

### Comments and limitations

This study is limited by the short duration of the comparison between the intervention and control groups. The authors discuss how they could not make the wait-list control longer as they felt that it was unethical, particularly for an underserved population. The self-referred sample is also open to bias

**RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.**
**Study reference**  
Engels et al. 2005

**Setting**  
USA; Detroit, MI

**Inclusion criteria**  
Urban African American children and their parents/guardians – very little information on recruitment and inclusion criteria

**Study type**  
Pre–post

**Description of population**  
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed  
Age (years): Mean (SD): 11.1 (1.3)  
n: 56  
Sex: 69% female  
Income: Not reported

**Description of intervention and control**  
Students and parents actively involved in the Being Fit programme. The programme was delivered as an after-school programme for 12 weeks to students and parents/guardians in an urban middle school. The aims were to increase participants’ fruit and vegetable intake and to affect their health-related fitness through dance and games and fitness activities. The programme was offered 4 days a week with 60- to 75-minute sessions  
**Major components:** Provision of supervised dance sessions, sports games, fitness activities, step pedometers, 5 a Day for Better Health Program nutrition learning activities, targeted educational handouts on nutrition and fitness and thematic poster board display at the school. A ‘Students and Parents Actively Involved in Being Fit’ passport was given out to record fruit and vegetable intake and steps taken on a daily basis. A well-known public figure made a motivational guest appearance in support of the programme goals. The school district waived the cost of community-use fees to lease the school’s gymnasium and dance rooms for the project

**Theory:** Combination of theories and approaches used in the intervention

**Approaches to adaptation**  
- Programme was conceptualised by community health advocates – “bottom-up approach”  
- Targeted educational handouts  
- African-style dance was offered

**Outcome measures and results**  
**Follow-up:** Before test and 12 weeks post intervention  
**Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption:** Adults’ fruit and vegetable intake remained relatively unchanged; children, in contrast, increased their fruit and vegetable intake  
**Changes in dietary fat:** Adults had reductions in body fat (1%, \( p = 0.004 \))  
**Changes in physical activity:** Adults showed improved fitness (4-minute reduction in walk/run time, \( p = 0.007 \))  
**BMI:** Adults had reductions in BMI (0.5 kg/m\(^2\), \( p = 0.002 \))

**Conclusions**  
Authors: The findings indicate that after-school programmes such as this can potentially contribute to improved health levels in urban African Americans. The adults tended to gain more health-related outcomes and the children tended to show more dietary gains. Further larger studies are warranted to test this further

Reviewers: This study shows some promising results for both parents and children but the study design is not able to show if these are generalisable or sustainable. There is also limited detail or description of the adaptations undertaken

**Comments and limitations**  
Findings are limited by the relatively small sample size, absence of control group and the short duration of 12 weeks. The dietary assessment tools, although validated for this population, may also have limitations and may overestimate intake. Good collaboration with the idea coming from the community but partnering with the school teacher, university and health promotion services. Utilised a wide variety of social, cognitive and behavioural strategies enveloped and delivered in a collective format. Had quite a free approach offering multiple activities and participants were allowed to choose what they participated in – less prescriptive than many interventions. Adapting the style of delivery for a teenage audience also requires significant consideration  

BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation.
Study reference
Fitzgibbon et al. 2002; Stolley et al. 2003; Fitzgibbon et al. 2005 (Hip Hop to Health Jr)

Setting
USA; Chicago, IL

Inclusion criteria
All children at the sites were eligible to participate

Study type
RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American, Latino and multiracial; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age: Mean (SD): intervention group: 48.6 (7.6) months, control group: 50.8 (SD 6.4) months
Sex: Not reported
n: 409
Income: Mean (SD) education parents: intervention group: 12.4 (SD 1.8) years, control group: 12.7 (SD 1.7) years

Description of intervention and control
The intervention took place in 12 Head Start preschool programmes; the 12 programmes were paired on class size and one member of each pair was assigned to the weight control intervention (WCI) and one to the general health intervention (GHI)

Children in the WCI schools participated in a 14-week (40 minutes, three times weekly) healthy eating and exercise intervention. The two major components were a 20-minute lesson introducing a healthy eating and exercise concept with an activity and 20 minutes of ongoing physical activity. Parents in the WCI schools also received weekly newsletters with information mirroring the child’s curriculum

Children in the GHI schools received a 14-week (20 minutes once a week) class in which they learned about a variety of general health concepts such as dental health, immunisation, seat belt safety and 911 procedures

Theory: Based on social learning theory, self-determination theory and the transtheoretical model including the stages of change

Approaches to adaptation
- Addressed cognitive and environmental factors (social support, cultural attitudes, unsafe neighbourhoods, conflicting responsibilities)
- Easy and safe access to the programme
- Intervention was developed with experts in minority health and focus groups
- Fostered identification between interventionists and participants
- Emphasis was on behaviour demonstrations to facilitate lifestyle changes
- Consideration of all levels of literacy

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline to years 1 and 2 post intervention

Changes in dietary fat: Saturated fat intake was significantly lower in WCI children at year 1 (p = 0.002) but not at the 2-year follow-up

BMI: Post-intervention BMI scores did not differ significantly between the two groups. At 1 year there was less of an increase in BMI in the WCI children than in the GHI children (0.02 kg/m² vs 0.64 kg/m², p = 0.002). At year 2 the mean increase in BMI was 0.65 kg/m² higher in GHI children than in WCI children (1.14 kg/m² and 0.48 kg/m² respectively, p = 0.008)

Weight: The mean increases in weight over this 2 year period were 7.95 kg for GHI children and 6.84 kg for WCI children

Conclusions
Authors: Hip Hop to Health Jr, a randomised controlled efficacy trial in minority preschool-age children, demonstrated success in reducing increases in BMI as children age, not only at the 1-year follow-up but also at the 2-year follow-up. This represents a promising approach to the prevention of overweight among minority children in the preschool years

Reviewers: This intervention appears to slow weight gain in preschool children and could prove a promising approach. There needs to be further research to address whether or not it could be delivered consistently by teachers instead of trained educators and to see whether or not the effects are sustained beyond 2 years

Comments and limitations
This intervention may not be generalisable to other populations (it was designed for this population and it was delivered by specially trained educators and perhaps could not be delivered so enthusiastically by busy teachers). There may also be a dose–response as the WCI was delivered at a higher dose then the GHI

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
Study reference
Fitzgibbon et al. 2005(20) (Faith on the Move)

Setting
USA; Chicago, IL

Inclusion criteria
Self-identified as African American or black, female, age ≥ 21 years, BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², not currently pregnant or nursing, no current illegal drug use, reported consumption of no more than two alcoholic beverages per day, no medical problems that would restrict or prohibit moderate physical activity, no cancer that required treatment in the last 5 years (except skin cancers other than melanoma), agreed to randomisation, not planning to move from the Chicago area within the time frame of the classes and able to attend scheduled classes

Study type
RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American or black; self-identified
Age (years): Mean (SD); faith-based group: 47.8 (10.3), no faith element: 49.1 (11.6)
n: 59
Sex: 100% female
Income: Mean income US$20,500 per year in both groups
Other: Mean (SD) education; faith-based group: 13.6 (2.3) years, no faith element: 12.9 (2.2) years

Description of intervention and control
A 12-week culturally tailored faith-based weight loss intervention vs a culturally tailored weight loss intervention with no faith component
Culturally tailored intervention was underpinned by social cognitive theory. The intervention was delivered in a small group format; the group met twice weekly for 12 weeks. The first meeting of each week was divided into an interactive didactic component and an exercise component; the second meeting of each week was all exercise
Faith-based intervention was identical to the culturally tailored one except that it also addressed faith and spirituality issues in a structured and systematic manner. This was done through incorporating scripture each week into the content of the intervention

Theory: Theoretical underpinning was social cognitive theory

Approaches to adaptation
- Surface level: Recruitment and intervention materials were culturally appropriate to the social and behavioural preferences of black people in terms of people, places, language and locations
- Deep level: Attended to cultural, social, historical, environmental and psychological factors
- Respect for verbal communication – shared stories
- Commitment to family and other obligations – emphasised family and social support, offered childcare, discussed multiple family obligations and provided advice on how to prepare healthy food for large extended families
- Connections to ancestors and history – stories involved well-known or historical figures in the community

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Unclear; study states that pre and post measures were taken but it is unclear when
Changes in dietary fat: Decreases in both groups (significant with a Wilcoxon signed-rank test but not with a t-test)
Changes in physical activity: Significant increase in physical activity in the control group but not in the faith-based weight loss group
Weight: Overall 70% lost weight (78% in the faith-based group and 61% in the other group) with the average weight loss in the faith group being 2.6 kg and in the other group being 1.6 kg. None of the changes was significant between the two groups at 12 weeks [BMI change: p = 0.37; weight change (kg): p = 0.34; weight change (%): p = 0.41]

Conclusions
Authors: Overall, this study showed that there may be benefit to adding a faith component to our previously tested culturally based weight loss programme. Weight loss was expected to be modest in both groups given the relatively short duration of the pilot intervention. Overall, the magnitude of weight loss observed in our study in the faith-based intervention was comparable or somewhat better than that seen with other interventions of similar length designed specifically for black populations. Future adequately powered trials can provide more definitive results

Reviewers: This study shows that a culturally adapted intervention for weight loss was effective but the study was not significantly powered to demonstrate if the addition of a religious element increased effectiveness. Larger studies are warranted to distinguish between the effects of cultural adaptation and the effects of specific religious adaptation

Comments and limitations
This was a pilot study and was underpowered to show any significant effects. Attendance was not different between the two groups but only 56% of the faith-based group and 60% of the weight loss group attended ≥ 75% of the sessions. There was no standard control group, which meant that any differences could not be compared with a group receiving no intervention at all. The findings may not be generalisable as this was a very specific population and there were also very strict eligibility criteria and very strict screening. The outcomes were based on self-reported information, which is subject to bias

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
Study reference
Fitzgibbon et al. 2005

Setting
USA; Chicago, IL; YMCA located in an ethically diverse area of Chicago

Inclusion criteria
Self-identified as African American or black, female, aged 35–65 years, BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², not currently pregnant, nursing or anticipating a pregnancy, no current illegal drug use, reported consumption of no more than two alcoholic beverages per day, no medical problems that would restrict physical activity, no cancer that required treatment in the last 5 years (except skin cancer other than melanoma) and not planning to move from the Chicago area within the intervention time frame

Study type
Pilot RCT delivered in two cohorts

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American
Age (years): Mean (SD): cohort 1 (n=27): 44.4 (7.9), cohort 2 (n=37): 45.1 (6.9)
Sex: 100% female
Income: Median: cohorts 1 and 2 both US$42,500
Other: Education: cohort 1: 14.7 (SD 2.0) years, cohort 2: 14.9 (SD 2.6) years

Description of intervention and control
A combined breast health/weight loss intervention for 20 weeks (twice a week) [to decrease weight and dietary fat intake and increase physical activity and breast self-exam (BSE) proficiency]. Two cohorts: both cohorts were delivered in a small group format; the first 90-minute meeting included a 45-minute didactic component and 45 minutes of exercise – structured aerobic and walking; the second meeting was a 45-minute exercise session

Cohort 1: Equal time spent on breast health and weight loss
Cohort 2: 80% of time spent on weight loss, 20% on breast health

Control: Identical for both cohorts – received weekly newsletters by mail for 20 weeks on general health topics, e.g. first aid, smoking cessation and screening for cancers other than breast cancer. The modified intervention and all intervention materials were offered to this group following the completion of the 20-week trial

Theory: Social cognitive theory and cultural competency – surface and deep tailoring

Approaches to adaptation
- Based on previous work with black populations and adapted from a similar intervention conducted with a Latina population
- Cohort 1 based on previous research; cohort 2 based on interview feedback from cohort 1
- Advertising in traditionally ‘black’ newspapers
- Intervention incorporated black cultural values and traditions; recruitment and intervention protocol emphasised tailoring and cultural sensitivity on two levels: surface and deep
- Healthy ways of preparing traditional ‘black’ food; emphasised family and social support, provided childcare, included active food demonstrations, discussed multiple family obligations and provided advice on how to prepare healthy food when serving a large extended family
- Breast health was also tailored, e.g. presented incidence of breast cancer and mortality in black women
- Addressed cognitive and environmental barriers that impact minority women’s ability to adopt and maintain healthy eating and exercise behaviours, e.g. addressed structural barriers by holding sessions in familiar surroundings, at a convenient time
- Shared medical anecdotes; ‘stories’ about health consequences of unhealthy eating and physical inactivity that involved well-known or historical figures helped to convey the importance of this research to black women
- Actively incorporated black values and culture by including ethnic foods in the intervention, attending to cultural aspects of health beliefs, holding the intervention at a place that was safe, convenient and gang neutral and recognising that black women represent a range of religious affiliations and ethnic identity
- Delivered by culturally competent interventionists
Outcome measures and results

Follow-up: 20 weeks post intervention

**Cohort 1:** No statistically significant differences were demonstrated between the intervention and control groups in change in BMI or weight. No difference for diet and physical activity outcomes.

**Cohort 2:** Per cent weight change (−4.0 vs 0.9, *p* < 0.01), weight change in kg (−3.4 vs 0.3, *p* < 0.01) and change in BMI (−1.3 vs 0.9 kg/m², *p* < 0.09) were significantly larger in the intervention group than in the control group. Changes in frequency of regular physical activity in sessions per week [mean (SD) 2.4 (2.9) vs 0.1 (2.3), *p* < 0.05], duration of physical activity in minutes per session [mean (SD) 27.1 (38.3) vs 1.4 (29.9), *p* < 0.05] and intensity of physical activity measured on a 0–10 scale [mean (SD) 4.4 (4.8) vs 0.2 (3.5) *p* < 0.01] were significantly greater in the intervention group than in the control group. A non-significant difference in change in dietary fat consumption was observed between the intervention and control groups.

No significant difference was reported between the intervention and control groups in changes in television viewing for either cohort.

Conclusions

**Authors:** In this study, only cohort 2 demonstrated improvements in weight and associated lifestyle changes; this was thought to be due to the increased allocation of time to weight loss in cohort 2.

**Reviewers:** Interesting that cohort 2 showed effect in terms of body weight, physical activity and diet; shifting the time allocated to weight loss from 50% to 80% had a discernable effect (suggesting a dose-dependent relationship).

Comments and limitations

Self-reported data. The majority of the women were well educated and middle class and it is unclear whether or not this intervention would be generalisable to lower-income black populations.

---

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
Study reference
Resnicow et al. 2005324 (Go Girls)

Setting
USA; Atlanta, metropolitan area

Inclusion criteria
Middle- and upper-income churches identified and contacted prior to randomisation; household income > US$40,000 confirmed, > 100 church members. Eligibility: 12- to 16-year-old girls, BMI > 90th percentile for age and gender

Study type
RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean (SD): 13.6 (1.43)
$n$: 10 churches; 5 treatment, 5 comparison; $n$ = 123 girls
Sex: 100% female
Income: Middle- and upper-income churches

Description of intervention and control
Church-based obesity reduction intervention for middle and upper socioeconomic African American adolescent girls delivered through culturally tailored behavioural group sessions over 6 months. Each session included an experiential group activity, approximately 30 minutes of physical activity and preparation/tasting of healthy foods. Girls participated in every session and parents were invited to participate. Girls were taught principles of substitution, moderation and abstinence

High intensity: 20–26 sessions (held weekly) and four to six motivational interviewing calls over 6 months lasting 20–30 minutes. Received a two-way pager to receive messages throughout the day and also participated in a retreat

Moderate intensity: Six sessions (once a month); selected from topics delivered to high-intensity group, e.g. fat, physical activity barriers, benefits of physical activity, fad diets. No two-way pagers, motivational interviewing calls or retreat

Theory: None reported

Approaches to adaptation
■ Working with black churches
■ Formative work with middle-income families; discussed terminology in relation to weight, e.g. ‘thick’ was generally considered positively whereas ‘nasty fat’ was not

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline, 6 months and 1 year (selected measurements only)

BMI: At 6 months, net difference between the two groups was 0.5 BMI units but this was not significant ($p = 0.2$); 1-year outcomes were similar

Weight: At 6 months, net difference between the two groups was 1.8 lb but this was not significant ($p = 0.38$); 1-year outcomes were similar

Body fat: At 6 months, net difference between the two groups was 1.1% but this was not significant ($p = 0.28$); 1-year outcomes were similar

In the high-intensity group, high attendees (> 75% of the sessions) had significantly reduced BMI (0.8 units for difference of 1.3 units, $p = 0.01$), percentage body fat ($p = 0.01$) and hip circumference ($p = 0.01$) compared with low attendees and also a trend for weight with high attendees losing 1.3 lb and low attendees gaining 3.7 lb ($p = 0.07$); 1-year outcomes similar

Other: The intervention was generally well received by participants

Conclusions
Authors: The intervention was not effective in reducing adiposity but some positive effects were observed among high attendees. The moderate-intensity comparison may have reduced the ability to detect a difference; however, it was likely that there was a failure of the high-intensity condition, possibly because of the lack of a structured programme. High attendees had sustained effects at 1 year

Reviewers: The intervention was not successful in showing effect. When comparing high attendees with low attendees the differences were significant, suggesting that either those who were particularly motivated to attend did better or there was a dose-dependent effect of the intervention

Comments and limitations:
Churches received an incentive of US$500 if 15 eligible participants completed the baseline assessment and an additional US$200 if 20 eligible participants completed the baseline assessment. There was difficulty recruiting as there were competing priorities at churches. Participants lost interest in pagers and the impact of motivational interviewing was mixed. Use of the moderate-intensity comparison group was needed to get buy-in from the churches

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
## Appendix 18

### Study reference
Wang and Chan 2005

### Setting
USA; Hawaii

### Inclusion criteria
Previously diagnosed with type 2 diabetes and managing the diabetes with diet, oral hypoglycaemic agents or insulin or both, age 44–87 years, residents of Hawaii and speakers of Mandarin, Cantonese or Taiwanese

### Study type
Pre–post

### Description of population
- Ethnicity: Chinese; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- Age (years): Mean (range): 68.8 (44–87)
  - n: 40
- Sex: 51.5% female
- Income: 75.8% retired, 81.8% combined monthly household income < US$1001
- Other: 57.6% education level of high school graduate and higher

### Description of intervention and control
- Group sessions were delivered by the investigator and a registered nurse (certified diabetes instructor). Maximum of 10 people per session to enhance interaction. Sessions lasted 60 minutes and were held in a clinic in Chinatown
- Handouts of all lectures were given to all participants. Presentations included videos, hands-on activities and visual presentations. Topics included understanding the research programme and its components. Recipe books, exercise books and pamphlets on diabetes were also distributed
- Dietary patterns were explained and analysed, including recipes brought in by participants. Exercise, calories and medicines (Western and traditional) were all discussed. Skills for managing stress were outlined (meditation, Tai Chi and Chi-gong)
- Participants were asked to involve their families outside the classroom and strong peer–peer support was developed during the course. Activity log and pedometer were given to each participant

#### Theory:
Guided by the ‘empowerment model’; this aims to help a patient explore and develop their inherent ability to manage their life and disease

#### Approaches to adaptation
- Sessions fit in with people’s schedules
- Cultural values integrated into the handouts
- Adapted for language and for low literacy and had pictorial resources
- Encouraged to involve the family
- Chinese utensils were used to demonstrate portion size
- Chinese values were incorporated into the intervention including the yin and yang concept
- Education was adapted to include information on maintaining health when flying and changing time zones, etc.
- Culturally appropriate resources were used

### Outcome measures and results

#### Follow-up: Baseline, at the end of the intervention and 3 months post intervention
- Weight: 43.6% of participants lost more than 5 lb

### Conclusions
**Authors:** Culturally tailored diabetes management may be effective for Chinese Americans with type 2 diabetes. Participants had improved outcomes and also found a strong support network through participation in this intervention. Further study with a larger sample and a control group is recommended

**Reviewers:** This study showed the benefit of integrating culturally appropriate values and paradigms into a diabetes education programme and showed effective results for a preliminary study. However, the sample size was small. The cultural adaptations are well described

### Comments and limitations
Small sample size and lack of control group. May not be generalisable to primary prevention. Also, the timing of the study in a holiday period when quite a few people travelled meant that some could not continue participation (programmes should not be held over Chinese New Year)
BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.

**Outcome measures and results**

Follow-up: Baseline to months 6, 12, 18 and 24

BMI: Overall, the effect of treatment was significant for parental changes in BMI ($p < 0.04$). Post hoc comparisons of groups indicated that the difference was significant at months 6 and 12 but not at months 18 and 24

Weight: Compared with the control group, adolescents in the treatment group lost more body fat and parents in the treatment group lost significantly more body weight during the first 6 months. In the following 18 months, parents and adolescents in both groups gained weight and at 2 years the weight/fat of the two treatment groups did not differ

**Conclusions**

**Authors:** An internet-based behavioural intervention was found to be superior to internet-based health education because it yielded decreased body fat for adolescent girls and decreased body weight in parents after 6 months of treatment; however, these weight/fat losses were not maintained during the subsequent 18 months. The use of the website decreased dramatically after the first year of the study. Based on these observations we have recommended that internet-based weight management interventions should be integrated into other forums for supervised behaviour change, e.g. health classes in schools, and that they might best fit with goals of relatively low weight loss and weight gain prevention

**Reviewers:** This intervention was effective at the 6-month mark but then had decreasing participation/interest and therefore decreasing effect so that any significant gains were lost by the 2-year mark. The authors’ suggestion seems appropriate that integrating the web-based approach with other component may help sustain the use of the internet site and encourage ongoing behavioural change. They do not comment on how regularly the content of the site varied and perhaps changing the content would sustain interest. This study was not designed to be able to assess the advantage of adaptation over non-adaptation

**Comments and limitations**

Small sample size. Use of individual learning styles as opposed to co-operative learning styles, which are thought to be more appropriate for African American populations. African American populations make less use of the internet and as this possibly changes in the future this type of design may become better suited to this population

BMI: Overall, the effect of treatment was significant for parental changes in BMI ($p < 0.04$). Post hoc comparisons of groups indicated that the difference was significant at months 6 and 12 but not at months 18 and 24

Weight: Compared with the control group, adolescents in the treatment group lost more body fat and parents in the treatment group lost significantly more body weight during the first 6 months. In the following 18 months, parents and adolescents in both groups gained weight and at 2 years the weight/fat of the two treatment groups did not differ

**Conclusions**

**Authors:** An internet-based behavioural intervention was found to be superior to internet-based health education because it yielded decreased body fat for adolescent girls and decreased body weight in parents after 6 months of treatment; however, these weight/fat losses were not maintained during the subsequent 18 months. The use of the website decreased dramatically after the first year of the study. Based on these observations we have recommended that internet-based weight management interventions should be integrated into other forums for supervised behaviour change, e.g. health classes in schools, and that they might best fit with goals of relatively low weight loss and weight gain prevention

**Reviewers:** This intervention was effective at the 6-month mark but then had decreasing participation/interest and therefore decreasing effect so that any significant gains were lost by the 2-year mark. The authors’ suggestion seems appropriate that integrating the web-based approach with other component may help sustain the use of the internet site and encourage ongoing behavioural change. They do not comment on how regularly the content of the site varied and perhaps changing the content would sustain interest. This study was not designed to be able to assess the advantage of adaptation over non-adaptation

**Comments and limitations**

Small sample size. Use of individual learning styles as opposed to co-operative learning styles, which are thought to be more appropriate for African American populations. African American populations make less use of the internet and as this possibly changes in the future this type of design may become better suited to this population
### Study reference
Yancey et al. 2001; Yancey et al. 2006; McCarthy et al. 2007 [African American Women Fight Cancer with Fitness (FGF)]

### Setting
USA; Los Angeles, CA

### Inclusion criteria
Self-identified as African American, female

### Study type
RCT

### Description of population
- **Ethnicity:** African American; self-identified
- **Age (years):** Mean (SD): control group: 46.5 (10.10), intervention group: 44.56 (10.82)
- **n:** 393
- **Sex:** 100% female
- **Income:** Moderate income
- **Other:** On average participants had completed 3 years of college

### Description of intervention and control
Culturally targeted nutrition and physical activity educational and social support intervention to produce cancer-protective anthropometric, physiological, psychological and behavioural changes in African American women. Education was delivered in group sessions and targeted lifestyle changes at the individual level. A social support component was intended to influence social environments. Economic incentives were also provided with free gym membership for all participants (and for their social support person).

Both intervention and control groups received eight weekly 2-hour sessions with ethnically matched community role models in a black-owned community health club. The intervention group focused on skills training to improve lifestyle changes. The control group sessions discussed current African American health topics.

#### Theory:
Social learning theory, social action theory and a social ecological perspective were imbedded in the intervention approach, with progressive goal setting. Physiological and psychosocial behaviour change strategies were used complementarily in the intervention.

### Approaches to adaptation
- Ethnically appropriate community role models
- Setting in a black-owned community gym
- Used an African American media/fitness magazine to recruit

### Outcome measures and results

#### Follow-up 2, 6 and 12 months

**Changes in physical activity:** Physical activity levels increased significantly only among intervention participants \(p < 0.0001\) and \(p = 0.04\) at 2 and 6 months, respectively

**Weight:** Longitudinal analysis revealed a trend towards weight stability in the intervention group at 2 months compared with the control group. Both groups were significantly heavier at 12 months (intervention group +1.37 kg/m², \(p = 0.0001\); control group +1.02 kg/m², \(p = 0.001\)); at this time point, 42% of intervention women and 36% of control women had lost or maintained weight \(p = 0.08\)

### Conclusions
Authors: The intervention showed significant but modest changes immediately post intervention with decay thereafter in time. Both intervention and control participants received benefit from participation, with intervention participants experiencing a broader spectrum of favourable changes in overall fitness than control participants, but control participants having better longer-term improvements. It was felt that the control condition actually delivered a more substantive social environmental intervention and therefore reduced the difference between the two groups. The findings underscore the need for multilevel social ecological interventions that address multiple barriers to active leisure participation.

Reviewers: The intervention could be considered as ineffective as the control group appeared to receive more benefit than the intervention group in the long term; however, the control in itself was an intervention and both showed some moderate success.

### Comments and limitations
The physical activity and sedentary behaviour measures were not very developed at this time and it was difficult to distinguish between the effects of sedentary behaviour vs physical activity and resistance vs aerobic training and to examine the amount that the gym was used. As the free gym membership was delivered to both groups the intervention did not test the effectiveness of the membership alone; however, it demonstrated that free membership and the intervention or control changes made only a very modest difference. There were delays in participants being scheduled for sessions. It was shown that those who waited longer had less successful outcomes than those who started sooner. This effect may have reduced the overall effectiveness but not differentially.

---

BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation.
Study reference
Kumanyika et al. 2005; Anderson et al. 2007

Setting
USA; Pennsylvania

Inclusion criteria
Age 25–70 years, BMI between 30 and 50 kg/m², with a physician in the university health system and English speaking. Exclusions were for conditions or circumstances in which weight reduction would be contraindicated, inappropriate or unfeasible or that could confound data interpretation, e.g. pregnancy, active treatment for unstable depression or other psychiatric disorders, current use of antipsychotic medication, active chemotherapy or radiotherapy, alcoholism, eating disorders or being non-ambulatory. For CVD, diabetes or obesity-related comorbidities, the condition had to be stable and permission sought from their personal physician

Study type
RCT; permuted block randomisation in a 1:1:1 ratio

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; self-identified
Age (years): Mean (SD): phase 1: 43.4 (10.5), phase 2: 45.4 (10.2)
n: 237 people were enrolled; 167 attended no classes or only the first phase 1 class, 134 provided phase 1 follow-up data and 128 were randomised in phase 2 with 87 of them providing final follow-up data (‘completers’). Of the completers there were 28 in the HELP classes, 28 in the self-HELP classes and 31 in the clinic visits only group
Sex: Phase 1 89.9% female, phase 2 90.6% female
Income: 50.5% and 56.2% had a professional occupation in phases 1 and 2, respectively
Other: 63.5% and 70.2% had > 12 years of education in phases 1 and 2, respectively

Description of intervention and control
Recruited through outpatient practices in a family practice department of an urban university health system and delivered in the family practice conference room. The intervention had two phases. Phase 1 was a 10-week, weekly weight loss class/group counselling with the option of continuing on to phase 2. Participants were not given a specific diet but were advised on caloric intake per day and encouraged to set goals for gradual behavioural change in eating patterns, particularly tracking fat and caloric intake and substituting low calorie foods into their diet. They were also advised to increase their levels of physical activity and this advice was tailored to their ability and preferences. Phase 2 was for another 8–18 months in a randomised comparison of two intervention groups aimed at weight loss maintenance or additional weight loss and a control group. The intervention groups were the HELP group – further group counselling (classes less frequent: biweekly, then monthly) – and the staff-facilitated self-HELP group. These were compared with a ‘clinic visits only’ group that had no further intervention delivered

Intervention classes were led by part-time nutrition exercise or behaviour change specialists usually working in pairs; four out of the nine were African American. The self-HELP group were given a kit with a personalised calendar, local resources for healthy eating and physical activity, a personal diary, a pedometer and ad hoc telephone support from an outreach worker. There were also some walks led for this group. All participants also received a seasonal study newsletter, small gifts as incentives and a video designed to motivate lifestyle changes for CVD risk reduction in African Americans

Theory: Not reported but HELP was adapted from a weekly programme used in the TONE weight loss interventions, which were based on well-established theoretical behaviour change approaches

Approaches to adaptation
- Branding: study logo and identification for an African American population
- Adapted tools: Given an adapted version of the food guide pyramid called ‘soul food pyramid’ and given a booklet entitled ‘Heart Healthy Cooking African American style’ and ethnic food recipes were modified for healthy eating
- Media/Videos used from the Sistertalk intervention and video greetings from an African American principal investigator
- General delivery was in a festive and interactive atmosphere
- Cultural adaptations were based on both theoretical and empirical guidance, incorporating techniques and materials from existing studies
- Free parking and convenient public transport was available

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Follow-up visits in phase 2 every 6 months
Weight: Mean weight changes for completers were –1.5 kg (p<0.001), +0.3 kg (p=0.47) and –1.2 kg (p=0.04), respectively, for phase 1, phase 2 and overall (baseline to final visit was on average 18 months)
There was no treatment effect of phase 2 (p=0.55). The final study weight was <5% below baseline for 25% of completers and was strongly predicted by phase 1 weight loss
Conclusions

Authors: There was a modest average weight loss after the programme in phase 1 that was reasonably sustained regardless of which arm people were assigned to in phase 2. About a quarter of the completers achieved a clinically significant weight loss over an overall average duration of 18 months.

Reviewers: This study seemed somewhat complex in the design and execution. There does appear to be a promising effect of the phase 1 intervention and some maintenance, which suggests that this approach may have merit and potential to develop as a longer-term intervention.

Comments and limitations

The incentives were important for attendance for about half of the participants. There was considerable attrition in the study with only 60% and 36% of cohorts 1 and 2, respectively, attending the 6- and 12-month follow-ups and only 70% of cohorts 3 and 4 attending the 6-month follow-up. There was a significant difference in the duration of the intervention because of the time taken for enrolment and to start the intervention.

BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
Study reference
Davis-Smith et al. 2007; Atkinson et al. 2009 [Lifestyle Balance Church Diabetes Prevention Programme (DPP)]

Setting
USA; Georgia

Inclusion criteria
All adults > 18 years were included

Study type
Pre–post

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American
Age (years): Not reported
n: 99
Sex: 97% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
This intervention was to determine the feasibility of implementing a diabetes prevention programme (DPP) in a rural African American church

Intervention: A six-session DPP modelled after the National Institutes for Health was implemented with adult members of the church identified as being at high risk for diabetes, based on the results of a questionnaire and a fasting glucose test. The major goals were 7% weight loss and ≥ 150 minutes of exercise a week. The three aims were nutrition, physical activity and behaviour change. The materials were modified for the church setting to be group based. Each session was led by volunteer health-care professionals and handouts were given from the sessions. Diet and physical activity log books were reviewed by the leader and the leader guided the group discussion. After the presentation and discussion, individuals set goals for diet and exercise and exercise behaviour change for the subsequent week. A prayer concluded the session. Following the intervention there was no additional support provided

Theory: Not reported

Approaches to adaptation
- A member of the church was included in the research team (on the suggestion of the pastor)
- Focus groups were held with church members to plan the intervention
- Recruitment occurred through church services and bulletins
- Church leadership was involved in the planning and implementation as an equal partner
- Intervention was changed to be group based

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline to 6 and 12 months
BMI: Average decrease in BMI was 1.9 kg/m² at the 12-month follow-up
Weight: Weight loss ranged from 0.5 to 27.2 lb after the 6-week intervention. Overall, the mean weight loss was 8.8 lb, 6.5 lb and 10.6 lb from baseline to immediately after the intervention, to the 6-month follow-up and to the 12-month follow-up, respectively

Conclusions
Authors: This pilot project suggests that a modified six-session DPP can be translated to a group format and successfully implemented in a church setting. Further randomisation studies are needed to determine the effectiveness of such an intervention

Reviewers: This study demonstrated a successful translation of the National Institutes for Health DPP into a church setting. There were changes in weight and BMI and these appeared to be sustained to 12 months

Comments and limitations
Very small sample size (one church) and no control group. Finger-stick glucose sampling was used in lieu of plasma values

Additional comments from supporting paper: A supporting paper looked at what factors helped the programme fit within the community and these were the initial alignment of the programme objectives with the church mission, the endorsement and continued participation of church leadership and community activities and support. Programme success is dependent on and magnified by the broader engagement of the church community so that people realise that the changes are not just for those involved in the programme but for the wider community. Partnership and integration within the community are emphasised. Sustainability may have been contributed to by the social support of the church and the fact that ongoing healthy behaviour changes occurred at a church level following the intervention and this may have helped people to continue the behaviours that they started under the programme

BMI, body mass index.
Study reference
Gaston et al. 2007 [Prime Time Sister Circles (PTSC)]

Setting
USA; multisite: Illinois, Washington, DC, Florida and Maryland

Inclusion criteria
African American/black women aged > 35 years

Study type
Quasi-experimental study, pre–post

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean (SD): 54.4 (9.46)
n: 134 women; 106 intervention, 28 comparison
Sex: 100% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
10 Prime Time Sister Circle (PTSC) groups and two comparison groups in 11 sites

Intervention: The intervention was a curriculum-based approach that was low cost and short term – it incorporated a support group approach to address four key modifiable disease risk factors. A total of 8–13 women per intervention group met for 90 minutes for 10 weeks They signed a group contract and set a specific goal related to physical activity, nutrition and stress management. They were given educational material in the form of a book. The 10-week curriculum included stress, spirituality, self-esteem, prioritising themselves first, nutrition and exercise, CVD, diabetes, nutrition and exercise. Participants were taught cognitive behavioural strategies and skills to help develop and implement their health plan in the targeted areas

Comparison: The comparison group received a copy of the book but no sessions, facilitator, expert consultants or stipend

Theory: Cognitive behavioural modality; social support and social circles; transtheoretical model; Person, Extended family, Neighbourhood (PEN) model that focused on health education, educational diagnosis of health behaviour and cultural sensitivity; based on information from focus groups

Approaches to adaptation
- Taps in to unique values and preferences of African American women
- Matched ethnicity and age and gender of facilitators
- Focus groups with the population were used to plan the approach, design and implementation

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline to 10 weeks and 6 and 12 months

Change in calories: There was a significant increase in the number of nutritious foods eaten at 10 weeks but this was not significant at 6 and 12 months

Changes in physical activity: Significant changes in physical activity: increased from participating in aerobic exercise on 1.91 days per week at baseline to 3.97 (p < 0.01), 2.48 (p < 0.05) and 3.21 (p < 0.01) days per week at 10 weeks and 6 and 12 months, respectively. Engaging in strength-building exercise also increased significantly at 10 weeks and 12 months

Knowledge: At 10 weeks knowledge scores ranged from 85.1% to 93.5%; by 6 months all areas of knowledge had decreased to a range of 61.9–88.1% and at 12 months to a range of 73.1–82.7%

Conclusions
Authors: This study demonstrated the effectiveness of a culture- and gender-specific group intervention to modify certain high-risk behaviours in mid-life African American women. Significant improvements were seen at various intervals in stress management, physical activity and nutrition. The utilisation of an integrated approach and a group format were major factors in the study’s success

Reviewers: This study demonstrated a significant effect of the intervention but it is unclear what contributes most to the effect – possibly it was the group support element. It is unclear how generalisable this would be to other populations as this is a very specific population in terms of gender, ethnicity and age and SES

Comments and limitations
Limitations included relatively small sample size, the quasi-experimental design of the study in multiple sites with no randomisation and the self-reported nature of the data (social desirability bias may have been increased by peer pressure in this intervention)

CVD, cardiovascular disease; SD, standard deviation; SES, socioeconomic status.
Study reference
Farooqi and Bhavsar 2001;370 Netto et al. 2007368 (Khush Dil)

Setting
UK; Edinburgh, UK

Inclusion criteria
People were self-referred or referred from voluntary organisations, GPs and other health-care workers. There were no exclusions

Study type
Pre–post, service evaluation

Description of population
Ethnicity: South Asian: Indian (23%), Pakistani (48%) and Bangladeshi (21%); not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean (range): 44 (13–81)
n: 304
Sex: 66.6% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
The intervention included a nurse-led community-based CVD risk clinic and nutrition workshops led by a dietician. Activities included cookery workshops, exercise classes and CHD/diabetes awareness sessions to encourage lifestyle change and reduce CHD risk. It also included stress management and participation in local Asian community events. Participants attended initially for a 30-minute screening visit and had blood taken and their CHD risk assessed and this was then discussed with the nurse along with goal-setting; there was a follow-up visit at 6 months

Theory: Transtheoretical model used to assess stages of change at screening visit

Approaches to adaptation
■ Materials were translated
■ Staff were bilingual and ethnically matched
■ Extensive formative work carried out for service in consultation with the community

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Measures taken at baseline, follow-up interviews at 6 months post baseline
Changes in dietary fat: 77.9% of women reported reducing the amount of oil they used in cooking and 57.5% reported healthier cooking methods such as baking, grilling and steaming; men also showed a significant decrease in consumption of fried meat
Changes in physical activity: Women and men showed an increase in moderate exercise
BMI: Significant improvements in BMI
Weight: Significant improvements in weight

Conclusions
Authors: The Kush Dil intervention led to a reduction in cardiovascular risk in a South Asian population. In addition, participants reported a shift in their motivational status, increasing the likelihood that diet and lifestyle changes can be maintained
Reviewers: This intervention provides an effective and culturally appropriate service for South Asian communities to reduce their cardiovascular risk

Comments and limitations
This was an in-service evaluation and not a research trial and therefore there is no control group for this intervention. Self-reported measures are prone to social desirability bias. It is not possible to say if the findings are generalisable to other settings and populations or to comment on sustainability

BMI, body mass index; CHD, coronary heart disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; GP, general practitioner.
### Study reference
Rush et al. 2007

### Setting
New Zealand

### Inclusion criteria
Asian Indians aged >50 years and resident in the urban Auckland area were recruited by personal contact with community organisations

### Study type
Controlled before and after/pre–post

### Description of population
Ethnicity: Asian Indian; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Women: 59 ± 8, men: 62 ± 8
n: 41
Sex: 48.7% female
Income: Not reported

### Description of intervention and control
Monthly group diet and physical activity intervention that emphasised the importance of lifestyle changes to reduce risk factors for chronic disease. Participants visited the university laboratory three times. The intervention lasted for 5 months

An initial group education session encouraged an increase in physical activity and improved diet and was delivered to two community groups at their regular meetings. Messages and handouts were given to everyone irrespective of their participation in the study. There were two booklets: Good Health Is in Your Hands! A Food Guide for Indian Adults in New Zealand, which included a Hindi translation, and Healthy Living, Putting the Squeeze on Lifestyle Disease for NZ Indian People (written by the study author). Following the initial session, monthly group sessions with handouts were held that included cooking demonstrations (substitute canola oil in place of other oils, remove fat from meat, increase fish consumption), a pedometer club and ‘weigh-ins’. Individually marked waist threads (to assess changes in girth), pedometers and diaries to record steps taken daily were given out

### Theory: Not reported

### Approaches to adaptation
- Two brochures targeting the Indian population in New Zealand
- Delivered the initial group education session during a regular community group meeting
- Encouraged change not just for themselves but for their children and grandchildren

### Outcome measures and results
**Follow-up:** Two baseline measurements were taken, 1 month before and immediately before the intervention, the average taken and follow-up was at 5 months post intervention

**Changes in dietary fat:** There were decreases in percentage body fat [−1.1% (SD 1.6%), p<0.004] and abdominal fat [−0.14 kg (SD 0.22 kg), p<0.006] − when stratified by gender there was a significant decrease in men (p<0.006) whereas these changes were not statistically significant in women. Most popular reported changes were related to diet: changing cooking oil to canola, eating more cereals, removing skin from chicken before cooking and drinking water when thirsty

**Weight:** Men: body weight, mean (SD): −1.5 kg (1.8 kg) (p<0.001), weight of body fat, mean (SD): −1.1 kg (1.4 kg) (p<0.002); women: body weight, mean (SD): −1.2 kg (2.5 kg) (p=0.06), weight of body fat, mean (SD): −1.0 kg (2.3 kg) (p=0.06)

### Conclusions
Authors: In a group of older Indian people, lifestyle changes mediated by group education and individual follow-up to modify diet resulted in a reduction in whole body and abdominal fat and improvements in lipid profile and therefore a reduced risk for CVD. Beneficial changes occurred in both women and men but tended to be greater in men. The nature of the group adds potential for changes made in a relatively short period of time to be sustained by the volunteers and the rest of the community and families without the involvement of the researchers

Reviewers: The intervention showed significant changes for men but not for women. The authors suggest that, as eight women and four men had high fasting blood glucose, which would classify them as having impaired fasting glucose, their capacity for lifestyle change may be limited. This certainly could be one reason for the lack of significant changes in women; however, it would have been interesting to learn more about the intervention sessions, whether they catered for different genders, what kind of activities were performed and what were some of the challenges

### Comments and limitations
This study does not report much detail of the intervention and thus it is difficult to pick out the active component; however, holding the intervention during times when the two community organisations regularly met would likely have helped engagement. It is unclear whether men and women participated in the study together or whether the two community groups were single sex

Alcohol consumption decreased from baseline. Fish consumption increased in only three cases but this recommendation was not relevant to everyone as nine people were lacto-ovo vegetarian. This is important to consider when giving out advice — what people are already eating instead of generic advice. Anecdotally, changes were adopted by others in the community group and wider families. This community has continued to work together to maintain these changes

The authors do not provide any limitations to this study; however, it is clear that the small convenience sample and having no control group are two limitations

CVD, cardiovascular disease; SD, standard deviation.
### Study reference

Shaw-Perry et al. 2007[^1] (NEEMA; meaning ‘wellness’ in Swahili)

### Setting

USA; eastside of San Antonio, TX, San Antonio Independent School District

### Inclusion criteria

Schools with 40% African American students and not alternative schools; fourth-grade students selected because children aged around 9 years. All students were allowed to participate in the four programme components but only students with assent and parental consent participated in data collection

### Study type

Controlled before and after/pre–post

### Description of population

- **Ethnicity:** African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- **Age (years):** 10.54 ± 0.74
- **n:** 68
- **Sex:** 55.9% female
- **Income:** Low-income neighbourhoods

### Description of intervention and control

A 14-week school-based diabetes prevention programme tailored for African American children. Programme implemented via four social networks/components: classroom, after school, home and school cafeteria. The intervention lasted for 7 weeks and there was no control group

- **Health education and physical education (PE) class:** 13 lessons covered nutrition, physical activity, self-esteem, self-control and diabetes. The PE curriculum was aimed at promoting moderate to vigorous physical activity. Health and PE classes were held for 45 minutes a day, 5 days a week: 1 day to teach health education and 4 days for physical activity

- **Health club:** 18 sessions to reinforce classroom learning and to promote leisure-time physical activity. Student participation was voluntary and parents were encouraged to attend with their children. Involved physical activity, aerobics, games, dancing, singing and arts and crafts. Health club meetings were held once a week, Monday to Friday, for 45 minutes during school or for 1 hour after school. A Family Fun Fair was conducted to promote healthy choices for the family

- **School Food Service Program** also run: seven cafeteria lesson plans to improve nutrition knowledge of food service staff and a lunch visit for students to encourage them to make healthy food choices

### Theory

Not reported

### Approaches to adaptation

- NEEMA was chosen as the intervention name (Swahili for ‘wellness’) – catchy and culturally appropriate for African American children
- ‘Healthy Heroes’ (health book cartoons) and pictures were used and were well received
- Pictures, names of people, activities and some language and foods in the curriculum were modified to be more representative of African American culture and experience
- Components of the Bienestar health intervention were translated into instructional materials more compatible with African American family life and culture. Translation team composed of an African American researcher and three African American staff members from the Bienestar Health Program

### Outcome measures and results

#### Follow-up: Week 3 – baseline (weeks 4–10 included the 7-week intervention) and week 11 – follow-up

- **Changes in dietary fat:** Per cent body fat decreased from 27.26% (SD 12.89%) to 26.68% (SD 11.67%) (*p* < 0.537)
- **Changes in physical activity:** Fitness laps increased from 16.40 (SD 9.8) to 23.72 (SD 14.79) (*p* < 0.000)
- **BMI:** Increased from 20.30 (SD 5.29) kg/m² to 20.81 (SD 5.57) kg/m² (*p* < 0.003) (this is in the opposite direction to hypothesised change)

### Conclusions

**Authors:** This pilot study provided teacher feedback useful for revising the NEEMA health curricula. The aim of the study was to determine if the new NEEMA instructional manual was culturally appropriate for African American children. Feedback from teachers and comments expressed by the children were positive. There was a significantly positive impact of the NEEMA PE classes on children’s fitness and blood glucose levels. Teachers recommended more hands-on activities, smaller groups and the provision of more incentives to participate and more training hours for teachers. Future studies to determine efficacy should include a RCT, a larger sample of schools and a cluster analysis

**Reviewers:** The study demonstrated that the intervention was culturally acceptable (although students were not themselves interviewed) and was able to produce positive effects on children’s fitness (although opposite effect with BMI). Success, however, could be attributed to the fact that familiar PE teachers delivered the intervention during school hours — unclear how this would work if voluntary and after school. No process data were reported, such as the number of sessions attended
Comments and limitations

Lack of a randomised control but, because this was a formative study, it involved small groups and biological measures were analysed at the individual level. Despite an increase in fitness there was an increase in BMI. This could be because, if fitness is increased in rapidly growing children, bone and muscle mass develop, producing greater increases in BMI. Students carried out two practice runs 1 day before the test — this may skew the results as students would have experience with the test and would likely strive to better their performance; thus, follow-up data may be inflated. Teachers reported that the NEEMA programme was supportive of school-based lifestyle interventions but emphasised constraints at home and school and that state action was required, e.g. food insufficiency at home (low cost of fast food and soft drinks is a cause for unhealthy behaviour).

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
Study reference
Two Feathers et al. 2005;291 Two Feathers et al. 2007 290 (REACH Detroit)

Setting
USA; Detroit, MI, urban

Inclusion criteria
African American and Latino adults with physician-diagnosed type 2 diabetes, aged > 18 years, with insurance or receiving care from a federally qualified health centre, mentally able and residing in one of the six REACH Detroit zip codes

Study type
Pre–post [with comparison of data with an existing data set for HbA1c (glycated haemoglobin) results]

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American (64%) and Latino (36%); not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): African American: mean (SD): 60.9 (13.9)
\( n = 377 \) (271 from 14 all-African American groups and 106 from eight mixed-race groups)
Sex: 7.5% female
Income: Not reported

Other: For the African American population it is reported that 25.4% had a high school education, 22.5% had less than a high school education and 33.8% had attended college

Description of intervention and control
This intervention aimed to compare the effects of an intervention conducted with an African American only group of participants with one conducted with a mixed-ethnicity group of participants. The intervention was based on an intervention originally developed for Native Americans. The curriculum was adapted and was called ‘The Journey to Health’ for African American participants. It was designed to reduce risk factors associated with diabetes complications. The content was designed to help participants gain knowledge related to healthy eating, physical activity and stress reduction through five 2-hour group meetings delivered every 4 weeks by 10 Family Health Advocates (FHAs) in two community locations. Participants were encouraged to bring a family member or friend

Theory: Social cognitive theory was used with an emphasis on behavioural capability, self-control, emotional coping response and self-efficacy

Approaches to adaptation
- Planned and implemented with guidance from a steering committee including community health leaders
- Culturally congruent content was developed and built on ethnic group identity and social support
- Stories were used that incorporated historical and cultural perspectives about healthy lifestyle practices as past norms for African Americans and described how they overcame difficult odds to keep their families healthy
- Materials were all reviewed by project staff who contributed local and cultural knowledge
- Cultural symbols and themes, patterns and concepts, values, norms and relationships were incorporated in the curriculum to reflect cultural characteristics and preferences
- Foods and physical activities were modified from the original curriculum to reflect cultural preferences, geography and changing seasons
- The African American curriculum incorporated religion and associated messages of hope
- A more family-oriented approach was taken
- Activities were developed that were directly applicable to people’s daily lives

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: 20 weeks

Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption: African Americans showed a significant improvement in vegetable consumption, from \( 2.1 \pm 1.4 \) servings a day to \( 2.7 \pm 1.4 \) servings a day (\( p < 0.01 \))

Knowledge: Significant increase in knowledge in those who followed a healthy eating plan 7 days a week, from 32.4% to 44.9% (\( p < 0.05 \))

Conclusions
Authors: These findings suggested that a culturally tailored, community-based healthy lifestyle intervention delivered by community residents over five sessions can significantly improve glycaemic control and reduce the risk factors associated with diabetic complications. It is also commented that there was limited evidence of interventions that have been successful for one group being replicated or adapted and being successful for another group. This intervention provided evidence of an intervention developed for and tested with Native Americans that can be adapted and be successful for African Americans and Latinos. It’s important to continue to develop our understanding of the critical components of successful interventions that encourage and sustain healthy lifestyle behaviours among populations at high risk from diabetes and its complications

Reviewers: This study was interesting as it showed an intervention that has been successfully adapted and transferred from one population to another. The results are slightly limited in their power once stratified to individual ethnic groups and this may have limited the number of areas in which effectiveness could be demonstrated. It is also hard to say whether there was effect in terms of knowledge changes as values were compared only with baseline levels and there was no comparison group for the survey measurements (unlike the clinical measurements)
Comments and limitations

The survey data were limited by having no comparison group. Subgroup power may be limited by sample size. Measures were self-reported for the surveys and the small size of groups and relationships with the workers may have contributed to social desirability in the responses. There was quite a high degree of non-attendance and attrition at the sessions. The Hawthorne effect could have been operating.

SD, standard deviation.
### Study reference

Amoako et al. 2008

### Setting

USA; Guilford County, NC

### Inclusion criteria

English speaking, with access to a telephone. Recruitment: 14 clinics and physicians’ offices

### Study type

Experimental design

### Description of population

Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed

- **Age (years):** Mean (SD) (range): 61.0 (9.5) (49–83)
- **n:** 68
- **Sex:** 100% female
- **Income:** 65% had incomes of ≤ US$1000 a month

### Description of intervention and control

**Telephone intervention for diabetes self-care (to reduce uncertainty) and psychosocial adjustment (physical activity and diet)**

**Intervention:** Once-a-week telephone semi-structured clinic interview with embedded intervention for 4 weeks. Self-care activities included diet, medication, foot care, exercise and blood sugar testing. Interview included open-ended questions, direct exploration and use of reflective comments. Four phases: warm up, assessment of problem, uncertainty appraisal and delivery of strategies to manage the uncertainty, closing. Calls (10–60 minutes) were recorded. Women could make calls to a 1-800 number as needed. Assertive communication skills taught. Printed information provided

**Control:** Usual care, which included regular primary care and specialty visits as well as support group meetings and scheduled classes for diabetes management. Two telephone calls to keep in touch and remind participants of the final data collection period. At completion of the post-test data collection, women were offered one intervention telephone call: 15 accepted, 15 declined

**Theory:** Mishel’s uncertainty-in-illness theory; theories of counselling and behaviour change guided the interactive processes used in delivery of the intervention

### Approaches to adaptation

- **Intervener was an African American geriatric nurse practitioner with experience in the management of diabetes and cardiovascular conditions in minorities**

### Outcome measures and results

- **Follow-up:** Baseline and 6 weeks post baseline
- **Changes in physical activity:** Intervention group reported increased participation in exercise (self-care component; \( p < 0.001 \))

### Conclusions

**Authors:** In this study, participants who experienced reduced uncertainty experienced a significantly greater improvement in psychosocial adjustment. Participants who experienced reduced uncertainty showed improvement that was significantly greater than that of the control group in the number of days per week that they participated in physical activity. Diet did not improve. Barriers included poor understanding of the relationship between disease and diet, poor psychosocial adjustment and denial of the seriousness of diabetes. Most of the improvements involved cognitive changes; however, they may precede behaviour change

**Reviewers:** This study showed improvements in psychosocial adjustment and reduced uncertainty for diabetes care. Agree that this may be important as a predecessor of other more behavioural changes (the intervention group participants increased exercise levels but did not improve their diet in this study — however, this is to be expected as it may be easier to take up a behaviour than to alter long-standing dietary patterns and practices). Future studies should include greater cognitive components to motivate people

### Comments and limitations

This was part of a larger study of a telephone intervention to manage uncertainty related to diabetes self-care. Limitations include not using HbA\(_1c\) as an outcome to measure effectiveness and measuring the outcomes 2 weeks after the intervention. In future, multiple measurement points should be used. The authors state that, for African Americans with diabetes, modifying diet may be particularly difficult given the deeply rooted traditions surrounding food in their culture (they perceive ‘eating healthfully’ as having to give up part of their heritage). Family members may perceive deviations from traditional food experiences in a negative way, which can result in conflict for people with diabetes

SD, standard deviation.
Study reference
Ard et al. 2008348

Setting
USA; multicentre trial

Inclusion criteria
Age ≥ 25 years, BMI 25–45 kg/m², currently taking medication for hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia, not taking medication for diabetes, requiring a negative stress test if diabetic or with a history of CVD, no CVD event in the past 12 months and willing to lose 4 kg in phase 1 of the study (20 weeks)

Study type
Reanalysis of a multicentre RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Average: 51.6 ± 9.3
n: 377 (271 from 14 all-African American groups and 106 from 8 mixed race groups)
Sex: 73.4% female
Income: 84.3% had income ≥ US$30,000 (50% in excess of US$60,000)
Other: 92.5% had more than a high school education with 32.6% having a postgraduate qualification

Description of intervention and control
This intervention aimed to compare the effects of an intervention conducted with an African American only group of participants versus a mixed ethnicity group of participants. Participants met weekly in groups of 15–25 led by an interventionist who was of African American ethnicity (the mixed ethnicity groups that this was compared with also had interventionists who were of African American ethnicity). Weight loss was promoted through calorie reduction, change in dietary pattern and increased energy expenditure with physical activity. The DASH diet was used and physical activity was for 180 minutes a week. Key strategies included self-monitoring of diet and physical activity, reducing portion sizes, substituting foods and modifying foods to be lower in calories and fat, increasing fruit and vegetable and fibre intake, identifying problematic situations and undesired behaviours and rehearsing specific plans of action to deal with those situations and, lastly, developing food choice competencies

Theory: No theories explicitly stated

Approaches to adaptation
- Having an interventionist of the same ethnicity leading the group
- A minority implementation committee was charged with developing trial-wide procedures to enhance minority participation and success
- Cross-cultural training for all study personnel that included specific instruction on cultural sensitivity and motivational interviewing techniques for study interventionists
- Having all-African American groups of participants

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: 20 weeks
Changes in fruit and vegetable and fibre consumption: Increase in fruit and vegetable consumption by about three servings a day and in fibre consumption by about 3.5 g/day
Changes in calories: Decrease in the percentage of calories from fat of about 7%
Changes in physical activity: A doubling in the proportion of people reporting at least 180 minutes of vigorous activity per week
Weight: Weight change of > 4 kg for 61.2% of the participants

Conclusions
Authors: There was a lack of a statistically significant effect of group composition on intervention attendance, the lifestyle change variables and weight loss. This suggests that special logistics to accommodate African Americans may not be worthwhile. Whether this holds true, or the importance of the group leader being African American or not, cannot be determined from these data. The results of this study suggest that, regardless of the ethnic mix of the groups, African Americans appear to respond positively to well-designed lifestyle change interventions designed to promote healthier eating and increase physical activity and weight loss

Reviewers: This study was very interesting and attempted to examine the effectiveness of a single adaptation; however, this was hampered by the group composition of the mixed groups and the culturally appropriate approach also taken to the mixed groups that most likely diluted any effects that could have been observed

Comments and limitations
The negative findings between the African American and mixed groups could have been contributed to by this being a reanalysis and not a RCT itself and there being residual confounding in the analysis. Also, there were high numbers of African Americans in the mixed groups, which may have reached ‘critical mass’ to alter the environment and blur the contrast. The overall intervention had adaptations anyway in terms of a minority implementation committee charged with developing trial-wide procedures to enhance minority participation and success, and cross-cultural training for all study personnel that included specific instruction on cultural sensitivity and motivational interviewing techniques for study interventionists – this may have contributed to the fact that African Americans in the programme in general had better weight loss than usually reported in these types of studies and this may well have reduced the effect of having African American-only groups. In addition, the participants were recruited because they were at a particularly high risk of CVD and this may have made them more motivated than an average population

BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
**Study reference**
Befort et al. 2008

**Setting**
USA; Kansas City, KS

**Inclusion criteria**
Women were eligible if they were ≥ 18 years, obese (BMI 30–50 kg/m²), not pregnant or intending to become pregnant within 6 months, not currently involved in any other weight loss treatments, free from psychiatric illness or substance abuse, able to walk continuously for at least 10 minutes, not planning to move out of the area in 6 months and able to obtain medical clearance from their medical practitioner

**Study type**
RCT

**Description of population**
Ethnicity: African American
Age (years): Mean (SD): 44.3 (6.4)

n: 44 women were recruited and randomised, but only 34 returned for post treatment assessment
Sex: 100% female
Income: Women were recruited from a community health centre serving predominantly lower-income people

**Description of intervention and control**
A 16-week culturally adapted behavioural weight loss programme with randomisation to receive either four sessions of motivational interviewing or four sessions of education (attention control)

Intervention: The programme was adapted from the Lifestyle Balance programme and involved gradual, sustainable lifestyle changes using goal-setting and self-monitoring. Treatment goals were to decrease weight by 7%, decrease energy intake by 500–1000 calories a day (25% from fat), consume five to nine fruit and vegetable servings a day and exercise for 150 minutes per week. Participants received individual goals, charted their weekly weight and were instructed to self-monitor their daily food intake and physical activity

**Theory:** Not reported

**Approaches to adaptation**
- Social support was emphasised
- Barriers were addressed relating to transportation, neighbourhood safety, literacy and other stressors
- Guidance about food and physical activity was made relevant to cultural practices
- African American community leaders who had succeeded at weight loss were invited as peer mentors
- Participants developed group names for themselves
- Sessions were less didactic and more interactive in nature
- Preferences for larger body sizes were recognised

**Outcome measures and results**
Follow-up: 16 weeks

*Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption:* Increase in fruit and vegetable consumption of 1.7 (SD 3) servings a day
*Changes in calories:* Diet improved with a mean drop of 465 kcal/day (SD 696 kcal/day) and a drop in kcal from fat of 4.6% (SD 8.2%)
*Changes in physical activity:* Increase in physical activity of 101 minutes (SD 350 minutes) and an increase of energy expenditure of 7 kcal/week/kg (SD 25.1 kcal/week/kg)
*Changes in dietary fat:* No significant difference in outcomes between the two groups but both groups had an improved diet; mean weight loss 3 kg (SD 5.1 kg)

**Conclusion**

Authors: Results showed that both groups lost a significant amount of weight, reduced their energy intake and per cent fat from calories and increased their fruit and vegetable intake, but adherence to the behavioural weight loss programme did not differ across the two groups. The authors suggest that further work is needed to dismantle the socioeconomic barriers from the sociocultural barriers that may limit the impact of motivational interviewing

Reviewers: The study focus is on the effect of the addition of motivational interviewing to a culturally adapted intervention. This is a bit different to our focus of how culturally adapted interventions work, but the finding that the underlying intervention was successful was helpful; however, as adaptation was not the main focus there is perhaps less detail reported on this aspect

**Comments and limitations**
High attrition of 23% but this is consistent with other studies of this type
Limited that the tools measured for self efficacy and motivation were not validated in this population

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Study reference</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boltri et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Setting</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA; area not reported, 100,000 inhabitants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Inclusion criteria</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All churches attendees aged ≥ 18 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Study type</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre–post</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Description of population</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years): Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n: 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income: Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Description of intervention and control</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The purpose of this intervention was to translate the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) to a church setting/ African American Baptist church. Recruitment occurred through announcements in the church and in the Sunday bulletin for 2 weeks. During the church services a member of the research team also outlined the research and a survey was completed by church members on risk assessment for diabetes. High-risk people were then tested and those found to be at risk of pre-diabetes (eight) were included in the intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There were 16 sessions lasting for 60–90 minutes in this individualised lifestyle programme. There was goals of 7% weight loss and 150 minutes of exercise per week. The sessions were designed to teach people how to improve their diet, lower fat intake, increase exercise and change behaviour to establish a lifelong healthy lifestyle. The programme was modified by changing it to group sessions and also adding in prayer. The intervention lasted for 4 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Theory:</strong> Not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Approaches to adaptation</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Changed to group format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition of prayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Held in a church setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruitment occurred through church services and bulletins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Outcome measures and results</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up:</strong> 6 months and 12 months post intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI:</strong> decreased post intervention by a mean of −1.2 kg/m², at 6 months it was raised by +0.3 kg/m² and by 12 months it was raised again by +0.7 kg/m² but still remained slightly lower than the starting BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight:</strong> Mean weight decreased by −7.5 lb post intervention, the mean weight then increased at both the 6 month (+1.9 lb) and 12 month follow-up (+ 4.6 lb)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Conclusions</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Authors: This study demonstrated successful translation of the 16-session NIH DPP into a church-based setting. Future studies should test this intervention in churches of different sizes and denominations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewers: This study is interesting as it shows successful translation of a DPP to a church setting for an African American population</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Comments and limitations</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very small sample and no comparison group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index.
**Study reference**
Chen et al. 2008

**Setting**
USA; San Francisco, CA

**Inclusion criteria**
Children aged 8–10 years who self-identified as Chinese American and their mothers

**Study type**
Pre–post

**Description of population**
Ethnicity: Chinese; self-identified
Age (years): Mean (SD): children: 8.8 (0.8), mothers: (SD) 40 (7.1)
n: 52 children completed to the 1-month follow-up and 42 to the 6-month follow-up
Sex: 49.1% female
Income: Not reported
Other: Mean number of years of education of the mothers was 14 (SD 4.9)

**Description of intervention and control**
Initial data were collected for the mothers and children including height and weight. Questionnaires assessed mothers’ knowledge of children’s lifestyle and behaviours and also their levels of acculturation. Mothers then received information on whether their child was overweight or normal weight, their dietary intake and whether they were active or sedentary. They were also sent materials on nutrition, physical activity and healthy weight maintenance based on the baseline assessment. Parents were instructed to share the information with their children. There was a telephone consultation and follow-up 2 weeks after the mail out of the information

**Theory:** Based on the ecological model of childhood obesity prevention, which was derived from ecological system theory

**Approaches to adaptation**
- All materials were translated into Chinese and were also available in English
- Researchers were all bilingual and bicultural
- Pilot testing of the materials was undertaken to see if they were culturally appropriate in their method of delivery and their reading level

**Outcome measures and results**

**Follow-up: 1 and 6 months**

**Changes in physical activity:** Statistically significant improvements were observed in time spent in physical activity

**BMI:** BMI significantly declined among children who were overweight at baseline, and those who were not overweight at baseline appeared to gain weight more slowly than the usual annual increase in this population

**Knowledge:** No significant changes in parental knowledge about their children’s nutrition and physical activity needs were noted as a result of the intervention

**Conclusions**
Authors: Results suggest that this intervention is feasible in the population. Some data suggested that the mail intervention is preferable to mothers as there is no need to keep appointments. There is also a lower cost to this than running education sessions, which means that it could be used to reach a larger population. However, this was a pilot/feasibility study and data from a RCT with a control group are needed to support the findings. This research suggests that health-care providers need to provide parents and children with specific recommendations regarding children’s weight status, dietary intake and levels of activity

**Reviewers:** This intervention takes the approach of mailing information, which is less hands-on but perhaps more cost-effective. It did demonstrate effect but it is hard to know whether this would be sustainable or certainly whether this would be generalisable to other populations, particularly those who may not have such good health literacy or motivation or who may have competing life concerns. It is a feasibility study and, as stated by the researchers, evidence from a larger controlled trial is needed

**Comments and limitations**
This is limited by it being a pilot/feasibility study with a relatively small sample size and no control group. There are limited data on the changes occurring in the study to interpret them fully. There are limited data on adaptation other than the more superficial characteristics of the study such as language and researcher characteristics. This intervention is based on ecological systems theory, which emphasises the critical and important interaction between the individual’s characteristics and the environment in which a person is embedded and the context in which a person is situated. This is an interesting and perhaps cost-effective approach to reach a wide audience, although a cost-effective analysis has not been conducted

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
Study reference
Kim et al. 2008\textsuperscript{[13]} (The WORD)

Setting
USA; North Carolina

Inclusion criteria
Association with a participating church through membership or participation in a church activity, adult aged $\geq 18$ years, African American

Study type
Two-group quasi-experimental delayed intervention design with the church as the unit of randomisation

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): $\geq 18$
$N$: 73
Sex: 71% female
Income: 41% of the treatment group had an annual income of $< \text{US}\$20,000 compared with 13% of the control group

Description of intervention and control
Community-based participatory research approach to develop a faith-based weight loss intervention for rural African American populations
The intervention was an 8-week behaviourally focused weight loss programme in which participants met once a week for 2 hours in groups of 8–10 people led by a pair of trained community leaders who facilitated the groups. The intervention emphasised healthy nutrition, physical activity and faith’s connection with health

Theory: Community-based participatory research, social support theory, stages of change, transtheoretical model, social cognitive theory

Approaches to adaptation
- Community coalition was formed and the intervention was designed in partnership
- Formative work was carried out with the community to identify needs and assets
- The focus on body weight was identified by the community as the health issue of most interest to the community
- Bible study and prayer were part of the sessions
- Emphasised the connection between faith and health
- Recruitment through church events, announcements at church events and sign-up sheets at church, flyers posted in churches and by word of mouth. A health day was also held with community health representatives, activities and speakers
- Intervention was implemented through existing community social networks

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: 8-week follow-up
Changes in physical activity: Treatment participants reported greater recreational physical activity from baseline to follow-up ($p = 0.01$) and there was a significant change in mean recreational physical activity over time within the treatment group ($p < 0.05$)
Weight: Mean weight loss of the treatment group was $3.60 \pm 0.64$ lb compared with $0.59 \pm 0.59$ lb in the control group

Conclusions
Authors: The WORD intervention resulted in a significant difference in weight loss ($3.00 \pm 0.87$ lb) from baseline to the 8-week follow-up between the intervention participants and the control participants. Although this weight loss is significant it is relatively modest compared with that seen with other weight loss interventions. The most critical factor relating to this may be the short duration of the intervention. The use of lay health advisors in this intervention increased the possibility of programme sustainability and built on social networks within the faith community in an economical way.
This study offers promising preliminary results that a faith-based weight loss programme using a community-based participatory research approach is effective in a rural African American faith community
Reviewers: This study showed promise for lifestyle change in African American faith populations. The study was not able to examine whether the intervention could result in sustained change and also was not designed in a way that could show how the adaptation contributed to intervention effectiveness

Comments and limitations
Limitations include the lack of randomisation and subsequent differences in baseline characteristics (although this was controlled for in the analysis). Also, the purposive sampling in one rural African American faith community limits the external validity of the study.
### Study reference
Kousar et al. 2008

### Setting
Australia; Melbourne

### Inclusion criteria
Pakistani-born women aged 20–60 years residing in Melbourne permanently and migrated to Australia over 5 years ago. Must have at least one component of metabolic syndrome (National Cholesterol Education Programme Adult Treatment Panel III criteria: elevated blood pressure, elevated blood glucose, obesity and increased waist circumference)

### Study type
Cohort pre–post

### Description of population
- **Ethnicity:** Pakistani; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- **Age (years):** Mean: 37.6
- **n:** 53
- **Sex:** 100% female
- **Income:** Not reported

### Description of intervention and control
Peer education delivering weekly modules on healthy diet and lifestyle over a 12-week period. Delivered individually by a female facilitator (a trained nutritionist with expertise in obesity management) for on average 4 hours per week (3 hours in person and 1 hour by telephone). Written materials also provided. Multifaceted goals included reducing overall energy intake and increasing physical activity. Modules aimed to increase the participants’ self-efficacy and self-regulation

### Theory
Formulated on the principles of cultural competence

### Approaches to adaptation
- Programme including written materials was informed by cultural competence
- Engaged with consumers in a sustained reciprocal relationship, with shared responsibility
- Identified leadership within the target population
- Bilingual facilitators from same cultural group, religion and sex
- Materials translated into Urdu
- Using existing community networks
- Held sessions in people’s homes to allow for transport barriers, time restrictions and family commitments
- Written materials were adapted from materials developed for the general population and refugees

### Outcome measures and results

#### Follow-up: Baseline to weeks 12 and 24

- **Changes in physical activity:** At 24 weeks there was a significant change in physical activity – an increase from 4000 (± 22.6) steps a day to 8617.4 (± 596.8) steps a day
- **BMI:** At 24 weeks there was a significant change in BMI from 29.2 (± 0.46) kg/m² to 27.8 (± 0.45) kg/m²

### Conclusions

#### Authors
This was a successful intervention for the treatment of metabolic syndrome in a high-risk ethnic population in which the principles of cultural competence were applied to overcome the cultural barriers in place for Pakistani women to allow them to make the lifestyle changes necessary to lose weight and reduce the risk of metabolic syndrome

#### Reviewers
This appears to have been a successful intervention, although conclusions and transferability are limited by the small sample size and lack of a control group

### Comments and limitations
- Limitations listed are the high-risk population; the sex bias as women only were included (justification given that women are primarily responsible for food preparation and influence the whole family); that long-term follow-up is required to assess sustainability; and that physical activity was limited by inappropriate leisure facilities and it was felt that better results could have been achieved with simultaneous environmental changes

BMI, body mass index.
### Study reference

Martin *et al.* 2008

### Setting

USA

### Inclusion criteria

Women, 18–65 years, overweight or obese (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²), classified as low income (<US$16,000 annual income), attendees of the primary care clinic for at least 1 year and free of serious or uncontrolled medical conditions (e.g. renal or hepatic failure, cancer, immunological disease, uncontrolled hypertension). Women with well-controlled chronic diseases such as hypertension, diabetes or hyperlipidaemia were included.

### Study type

RCT

### Description of population

Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed

Age (years): Mean: 41.8 ± 12.0

n: 144 enrolled, seven participants developed conditions so that they could not participate, and only 105 completed the 6-month programme

Sex: 100% female

Income: Not reported

Other: 78% completed high school

### Description of intervention and control

Weight loss maintenance of low-income African American women participating in a physician-delivered, primary care weight management intervention

* Tailored: 6-month weight loss intervention delivered by a primary care physician. Intervention derived from information provided during an initial assessment. Received five physician-counselled office visits on a monthly basis. Topics included information on weight loss, ways to decrease dietary fat, ways to increase physical activity, dealing with barriers to weight loss and healthy alternatives when eating out and shopping. There was a maintenance session at month 6 on the ways to stay motivated during weight loss. Each visit lasted for approximately 15 minutes (total approximately 90 minutes). Participants received both oral recommendations and handouts summarising the focus of each visit.

* Standard care: Participants received no special instructions regarding weight loss and were seen as needed for regular medical care. Physicians provided usual obesity management conducted during a typical office visit.

### Theory:

Not reported

### Approaches to adaptation

- Baseline assessment provided information used for tailoring the monthly interventions. Dietary and physical activity recommendations were personalised based on a participant’s activity and food preferences, physical environment, limitations, normal eating patterns and caloric intake needed to achieve weight loss.

- Participants also received culturally specific menus and recipe books.

### Outcome measures and results

#### Follow-up 6 (post treatment), 9, 12 and 18 months following randomisation

Weight loss of intervention participants (–1.52 ± 3.72 kg) was significantly greater than that of standard care participants (0.61 ± 3.37 kg) at month 9 (p = 0.01); however, no significant difference was detected between groups at 12 months [intervention group: 1.38 ± 3.69 kg vs standard care group: –0.16 ± 3.63 kg, F(1,6) = 3.80, p = 0.10] or 18 months [intervention group: –0.49 ± 3.33 kg vs standard care group: +0.07 ± 3.75 kg, F(1,6) = 0.85, p = 0.39].

#### Conclusions

Authors: Participants receiving a tailored weight loss intervention from physicians were able to maintain modest weight loss up to 3–6 months following treatment. Weight was regained at the 18-month follow-up suggesting that a more intensive follow-up in the primary care setting may be needed for long-term weight loss maintenance. Only a small minority achieved and maintained a weight loss of at least 5% at any follow-up, which did not differ from standard care values. Modest weight loss with brief treatment (approximately 15 minutes at each of six sessions) and prevention of weight gain can be helpful for chronic disease outcomes, i.e. hypertension and diabetes. More extended follow-up intervals are needed to determine the course of weight change.

Reviewers: This study managed to improve weight loss for the participants at 9 months but that effect was lost at the 12- and 18-month follow-ups. These findings are similar to those of other studies, which suggest that long-term sustained weight loss is difficult to achieve. It is noteworthy that weight gain was kept to a minimal, which is also thought to be helpful in addressing risk factors for chronic diseases.

### Comments and limitations

To decrease attrition, reimbursement was provided for potential barriers, e.g. transportation and childcare. Physicians were also reimbursed. However, there was still considerable attrition – 105 completed the 6-month programme (an attrition rate of 27%). Also, the attrition rates by the 9-month, 12-month, and 18-month follow-up assessments were 29, 35 and 37% respectively. Time constraints and reimbursement could make this intervention impractical for many clinical settings. Generalisability is limited because the focus is low-income African American populations; unclear what effects might be in obese adolescents or higher SES and other ethnic groups.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study reference</th>
<th>Murrock and Gary 2008; Murrock and Madigan 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>USA; city or state not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria</td>
<td>African American women aged ≥ 53 years, able to speak and read English, members of the church, not currently engaged in a physical activity programme, with written clearance from their physician and had signed the consent form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study type</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental; randomised to intervention or control by church (although only two churches involved)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of population</td>
<td>Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years): Not reported</td>
<td>n: 126 participants; 66 in the experimental group, 60 in the comparison group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: Not reported</td>
<td>Income: Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of intervention and control</td>
<td>Two churches were assigned to an intervention or control group by blind draw of sealed envelopes. The study was announced from the pulpit and advertised in the church bulletin of both churches and recruitment occurred over 1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Dance sessions were held twice a week for 8 weeks with each session lasting for 45 minutes and including a 5-minute warm-up, 30 minutes of dance and a 10-minute cool down. The dance was of moderate intensity. At the end of the 8 weeks the women were given a video of the dance to carry on the intervention at home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>The comparison group continued their normal activities and were sent health information for African American women on heart disease, obesity, diabetes and hypertension. After the 18-week assessment they also received the free dance video so that they could carry out the exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory</td>
<td>Based on social cognitive theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approaches to adaptation</td>
<td>Culturally specific dance was used as it is symbolic of African American heritage and is a means of interaction, support and cohesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dance was to gospel music</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The research team members were primarily African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The dance instructor was a female African American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The intervention was held in the church fellowship hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome measures and results</td>
<td>Follow-up Baseline and 8 and 18 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in physical activity</td>
<td>There was a significant improvement in functional capacity (this consisted of a 6-minute walk test in which the participants walked as far as possible around a pre-measured area of the church in 6 minutes; body fat was also measured using a segmental bioelectrical impedance arm-to-arm analyser). These changes occurred for both groups at 8 weeks (p &lt; 0.001) and 18 weeks (p &lt; 0.001); a significant difference between the groups was found only at 18 weeks (p = 0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td>This study provided empirical data about a culturally specific dance intervention to improve functional capacity in African American women. The culturally specific dance intervention was within their own community and was taught by a respected member of their community to gospel music selected by the women. The dance steps were altered to match the age and health status of the women without reducing the health benefits. Culturally specific dance may be an initial step to encourage African American women to become more physically active and improve health outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewers</td>
<td>This study showed the effect of a culturally specific dance intervention for African American women. A significant effect was seen at the 18-week follow-up point. The intervention appeared to be acceptable and was adapted for the population; however, the design did not permit comment on how the adaptation contributes to the effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments and limitations</td>
<td>Randomisation of the churches, not the individuals, limited the generalisation of the results, even though the groups were matched on similar characteristics. The convenience sample of people volunteering may have been different from the people who did not or could not participate. As the study was carried out in one city and with only two churches it may not be generalisable and may not apply to women who are not church attendees. There was higher attrition in the experimental group than in the comparison group and this may have affected the results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Study reference
Thompson et al. 2008; Thompson et al. 2008

Setting
USA; Houston

Inclusion criteria
African American girls aged 8–10 years with a BMI ≥ 50th percentile, with a home computer, internet access and an e-mail address

Study type
Randomised two-group design

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): 8–10
n: 80
Sex: 100% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
An 8-week internet-based intervention to change fruit, juice and vegetable consumption, physical activity and self-efficacy in youth at risk of obesity

Treatment website was from a previous study with African American girls and was adapted to be a stand-alone internet programme by adding an introduction and a club member manual. Groups differed only on the incentive schedule (immediate, delayed). Participants’ weekly goals were to increase fruit, juice, and vegetable consumption (five servings) and water consumption (five glasses) and lifestyle physical activity (30 minutes). Weekly programming included role-modelling comics, problem-solving and goal-setting/review. Modules were designed to be completed in approximately 20 minutes each week and constituted the ‘required activities’. In addition, there was a ‘fun activities’ module

Theory: Social cognitive theory guided the content while elaboration likelihood guided character development, the storyline and the design framework

Approaches to adaptation
■ Physical activity questionnaire was adapted and had adequate reliability and validity among a very specific population (9-year-old African American girls)
■ Girls prefer affiliate activities and the programme was presented as a ‘club’ and they received members’ gifts
■ Treatment website was adapted from a previous study with African American girls to be a stand-alone internet programme (culturally sensitive comic)

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Post-assessment data after completion of week 8 activities
Changes in fruit, juice and vegetable consumption and physical activity: Statistically significant pre-to-post differences observed in fruit, juice and vegetable consumption with an increase of 1.01 servings (p = 0.002), increased physical activity-yesterday (p < 0.001), increased physical activity-usually (p = 0.001) and improved fruit, juice and vegetable self efficacy (p = 0.003)

Conclusions
Authors: A pilot study of a theory-based internet programme for girls indicated significant changes in fruit, juice and vegetable consumption and physical activity in an at-risk group of young girls. The current study achieved greater change in fruit, juice and vegetable consumption and physical activity than a previous study using the internet programme (also included in this systematic review), which may be explained by a greater programme dose in the current study and an exclusive internet approach rather than a mixed internet + camp approach, which may have attracted families more interested in a low-cost summer day camp than an internet programme. A full-scale RCT may be needed to more fully examine the impact of an internet-based e-Health programme on diet and physical activity. Incentives were tied to the programme dose (i.e. increased exposure) rather than to goal attainment or behaviour change. The use of multiple recruitment methods may influence generalisability

Reviewers: This study compared the same treatment but with a different incentive schedule. The motivation for this was not entirely clear but the study showed that fruit, juice and vegetable consumption and physical activity increased in both groups and that immediate incentives encouraged greater log-on rates than delayed incentives, but this was not significant. Overall, the interesting finding came from participation based on the recruitment method (media vs church): those recruited through the media had higher log-on rates (although this was not statistically significant)

Comments and limitations
Strengths include a delivery channel that minimised differences in delivery, the low attrition rate (<10%) and the reasonably high log-on rates (i.e. programme dose), suggesting that an acceptable dose was achieved (log-on rate averaged 74.5%). Log-on may have been enhanced compared with other studies because of the club format and staff computer support. Limitations include the small sample size, lack of a control group, self-reported measures with the possibility of socially desirable responses, the short study duration and the requirement to have a home computer with internet access, which likely excluded at-risk girls. Further, joining of sports groups, family vacations, holidays and chance or other events may have influenced fruit, juice and vegetable consumption and physical activity during the intervention

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial.
### Study reference
Choudhury et al. 2009

### Setting
UK; Swansea and Cardiff

### Inclusion criteria
Bangladeshi adults living in and around Swansea and Cardiff with type 2 diabetes

### Study type
Uncontrolled before-and-after study

#### Description of population
- Ethnicity: Bangladeshi; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- Age (years): Not reported
  - n: 72
- Sex: 66.6% female
- Income: Not reported

#### Description of intervention and control
Recruitment was carried out through posters displayed in GP surgeries, pharmacies, the mosque and other community settings. Peer educators and the researcher also advertised the educational sessions to their contacts who were asked to spread the word. Announcements were also made at the mosque and these would have reached people in their homes. People who registered were all telephoned or visited at their homes the day before the course and were also telephoned on the morning of the course as a reminder. The venue was within walking distance of the homes of registered responders. The intervention consisted of one 4-hour session of a culturally adapted version of the X-PERT programme (utilising four of the original six sessions — carried out in one session)

#### Theory
No theories are explicitly stated

#### Approaches to adaptation
- Participants divided into male-only and female-only groups
- Intervention conducted near to participants’ homes and any travel expenses were paid and refreshments provided
- Materials were translated into Sylheti (and back translated to ensure quality)

#### Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Outcomes were measured after the course and a course assessment questionnaire was carried out

- Changes in dietary fat: The results of the questionnaire showed an increase in the time spent on self-management of general diet and specific diet of 0.3 days
- Changes in fitness: Exercise increased over the study period but not significantly – this may be related to the sample size as the sample only had 50% power to detect a 1-day improvement in self-care activities

#### Conclusions
Authors: The response in terms of registration was good; however, attendance was only 53% of those registered despite the extra reminders by telephone and home visits. The most valued things were information provided in a language that was understood and also the discussion of problems and solutions with others. The peer educators were the most important part of the course as they were well known to the community, had bilingual language ability, had the time to devote to the course, had diabetes themselves and had the ability to learn and deliver the course. Findings suggest that the 4-hour intensive course was a success and was well received and could be part of a strategy to improve outcomes for people with diabetes in the Bangladeshi community

Reviewers: This intervention seems to be well accepted but it is difficult to interpret its success as it was short-lived and the study did not have sufficient power to detect a change in exercise and diet. There are interesting lessons in terms of the success of the social support aspect and a suggestion that results may have improved with a longer duration of the programme and a larger sample size to examine the effects

#### Comments and limitations
The course was kept to one intensive 4-hour session because in the pilot work it was felt that numbers would not be sustained over more than one session. However, it is hypothesised that because of the acceptability of the programme it may have been possible to sustain numbers and have a longer course with perhaps more significant results. The power of the study limited the analysis of the questionnaires. The issues of cost-effectiveness and equity were not addressed

---

*GP*, general practitioner.
Study reference
Djuric et al. 2009 [Lifestyle Improvement with Food and Exercise (LIFE)]

Setting
USA; Detroit, MI

Inclusion criteria
Women who had been diagnosed with stage I, II or II A breast cancer within the last 10 years and who identified themselves as African American. Other eligibility criteria were BMI 30–45 kg/m², completed chemotherapy or radiation therapy at least 3 months previously (with exception of tamoxifen), age 18–70 years, willing and able to follow a diet and exercise recommendations and having spiritual influences in their lives as determined from a spiritual index. Women with a recurrence, a second primary tumour or other history of malignant tumours were not eligible.

Eligible women had to also not be presently on a special diet for a medical condition, e.g. type 2 diabetes, or currently participating in a formal weight loss programme

Study type
RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
Age (years): Mean (SD): 56 (10)
n: 31
Sex: 100% female
Income: Mean household annual income: dietician group: 25% < US$30,000, spirituality arm: 25% < US$30,000
Other: Dietician group: 50% college graduates, spirituality arm: 67% college graduates

Description of intervention and control
Randomised culturally tailored clinical trial of spirituality counselling for weight management in African American breast cancer survivors. Both arms received individualised dietician-led dietary and exercise counselling by telephone combined with free Weight Watchers coupons for 18 months and at the 6-month time point the dietary counselling became less frequent and women were randomised to receive spiritual counselling (non-denominational) or not in addition to the standard programme. Exercise was for at least 30 minutes most days each week using participants’ preferred mode of exercise. A monthly newsletter was sent

Theory: Bandura’s social cognitive theory

Approaches to adaptation
- Focus groups were held and developed the spiritual approach
- In the spiritual counselling a book called Walk Tall: Affirmations for People of Colour was given to participants, which included quotes from prominent figures
- Spiritual approach chosen as it is relevant to African American women
- Exchange list method for dietary change used as appeared to be preferred by African American women

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline to 6, 12 and 18 months
Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption: Spirituality group had significant increases in fruit servings \(p = 0.007\) and in their healthy eating index \(p=0.036\), and the fruit servings change was significantly different from that of the control group
Changes in physical activity: Physical activity did not change significantly in either group
Weight: Mean weight loss from baseline to 6 months was a modest 2% of baseline weight. From the 6- to 18-month assessment there was no further weight change in the spirituality arm and a gain of 0.7% in the dietician-only arm

Conclusions
Authors: Despite little effect on weight loss it did appear that spirituality counselling positively affected spiritual well-being scores and dietary quality. The spirituality framework therefore may be further refined and used for other health promotion studies with African American populations

Reviewers: The study showed that spirituality counselling may have some added effect to a culturally adapted diet programme in this population in terms of weight change; however, the differences are small

Comments and limitations
Limitations include the small sample size and the fact that the sample was fairly well educated and may not be generalisable to other populations

BMI, body mass index; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SD, standard deviation.
Study reference
Stolley et al. 2009412 (Moving Forward)

Setting
USA; Chicago, IL

Inclusion criteria
At least 18 years old, self-identifying as African American/black, having a stage I, II or III breast cancer diagnosis, BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², completed breast cancer treatment (except endocrine treatment) at least 6 months before baseline interview, having physician approval to participate in a moderate physical activity programme, not using prescription weight loss medication, not currently participating in an organised weight loss programme and willing to participate and able to complete the pre-intervention and post-intervention interviews and attend twice weekly classes for 6 months

Study type
Pre–post

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American/black; self-identified
Age (years): Mean (SD) (range): 51.4 (8.9) (30.6–70.1)
\(n\): 23
Sex: 100% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
A 6-month culturally tailored weight loss intervention
The intervention provided information to increase knowledge and improve attitudes about diet, physical activity and weight loss and their relationship to breast cancer prognosis and general health, an opportunity to enact positive behavioural changes and increase self-efficacy, an environment in which participants felt comfortable applying problem-solving skills, allowing them to confront barriers to change, and reinforcement and social support for making health behaviour changes. The intervention consisted of 6 months of two-weekly classes; the first class included 2 hours of discussion around barriers, knowledge, attitudes, facilitators, benefits and costs related to changes in diet, exercise and weight

Theory: The intervention was developed by integrating two health behaviour change theories: social cognitive theory and the health belief model

Approaches to adaptation
- Took into account unique cultural contributors to weight loss
- Literature review and focus groups with African American breast cancer survivors were used to find cultural adaptations
- Addressed the importance of food in the culture
- Included low-fat versions of soul food recipes
- Included the role of religion and worship
- Physical activity advice addressed barriers
- Acknowledged family roles and resistance to change
- Included information on the value of healthful lifestyles for children and spouses
- Facilitated social support for making changes in diet and physical activity

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline and 6 months
Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption: Vegetable consumption increased significantly by 1.6 servings per day \((p=0.05)\)
Changes in dietary fat: Fat consumption decreased significantly by 23.6 g \((p=0.03)\) although the decrease in percentage of energy from fat was not significant
Changes in physical activity: Median time spent in physical activity increased significantly by 23.6 minutes per day \((p=0.02)\). Changes in moderate physical activity and all physical activity also increased but not significantly
Weight: Mean weight loss was 5.6 lb \((p=0.001)\) and there was a decrease in BMI of 1 kg/m²

Conclusions
Authors: Although a RCT is needed to establish efficacy, the positive results suggest that this weight loss intervention may be feasible for African American breast cancer survivors. Lifestyle interventions may reduce the disparities in breast cancer mortality rates
Reviewers: This study had a very good formative component in its development in both theory of behaviour change considerations and adaptation. It has been shown to be effective, acceptable and feasible. As stated by the authors, a larger study is required to assess generalisability and to be able to truly assess effectiveness

Comments and limitations
Limitations include the small sample size, lack of a control group, the fact that recruitment was based on self-selection and may have been biased and the fact that measures of dietary intake and physical activity were self-reported and may be biased. Also, the study cannot be generalised to a wider population as it includes a very specific population and it is not clear how long these changes may be sustained for

BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation.
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Study reference
Sharp et al. 2008; Fitzgibbon et al. 2008; Stolley et al. 2009 [Obesity Reduction Black Intervention Trial (ORBIT)]

Setting
USA; Chicago, IL

Inclusion criteria
Female, self-identified as African American or black, aged 30–65 years, BMI between 30 and 50 kg/m², able to participate in a programme requiring 30 minutes of uninterrupted walking or other moderate activity, able to attend class at scheduled times and return of medical approval form signed by physician

Study type
RCT

Description of population
Ethnicity: African American or black; self-identified
Age (years): 30–65
n: 213
Sex: 100% female
Income: Not reported

Description of intervention and control
Culturally proficient 6-month weight loss intervention. Intervention targeted changes in diet and physical activity patterns. There was the opportunity for monthly motivational interviewing. Intervention groups were a valuable source of social support

The weight loss intervention was conducted in a small group format and groups met twice weekly on the university campus. All participants were encouraged to adopt a low-fat high-fibre diet with increased fruit and vegetable intake and to increase their physical activity. Dietary objectives included reducing dietary fat to < 30% of total daily calories, increasing dietary fibre to a minimum of 25 g per day and increasing fruit and vegetable intake to a minimum of five servings a day. Exercise objectives were three to four times a week for at least 30 minutes. The intervention involved hands-on activities such as portion size, weighing and measuring, trips to grocery stores, label reading and creating weekly meal plans

Theory: Social cognitive theory

Approaches to adaptation
- Acknowledged the importance of food in African American culture and ways to integrate this value with healthful eating
- Incorporated body image and reasons for weight loss in a way that was appropriate for this population
- Several sessions were held on how social relationships could serve as sources of support and barriers to behavioural change
- Used high-tempo, high-energy music that the participants enjoyed as well as African dance
- Addressed barriers to physical activity such as hair care, safety, weather, access and time
- Respected the importance of religion and worship in participants’ lives and ways in which their faith influences their perspective on health
- There was a focus on food, family, music, social roles and responsibilities and spirituality/religion

Outcome measures and results
Follow-up: Baseline and 6 months
Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption: Women in the intervention group showed improvements in fruit intake (p < 0.01) and the Healthy Eating Index score (p < 0.001) compared with women in the control group
Changes in physical activity: Women in the intervention group also showed improvements in moderate (p = 0.05) and vigorous (p < 0.001) physical activity compared with women in the control group
Weight: Women in the intervention group lost an average of 3 kg (SD 4.9 kg) compared with a gain of 0.2 kg (SD 3.7 kg) in the control group (p < 0.001). However, weight change was variable within the groups with a maximum weight loss in the intervention group of 19.4% of initial body weight and a maximum weight gain in this same group of 6.4% of body weight (25% of women in the intervention group lost > 5% of their initial weight over 6 months compared with only 5% in the control group)

Conclusions
Authors: This study demonstrated that a culturally adapted programme can successfully promote weight loss in obese black women; however, average weight loss was relatively modest and weight change varied widely within the intervention group. Weight loss of 5% has been shown to alleviate the burden of many chronic diseases. Further research is needed to develop programmes that will allow more black women to achieve their weight loss goals

Reviewers: This study showed an effect of a culturally adapted weight loss programme for African American women. The effects were varied within the groups, they were moderate in size and also it is unknown how long they could be sustained for. A maintenance study is under way. The study design does not tell us about the effectiveness of adaptation vs no adaptation

Comments and limitations
Self reported measures were used for diet and physical activity. Recruitment was voluntary and participants may be more motivated than the average population. Only BMI was used as a measure whereas other factors are also associated with chronic disease, such as fat distribution, and perhaps these other measures would have related to health outcomes more
## Adapted smoking cessation, physical activity and nutrition interventions

### Study reference
DeBate et al. 2004; Plescia et al. 2008 (Charlotte REACH)

### Setting
USA; north-west corridor of Charlotte, NC

### Inclusion criteria
Resident of the study communities of Charlotte; men or women aged ≥ 18 years

### Study type
Quasi-experimental evaluation design compared residents assessed by annual cross-sectional survey with African Americans across the state; community-based research

### Description of population
- Ethnicity: African American; not reported how ethnicity assessed
- Age (years): Charlotte REACH population: 18–34 years: 20.2%, 35–44 years: 17.3%, 45–54 years: 16.2%, ≥ 65 years: 26.0%; state-wide Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) population: 18–34 years: 33.1%, 35–44 years: 20.0%, 45–54 years: 11.8%, ≥ 65 years: 14.4%
- Sex: Charlotte REACH 63.4% female, state-wide BRFSS 55.8% female
- Income: Not reported

### Description of intervention and control
- Intervention in an African American community and its effect on three behavioural risk factors for heart disease and diabetes: low fruit and vegetable consumption, low physical activity and cigarette smoking
- Lay health advisor (LHA) programme: LHAs chosen by leaders of 14 neighbourhood associations and three community-based organisations (26 LHAs trained, all African American, 80% were aged > 50 years); they led community-based exercise classes, walking groups, smoking cessation classes and religion-based nutrition programmes
- A farmers’ market was held to improve access to fresh fruit and vegetables (operated 8 months per year); physical activity programmes were expanded into community settings; a culturally specific mass media campaign was conducted with a local African American-owned public relations firm; and health promotion changes were advocated for by contacting political leaders about the importance of raising state tax on tobacco products and banning smoking in restaurants and bars

### Theory
- Socioecological model – community and policy change were emphasised; logic model used to assess progress in addressing health disparities through five progressive stages; community-oriented primary care model; Charlotte REACH designed on an ecological model (see DeBate et al. 2004)

### Approaches to adaptation
- Culturally specific mass media campaigned conducted with local African American-owned public relations firm
- Addressed legacy of racial discrimination through coalition processes and inclusion of grassroots
- Community partners
- LHA programme intended to promote inter- and intrapersonal change through culturally tailored individual and group interaction

### Outcome measures and results
- **Follow-up:** Annually for 5 years
- **Quit rates:** Smoking rates decreased in both populations but only northwest corridor women reached statistical significance (26.8% in 2001 vs 20.9% in 2005; \( p = 0.03 \))
- **Changes in fruit and vegetable consumption:** Fruit and vegetable consumption increased in northwest participants and decreased in state-wide participants
- **Changes in physical activity:** Improvements were statistically significant for physical activity in women (\( p = 0.02 \)) and physical activity among middle-aged adults (\( p = 0.01 \))
Conclusions

Authors: Findings from this study supported the emerging role of policy and community environmental change and community participation as strategies to improve health behaviours in African American communities and to reduce health disparities. Statistically significant declines were demonstrated in physical inactivity and smoking among women and in physical inactivity among middle-aged adults. Decreases in physical inactivity and increases in fruit and vegetable consumption were significantly greater in the northwest corridor sample than in the state-wide African American sample. These findings are important as few well-designed studies have documented community-wide improvement in cardiovascular risk and protective behaviours among African Americans. Two factors were integral to the project’s success: community participation and environmental change. DeBate’s qualitative assessment: those who participated in REACH activities indicated that improvement in social health through fellowship was the main reason why they continued to participate. Observed interpersonal-level changes among target area residents who participated and changes in knowledge, attitudes and behaviours regarding CVD and diabetes prevention strategies.

Reviewers: It’s difficult to conclude whether the community-based activities are what contributed to the observed outcomes because of the study design (cross-sectional surveys); however, because the comparison was with the state-wide data (secular trend) it would suggest that the effects observed may be attributable to the intervention activities.

Comments and limitations

The preferred profile for a LHA is female and aged > 50 years. Farmers’ markets in the north-west corridor can greatly promote fruit and vegetable consumption, particularly if this is categorised as a “food desert”. The legacy of racial discrimination in the southern community was a difficult issue in the collaborative process. As the project matured, some LHAs began to work in institutions such as churches and the local health centre.

CVD, cardiovascular disease.
## Appendix 19

### Quality assessment of the 107 included empirical studies (Effective Public Health Practice Project)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Strong</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Weak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 1997</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 1997</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang and Chan 2005</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cullen and Thompson 2008</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan and Carter 1985</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaston et al. 2007</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yancey et al. 2001</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yancey et al. 2006</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarthy et al. 2007</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. 2008</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanders et al. 1994</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahijevych and Wewers 1995</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kousar et al. 2008</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahluwalia et al. 2006</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nollen et al. 2006</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okyemi et al. 2007</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews et al. 2007</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews et al. 2007</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fang et al. 2006</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engels et al. 2005</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelley et al. 2008</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agurs-Collins et al. 1997</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frentz et al. 2003</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baranowski et al. 1990</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baranowski et al. 1990</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumanyika et al. 2005</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al. 2007</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams et al. 2001</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nofarheh et al. 2001</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djuric et al. 2009</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guerin et al. 2003</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrasher et al. 2004</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell et al. 2004</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stolley et al. 2009</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al. 2005</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzgibbon et al. 2005</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shankar et al. 2007</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klassen et al. 2008</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Befort et al. 2008</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 2002</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 2005</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murrock and Gary 2008</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murrock and Madigan 2008</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 2009</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al. 2008</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 2005</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andersen et al. 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis-Smith et al. 2007, Atkinson et al. 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelley et al. 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 2000, 2001, 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 2004, Fuemmeler et al. 2006, Campbell et al. 2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahluwalia et al. 1998, 2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orleans et al. 1998, Boyd et al. 1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeBate et al. 2004, Plessia et al. 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson et al. 2003, Robinson et al. 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stolley and Fitzgibbon 1997</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webb et al. 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton and Perri 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Story et al. 2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell et al. 1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schorling et al. 1997</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacey et al. 1991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahluwalia et al. 2002, Harris et al. 2003, Harris et al. 2004, Manning et al. 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royce et al. 1995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson-Lofflin et al. 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin et al. 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darby et al. 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. 1984</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilbur et al. 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaw-Perry et al. 2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rush et al. 2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utz et al. 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristal et al. 1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yanek et al. 2001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young and Stewart 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitt-Glover et al. 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larson et al. 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nollen et al. 2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beech et al. 2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson et al. 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodman and Blake 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baranowski et al. 2003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Observational studies are marked with an 'x'.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Strong</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Weak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campbell et al. 1999252, Campbell et al. 2000173</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 2009348</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Feathers et al. 2005442, Two Feathers et al. 2007432</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farooqi and Bhavsar 2001373, Netto et al. 2007288</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White et al. 2004296, Williamson et al. 2005281, Williamson et al. 2006292, Kennedy et al. 2008314</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor-Piliae et al. 2006402, Taylor-Piliae et al. 2006403, McNabb et al. 1997446, Quinn and McNabb 2001434</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowdon 1999475</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carroll et al. 2002242</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzgibbon et al. 2002414, Stolley et al. 2003293, Fitzgibbon et al. 2005530</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumanyika and Charleston 1992254</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auslander et al. 2002413, Williams et al. 2006267</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boltri et al. 2008159</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lasco et al. 1989318</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keyserling et al. 2000402, Keyserling et al. 2002409</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzgibbon et al. 2005435</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ard et al. 2000372</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ard et al. 2008248</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choudhury et al. 2009293</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kreuter et al. 2005263</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart et al. 2006213</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace et al. 1996294</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawthorne and Tomlinson 1997427</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McBride et al. 2002274</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoako et al. 2008420</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipkus et al. 1999304</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karanja et al. 2002200</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams et al. 2004260</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D’Eramo-Melkus et al. 2004323</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason et al. 1988214</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma et al. 2004240</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 20

Summary of adaptations used in included studies
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 1997;432</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resnicow et al. 1997;421</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang and Chan 2005;441</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cullen and Thompson 2008;813</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sullivan and Carter 1985;482</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasten et al. 2007;241</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yancey et al. 2001;350</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yancey et al. 2006;349</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarthy et al. 2007;340</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. 2008;312</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanders et al. 1994;205</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahijevych and Wewers 1995;704</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kousar et al. 2008;813</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahluwalia et al. 2006;381</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nollen et al. 2006;382</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okuyemi et al. 2007;303</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okuyemi et al. 2007;384</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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Information sheet for interview participants
INFORMATION ABOUT THE RESEARCH

Adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority groups

Introduction

We would like to invite you to take part in a study looking at how health promotion interventions are adapted for ethnic minority groups. Before you decide to take part, we want to let you know about the study and what it involves. This leaflet provides this information for you. Once you have read this, please ask any questions and then take some time to make your decision. You are welcome to discuss this first if you wish.

What is the purpose of the study?

We want to find out how best to deliver health promotion interventions for ethnic minority groups, particularly those of South Asian, Chinese or African origin. This will help us to better address the needs of these currently underserved population groups, by designing a framework to guide the future delivery of more culturally appropriate health promotion interventions.

Why have I been chosen?

You have been chosen because you are someone who is or has been involved in delivering an intervention to promote smoking cessation, increase physical activity or improve nutrition for South Asian, Chinese or African-origin populations. Approximately 30 people from all over the world are being invited to take part. We are interested in a variety of perspectives, from those who are directly delivering the day-to-day components of the intervention, to those who have an overarching view of the project, as well as those who may be more involved with project theory and management.

Do I have to take part?

No. It is up to you to decide whether you wish to participate. It’s absolutely OK if you say no. And if you decide to take part, you are still free to change your mind at any time without giving a reason.

What does the process involve if I take part?

We have two researchers working on this project, Jing Jing Liu and Emma Davidson. Either Jing Jing or Emma will contact you in the first instance to arrange a time to talk. If it’s more convenient for you to talk by phone, then they can arrange to call or use video teleconferencing facilities. Either Jing Jing or Emma will come to interview you for about an hour (it can be shorter if you want), and will ask you about your experience of adapting a health promotion intervention.
We would like to record the interview so that the research team can listen back to what you said afterwards. Either Jing Jing or Emma will make sure that you’re happy with this first. At the end of the interview, you’ll have a chance to listen back to the recording to make sure you’re happy with it.

After the interview, the research team will listen and transcribe the recording.

As we are interested in a variety of perspectives on the same project, we would also like to interview other individuals involved in your project. If you have someone you think we should contact, we would welcome your suggestions. If you don’t have anyone in mind, that’s OK as well.

**What do I have to do now?**

If you want to take part, please fill in the reply form and return it to Jing Jing or Emma in the envelope provided or to the email address provided below. Either Emma or Jing Jing will contact you and arrange to meet or call you at a time and place of your choosing. They will explain the study and answer any questions you have. If you do not want to go ahead, they will not contact you again. If you do decide to take part, they will ask you to sign a consent form and will then arrange a mutually convenient time for the interview.

**What are the possible benefits of taking part?**

There are no direct benefits. However, we hope the information you give will be useful in informing and improving further health promotion interventions for ethnic minority groups in the areas of smoking, physical activity and nutrition.

**Could anything go wrong?**

There are no identified risks associated with this study. However, if you feel uncomfortable or do not wish to continue at any time, you can stop the interview.

**Will my information be kept confidential?**

Your name and contact details won’t be shared with anyone outside the research team. Any information you give us will be kept strictly confidential and anonymous. No-one will be able to recognise you from any report about the study – your name and other personal details will be removed. In our reports, we may quote you, writing down what you said in your own words. But we will not use any information which could identify you (like your name, where you work or your specific project). We will keep the recordings and written records we make in a locked cupboard, with all names removed, for 5 years. We will then destroy them.

**What will happen to the results of the study?**

The research team will write a full report for the Medical Research Council (MRC) which you can access once the project is completed May 1st, 2011. The unique identifier for this project is 07/63/03. The results of this qualitative component will feed into a larger body of work with the aim of developing a framework to inform intervention adaptations for ethnic minority populations. We will also send you a short summary of the findings and you will be able to find updates on the project through our website (http://www.chs.med.ed.ac.uk/ethnicity-and-health/). You will not be identifiable in any report.

**Who is organising and paying for the research?**

The study is funded by the Medical Research Council. The MRC are interested in finding out how to make different healthcare treatments more effective for those who use and provide care in the NHS. Similarly, the research team at the University of Edinburgh is part of a larger group of people from
other UK universities who are collectively interested in how to make health promotion interventions more effective for ethnic minority groups.

Who has reviewed the study?

This research has been reviewed and approved by the MRC funding body. In addition, the researchers involved have also undertaken a Level 1 Ethics Self-Assessment administered by The University of Edinburgh School of Health in Social Science Research Ethics Committee. We can confirm that no reasonably foreseeable ethical risks have been identified. The researchers also meet twice a year with an Investigators Group and once a year with an Independent Project Steering Committee for continuing review of the project.

Contact for Further Information

If you would like to talk to Jing Jing you can contact her by phoning 0131 650 9249 or emailing Jingjing.Liu@ed.ac.uk. If you would like to talk to Emma you can contact her by phoning 0131 651 4142 or emailing Emma.Davidson@ed.ac.uk. If you would like advice from someone not involved in the study, you can contact Allison Worth on 0131 650 9463, or by emailing Allison.Worth@ed.ac.uk.

Complaints process

If you have any concerns about any aspect of this study, please telephone Aziz Sheikh on 0131 651 4151 and he will do his best to answer any questions. If you remain unhappy and wish to complain formally, please write to Allison Worth at The University of Edinburgh, Doorway 3, Medical School, Teviot Place, Edinburgh, UK EH8 9AG

Thank you for taking the time to read this information.
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Consent form for participants
**CONSENT FORM**

Adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority groups

Researchers: Jing Jing Liu and Emma Davidson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Please initial next to each statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>I have read and understand the information sheet dated 04 August 2010 (version 3) for the above study and have had the opportunity to ask questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>I understand that I do not have to take part. It is my choice and I can change my mind at any time if I want.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>I understand that whether I take part or not, it won’t affect my current work or my rights in any way.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>I agree to the audio recording of the interview. I understand that recordings will be kept safe so that no one except the researchers can hear them.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>I agree that you can write down what I tell you, and use my exact words in your reports if you want to.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>I understand that you won’t put anything in your reports that could be used to identify me (e.g. my name or where I work).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>I agree to additional contacts by the researchers after the interview for clarification or further information, when necessary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>I agree to take part in this study.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

____________________   ________________   _____________
Name of Participant   Signature   Date

____________________   ________________   _____________
Researcher   Signature   Date

____________________   ________________   _____________
Researcher   Signature   Date
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Demographics questionnaire for participants
QUESTIONNAIRE

Adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority groups

Section A: Interview details (Interviewer complete)

| DATE and TIME |  |
| INTERVIEWEE IDENTIFIER |  |
| INTERVIEWER INITIALS |  |
| LOCATION OF INTERVIEW |  |

Section B: Basic demographics (Interviewee complete)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 and under</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-35</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-45</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-55</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 and over</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ethnicity

Nationality

Section C: Detailed questions (Interviewee complete)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is your position or occupation?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What training/qualifications do you have that are of relevance to your current position or occupation? (e.g. Masters degree, certificate in diet and nutrition etc)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How long have you worked in this position or occupation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can you describe what you do in your position or occupation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Interview topic guide
INTERVIEW TOPIC GUIDE

Adapting health promotion interventions for ethnic minority groups

Research Question

• How and what factors (contextual, theoretical, practical) were considered in the adaptation of health promotion interventions for a particular ethnic minority group?

1. Introduction

• Do you have any questions regarding our information sheet?
• Could you tell me about your project? (Which ethnic group do you work with? Which disease area? Nature of the intervention evaluated and/or adapted?)
• Would you be able to describe your project to me?

2. Contextual considerations

• Did you have to adapt the intervention in any way for this group?
  o If so, why? What was it about the current intervention format that wasn’t working for this group?
• How did you decide what adaptations to make?
  o What factors did you take into account when you were designing this intervention?
  o Was adapting the intervention useful? More effective?

3. Theoretical foundations

• How have you defined ethnicity? Identified the ethnic groups of interest?
• Does any theory underpin the intervention? Any theoretical considerations?
  o If so, how did you incorporate this theory into your intervention? If not, what did you use to guide your intervention?
  o What are the mechanisms of change that you think are important?
  o How are these mechanisms demonstrated in your intervention design?
• Can you identify some other studies that have influenced your intervention development and delivery?

4. Practical Experiences

• What are some of the challenges and constraints you’ve experienced?
• In what ways is/was your intervention successful, and why?
• In what ways is/was your intervention not successful? What are some of the reasons the intervention failed to demonstrate an effect?
• Were there additional costs or resource constraints associated with adaptation? If you had unlimited funds what would you have done?
• Was there user involvement?

5. Closing

• What are the lessons learned from this experience that you would like to share with others working in this field (eg, resources, skill mix, etc)?
• If not already discussed, did you use any of the following five adaptations (Netto et al, 2008)?
  A. Use community resources to increase intervention accessibility
  B. Identify and address barriers to access and participation in interventions
C. Develop communication strategies which address language use and differential information requirements

D. Identify and work with cultural or religious values that either motivate or inhibit behavioural change

E. Accommodate degrees of cultural affiliation in the planning, design and evaluation of targeted interventions

- Do you have any additional information you would like to add?
- Do you have any questions for me?
- Would you mind completing a short demographic questionnaire?
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### Coding frame for qualitative interviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic area</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description of code</th>
<th>Example quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Access to services and information</td>
<td>Lack of access for the population; hoping to increase access to services for population</td>
<td>“that legislation came in but of course most of the promotion and everything was done in the mainstream profession and what we found is that a lot of the communities that weren’t accessing mainstream media weren’t getting those messages across . . . so there was a whole section of the New Zealand population that kind of missed that message” (P7, smoking, New Zealand)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td>Researchers’ assumptions about what cultural factors would be relevant or effective for the population</td>
<td>“I think about you know for, for African Americans or for groups that don’t have a very, don’t have a real obsession about being thin, you know the way that you talk about weight, the different terms that are used, the assumptions you make about whether people consider that their body size is problematic” (P11, physical activity and healthy eating, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Awareness raising</td>
<td>Awareness-raising efforts/ campaigns outside of the intervention, as a by-product of the intervention, as a direct result of the intervention</td>
<td>“they know from the school, they do lots of uh . . . health awareness about smoking and chewing stuff and we sort of do the . . . we working with the school” (P4, smoking, UK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Information on how the intervention developed (excluding pilot/formative work); researchers’ history and/or experience leading to the intervention</td>
<td>“I worked with Asian immigrant refugee populations for years and I worked with the South East Asian, Vietnamese Cambodian communities as well as the Chinese community. And we’ve done a lot of work around lay health intervention’ (P15, physical activity, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Community advisory or steering group</td>
<td>Needing or involving a community advisory or steering group formed for the purpose of the research, its benefits and the recommendations and actions stemming from the community advisory or steering group</td>
<td>“the other things were just small incentives to that that were, were cheap enough for us to afford and that the ah community advisor board thought would be appropriate” (P26, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Community considerations</td>
<td>Discussion of what is in the community’s interest or active attempts to gauge this interest</td>
<td>“this is a journey, this is a long process, this is not a diet that you go on for a short period of time. A journey, but also for your best body so it, its for you to look and feel better but not necessarily to be thin and we conveyed a lot about how you really can’t be there to take care of your family if you yourself are the one who is disabled or ill because stemming at least partly because of your weight” (P14, physical activity and healthy eating, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Community observations</td>
<td>Researchers’ description of people in the community and description of the community physical environment</td>
<td>“it also means in terms of um what I guess academics call the informal market, which is you bring a load of stuff back in your suitcase [laughter] yeah, because if it’s cheap here and chewing tobacco products are cheap, if it’s cheap here it is ridiculously, absolutely ridiculously cheap in Bangladesh” (P5, smoking, UK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Community organisations or leaders</td>
<td>Needing or involving pre-existing community organisations or established leaders within the community; researchers discuss the benefits of this community advisory or steering group involvement, and recommendations and actions stemming from the involvement</td>
<td>“em what we did was we put out a request for proposals and we did fund ah organisations around the city; ah one was [name of organisation] and the [name of organisation] were the two Asian, the organisations who serve the Asian community that we gave grants to. Em and what we, our approach was that they would take the lead in creating a community advisory board and we really used community-based participatory research principles” (P18, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic area</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description of code</td>
<td>Example quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Description of participants</td>
<td>Describing the intervention population</td>
<td>‘we screened Indian and Pakistani people in [city] and [city], 35 years or older, with an oral glucose tolerance test to find those with impaired glucose levels [cough] and those people and members of their family were invited to take part in a [time] trial’ (P1, healthy eating, UK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Evidence based (I)</td>
<td>When ‘evidence-based’ interventions are specifically discussed (the term is usually used)</td>
<td>‘So there may be a higher level of sort of sophistication and em and this, people feel that if it’s delivered through the church that’s enough you know but they want the information to be high quality and not necessarily delivered by a pastor anymore, they want it to be delivered by a health professional. So there seems to be a shift taking place, or it may be more of an urban–rural shift, we’re not really sure’ (P22, healthy eating, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Formative research</td>
<td>Research work undertaken to inform the intervention</td>
<td>‘they also take up eh Chinese herbal [mm hmm] medicine and I open the channel, I just dialogue with em people in the Chinatown and talk about how can you treat this diabetes. Sometimes even they chew on some leaves when some people tell them it work. . . . open mind and talk with people and collect all the data, what they are doing in terms of the diabetes. There’s uh in the first of five years, i built all those kind of connection and, and data collection. Even before I have em a grant, before and I have all the connection set up already. The people know about me [mm hmm] so for five years’ (P21, healthy eating, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Heterogeneity</td>
<td>Documenting researchers’ observations of heterogeneity within the populations they are working with</td>
<td>‘The other thing about Shanghai which might, it’s different probably than in, than the populations you’re looking into, is that it’s really quite a em multiethnic city in terms of the food offered [mmm] and so in fact the people that em, many people who dine out there really do sometimes have Italian and they will have Japanese so, so you really need eh to provide the different ethnic options em to them there, which might not be the, it’s not the same situation if you’re looking at for example the Chinese population in ca, in em San Francisco or so. Well maybe not, I mean I guess anytime you stay in a city for any length of time or in a new country you do slowly adapt some of the other food taste, hamburgers and so forth’ (P24, healthy eating, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Intervention attendance</td>
<td>Includes discussions on retention, attrition, attendance at sessions</td>
<td>‘and other thing was fantastic was the attendance because normally you can call people and they do not turn up and then well it’s no use you know [mm hmm], it defeats the entire purpose for these people who are coming because they felt very much at home that the information was getting across to them because it was culturally tailored to them’ (P3, physical activity and healthy eating, UK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Intervention description</td>
<td>Description of intervention ethos; intervention aims and objectives and description of components (frequency, intensity)</td>
<td>‘so the second show actually was em focused on em or the second intervention project em was focused on women with hypertension or who were at risk for hypertension [mm hmn] and they were women recruited from em clinic groups so em from a particular medical clinic or medical care provider’ (P14, physical activity and healthy eating, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Intervention outcomes and results</td>
<td>Outcome measures, measurement tools, results, evaluation</td>
<td>‘What we ended up finding was, that the policy the, the smoking prevalence dropped significantly in both communities which we attributed to the smoke-free air law and the tax increase’ (P18, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic area No.</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description of code</td>
<td>Example quote</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Intervention recruitment</td>
<td>Discussions relating to recruitment, including challenges and descriptions</td>
<td>“Now another challenge we had was em we had originally only enrolled women who em call themselves African American or black [mm mhm]. The first two shows we did that, our first two programmes we did that. And then in the, the last one with the churches em many cultural or many churches in and around [name of city] and [name of city] em are not strictly African American churches em where they used to be much more racially segregated em a lot of churches in this area are very multicultural and so just having that em requirement that the participants em participate only if they’re African American or black or call themselves that em was a bit of an issue, I think it made people uncomfortable and I think it unnecessarily em caused a little bit of strife within some of the churches [mm mhm] and so we’ve decided for the last four churches anyway to remove that recruitment criteria. And we’re not, we don’t think that it’s gonna make a big difference, we’re still gonna probably get mostly African American women who are participating, but we think that it may make a big difference in terms of our relationship with those churches and also their comfort level with the recruitment process” (P14, physical activity and healthy eating, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Link with existing institutions/services (I)</td>
<td>Collaboration/working together on any components of the intervention (only when working together, not for incentives) and challenges of working with institutions and services</td>
<td>“There’s also a lot of differences between churches and denominations in terms of the governance and the structure and some denominations have, like a Baptist denomination, has a consistent pastor that will be there for many years and so if they commit to working with you they’re gonna stay with you, whereas other denominations like the AME, we found they rotate pastors every couple of years and so you start into it and once the new pastor comes in they may have a different priority eh and may decide not to, that they’re not so enthused about working with you [laughs]. So that has been a problem in terms of you know often if, if a church, quits in the middle it’s usually because there’s a change in leadership [okay] so that was one thing in terms of working with an organisation” (P22, healthy eating, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Link with professionals (I)</td>
<td>Collaboration/working together on any components of the intervention (only when working together, not for incentives) and challenges of working with professionals (GPs, dieticians, etc.)</td>
<td>“the interesting thing was that they, some of them said that their regular customers who came in for prescriptions and other things like that knew about their service whereas sort of they weren’t very good at getting new [mmm] customers [mm mhm] so um which was why some of them felt that having outreach workers in the community was a good thing [mmm] because it would target people who wouldn’t necessarily know [mmm] that there um [right] a chemist was there” (P2, smoking, UK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Locations and physical environments (I)</td>
<td>In reference to a specific place for the intervention (type and geographical area); any challenges to the intervention associated with that place</td>
<td>“because I am living in [name of district] [mmm okay], they are living in [name of district] and then when we go to them, when we go to the local market then we will, we can see each other and they say hello, some people call me cigarette doctor [laughter]. I always say I am not cigarette doctor, I am not doctor [laughter], somebody who is a cigarette doctor somebody is a doctor, I am not a doctor, I am a smoking advisor [mm mhm] and interesting things, one interesting things [long pause] like elderly people give up the smoking yeah and after six month they start again and he, he just saw me that passed the road and he’s his hand is smoking he’s just hiding smoking [laughter] like he’s, he’s seventy-year-old man but when he saw me just [laughter] [yeah] then say oh I’m sorry, sorry” (P4, smoking, UK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic area</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description of code</td>
<td>Example quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Modelling</td>
<td>Intervention (educator, material) demonstrating or modelling health behaviours; participants modelling behaviour to peers and family members</td>
<td>“So we knew that we had their attention, that was one main reason cause they’re a major target group because of their own health, and that’s what we emphasised. But number two we also know that a woman in that age group, and certainly African American women, we know are the matriarchs of their families, so they are influencing not only their adult children but their grandchildren, their great grandchildren, their churches, their workplaces, they are very influential [mmm]. And so if we can change the lifestyle of one single woman, just one single woman in that age group, we have a major ripple effect that’s going to impact on their entire community” (P23, general health, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Not involved with other activities (I)</td>
<td>Establishing boundaries around the intervention (in terms of scope and health areas covered)</td>
<td>“You know again in some ways we were sort of battling [partner organisation] sometimes as well, wanting to do something that wasn’t just standard” (P8, healthy eating, UK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Outside influences (I)</td>
<td>Social, historical, ancestral influences on healthy or unhealthy behaviour (e.g. home country)</td>
<td>“Where they come from influence what they eat, but not the location and the cities in United States, have any influence on what they eat... where they came from, from their original country, is it China but if they, they came from Hong Kong, eh they like certain food, and from Taiwan they like a certain different kind of food, so it would be different” (P21, healthy eating, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Participant feedback</td>
<td>Concerns relating to the intervention components (medication, ethics, randomisation, confidentiality, etc.); positive and negative feedback about the intervention; learning and experiences relayed from participants to researchers</td>
<td>“Well I think some women who were not African American wanted to participate and felt excluded. Other women just felt that that was ridiculous that their friends were being excluded purely based on the colour of their skin, I mean it really is racially discriminatory against women who are not African American or black. And so and it was by design because the higher risk group is African American or black women, but within the context of the church that, that health risk is, is really immaterial” (P14, physical activity and healthy eating, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Participants’ experiences with health</td>
<td>Participants’ experiences with health and/or health care</td>
<td>“I mean we’ve only done a small amount of qualitative work but just generally people’s attitudes about research trials, diabetes, understanding prevention and risk rather than, it’s much easier to understand if you’ve got an illness and a disease and you get treatment. But to understand, well, you can actually prevent this happening I think is much harder, so I think that was quite complicated” (P1, healthy eating, UK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Participants’ involvement with research</td>
<td>Past experiences with research or researchers</td>
<td>“No ah we didn’t ever get funding to evaluate whether changes occurred in the control churches after they received the intervention [mm mhm]. I would say from, from other research that we’ve done that a lot of times em even though you give training to the control group or the control organisations, somehow the teachable moment has passed and a lot of times they don’t implement it [laughs] [right] with the same enthusiasm and fidelity as the early intervention group does. So that is em one danger of you know assuming that the control group is going to go ahead and do it em sometimes they just don’t do it. But we don’t know that because we were not able to get funding to go longer and evaluate those churches” (P22, healthy eating, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic area</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description of code</td>
<td>Example quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Political and/or policy environment</td>
<td>Anything concerning political will or the policy environment (enabling or inhibiting the intervention)</td>
<td>I: ‘Is there any interest in doing interventions em like this one with the male population, the male em South Asian population?’ P: ‘Eh no not really em … no, there’s not. I mean we tried, I’ve tried to track extra funding eh to do this. Ah part of the issue is the majority of the, the migrants who fit within this group actually reside within eh lower socioeconomic suburbs of [name of city] [mm mmh]. And there’s another overlay that goes with that, those particular suburbs are safe em in terms of the political eh parties that always get voted in there, so there’s safe Labour seats so em which means that essentially not a lot is changing there, you don’t win votes by helping Pakistani women or men becoming healthier [mm mmh], and so funding, etc. that flows on there is limited’ (P12, physical activity and healthy eating, Australia)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Referrals to other services</td>
<td>Referrals to services for health or social problems outside of the intervention remit</td>
<td>‘We make a lot of referrals, we refer to, to primary care clinics for blood pressure and other things that we identified, we refer to drug rehab programmes, em we refer for mental health; there’s lots of depression [mm mmh]. We, we make referrals based on the… we see’ (P16, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>Relating to receiving support or not (within the intervention and outside of the intervention)</td>
<td>‘the intervention group you know changed more initially but then those changes washed out faster, and even reversed in some cases, versus the control group that changed more slowly without all the handholding but you know made use of the social support of you know the other women in their, in their classes, and they were actually able to make a more sustainable change in terms of being able to stabilise their waist circumference’ (P13, physical activity, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>SES</td>
<td>Any reference to SES of participants, or adaptations for SES</td>
<td>‘the area in which we did the where we had where our offices were located was in a low socioeconomic area. Em most of our participants were low poverty level or below, most of my clients did not ah have jobs or were between jobs, very transient sort of lifestyles. Eh we did have a few that were you know middle class that I can remember, eh most did not have any sort of college experience, a lot of them did not graduate from high school. Em so you know we were working with a very relatively low-educated low-income population’ (P26, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Successes</td>
<td>When researchers/interviewees highlighted something that was particularly successful in the intervention</td>
<td>I: ‘So would you consider this intervention that you ran to be quite successful?’ P: ‘Oh without a doubt, yeah. No, it, it, in terms of, we did some informal, I mean, more informal follow-up and that would say for example the fact that the swimming sessions continued on you know a number of the ladies who, we, we got some data on that eh who had participated in the pilot study and so some of them we collected bloods most probably 18 months down the track, after they’d finished and again yeah we most probably had you know in the pilot I think we ended up with nearly 20 women, and of those I would think most probably 50% had actually made a positive change in terms of their lifestyle. And we had, and that, that was with no active intervention since we eh we started the pilot’ (P12, physical activity and healthy eating, Australia)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Taking ownership</td>
<td>When the target community or community members or the intervention participants take ownership of the health promotion activities (or do not)</td>
<td>‘And there is one more thing about the project which I should, I think I should mention. And that is that these physical activity groups are still ongoing, the project stopped in 2003 and now it’s seven or nearly eight years afterwards, so it’s a good, so that tells me that eh there is something in the project that has been sustainable and without any eh pushing from me [yes] eh it mainly organised by the participants themselves but also with a little eh organised and financed, by a litt, small eh fee to pay eh em to attend but much cheaper than the commercial things’ (P19, physical activity, Norway)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic area</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description of code</td>
<td>Example quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptation</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Acculturation</td>
<td>Describing the level of acculturation, how level of acculturation has affected health behaviour and how acculturation affects intervention success</td>
<td>“the more sort of legitimate business with acculturated owners were much more likely to wanna work with us on their worksite wellness, integrating cessation into their worksite wellness, or even had a worksite wellness you know programme, than these very small, very newly immigrant-owned businesses who just really, they just, they just didn’t get it, what we wanted to do’ (P18, smoking, USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Adaptation rationale</td>
<td>Thoughts behind carrying out the adaptation, why it is relevant to the population</td>
<td>“they had em lots of eh em information from em from the behaviour side for the US you know the US meetings we have certain I wouldn’t call them meeting guides, I don’t know what they call them anymore, but you know some format for the leader to follow each week with some goal in mind as information that we want to share to help the, the person be successful in their weight loss. But there were cert, we certainly didn’t know how these would translate to the, China and that’s the kind of adaptation that, that were done by [name] and his group (okay). So em but we were aware that there would be eh certainly some change is needed” (P24, healthy eating, USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Consideration of age and generational issues within the population</td>
<td>“We also mentioned, as we said, we have the facilitators and the experts. All of them are black women in mid-life. It was just as important to these women in, in the focus groups when we started, they said now don’t bring in here as our fitness expert a 20 year old that weighs 110 lb and is perky right. They don’t understand what we’re going through with our back problems, our knee problems. So the issue is, age again is a very important piece of cultural competence” (P23, general health, USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>‘Culture’</td>
<td>Consideration of ‘culture’ in relation to the population (not necessarily linked to adaptation, this represents more general discussion; where possible code text under ‘gender’, ‘religion’, ‘age’, ‘family’, ‘work’, ‘socioeconomic status’, ‘food’, ‘language’ as they are more specific codes)</td>
<td>“So, so the cultural adaptation is broadly defined in terms of something that would be appealing on the surface but also have some relevance to the context in which it, you know, permanent context in which people were living” (P11, physical activity and healthy eating, USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Family and friends</td>
<td>Consideration of family and friends of the population</td>
<td>“But then of course as these are women, we always hook it into if you don’t change your behaviour you’re going to see in your grandchildren the statistics of women dying prematurely from preventable deaths. So we really try to do both, I mean we, we focus on expanding their sense of responsibility to themselves in terms of health but we always link it to how, if they change, it can help in terms of their community, in terms of their family and, and the rest of their community” (P23, general health, USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Food</td>
<td>Any reference to food</td>
<td>“they view within their home that healthy food isn’t acceptable [mm mhm], either to themselves or their family, or visitors, they come over and say oh those were horrible cause we know we recommend for instance bake your samosas and the women are like oh wait a minute, I would be considered a horrible hostess, if I did that, if I didn’t offer them a [mithai] you know the Indian sweets whenever people were there then I would be a horrible hostess, and the pressure is so strong it would make them feel you know in a sense that they were ostracised from their community” (P8, healthy eating, UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Consideration of gender issues relevant to the population</td>
<td>“the women couldn’t get them on their saris, the saris not the best thing for a pedometer so they were trying their knickers and, and things like that. The men of course had no trouble on their belts. And the men would go out for a walk and their wives would be at home cooking dinner” (P16, smoking, USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic area</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description of code</td>
<td>Example quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Intervention health messages</td>
<td>The health message delivered or conveyed in the intervention, as told by the interviewees</td>
<td>“that was a scene that kept coming up in the focus groups, the smokers had said this is really hard, we don’t like people to tell us that you know, you just, why haven’t you quit it it’s so easy you know. Em but what was funny is that its the same tagline that the National Cancer Institute or the National Institute of Health had come up with years ago’ (P18, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Intervention materials</td>
<td>Resources that researchers drew on or used or produced (booklets, pamphlets). Anything to do with lack of resources should be coded under “funding”</td>
<td>“It was a picture of people who looked empowered, so that it was a group of Chinese Americans standing with sort of their arm crossed, a woman, a man and they looked healthy and, and in control of their lives [mm mhm] and that’s what they wanted to project that, that quitting smoking em is associated with you know, in the same way that, that the tobacco industry promotes smoking as being associated with being empowered and you know cool and you know healthful and you know be rich and famous. They, they wanted to project the same thing for quitting and so you have this, it looked like professionals, young professionals, and some older em and ah you know standing just up there and then with this’ (P18, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Any reference to language or ability</td>
<td>“eh it would necessarily you know it would have to be available in the languages eh in which our participant would be most familiar. So we had the intervention materials adapted into Mandarin and Cantonese for our Chinese participants and Korean for our Korean participants, and they were also available in English for those participants who desired the English-language material’ (P20, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Peer education and counselling</td>
<td>Recruiting and utilising peer workers for intervention (delivery, implementation, experience)</td>
<td>“But, but the, the, the and the higher risk group persons, at least some of them, very successful in eh change their behaviour, started to walk eh in the district every, every evening eh every afternoon or evening, attend the classes eh walking classes which walked in the district and, and eh some of them lose weight so that anyone could, anybody could see that. Eh and they became very important role models for the others’ (P19, physical activity, Norway)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Population stressors</td>
<td>Sources of stress within the ethnic populations</td>
<td>“for other groups the stresses might be you know for example originally this was tailored to our low-income women, so for this group we tried to talk of you know discuss issues that were more relevant to men, other race-related issues that might be relevant such as the stress of dealing with you know recent immigration or other immigration-related issues, trying to find a job, trying to ah be able to support one’s family and things of that nature. So the content was modified in that to some degree along those lines’ (P20, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Preferences</td>
<td>Statements of general preference for intervention or health promotion techniques (where possible code text under ‘gender’, ‘religion’, ‘age’, ‘family’, ‘work’, ‘socioeconomic status’, ‘food’, ‘language’ as they are more specific codes)</td>
<td>“But getting back to their preferences, so they had a preference for em collectivism that we you know involved the whole neighbourhood, they had high preference for spirituality and in that all meetings were opened with prayer” (P16, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Religion and spirituality</td>
<td>If the intervention has been integrated into a religious setting or uses religious/spiritual components then code here; if just in a church location, for example, code under ‘location’</td>
<td>“Religiosity is they attend church services and you know read their Bible and, and, and, listen to Gospel music and spirituality is more of an intimate personal relationship with God” (P16, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic area</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Description of code</td>
<td>Example quote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Intervention theory</td>
<td>Any mention of theory or concepts related to the intervention (rather than general discussion of theories)</td>
<td>‘a group in Australia have developed, the ANGELO model, that is a useful grid for em kind of deconstructing macro and micro environments em physical economic em social cultural and policy eh and, and thinking through what might be different in communities of colour in order to you know what might be say excess risk factors compa, you know first of all what might be the risk factors or the influences but then which of these kind of em jump out at you as something that you know, where you know a comm, eh a community is worse off, and so that’s, that’s the kind of reasoning that would get us to looking at the food marketing environments for example’ (P11, physical activity and healthy eating, USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Being flexible (I)</td>
<td>How the intervention or the research process was/should be flexible</td>
<td>‘but one of the things that we found was that kids just abhorred having their fingers stuck, these are you know African American and Latino kids and so we just dropped the the eh serum glucose measure because … we were losing too many of our research participants you know even though they had parental consent and they had originally signed [consent?] after the first round of data collection they didn’t wanna, they didn’t wanna come back. So we, we were, we dropped it in favour of the other measures in being able to retain a larger proportion of our sample’ (P13, physical activity, USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Cost/cost-effectiveness</td>
<td>Costs associated with running the intervention or hypothetical alternatives, excluding incentives, and costs to participants (e.g. low cost, free)</td>
<td>‘The truth is that any time you’re gonna do a, whether we were in the black community, Hispanic community, we, we gonna have to fund community organisations to do this work because you can’t do this work unless you’re in the community. So I don’t think, I think doing any kind of tailoring or creating you know tailored programmes is a little, adds an expense to the existing programmes, but then if you want to be effective in your, if you’re in a city like [name of city] you, you don’t really even think about it that way because it’s the cost of doing business, it’s, it’s not a question of should we do this, its, you have to do it you know’ (P18, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Effective strategies</td>
<td>Identifying specific aspects of the intervention thought to enhance effectiveness</td>
<td>‘So we had found from our process evaluation that there were some key components which included em churchwide events that made fruits and vegetables available, em pastor support, talking with other church members and em the tailored messages, tailored newsletters seemed to be the ones that people cited the most often’ (P22, healthy eating, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Funding (I)</td>
<td>Researchers’ experiences with securing funding or description of the funding environment</td>
<td>‘We just didn’t have the resources to promote it the way we had hoped to promote that one, that, that event and that was partly because of the turnover at the City Health Department and the commissioner who had committed funds to this intervention left and the new commissioner came in and had his own agenda’ (P18, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Incentives</td>
<td>Incentives given during the intervention to increase participation or retention</td>
<td>‘we actually we were having such a hard time with recruitment towards the end that the last appointment em we bumped up that voucher card to uh if they, we told them at the beginning if they would complete all their appointments that they would get $40 in gift cards, if they missed em one I think they would get $35, if they missed two appointments they’d get $30 and if they just showed up for the last em contact then they would get $20’ (P26, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Intervention issues raised</td>
<td>Challenges and issues within the actual intervention (delivery or components)</td>
<td>‘The structure is, is very critical. But what we, what we don’t know is really is one site different from another. Another thing we think we know, but we haven’t documented it, is the facilitator is the key person to the success of the outcome of, of the and the effectiveness’ (P23, general health, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Topic area No. Code Description of code Example quote

**53** Personal experiences

This is in relation to the intervention under discussion, rather than past experiences – how the intervention has provided personal insights

“So me personally, no I’m not interested in doing, now granted if they want, if they did it the way I wanted, if they came to me and said we can give you £350,000 to do this intervention and you can pretty much do it the way you want and we’ll just pay for it, hell yeah [mm mhm]. You know but absolutely not in terms of the way I’ve seen them do business. And I don’t think it’s just [name of slimming programme], I think that would be true of any sort of slimming programme you know, I haven’t worked with them all, but in fact the others weren’t even interested at all, they didn’t even wanna tailor materials for anybody that wasn’t white British” (P8, healthy eating, UK)

**54** Staff characteristics

Qualities that the staff possesses, e.g. skills or of the same ethnicity

“Of the same culture … South Asian and … struggled with obesity herself” (P8, healthy eating, UK)

**55** Staff management

Managing people and staff

“We actually had a few difficulties or should I say the management had a few difficulties with one particular outreach worker um who it, the role was probably not suited to him” (P2, smoking, UK)

**56** Staff safety (I)

Ensuring the safety of staff workers

“Well as a manager of a multisite project my concerns are safety, as I said we had a homicide last week we were with, we’re experiencing a lot of shootouts and, and you know violence in the neighbourhoods and to have outsiders come in, especially when we first go in its, it’s alarming” (P16, smoking, USA)

**57** Sustainability

If the intervention or any components/staff continue after the formal research period

“So now we are just we are just enter, just ending the first year, the first year of a 3-year contract [okay] which is which has given everybody a lot of stability, a lot of eh confidence about what they’re doing [mm mhm] and it’s also we’ve also had additional money so we now have um basically the equivalent of two full-time male workers and two full-time female workers” (P5, smoking, UK)

**58** Unexpected findings (I)

Researchers reported surprising findings

“We tried to access eh em migrant I mean eh med, specific media channels but we don’t know how much eh access, how much that helped eh but eh em but the, the, the strange thing then is was to see the results but even with with that little em specific adaptation we had a kind of breakthrough in ethnic minority groups” (P19, physical activity, Norway)

**59** Lessons Developing relationships

Researcher relationships with community members and leaders and gaining access to the community

“it’s very time-consuming, one of the kind of ah ways of you know building trust and building partnership really have to do with spending time, going to the services, being there for events, listening. A lot of the things that go into community-based participatory research you know take a lot of time, so it’s not like you just go in and recruit the church today and they start tomorrow” (P22, healthy eating, USA)

**60** Formalising the intervention (I)

Setting quality standards, creating manuals and guides, creating a distinct logo or brand

“We said we’re gonna look at [name of a fast food chain] and see how they do it [laughs], a [name of a fast food chain] hamburger in New York is the same as the one in Edinburgh. But anyway, so the quality, one of the ways to maintain our quality em because we know it works and, and there are many ways, reasons that we know it works is to really eh be in charge of the training of future facilitators and community health leaders so that’s a real important piece of what we’re doing” (P23, general health, USA)

**61** Generalisability

Potential for generalisability (whether or not participants think it could be generalisable or have identified aspects in their intervention that would promote generalisability)

“I don’t have any problem if we are identified in your research because the whole thing as I see it is about sharing and caring so it was quite interesting when I went down to my boss and I said oh look I really don’t think I’ll sign off on this part where it says here, the your point number 6 where it says I understand you won’t put anything in your report that could be used to identify me and, and [name] says oh well scrub that out and oh no identify away” (P7, smoking, New Zealand)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic area</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description of code</th>
<th>Example quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Learnings</td>
<td>Take-home messages, lessons to be shared with others</td>
<td>‘Lessons em is engaging with the community to make sure that this is something that they see as a priority and that they want to do [mm mhm], that you engage them to em to help develop, to come up with solutions to help, to, they need to be part of, of developing em an intervention and they have be part of the delivery of the intervention and evaluation and dissemination of the intervention, so they feel [ownership?] to it, I think that’s the biggest lesson learned now’ (P16, smoking, USA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Perseverance (I)</td>
<td>Researchers’ perseverance with intervention or population</td>
<td>‘it took me about 2 years to get eh permission to open up the swimming pool for these women at eh on the weekly basis, for few hours, which was just women only, and I had to go through many many steps because, because people who are, it’s, it’s very multicultural country but just eh when it comes to that sort of thing it was really really challenging, I had to go through various ah city councils and local eh government areas and all that just to get the permission for the women only because people eh it can eh classified as a discrimination against men and all, all, all that side of things. But finally because this, this part was taking so long but then’ (P9, physical activity and healthy eating, Australia)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Recognition of work (I)</td>
<td>External recognition of the work</td>
<td>‘I think it was a big buzz for the team to realise you know that they were, they were this important, that they should have this priority placed upon them and resource, resources spent on them’ (P5, smoking, UK)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Staff capacity building (I)</td>
<td>Upskilling or training for staff</td>
<td>‘taking lay people and upskilling them rather than taking people and trying to skill them in [out of?] the community I think would’ve been, I don’t know actually how we would do that’ (P7, smoking, New Zealand)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GP, general practitioner; I, inductive code; SES, socioeconomic status.
## Appendix 26

### Organisation of the units of thematic analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code clusters</th>
<th>Description of basic theme</th>
<th>Basic theme</th>
<th>Organising theme</th>
<th>Global theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funding (I)</td>
<td>The broader research environment is discussed in relation to the immediate intervention and usually centres on the funding climate or the (lack of) political will and policies in place to support the research initiatives</td>
<td>Research environment</td>
<td>Development</td>
<td>Adapting interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political and/or policy environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptation rationale</td>
<td>The background of the researchers and involvement in past projects shape the development of the intervention; similarly, rationales for adaptation and theories applied to the intervention are explored</td>
<td>Project rationale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention theory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>The needs of the target community are a central concern before, during and after the intervention. Purposeful community advisory groups are established to steer the intervention; pre-existing community organisations and leaders are tapped to assist with the intervention. In some cases, intervention initiatives led to greater ownership of health promotion activities</td>
<td>Community involvement</td>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community advisory or steering group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community organisations or leaders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking ownership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formative research</td>
<td>Undertaking earlier work with the target population to gain insight for intervention development through focus groups, interviews, surveys and literature searching, as well as soliciting feedback from participations throughout the intervention</td>
<td>Knowing the population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant feedback</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community considerations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention recruitment</td>
<td>Discussion of recruitment strategies, degree of attendance by participants and incentives used to increase recruitment and retention</td>
<td>Recruitment and retention</td>
<td>Materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention attendance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence based (I)</td>
<td>Evidence-based approaches seen as desirable; intervention messages and materials were tailored in some way to the target population</td>
<td>Resources delivered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention health messages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer education and counselling</td>
<td>Peer educators used to deliver intervention components thought to increase saliency. The same rationale applied to choosing intervention staff, often matching for ethnicity and language. Emphasis on modelling behaviour of intervention participants to family members</td>
<td>Mode of delivery</td>
<td>Methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modelling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing relationships</td>
<td>Highlighted qualities including importance of developing relationships with target community members; persevering throughout the intervention; and being flexible during the intervention phase</td>
<td>Researcher qualities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perseverance (I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being flexible (I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention description</td>
<td>General descriptions of the intervention study, as well as characteristics of the participants</td>
<td>Descriptive accounts</td>
<td>Study description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of participants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referrals to other services</td>
<td>Outlining what activities are within the remit of the intervention and what lie outside and need referral services; recognising that target population may have other associated issues</td>
<td>Research boundaries</td>
<td>Linkages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not involved with other activities (I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link with existing institutions/services (I)</td>
<td>Forging connections with existing institutions, services or professional groups to deliver the intervention or enhance uptake and receptivity</td>
<td>Professional collaboration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link with professionals (I)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code clusters</th>
<th>Description of basic theme</th>
<th>Basic theme</th>
<th>Organising theme</th>
<th>Global theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Discussion of population demographics and how they come to shape health, health behaviour and health behaviour change</td>
<td>Population demographics</td>
<td>Population demographics</td>
<td>Constructing ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status</td>
<td>Discussion of the ‘traditional’ dimensions of ethnicity influences on health, health behaviour and health behaviour change. Dimensions include culture (including diet, norms), language, ancestry (common geographical origin, shared history, referred to as outside influences) and religion (practices, beliefs)</td>
<td>Ethnicity dimensions</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conventional ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Culture’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion and spirituality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to services and information</td>
<td>Participants past and present experiences with health, health care and health promotion activities, along with their experiences with researchers and research activities</td>
<td>Participants’ health-care/research exposure</td>
<td></td>
<td>Contextual ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants’ experiences with health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside influences (l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants’ involvement with research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness raising</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locations and physical environment (l)</td>
<td>Influence of locations and physical environment on health and health promotion activities; exploring stressors common to target population and observations of changes within target community</td>
<td>Setting-based considerations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population stressors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community observations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family and friends</td>
<td>Families and friends were often mentioned as motivators and barriers to successful behaviour change; importance of social support</td>
<td>Social environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preferences</td>
<td></td>
<td>Acknowledging difference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneity</td>
<td>Differences within target community evident through discussion of preferences, level of heterogeneity and degree of acculturation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acculturation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/cost-effectiveness</td>
<td>Cost of conducting the intervention and considerations of cost-effectiveness</td>
<td>Research costs</td>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>Sustaining adapted interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention issues raised</td>
<td>Issues that were of concern during the intervention were discussed, as well as any outcomes or results, if available; successes of the intervention were highlighted</td>
<td>Intervention assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention outcomes and results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention insights</td>
<td>Insights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unexpected findings (l)</td>
<td>Intervention insights derived from unexpected findings; correcting initial assumptions about the target community; identifying effective strategies and relaying lessons learned for other researchers; and potential for generalisability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumptions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learnings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalisability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formalising the intervention (l)</td>
<td>Steps taken to ‘manualise’ or ‘professionalise’ the health promotion activities and discussions around increasing sustainability of activities</td>
<td>Intervention continuity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal experiences</td>
<td>Reflections on personal experiences and growth</td>
<td>Researcher development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff safety (l)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Project management</td>
<td>Strengthening the workforce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff management</td>
<td>Facilitating positive working environments for staff, particularly multi-ethnic staff, and placing safety measures for community workers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff capacity building (l)</td>
<td>Increasing training and up-skilling staff involved in the intervention, as well as recognition of their work (internal and external)</td>
<td>Workforce development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition of work (l)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

l, inductive code.
Appendix 27
Context–mechanism–outcome configurations from the realist review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage in Programme</th>
<th>Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions</th>
<th>Adaptation (mechanism)</th>
<th>Context + outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conception/planning</td>
<td>1. Exploratory phase with target population (same group as intervention group)</td>
<td>Exploratory phase indicated that randomisation to a no-treatment control for a physical activity and nutrition intervention for an African American population was not acceptable to participants and therefore not utilised in the study design. Other studies also have reiterated that randomisation was inappropriate in church settings, particularly when interest was low. The background tailoring of control groups may remove the effect of the intervention group in terms of assessing the effectiveness of adaptation, but it was found that not tailoring for the control group was often unacceptable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Exploratory phase with target population (different group from intervention group or unknown)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Exploratory phase with community leaders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals to increase salience of programme goals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. Utilise local/respected religious/spiritual leaders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11. Collaboration with ethnic-specific institutions and professional organisations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20. Material developed specifically for target population (by project investigators, expert opinion, tools)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21. Materials created by members of the target population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31. Address concerns with medical programmes, procedures and medication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35. Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate formal and informal networks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42. Purposefully maintaining an exclusive or open intervention environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44. Cross-cultural training for all study personnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45. Address discrimination and mistrust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46. Gender considerations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exploratory phase indicated that randomisation to a no-treatment control for a physical activity and nutrition intervention for an African American population was not acceptable to participants and therefore not utilised in the study design. Other studies also have reiterated that randomisation was inappropriate in church settings, particularly when interest was low. The background tailoring of control groups may remove the effect of the intervention group in terms of assessing the effectiveness of adaptation, but it was found that not tailoring for the control group was often unacceptable. Community-based outreach intervention for smoking cessation, physical activity and nutrition for an African American population observed that it was important to speak to actual community members about participation and not just limit discussion to the community advisory group as low participation in the health activities was observed. Discussions with the community for a community-based group nutrition intervention for an African American population revealed that there were many competing community-based research activities, which may limit interest in the present intervention.

Parents in focus groups reported that they were attracted to a culturally specific programme that targeted only African American girls for physical activity and nutrition intervention; feedback from girls and parents suggested the same thing. There was high retention (98%) but, despite this, the intervention was ineffective for primary and secondary outcomes.

Targeted material for a smoking cessation intervention pilot tested with African Americans in New York may not be equally salient to an African American population in Georgia, as intervention participants were observed to be more responsive to surface level targeting (e.g. materials) and less so to deep structure targeting.

Black churches often include health in their overall mission and thus represent an established infrastructure for recruitment and retention; furthermore, they allow access to African Americans from middle and upper social economic strata, as they are often under-represented in research. An open and flexible design for a physical activity intervention may suit South Asian women with multiple responsibilities that are perceived to be more important than physical activity; this includes open schedules for data collection although this may not always be feasible in terms of cost and time.

Utilising specified models of behaviour change in the development of the intervention was found in some cases to improve effectiveness, but some behavioural theories were suggested to be more appropriate for some communities than others, e.g. social cognitive theory was felt to be salient for the personal life experiences of African American women in terms of prevailing against formidable obstacles in life.
### Stage in Programme Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation (mechanism)</th>
<th>Context + outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Promotion</strong></td>
<td>For a physical activity and nutrition intervention, the majority of African American participants learnt about the intervention through brochures, family/friends and mass e-mails in equal proportions; however, those who responded to e-mails and brochures were more likely to be eligible than those referred by family/friends&lt;sup&gt;365–367&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Ethnically matched intervention staff or facilitators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals to increase salience of programme goals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Utilise local/respected religious/spiritual leaders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Collaboration with ethnic-specific institutions and professional organisations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Reflect target population’s language (usage – concepts, vocabulary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Reflect target population’s preferred method of communication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Intervention content targets population’s social and cultural values</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Intervention goals and outcomes are culturally appropriate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Utilise resources from target population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Utilise and address appropriate norms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Located in ethnically/culturally appropriate/familiar location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate media sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate formal and informal networks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. Address discrimination and mistrust</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engagement/recruitment</strong></td>
<td>Recruitment via personal contact (i.e. active strategy) for a smoking cessation intervention for African Americans tended to attract participants who said they would participate but then did not or who participated but then dropped out. Reactive strategies, however, were more likely to recruit higher socioeconomic groups of African Americans&lt;sup&gt;342–345&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Ethnically matched intervention staff or facilitators</td>
<td>Recruitment of African Americans to a smoking cessation intervention was more successful in churches (81%) and health-care settings (78%) than in housing developments (59%), as measured by the numbers of participants willing to provide a telephone number, which suggests that more interpersonal contacts are needed to get people to disclose their telephone numbers in low-income settings&lt;sup&gt;400,401&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals to increase salience of programme goals</td>
<td>Half of the African American smokers contacted were unwilling to speak with the recruiters as they were identified over the telephone as not being black (exercised caution in talking with outsiders)&lt;sup&gt;404&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
<td>Although matching of recruitment staff has been suggested in a physical activity and nutrition intervention for an African American population, the recruiter’s experience and sensitivity was perhaps more important, particularly when demonstrating flexibility for scheduling interviews&lt;sup&gt;366–367&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Utilise local/respected religious/spiritual leaders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Collaboration with ethnic-specific institutions and professional organisations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Reflect target population’s language (usage – concepts, vocabulary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stage in Programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions</th>
<th>Adaptation (mechanism)</th>
<th>Context + outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16. Reflect target population’s preferred method of communication</td>
<td>Initial recruitment through churches for African Americans for an internet-based intervention for physical activity and nutrition was expanded to the broader community and combined with media and non-media channels. Greater log-on rates were observed for African Americans recruited through the media rather than for those recruited through interpersonal channels as there may have been social pressure to sign up, despite a lack of interest. Those recruited through the media reported a 71% log-on rate for a follow-on internet-based intervention compared with 38% and 65% log-on rates for the two groups which were recruited through churches.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Intervention content targets population’s social and cultural values</td>
<td>Recruitment of African Americans through churches and Historically Black Colleges and Universities for a computer-based nutrition intervention based in churches was successful in maintaining interest as participants were willing to wait for computers to use within the church environment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Consider target population’s employment situations</td>
<td>A community-based group Tai Chi exercise programme for a Chinese population was popular because of the recruitment site, which was in the local community and linked in with other activities popular with women such as playing mah-jong and buying vegetables.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Utilise resources from target population</td>
<td>Matching intervention staff for ethnicity was important for a Bangladeshi population with diabetes but other characteristics, including having intervention staff with diabetes, were also raised as important.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Utilise appropriate incentives and timing of programme</td>
<td>A group-based diabetes management programme (physical activity and nutrition) for a Chinese population experienced attrition as participants travelled for Chinese New Year and did not complete the programme, although they returned for the follow-up evaluation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Utilise and address appropriate norms</td>
<td>Churches were sites rather than partners in a physical activity intervention for African American women and, as such, women did not receive health-related or targeted messages from church officials and therefore may not have affected deep-level structures.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Address concerns with medical programmes, procedures and medication</td>
<td>Using familiar sites for an intervention can improve effectiveness, but some sites may be better than others. For a physical activity and nutrition intervention for an African American population, it was found that sites which people held membership to, as opposed to just community sites, produced better institutionalisation of the intervention.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Located in ethnically/culturally appropriate/familiar location</td>
<td>Churches as a setting for African American populations can have a positive effect on the intervention over and above being an appropriate setting, such as spreading the intervention to a wider population (not just intervention participants) and creating intervention sustainability. This was particularly true if they had a pre-existing health ministry.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate media sources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate formal and informal networks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Provide ethnically/culturally appropriate food/activities/music</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Address physical/financial (structural) barriers to participation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45. Address discrimination and mistrust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46. Gender considerations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stage in Programme
#### Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adaptation (mechanism)</th>
<th>Context + outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13. Material (video, booklet, skits, handouts, games) in target population’s language</td>
<td>A community-based group weight loss intervention for African American women was initially held at community locations that were familiar and close by; however, the lack of space for exercise and childcare and lack of room availability forced relocation. The second cohort was relocated to a space on campus that offered parking. This was mainly conducted with a middle-class cohort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Reflect target population’s language (usage – concepts, vocabulary)</td>
<td>A church-based group physical activity and nutrition intervention for African Americans reported that, for the self-help arm, women wanted the sessions to be led by professional leaders rather than their peers; this was supported by the observed drop in attendance when sessions were taken over by trained lay leaders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Match reading level and literacy</td>
<td>A diabetes self-care telephone intervention for African Americans reported that ‘eating healthfully’ was seen as giving up their food heritage and that family members may be quite negative towards participants if they deviate from traditional foods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Reflect target population’s preferred method of communication</td>
<td>Family members can be a barrier to weight gain prevention interventions for African American girls as their mothers encouraged weight gain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Material presents ethnic-specific data</td>
<td>In one intervention for physical activity and nutrition, African American girls were specifically taught communication skills to help advocate for healthy changes within the home; however, the family involvement was not very successful as parents were not supportive of their daughters in attending sessions or making changes in the home environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Material depicts appropriate graphics and scenarios (this can be heterogeneous)</td>
<td>Social support from within the intervention group was valuable for African American participants in a physical activity and nutrition intervention but other social influences outside the intervention, such as family pressures and resistance to change, were observed to have a negative impact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Material/guidance based on preferences of target population</td>
<td>Encouraging family participation was built into some interventions, but in a study of a physical activity and nutrition intervention in an African American population only female adults participated despite male members of the family being encouraged to participate; this may affect uptake and role-modelling within the home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Material developed specifically for target population (by project investigators, expert opinion, tools)</td>
<td>Social support was an explicit intention in an intervention for physical activity and nutrition for African American cancer survivors and this was to tap into an observation that kinship networks were strongly related to health decision-making in this community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Intervention content targets population’s social and cultural values</td>
<td>A pictorial one-on-one diabetes education intervention to improve nutrition for a South Asian population reported participant preference for one-to-one health education at clinics rather than organised single-sex group sessions. Participants wanted to keep their diabetes status private and felt unable to announce their food choices in public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Intervention delivered in culturally appropriate or preferred format</td>
<td>A churchwide smoking cessation intervention for an African American population reported that some church members were embarrassed by their smoking status and did not want to be identified, while others were not interested in programmes delivered by their peers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Consider target population’s employment situations</td>
<td>A group- and individual-based diabetes management intervention for African Americans held in a rural setting revealed that group-based programmes may not have been appropriate as there were competing cultures of openness (facilitated by churches) and privacy (rural traditions).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Intervention addresses health behaviour patterns found in target population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Dietary issues unique to their context</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Utilise resources from target population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Utilise appropriate incentives and timing of programme</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. Utilise appropriate norms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. Address concerns with medical programmes, procedures and medication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. Located in ethnically/culturally appropriate/familiar location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. Utilise ethnically/culturally appropriate formal and informal networks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. Provide ethnically/culturally appropriate food/activities/music</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. Present a pro-ethnic/race approach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38. Address emotional barriers and stressors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39. Address physical/financial (structural) barriers to participation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage in Programme</td>
<td>Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40. Teach appropriate communication skills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Home visitations may be carried out for convenience but they were also important to engage the support of male members of the family and build family support in an intervention for physical activity and nutrition with an African American population; in these circumstances it was important for the public health educator to be male. Similarly, a concern among South Asian-origin women in a nutrition intervention was whether or not changes would be approved by spouses and whether or not spouses would prevent them from making changes.

A nutrition intervention delivered to an African American population demonstrated positive results, but the intervention was not successful for younger participants and males. Younger people considered the health topic less relevant to them and also had competing priorities in their lives that made dedicated participation more difficult, and the materials may not have been relevant for males (Campbell et al. 2012).

Therefore, both age and gender were observed to mediate intervention effects.

In a diabetes intervention with Bangladeshi adults, peer discussion and support were valued but gender differences were observed, as women were more interested in the social support and the men were more focused on the information elements.

Retention

- Ethnically matched intervention staff or facilitators
- Ethnically matched peer role models or education
- Ethnically matched facilitators and peer role models who have successfully changed their behaviour
- Ethnically matched high-level/ respected individuals to increase salience of programme goals
- Ethnically matched high-level/ respected individuals throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages
- Ethnically matched leadership within the study
- Utilise local/respected religious/spiritual leaders
- Collaboration with ethnic-specific institutions and professional organisations
- Reflect target population’s preferred method of communication
- Intervention content targets population’s social and cultural values
- Consider target population’s employment situations
- Utilise resources from target population
- Utilise appropriate incentives and timing of programme

Monthly self-addressed stamped postcards and a toll-free telephone number to update contact details may be good retention strategies for a parent-led nutrition intervention for African Americans (2013–2015).

Low implementation rates (31%) of booster calls to African American participants in a smoking cessation intervention. Telephone-assisted strategies may not be feasible as they depend on the ability to obtain telephone numbers. The intervention was not effective for smoking cessation (2012, 2013).

A genetic biomarker feedback smoking cessation intervention with telephone counselling and NRT for African Americans lost 21% of participants, with the primary reason being that participants no longer had telephone access.

Community-based after-school intervention programmes can reduce transportation barriers for a physical activity and nutrition intervention for African American girls. In a related study with African American girls, when transportation was provided from school to the community centre, 70% attended on average at least 2 days a week. In another area where transportation was not provided, only 33% attended on average at least 2 days a week.

A community-based group Tai Chi exercise programme for a Chinese population reported high adherence as classes were held at a convenient local community centre where other Cantonese-speaking seniors congregate for other activities, such as eating lunch and grocery shopping.

A group physical activity and nutrition intervention was delivered to participants during their regular community group meeting.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage in Programme</th>
<th>Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions</th>
<th>Context + outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Utilise and address appropriate norms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>Address emotional barriers and stressors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>Address physical/financial (structural) barriers to participation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>Encourage/involve social support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>Purposefully maintaining an exclusive or open intervention environment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.</td>
<td>Gender considerations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
<td>A dose-dependent response was observed after 2 years for both increased physical activity and positive attitudes about the benefits of physical activity for a multimedia campaign promoting physical activity for children. Children aware of the campaign reported greater engagement in physical activity than children unaware of the campaign. Evidence of a dose-dependent response was also observed in other interventions, e.g. African Americans who reported reading more tailored newsletters also reported eating more fruit and vegetables, but no association was observed for video watching. A smoking cessation intervention delivered with a guide and brief counselling plus NRT or placebo for African Americans observed that lower than expected quit rates may be influenced by environmental factors, daily life stressors and aggressive targeted advertising – all aspects that may not be measured but which may influence successful quitting. A school-based diabetes prevention programme for African American children targeted the classroom, after-school activities, home and the school cafeteria; however, an unhealthy home environment in terms of food was countering gains made at school and a one-off health fair for families was not perceived to have lasting effects. Lay advisors used in a church-based physical activity and nutrition intervention for African Americans have networks beyond the church and assessing changes in only one part of their network (e.g. churches) may miss documenting an impact in other parts of their network. Only 10% of church members reported speaking to a lay advisor; however, it was unknown if lay advisors were operating outside the church as well. Consultation with community leaders and community members and pre-testing of intervention components for centre-based behavioural counselling for exercise and diet for African American families were unable to counter the disrupting effects of unstable employment, such that frequent job changes and changes from full-time to part-time work, day shift to night shift and employed to unemployed contributed to poor regular attendance at evening sessions. Targeted approaches may be helpful for African American participants to initiate thinking about quitting smoking; however, individual approaches such as counselling may be needed once the quitting process has been initiated to facilitate quitting. In a group-based behavioural weight loss programme for African Americans, the addition of motivational interviewing did not increase attendance, change dietary intake or physical activity or result in weight loss compared with health education only. Motivational interviewing may not enhance the effectiveness of an already culturally adapted intervention or motivate behaviour change among participants who face socioenvironmental barriers or life stressors, as in motivational interviewing barriers are only discussed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22. Intervention content targets population’s social and cultural values</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23. Intervention goals and outcomes for participants are culturally appropriate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25. Consider target population’s employment situations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30. Utilise and address appropriate norms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32. Utilise appropriate evaluation instruments and tools</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage in Programme</td>
<td>Theory of Adapted Health Promotion Interventions</td>
<td>Adaptation (mechanism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23. Intervention goals and outcomes for participants are culturally appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30. Utilise and address appropriate norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32. Utilise appropriate evaluation instruments and tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination</td>
<td></td>
<td>8. Ethnically matched high-level/respected individuals throughout planning, directing, reviewing and implementing stages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9. Ethnically matched leadership within the study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NRT, nicotine replacement therapy.
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Feedback

The HTA programme and the authors would like to know your views about this report.

The Correspondence Page on the HTA website (www.hta.ac.uk) is a convenient way to publish your comments. If you prefer, you can send your comments to the address below, telling us whether you would like us to transfer them to the website.

We look forward to hearing from you.